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PREFACE

In accordance with the general plan of this Course of Theoretical Physics, the present volume deals with relativistic quantum theory in the broad sense: the theory of all phenomena which depend upon the finite velocity of light, including the whole of the theory of radiation.

This branch of theoretical physics is still far from completion, even as regards its basic physical principles, and this is particularly true of the theory of strong and weak interactions. But even quantum electrodynamics, despite the remarkable achievements of the last twenty years, still lacks a satisfactory logical structure.

In the choice of material for this book we have considered only results which appear to be reasonably firmly established. In consequence, of course, the greater part of the book is devoted to quantum electrodynamics. We have tried to give a realistic exposition, with emphasis on the physical hypotheses used in the theory, but without going into details of justifications, which in the present state of the theory are in any case purely formal.

In the discussion of specific applications of the theory, our aim has been not to include the whole vast range of effects but to select only the most fundamental of them, adding some references to original papers which contain more detailed studies. We have often omitted some of the intermediate steps in the calculations, which in this subject are usually very lengthy, but we have always sought to indicate any non-trivial point of technique.

The discussion in this book demands a higher degree of previous knowledge on the part of the reader than do the other volumes in the Course. Our assumption has been that a reader whose study of theoretical physics has extended as far as the quantum theory of fields has no further need of predigested material.

The division of the book into two parts has no fundamental significance, and is due only to the large amount of matter to be treated. The second part will deal with radiative corrections in electrodynamics, the theory of weak interactions, and certain problems in the theory of strong interactions.

This book has been written without the direct assistance of our teacher, L. D. Landau. Yet we have striven to be guided by the spirit and the approach to theoretical physics which characterised his teaching of us and which he embodied in the other volumes. We have often asked ourselves what would be the attitude of 'Dau to this or that topic, and sought the answer prompted by our many years' association with him.

Our thanks are due to V. N. Baifer, who gave great help in compiling §§59 and 94, and to V. I. Ritus for great help in writing §98. We are grateful to B. E. Melerovich for assistance with calculations, and also to A. S. Kompaneets, who made available his notes of L. D. Landau's lectures on quantum electrodynamics, given at Moscow State University in the academic year 1959–60.
This book is the result of a joint undertaking, and all the authors have discussed the contents of the entire book. In the first instance, the work was allocated as follows: Chapters I and V were written by Lifshitz; II, III and VII by Berestetskii and Lifshitz; IV, VI and IX by Lifshitz and Pitaevskii; and VIII and X by all three authors jointly.

June 1967

V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz, L. P. Pitaevskii
NOTATION

Four-dimensional

Four-dimensional tensor indices are denoted by Greek letters $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \ldots$, taking the values 0, 1, 2, 3.

A 4-metric with signature $(+ - - -)$ is used. The metric tensor is

$$g_{\mu\nu}(g_{00} = 1, g_{11} = g_{22} = g_{33} = -1).$$

Components of a 4-vector are stated in the form $a^\mu = (a^0, a)$.

To simplify the formulae, the index is often omitted in writing the components of a 4-vector.† The scalar products of 4-vectors are written simply as $(ab)$ or $ab$; $ab = a^\mu b_\mu = a_0 b_0 - a \cdot b$.

The 4-radius-vector is $x^\mu = (t, r)$. The 4-volume element is $d^4x$.
The operator of differentiation with respect to the 4-coordinates is $\partial_\mu = \partial / \partial x^\mu$.
The antisymmetric unit 4-tensor is $\epsilon^\nu\rho\sigma\tau$, with $\epsilon^{0123} = -\epsilon_{0123} = +1$.
The four-dimensional delta function is $\delta^4(a) = \delta(a_0)\delta(a)$.

Three-dimensional

Three-dimensional tensor indices are denoted by Latin letters $i, k, l, \ldots$, taking the values $x, y, z$.

Three-dimensional vectors are denoted by letters in bold italic type.
The three-dimensional volume element is $d^3x$.

Operators

Operators are denoted by letters in roman type.
$\psi$-operators are denoted by the letter $\psi$ in bold type.
Commutators or anticommutators of two operators are written $\{f, g\}_\pm = fg \pm gf$.
The transposed operator is $^T$.
The Hermitian conjugate operator is $f^\dagger$.
The charge conjugation operator is $C$.
The spatial inversion operator is $P$ (for “parity”).
The time reversal operator is $T$; this letter also denotes time-ordering of products of operators.

† This way of writing the components is often used in recent literature. It is a compromise between the limited resources of the alphabet and the demands of physics, and means, of course, that the reader must be particularly attentive.
Matrix elements

The matrix element of the operator $F$ for a transition from initial state $i$ to final state $f$ is $F_{fi}$ or $\langle f | F | i \rangle$. The notation $|i\rangle$ is used as an abstract symbol for a state independently of any specific representation in which its wave function may be expressed. The notation $\langle f |$ denotes a final ("complex conjugate") state.\footnote{This notation is due to Dirac.}

Correspondingly, $\langle s | r \rangle$ denotes the coefficients in the expression of a set of states with quantum numbers $r$ as superpositions of states with quantum numbers $s$:

$$\langle r | = \sum_s \langle s | r \rangle \langle s |.$$ 

The reduced matrix elements of spherical tensors are $\langle f | F | i \rangle$.

Dirac's equation

The Dirac matrices are $\gamma^\mu$, with $(\gamma^0)^2 = 1$, $(\gamma^1)^2 = (\gamma^2)^2 = (\gamma^3)^2 = -1$. The matrix $\alpha = \gamma^0$, $\beta = \gamma^0$. The expressions in the spinor and standard representations are (21.3), (21.16) and (21.20).

$$\gamma^5 = -i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3, \quad (\gamma^5)^2 = 1;$$

$$a^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu);$$

The product of a 4-vector with the Dirac matrices is $\hat{a} = (a\gamma) \equiv a^\mu \gamma^\mu$.

Dirac conjugation is expressed by $\bar{\psi} = \psi^* \gamma^0$.

The Pauli matrices are $\sigma = (\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$, defined in §20.

The 4-spinor indices are $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ and $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \ldots$, taking the values $1, 2$ and $\hat{1}, \hat{2}$.

The bispinor indices are $i, k, l, \ldots$, taking the values $1, 2, 3, 4$.

Fourier expansion

Three-dimensional:

$$f(r) = \int f(k) \ e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}, \quad f(k) = \int f(r) \ e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \ d^3x,$$

and similarly for the four-dimensional expansion.

Units

Except where otherwise specified, relativistic units are used, with $h = 1, c = 1$. In these units, the square of the unit charge is $e^2 = 1/137$.

Atomic units have $e = 1, \ h = 1, \ m = 1$. In these units, $c = 137$. The atomic units of length, time and energy are $\hbar^2/me^2, \ \hbar^2/me^4$ and $me^4/\hbar^2$; the quantity $Ry = me^4/2\hbar^2$ is called a rydberg.

Ordinary units are given in the absolute (Gaussian) system.

Constants

Velocity of light $c = 2.997925 \times 10^{10}$ cm/sec.

Unit charge $e = 4.803 \times 10^{-10}$ CGS electrostatic units.

Electron mass $m = 9.110 \times 10^{-28}$ g.

Planck's constant $h = 1.055 \times 10^{-27}$ erg. sec.

Fine-structure constant $\alpha = e^2/hc; \ 1/\alpha = 137.04$.

Bohr radius $\hbar^2/me^2 = 5.292 \times 10^{-9}$ cm.
Notation

Classical electron radius \( r_e = \frac{e^2}{mc^2} = 2.818 \times 10^{-13} \) cm.
Compton wavelength of the electron \( \frac{\hbar}{mc} = 3.862 \times 10^{-11} \) cm.
Electron rest energy \( mc^2 = 0.5110 \times 10^6 \) eV.
Atomic energy unit \( \frac{me^4}{\hbar^2} = 4.360 \times 10^{-11} \) erg = 27.21 eV.
Bohr magneton \( \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} = 9.274 \times 10^{-21} \) erg/G.
Proton mass \( m_p = 1.673 \times 10^{-24} \) g.
Compton wavelength of the proton \( \frac{h}{m_p c} = 2.103 \times 10^{-14} \) cm.
Nuclear magneton \( \frac{e\hbar}{2m_p c} = 5.051 \times 10^{-24} \) erg/G.

References to earlier volumes in the Course of Theoretical Physics:

QM or Quantum Mechanics = Vol. 3 (Quantum Mechanics, second English edition, 1965).

All are published by Pergamon Press.
INTRODUCTION

§1. The uncertainty principle in the relativistic case

The quantum theory described in Volume 3 (Quantum Mechanics) is essentially non-relativistic throughout, and is not applicable to phenomena involving motion at velocities comparable with that of light. At first sight, one might expect that the change to a relativistic theory is possible by a fairly direct generalisation of the formalism of non-relativistic quantum mechanics. But further consideration shows that a logically complete relativistic theory cannot be constructed without invoking new physical principles.

Let us recall some of the physical concepts forming the basis of non-relativistic quantum mechanics (QM, §1). We saw that one fundamental concept is that of measurement, by which is meant the process of interaction between a quantum system and a classical object or apparatus, causing the quantum system to acquire definite values of some particular dynamical variables (coordinates, velocities, etc.). We saw also that quantum mechanics greatly restricts the possibility that an electron† simultaneously possesses values of different dynamical variables. For example, the uncertainties $\Delta q$ and $\Delta p$ in simultaneously existing values of the coordinate and the momentum are related by the expression‡ $\Delta q \Delta p \sim \hbar$; the greater the accuracy with which one of these quantities is measured, the less the accuracy with which the other can be measured at the same time.

It is important to note, however, that any of the dynamical variables of the electron can individually be measured with arbitrarily high accuracy, and in an arbitrarily short period of time. This fact is of fundamental importance throughout non-relativistic quantum mechanics. It is the only justification for using the concept of the wave function, which is a basic part of the formalism. The physical significance of the wave function $\psi(q)$ is that the square of its modulus gives the probability of finding a particular value of the electron coordinate as the result of a measurement made at a given instant. The concept of such a probability clearly requires that the coordinate can in principle be measured with any specified accuracy and rapidity, since otherwise this concept would be purposeless and devoid of physical significance.

The existence of a limiting velocity (the velocity of light, denoted by $c$) leads to new fundamental limitations on the possible measurements of various physical quantities (L. D. Landau and R. E. Peierls, 1930).

In QM, §44, the following relationship has been derived:

$$(v' - v)\Delta p \Delta t \sim \hbar,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

† As in QM, §1, we shall, for brevity, speak of an "electron", meaning any quantum system.
‡ In this section, ordinary units are used.
relating the uncertainty $\Delta p$ in the measurement of the electron momentum and the duration $\Delta t$ of the measurement process itself; $v$ and $v'$ are the velocities of the electron before and after the measurement. From this relationship it follows that a momentum measurement of high accuracy made during a short time (i.e. with $\Delta p$ and $\Delta t$ both small) can occur only if there is a large change in the velocity as a result of the measurement process itself. In the non-relativistic theory, this showed that the measurement of momentum cannot be repeated at short intervals of time, but it did not at all diminish the possibility, in principle, of making a single measurement of the momentum with arbitrarily high accuracy, since the difference $v' - v$ could take any value, no matter how large.

The existence of a limiting velocity, however, radically alters the situation. The difference $v' - v$, like the velocities themselves, cannot now exceed $c$ (or rather $2c$). Replacing $v' - v$ in (1.1) by $c$, we obtain

$$\Delta p\Delta t \sim \hbar/c,$$

(1.2)

which determines the highest accuracy theoretically attainable when the momentum is measured by a process occupying a given time $\Delta t$. In the relativistic theory, therefore, it is in principle impossible to make an arbitrarily accurate and rapid measurement of the momentum. An exact measurement ($\Delta p \to 0$) is possible only in the limit as the duration of the measurement tends to infinity.

Equally fundamental changes occur in regard to the measurability of the coordinate. In the relativistic theory, the coordinate cannot be measured with an accuracy better than a certain limit. The concept of localising the electron is thereby further restricted in its physical significance.

In the mathematical formalism of the theory, this situation is shown by the fact that an accurate measurement of the coordinate is incompatible with the assertion that the energy of a free particle is positive. It will be seen later that the complete set of eigenfunctions of the relativistic wave equation of a free particle includes, as well as solutions having the “correct” time dependence, also solutions having a “negative frequency”. These functions will in general appear in the expansion of the wave packet corresponding to an electron localised in a small region of space.

It will be shown that the wave functions having a “negative frequency” correspond to the existence of antiparticles (positrons). The appearance of these functions in the expansion of the wave packet expresses the (in general) inevitable production of electron–positron pairs in the process of measuring the coordinates of an electron. This formation of new particles in a way which cannot be detected by the process itself clearly renders meaningless the measurement of the electron coordinates.

In the rest frame of the electron, the least possible error in the measurement of its coordinates is

$$\Delta q \sim \hbar/c.$$

(1.3)

This value (which purely dimensional arguments show to be the only possible one) corresponds to a momentum uncertainty $\Delta p \sim mc$, which in turn corresponds to the threshold energy for pair production.

In a frame of reference in which the electron is moving with energy $\varepsilon$, (1.3) becomes

$$\Delta q \sim \hbar c/\varepsilon.$$

(1.4)

In particular, in the limiting ultra-relativistic case the energy is related to the momentum
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by \( e \approx cp \), and

\[ \Delta q \sim \frac{\hbar}{p}, \]

(1.5)
i.e. the error \( \Delta q \) is the same as the de Broglie wavelength of the particle.

For photons, the ultra-relativistic case always applies, and the expression (1.5) is therefore valid. This means that the coordinates of a photon are meaningful only in cases where the characteristic dimensions of the problem are large in comparison with the wavelength. This is just the "classical" limit, corresponding to geometrical optics, in which radiation can be said to be propagated along definite paths or rays. In the quantum case, however, where the wavelength cannot be regarded as small, the concept of coordinates of the photon has no meaning. We shall see later (§4) that, in the mathematical formalism of the theory, the fact that the photon coordinates cannot be measured is evident because the photon wave function cannot be used to construct a quantity which might serve as a probability density satisfying the necessary conditions of relativistic invariance.

It is clear from the foregoing that, in a consistent relativistic quantum mechanics, the coordinates of particles cannot act as dynamical variables, since these must by their nature have a precise significance. Nor can the particle momentum retain its former meaning. Since an accurate measurement of the momentum requires a long interval of time, there is no possibility of following its change in the process.

Having regard to the discussion at the beginning of this section, we reach the conclusion that the entire formalism of non-relativistic quantum mechanics becomes insufficient in the relativistic case. The wave functions \( \psi(q) \), in their original sense as the carriers of unobservable information, cannot appear in the formalism of a consistent relativistic theory.

The momentum can figure in a consistent theory only for free particles; for these it is conserved, and can therefore be measured with any desired accuracy. This indicates that the theory will not consider the time dependence of particle interaction processes. It will show that in these processes there are no characteristics precisely definable (even within the usual limitations of quantum mechanics); the description of such a process as occurring in the course of time is therefore just as unreal as the classical paths are in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. The only observable quantities are the properties (momenta, polarisations) of free particles: the initial particles which come into interaction, and the final particles which result from the process (L. D. Landau and R. E. Peierls, 1930).

A typical problem as formulated in relativistic quantum theory is to determine the probability amplitudes of transitions between specified initial and final states \( (t \to \mp \infty) \) of a system of particles. The set of such amplitudes between all possible states constitutes the \textit{scattering matrix} or \textit{S-matrix}. This matrix will embody all the information about particle interaction processes that has an observable physical meaning (W. Heisenberg, 1938).

In such a theory, moreover, the concepts of "elementary" and "composite" particles lose their earlier significance; the problem of "what consists of what" cannot be formulated without considering the process of interaction between particles, and if this is not done the whole problem becomes meaningless. All particles which occur as initial or final particles in any physical collision phenomenon must appear in the theory on an equal footing. In this sense the difference between those particles usually said to be "composite" and those said to be "elementary" is only a quantitative one, and amounts to the value of the mass defect with respect to decay into specified "component parts". For example, the statement
that the deuteron is complex (its binding energy with respect to disintegration into a proton and a neutron being fairly small) differs only quantitatively from the statement that the neutron "consists of" a proton and a pion.

There is as yet no logically consistent and complete relativistic quantum theory. We shall see that the existing theory introduces new physical features into the nature of the description of particle states, which acquires some of the features of field theory (see §10). The theory is, however, largely constructed on the pattern of ordinary quantum mechanics and makes use of the latter's concepts. This structure of the theory has yielded good results in quantum electrodynamics. The lack of complete logical consistency in this theory is shown by the occurrence of divergent expressions when the mathematical formalism is directly applied, although there are quite well-defined ways of eliminating these divergences. Nevertheless, such methods remain, to a considerable extent, semiempirical rules, and our confidence in the correctness of the results is ultimately based only on their excellent agreement with experiment, not on the internal consistency or logical ordering of the fundamental principles of the theory.

A quite different situation occurs in the theory of effects depending on the strong interactions of particles (nuclear forces). Here, attempts to construct a theory by similar methods have led to no significant results bearing on physical reality. The construction of a complete theory embracing strong interactions will probably call for the application of fundamentally new physical ideas.
CHAPTER I
PHOTONS

§2. Quantisation of the free electromagnetic field

With the purpose of treating the electromagnetic field as a quantum object, it is convenient to begin from a classical description of the field in which it is represented by an infinite but discrete set of variables. This description permits the immediate application of the customary formalism of quantum mechanics. The representation of the field by means of potentials specified at every point in space is essentially a description by means of a continuous set of variables.

Let \( A(r, t) \) be the vector potential of the free electromagnetic field, which satisfies the "transversality condition"

\[
\text{div} \ A = 0.
\] (2.1)

The scalar potential \( \Phi = 0 \), and the fields \( E \) and \( H \) are

\[
E = -A, \quad H = \text{curl} A.
\] (2.2)

Maxwell’s equations reduce to the wave equation for \( A \):

\[
\triangle A - \partial^2 A/\partial t^2 = 0.
\] (2.3)

In classical electrodynamics (see Fields, §52) the change to the description by means of a discrete set of variables is brought about by considering the field in a large but finite volume \( V \).† The following is a brief résumé of the argument.

The field in a finite volume can be expanded in terms of travelling plane waves, and its potential is then represented by a series

\[
A = \sum_k (a_k e^{ik \cdot r} + a_k^* e^{-ik \cdot r}),
\] (2.4)

where the coefficients \( a_k \) are functions of the time such that

\[
a_k \sim e^{-i\omega t}, \quad \omega = |k|.
\] (2.5)

The condition (2.1) shows that the complex vectors \( a_k \) are orthogonal to the corresponding wave vectors: \( a_k \cdot k = 0 \).

The summation in (2.4) is taken over an infinite discrete set of values of the wave vector (i.e. of its components \( k_x, k_y, k_z \)). The change to an integral over a continuous distribution may be made by means of the expression \( d^3k/(2\pi)^3 \) for the number of possible values of \( k \) belonging to the volume element \( d^3k = dk_x \, dk_y \, dk_z \) in \( k \)-space.

† We shall take \( V = 1 \), in order to reduce the number of factors in the formulae.
If the vectors $a_k$ are specified, the field in the volume considered is completely determined. Thus these quantities may be regarded as a discrete set of classical "field variables". In order to explain the transition to the quantum theory, however, a further transformation of these variables is needed, whereby the field equations take a form analogous to the canonical equations (Hamilton's equations) of classical mechanics. The canonical field variables are defined by

$$Q_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(4\pi)}} (a_k + a_k^*),$$

$$P_k = -i\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{(4\pi)}} (a_k - a_k^*) = Q_k,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.6)

and are evidently real. The vector potential is expressed in terms of the canonical variables by

$$A = \sqrt{(4\pi)} \sum_k \left( Q_k \cos k \cdot r - \frac{1}{\omega} P_k \sin k \cdot r \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.7)

To find the Hamiltonian $H$, we must calculate the total energy of the field,

$$\frac{1}{8\pi} \int (E^2 + H^2) \, d^3 x,$$

and express it in terms of the $Q_k$ and $P_k$. When $A$ is written as the expansion (2.7), and $E$ and $H$ are found from (2.2), the result of the integration is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k (P_k^2 + \omega^2 Q_k^2).$$

Each of the vectors $P_k$ and $Q_k$ is perpendicular to the wave vector $k$, and therefore has two independent components. The direction of these vectors determines the direction of polarisation of the corresponding wave. Denoting the two components of the vectors $Q_k$ and $P_k$ (in the plane perpendicular to $k$) by $Q_{ka}, P_{ka}$ ($\alpha = 1, 2$), we can write the Hamiltonian as

$$H = \sum_{k, \alpha} \frac{1}{2} (P_{ka}^2 + \omega^2 Q_{ka}^2).$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

Thus the Hamiltonian is the sum of independent terms, each of which contains only one pair of quantities $Q_{ka}, P_{ka}$. Each such term corresponds to a travelling wave with a definite wave vector and polarisation, and has the form of the Hamiltonian for a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator. This expansion is therefore often referred to as an oscillator expansion of the field.

Let us now consider the quantisation of the free electromagnetic field. The classical description of the field given above makes the manner of transition to the quantum theory obvious. We have now to use canonical variables (generalised coordinates $Q_{ka}$ and generalised momenta $P_{ka}$) as operators, with the commutation rule

$$P_{ka} Q_{ka} - Q_{ka} P_{ka} = -i;$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

operators with different values of $k$ and $\alpha$ always commute. The potential $A$ and, according to (2.2), the fields $E$ and $H$ likewise become operators.

The consistent determination of the Hamiltonian requires the calculation of the integral

$$H = \frac{1}{8\pi} \int (E^2 + H^2) \, d^3 x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)
Quantisation of the free electromagnetic field

in which \( E \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) are expressed in terms of \( P_{ka} \) and \( Q_{ka} \). However, the fact that the latter do not commute is actually unimportant, since the products \( Q_{ka} P_{ka} \) appear multiplied by \( \cos k.r \sin k.r \), which becomes zero on integration over the whole volume. The resulting expression for the Hamiltonian is therefore

\[
H = \sum_{k,a} \frac{1}{2}(P_{ka}^2 + \omega^2 Q_{ka}^2),
\]

which is, as we might have expected, exactly the same in form as the classical Hamiltonian.

The determination of the eigenvalues of this Hamiltonian involves no further calculation, since it is equivalent to the familiar problem of the energy levels of linear oscillators (QM, §23). We can therefore immediately write down the field energy levels:

\[
E = \sum_{k,a} (N_{ka} + \frac{1}{2})\omega,
\]

where the \( N_{ka} \) are integers.

The further discussion of this formula will be left until §3; here we shall write out the matrix elements of the quantities \( Q_{ka} \), which can be done at once by means of the known formulae for the matrix elements of the coordinates of an oscillator (see QM, §23). The non-zero matrix elements are

\[
\langle N_{ka}|Q_{ka}|N_{ka}-1\rangle = \langle N_{ka}-1|Q_{ka}|N_{ka}\rangle = \sqrt{(N_{ka}/2\omega)}.
\]

The matrix elements of the quantities \( P_{ka} = \dot{Q}_{ka} \) differ from those of \( Q_{ka} \) only by a factor \( \pm i\omega \).

In subsequent calculations, however, it will be more convenient to replace the quantities \( Q_{ka} \) and \( P_{ka} \) by the linear combinations \( \omega Q_{ka} \pm iP_{ka} \), which have non-zero matrix elements only for transitions \( N_{ka} \rightarrow N_{ka} \pm 1 \). We therefore define the operators

\[
c_{ka} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\omega)}} (\omega Q_{ka} + iP_{ka}),
\]

\[
c_{ka}^+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\omega)}} (\omega Q_{ka} - iP_{ka});
\]

the classical quantities \( c_{ka} \), \( c_{ka}^* \) are the same, apart from a factor \( \sqrt{(2\pi/\omega)} \), as the coefficients \( a_{ka} \), \( a_{ka}^* \) in the expansion (2.4). The matrix elements of these operators are

\[
\langle N_{ka}-1|c_{ka}|N_{ka}\rangle = \langle N_{ka}|c_{ka}^+|N_{ka}-1\rangle = \sqrt{N_{ka}}.
\]

The commutation rule for \( c_{ka} \) and \( c_{ka}^+ \) is obtained by using the definitions (2.14) and the rule (2.9):

\[
c_{ka}c_{ka}^+ - c_{ka}^+c_{ka} = 1.
\]

For the vector potential, we return to an expansion of the type (2.4), but with operator coefficients, writing it in the form

\[
A = \sum_{k,a} (c_{ka}A_{ka} + c_{ka}^+A_{ka}^*),
\]

where

\[
A_{ka} = \sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{e^{\alpha}}{\sqrt{(2\omega)}} e^{ik.r}.
\]

The symbol \( e^{\alpha} \) denotes the unit vectors in the direction of polarisation of the oscillators;
these vectors are perpendicular to the wave vector \( k \), and for every \( k \) there are two independent polarisations.

Similarly, for the operators \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) we write

\[
\mathbf{E} = \sum_{k_z} \left( c_{k_z} \mathbf{E}_{k_z} + c^+_{k_z} \mathbf{E}^*_{k_z} \right),
\]

\[
\mathbf{H} = \sum_{k_z} \left( c_{k_z} \mathbf{H}_{k_z} + c^+_{k_z} \mathbf{H}^*_{k_z} \right),
\]

(2.19)

with

\[
E_{k_z} = i\omega A_{k_z}, \quad H_{k_z} = \mathbf{n} \times E_{k_z}, \quad \mathbf{n} = k/\omega.
\]

(2.20)

The vectors \( A_{k_z} \) are mutually orthogonal, in the sense that

\[
\int A_{k_z} A^*_{k_z'} d^3x = \frac{2\pi}{\omega} \delta_{zz'} \delta_{kk'}.
\]

(2.21)

For, if \( A_{k_z} \) and \( A^*_{k_z'} \) belong to different wave vectors, then their product contains a factor \( e^{i(k-k').r} \), which gives zero on integration over the volume; if they differ only in polarisation, \( e^{i z}.e^{i z'} = 0 \), since the two independent directions of polarisation are mutually orthogonal. Similar arguments apply to the vectors \( E_{k_z} \) and \( H_{k_z} \). They are conveniently normalised by imposing the condition

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi} \int (E_{k_z} E^*_{k_z'} + H_{k_z} H^*_{k_z'}) d^3x = \omega \delta_{kk'} \delta_{zz'}.
\]

(2.22)

Substituting the operators (2.19) in (2.10), and carrying out the integration by means of (2.22), we obtain the field Hamiltonian expressed in terms of the operators \( c_{k_z} \), \( c^+_{k_z} \):

\[
\mathbf{H} = \sum_{k_z} \frac{1}{2} (c_{k_z} c^+_{k_z} + c^+_{k_z} c_{k_z}) \left( \frac{1}{4\pi} \int (|E_{k_z}|^2 + |H_{k_z}|^2) d^3x \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k_z} \frac{1}{2} \omega (c_{k_z} c^+_{k_z} + c^+_{k_z} c_{k_z}).
\]

(2.23)

This operator is diagonal in the representation considered (the matrix elements of the operators \( c \) and \( c^+ \) being given by (2.15)), and its eigenvalues are of course (2.12).

In the classical theory, the field momentum is defined as the integral

\[
P = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} d^3x.
\]

In changing to the quantum theory, we replace \( \mathbf{E} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) by the operators (2.19), and thus easily find

\[
P = \sum_{k_z} \frac{1}{2} (p_{k_z}^2 + \omega^2 q_{k_z}^2) n_z,
\]

(2.24)

in agreement with the familiar classical relationship between the energy and momentum of plane waves. The eigenvalues of this operator are

\[
P = \sum_{k_z} k (N_{k_z} + \frac{1}{2}).
\]

(2.25)

The representation of operators by means of the matrix elements (2.15) is the "occupation number representation", corresponding to the description of the state of a system (the field) by specifying the quantum numbers \( N_{k_z} \) (the occupation numbers). In this representation the field operators (2.19), and therefore the Hamiltonian (2.11), act on the wave function of the system, expressed in terms of the numbers \( N_{k_z} \); let this be \( \Phi(N_{k_z}, t) \). The field operators (2.19) are not explicit functions of the time. This corresponds to the customary
§3. Photons

We shall now further analyse the field quantisation formulae obtained in §2. First of all, formula (2.12) for the field energy raises the following difficulty. The lowest energy level of the field corresponds to the case where the quantum numbers \( N_{kx} \) of all the oscillators are zero; this is called the electromagnetic field vacuum state. But, even in that state, each oscillator has a non-zero “zero-point energy” equal to \( \frac{1}{2} \omega \). Summation over an infinite number of oscillators then gives an infinite result. Thus we meet with one of the “divergences” which are due to the fact that the present theory is not logically complete and consistent.

So long as only the field energy eigenvalues are under discussion, we can remove this difficulty by simply striking out the zero-point oscillation energy, i.e. by writing the field energy and momentum as†

\[
E = \sum_{k, x} N_{kx} \omega, \quad P = \sum_{k, x} N_{kx} k. \tag{3.1}
\]

† This procedure can be formally carried out without contradiction if we agree to regard the products of operators in (2.10) as “normal” products, that is, as products in which the operators \( c^* \) are always placed to the left of the operators \( c \). Then formula (2.23) becomes

\[
H = \sum_{k, x} \omega c^*_{kx} c_{kx}.
\]
These formulae enable us to introduce the concept of radiation quanta or photons, which is fundamental throughout quantum electrodynamics.† We may regard the free electromagnetic field as an ensemble of particles each with energy \( \omega (= \hbar \omega) \) and momentum \( k (= n \hbar \omega/c) \). The relationship between the photon energy and momentum is as it should be in relativistic mechanics for particles having zero rest-mass and moving with the velocity of light. The occupation numbers \( N_{k\alpha} \) now represent the numbers of photons having given momentum \( k \) and polarisation \( e^{(\alpha)} \). The polarisation of the photon is analogous to the spin of other particles; the exact properties of the photon in this respect will be discussed in §6 below.

It is easily seen that the whole of the mathematical formalism developed in §2 is fully in accordance with the representation of the electromagnetic field as an ensemble of photons; it is just the second quantisation formalism, applied to the system of photons.‡ In this treatment (see QM, §64), the independent variables are the occupation numbers of the states, and the operators act on functions of these numbers. The particle “annihilation” and “creation” operators are of basic importance; they respectively decrease and increase by one the occupation numbers. The \( c_{k\alpha} \) and \( c_{k\alpha}^\dagger \) are operators of this kind: \( c_{k\alpha} \) annihilates a photon in the state \( k, \alpha \), and \( c_{k\alpha}^\dagger \) creates a photon in that state.

The commutation rule (2.16) corresponds to particles which obey Bose statistics. Photons, therefore, are bosons, as was to be expected, since the number of photons that can be in any one state must be unrestricted. The significance of this will be further discussed in §5.

The plane waves \( A_{k\alpha} \) (2.26) which appear in the operator \( \hat{A} \) (2.17) as coefficients of the photon annihilation operators may be treated as the wave functions of photons having given momenta \( k \) and polarisations \( e^{(\alpha)} \). This corresponds to an expansion of the \( \psi \)-operator in terms of the wave functions of stationary states of a particle in the non-relativistic second quantisation formalism; however, unlike the latter, the expansion (2.17) includes both particle annihilation and particle creation operators. The meaning of this difference is explained in §12.

The wave function (2.26) is normalised by the condition

\[
\int \frac{1}{4\pi} (|E_{k\alpha}|^2 + |H_{k\alpha}|^2) \, d^3x = \omega. \tag{3.2}
\]

This is the normalisation to “one photon in the volume \( V = 1 \)”: the integral on the left is the quantum-mechanical mean value of the photon energy in the state having the given wave function. This interpretation is obvious when the Hamiltonian is put in the form shown by the first line in (2.23).§ The right-hand side of (3.2) is just the energy of a single photon.

The “Schrödinger’s equation” for the photon is represented by Maxwell’s equations. In the present case (when the potential \( A(r, t) \) satisfies the condition (2.1)), this leads to the wave equation:

\[
\partial^2 A/\partial t^2 - \Delta A = 0.
\]

The “wave functions” of the photon, in the general case of arbitrary stationary states, are complex solutions of this equation, whose time dependence is given by the factor \( e^{-i\omega t} \).

† This concept is originally due to A. Einstein (1905).
‡ The application of the second quantisation method to the theory of radiation was first worked out by P. A. M. Dirac (1927).
§ It should be noted that the factor \( 1/4\pi \) in the integral (3.2) is twice the usual factor \( 1/8\pi \) (2.10). This is ultimately due to the fact that the vectors \( E_{k\alpha}, H_{k\alpha} \) are complex, whereas the field operators \( E, H \) are real.
§4

Gauge invariance

In referring to the photon wave function, we must again emphasise that this can not be regarded as the probability amplitude of the spatial localisation of the photon, in contrast to the fundamental significance of the wave function in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. This is because, as has been shown in §1, the concept of the coordinates of the photon has no physical meaning. The mathematical aspect of this situation will be further discussed at the end of §4.

The components of the Fourier expansion of the function \( A(r, t) \) with respect to the coordinates form the wave function of the photon in the momentum representation; we denote this by \( A(k, t) = A(k) e^{-i\omega t} \). For example, in a state with a given momentum \( k \) and polarisation \( e^{(s)} \), the wave function in the momentum representation is given simply by the coefficient of the exponential factor in (2.26):

\[
A_{k\alpha}(k', \alpha') = \sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{e^{(s)}}{\sqrt{2\omega}} \delta_{k'k} \delta_{\alpha'\alpha}.
\]

Since the momentum of a free particle is measurable, the wave function in the momentum representation has a more profound physical significance than that in the coordinate representation: it enables us to calculate the probabilities \( w_{k\alpha} \) of various values of the momentum and polarisation of a photon in a specified state. According to the general rules of quantum mechanics, \( w_{k\alpha} \) is given by the square of the modulus of the corresponding coefficient in the expansion of the function \( A(k') \) in terms of the wave functions of states with given \( k \) and \( e^{(s)} \):

\[
w_{k\alpha} \sim \sum_{k', \alpha'} |A_{k\alpha}(k', \alpha')| A(k')|^2,
\]

the proportionality coefficient depending on the way in which the functions are normalised. Substitution of (3.3) gives

\[
w_{k\alpha} \sim |e^{(s)} A(k)|^2.
\]

Summation over the two polarisations gives the probability that the photon momentum is \( k \):

\[
w_k \sim |A(k)|^2.
\]

§4. Gauge invariance

The field potential in classical electrodynamics is well known to be subject to an arbitrary choice: the components of the 4-potential \( A_\mu \) can undergo any gauge transformation of the form

\[
A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi,
\]

where \( \chi \) is any function of coordinates and time (see Fields, §18).

For a plane wave, if we consider only transformations which do not change the form of the potential (proportional to \( \exp(-ik_\mu x^\mu) \)), the freedom of choice reduces to the possibility of adding to the wave amplitude any 4-vector proportional to \( k^\mu \).

This arbitrariness in the potential persists in the quantum theory, of course, where it relates to the field operators or to the wave functions of photons. In order not to prejudice the choice of the potentials, we must replace (2.17) by the corresponding expansion for the operator 4-potential,

\[
A^\mu = \sum_{k, \alpha} (c_{k\alpha} A^\mu_{k\alpha} + c^+_{k\alpha} A^{\mu*}_{k\alpha}),
\]
where the wave functions $A^\mu_k$ are 4-vectors of the form

$$A^\mu_k = \sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{e^\mu}{\sqrt{(2\omega)}} e^{-ikx^\nu}, \quad e^\mu e^\nu* = -1,$$

or more concisely, omitting the four-dimensional vector indices,

$$A_k = \sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{e}{\sqrt{(2\omega)}} e^{-ikx}, \quad ee* = -1. \quad (4.3)$$

Here the 4-momentum $k^\mu = (\omega, k)$ (and so $kx = \omega t - k \cdot r$), and $e$ is the unit polarisation 4-vector.$^\dagger$

If we consider only gauge transformations which do not alter the dependence of the function (4.3) on the coordinates and the time, the transformation must be

$$e^\mu \rightarrow e^\mu + \chi k^\mu, \quad (4.4)$$

where $\chi = \chi(k^\mu)$ is an arbitrary function. Since the polarisation is transverse, it is always possible to choose a gauge such that the 4-vector $e$ is

$$e^\mu = (0, e), \quad e \cdot k = 0; \quad (4.5)$$

this will be called the three-dimensionally transverse gauge. In invariant four-dimensional form, this condition becomes the condition of four-dimensional transversality

$$ek = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

It should be noticed that this condition (like the normalisation condition $ee* = -1$) is preserved by the transformation (4.4), since $k^2 = 0$. If the square of the 4-momentum of a particle is zero, its mass must also be zero. This demonstrates the relationship between gauge invariance and the zero mass of the photon. Other aspects of the relationship will be discussed in §14.

There can be no change in any physical quantities under a gauge transformation of the wave functions of photons concerned in a process. In quantum electrodynamics this requirement of gauge invariance is of even greater importance than in the classical theory. We shall see many examples of the fact that gauge invariance is here, like relativistic invariance, a valuable heuristic principle.

Gauge invariance is, in turn, closely related to the law of conservation of electric charge. This aspect will be discussed in §43.

It has already been mentioned in §3 that the coordinate wave function of the photon cannot be interpreted as the probability amplitude of its spatial localisation. Mathematically, this is shown by the impossibility of constructing from the wave function any quantity which has even the formal properties of a probability density. Such a quantity would have to be expressed as a positive-definite bilinear combination of the wave function $A^\mu$ and its complex conjugate. Moreover, it would have to satisfy certain conditions of relativistic covariance by being the time component of a 4-vector. This is because the continuity equation, which expresses the conservation of the number of particles, is given in four-dimensional form by the vanishing of the divergence of the current 4-vector. The time component of the current is here the particle localisation probability density; see Fields, §29.

$^\dagger$ The expression (4.3) is not in a fully relativistic-covariant (4-vector) form; this is because the normalisation to a finite volume $V = 1$, used here, is not invariant. This is, however, of no fundamental importance, and is entirely compensated by the advantages of the normalisation used. We shall see later that it allows a simple and straightforward deduction of actual physical quantities in the necessary invariant form.
§5. The electromagnetic field in quantum theory

On the other hand, by the condition of gauge invariance, the 4-vector $A_\mu$ could appear in the current only as the antisymmetric tensor $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu = -i(k_\mu A_\nu - k_\nu A_\mu)$. Thus the current 4-vector would have to be a bilinear combination of $F_{\mu\nu}$ and $F^*_{\mu\nu}$ (and the components of the 4-vector $k_\mu$). But such a 4-vector cannot be formed, since every expression (such as $k^2 F^*_{\mu\nu} F^*_{\rho\sigma}$) which satisfies the conditions stated is zero by the transversality condition $(k^\lambda F_{\nu\lambda} = 0)$, and in any case could not be positive-definite, since it contains odd powers of the components $k_\mu$.

The description of the field as an ensemble of photons is the only description that fully accords with the physical significance of the electromagnetic field in quantum theory. It replaces the classical description in terms of field strengths. These appear in the mathematical formalism of the photon picture as second quantisation operators.

The properties of a quantum system are known to be similar to the classical properties when the quantum numbers defining the stationary states of the system are large. For a free electromagnetic field (in a given volume) this means that the oscillator quantum numbers, i.e. the photon numbers $N_{k\alpha}$, must be large. In this respect the fact that photons obey Bose statistics is of great importance. In the mathematical formalism of the theory, the relationship of the Bose statistics to the properties of the classical field is shown by the commutation rules for the operators $c_{k\alpha}^{+} c_{k\alpha}^{-}$ when the $N_{k\alpha}$ are large, and the matrix elements of these operators are therefore large also, we may neglect unity on the right-hand side of the commutation rule (2.16), obtaining

$$c_{k\alpha}^{+} c_{k\alpha}^{+} \approx c_{k\alpha}^{+} c_{k\alpha}^{+};$$

these operators thus become the commuting classical quantities $c_{k\alpha}$ and $c_{k\alpha}^{*}$, which determine the classical field strengths.

The condition for the field to be quasi-classical needs to be made more precise, however, since, if all the numbers $N_{k\alpha}$ are large, the energy of the field is certainly infinite on summation over all the states $k, \alpha$, and the condition then becomes meaningless.

A physically meaningful statement of the problem as to the conditions for a quasi-classical field can be based on a consideration of values of the field averaged over some short time interval $\Delta t$. If the classical electric field $E$ (or magnetic field $H$) is represented as a Fourier integral expansion with respect to the time, then, when it is averaged over the time interval $\Delta t$, only those Fourier components whose frequencies are such that $\omega \Delta t \leq 1$ will make a significant contribution to the mean value $\langle E \rangle$, since otherwise the oscillating factor $e^{-i\omega t}$ almost vanishes on averaging. Thus, in determining the condition for the averaged field to be quasi-classical, we need consider only those quantum oscillators whose frequency $\omega \leq 1/\Delta t$. It is sufficient that the quantum numbers of these oscillators should be large.

The number of oscillators having frequencies between zero and $\omega \sim 1/\Delta t$ (for a volume $V = 1$) is, in order of magnitude,†

$$\left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^3 \sim 1/(c\Delta t)^3. \quad (5.1)$$

† In this section, ordinary units are used.
The total energy per unit volume is proportional to $\bar{E}^2$. Dividing this by the number of oscillators and by some mean value of the energy of a single photon ($\sim \hbar\omega$), we find as the order of magnitude of the numbers of photons

$$N_k \sim \bar{E}^2 c^3/\hbar^4.$$  

With the condition that this number should be large, we obtain the inequality

$$|E| \gg (\hbar c)/(c\Delta t)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

This is the required condition, which allows the field averaged over time intervals $\Delta t$ to be treated as classical. We see that the field must reach a certain strength, which increases as the averaging time $\Delta t$ decreases. For variable fields, this time must not, of course, exceed the time during which the field changes appreciably. Thus variable fields, if sufficiently weak, can never be quasi-classical. Only for static (time-independent) fields can we make $\Delta t \to \infty$, so that the right-hand side of the inequality (5.2) tends to zero. Thus a static field is always classical.

It has already been mentioned that the classical expressions for the electromagnetic field as a superposition of plane waves must be regarded in quantum theory as operator expressions. These operators, however, have only a very limited physical meaning. A physically meaningful field operator would have to give zero field values in the photon vacuum state, whereas the mean value of the squared field operator $\bar{E}^2$ in the ground state, which is the same as the zero-point energy of the field apart from a factor, is infinite; by the "mean value" is meant the quantum-mechanical mean value, i.e. the corresponding diagonal matrix element of the operator. This infinity cannot be avoided even by any formal cancelling operation (as was done for the field energy), since here this would have to be carried out by means of some appropriate modification of the operators $E$ and $H$ themselves (not their squares), which is impossible. Thus, unlike the usual operators of quantum mechanics, the field operators in quantum electrodynamics do not correspond to any meaningful physical quantities.

§6. The angular momentum and parity of the photon

The photon, like any other particle, can possess a certain angular momentum. In order to determine the properties of this quantity for the photon, let us first recall the relationship between the properties of the wave function of a particle and the angular momentum of the particle, in the mathematical formalism of quantum mechanics.

The angular momentum $j$ of a particle consists of its orbital angular momentum $l$ and its intrinsic angular momentum or spin $s$. The wave function of a particle having spin $s$ is a symmetrical spinor of rank $2s$, i.e. is a set of $2s+1$ components which are transformed into definite combinations of one another when the coordinate axes are rotated. The orbital angular momentum is related to the way in which the wave functions depend on the coordinates: states with orbital angular momentum $l$ correspond to wave functions whose components are linear combinations of the spherical harmonic functions of order $l$.

The consistent distinguishability of the spin and the orbital angular momentum therefore requires that the "spin" and "coordinate" properties of the wave functions should be independent of each other: the dependence of the spinor components on the coordinates (at a given instant) must not be subject to any additional restrictions.
In the momentum representation of the wave functions, their dependence on the coordinates is replaced by their dependence on the momentum \( k \). The photon wave function (in the three-dimensionally transverse gauge) is the vector \( A(k) \). A vector is equivalent to a spinor of rank 2, and in this sense the photon might be said to have spin 1. But this vector wave function satisfies the transversality condition, \( k \cdot A(k) = 0 \), which is a further condition imposed on the function \( A(k) \). Consequently, this function cannot be arbitrarily specified as regards every component of the vector at the same time, and therefore the orbital angular momentum and the spin cannot be strictly distinguished.

The definition of the spin as the angular momentum of a particle at rest is also inapplicable to the photon, because there is no rest frame for a photon, which moves with the velocity of light.

Thus only the total angular momentum of the photon has a meaning. It is, moreover, obvious that this total angular momentum must be integral, since the quantities describing the photon do not include any spinors of odd rank.

The state of a photon, like that of any particle, is also described by its parity, which refers to the behaviour of the wave function under inversion of the coordinates (see QM, §30). In the momentum representation, the change of sign of the coordinates is replaced by the change of sign of all the components of \( k \). The effect of the inversion operator \( P \) on a scalar function \( \phi(k) \) is simply to produce this change of sign: \( P\phi(k) = \phi(-k) \). When it is applied to a vector function \( A(k) \), we must also take into account the fact that the reversal of the directions of the axes changes the sign of all the components of the vector; hence†

\[
PA(k) = -A(-k). \tag{6.1}
\]

Although the separation of the angular momentum of the photon into the orbital angular momentum and the spin has no physical meaning, it is nevertheless convenient to define a "spin" \( s \) and an "orbital angular momentum" \( l \) as formal auxiliary quantities which express the transformation properties of the wave function under rotations: the value \( s = 1 \) corresponds to the fact that the wave function is a vector, and the value of \( l \) is the order of the spherical harmonics which occur in the wave function. Here we are considering the wave functions of states in which the photon angular momentum has a definite value; for a free particle, these are spherical waves. The number \( l \), in particular, defines the parity of the photon state, which is

\[
P = (-1)^{l+1}. \tag{6.2}
\]

In the same way, the angular momentum operator \( \mathbf{j} \) may be represented as the sum \( s + l \). The operator \( \mathbf{j} \) is related to the operator of an infinitesimal rotation of the coordinates, or, in the present case, to the action of this operator on a vector field. In the sum \( s + l \), the operator \( s \) acts on the vector index, transforming the components of the vector into combinations of one another. The operator \( l \) acts on these components as functions of the momentum (or of the coordinates).

We may count the number of states (with a given energy) which are possible for a given

† We shall choose to define the parity of a state according to the effect of the inversion operator on a polar vector, such as \( A \) (or the corresponding electric vector \( E = i\omega A \)). This differs in sign from the effect on the axial vector \( H = ik \times A \), since the direction of such a vector is unaltered by inversion:

\[
PH(k) = H(-k).
\]
value \( j \) of the photon angular momentum, ignoring the trivial \((2j+1)\)-fold degeneracy with respect to the directions of the angular momentum.

When \( l \) and \( s \) are independent, this calculation is made by simply counting the number of ways in which the angular momenta \( l \) and \( s \) can be added, according to the rules of the vector model, so as to obtain the required value of \( j \). For a particle with spin \( s = 1 \), and a given non-zero value of \( j \), this would give three states, with the following values of \( l \) and the parity \( P \):

\[
l = j, \quad P = (-1)^{j+1} = (-1)^{j+1};
\]

\[
l = j \pm 1, \quad P = (-1)^{j+1} = (-1)^j.
\]

If \( j = 0 \), however, only one state is obtained, with \( l = 1 \) and parity \( P = +1 \).

In this calculation the condition that the vector \( A \) is transverse has not been taken into account; all its three components have been assumed to be independent. We must therefore subtract, from the numbers of states found above, the numbers of states which correspond to a longitudinal vector. This vector may be written in the form \( k\phi(k) \), whence we see that its three components are equivalent, as regards their transformation properties (under rotations), to a single scalar \( \phi \).† We can therefore say that the extra state which is incompatible with the transversality condition would correspond to the state of a particle having a scalar wave function (spinor of rank 0), i.e. having “spin zero”.‡ The angular momentum \( j \) of this state is therefore equal to the order of the spherical harmonics which occur in \( \phi \). The parity of the state as a state of the photon is determined by the action of the inversion operator on the vector function \( k\phi \):

\[
P(k\phi) = -(-k)\phi(-k) = (-1)^l k\phi(k),
\]

and is therefore \((-1)^j\). Thus we must subtract one from the number of states found above which have the parity \((-1)^j\), i.e. two for \( j \neq 0 \) and one for \( j = 0 \).

The conclusion is, then, that when the photon angular momentum \( j \) is non-zero there is one even state and one odd state. When \( j = 0 \), no states exist. This means that a photon cannot have zero angular momentum; \( j \) therefore takes only the values 1, 2, 3, \ldots. The impossibility of \( j = 0 \) is evident \textit{a priori}, since the wave function of a state with zero angular momentum must be spherically symmetrical, and this cannot be true for a transverse wave.

The following terminology is customary to denote the various states of the photon. A photon with angular momentum \( j \) and parity \((-1)^j\) is called an \textit{electric} \( 2j \)-pole (or \( Ej \)) \textit{photon}; one with parity \((-1)^{j+1} \) is called a \textit{magnetic} \( 2j \)-pole (or \( Mj \)) \textit{photon}. For example, an odd state with \( j = 1 \) corresponds to an electric dipole photon, an even state with \( j = 2 \) to an electric quadrupole photon, and an even state with \( j = 1 \) to a magnetic dipole photon.§

† This is because the transformation of a quantity under rotation is a transformation at a given point, i.e. for a given value of \( k \). Under such a transformation, \( k\phi(k) \) is unchanged, i.e. it behaves as a scalar.

‡ It should be again emphasised that this does not refer to a state of an actual particle. The calculation given here is a formal one, and amounts mathematically to a classification of the set of quantities which are transformed into combinations of one another, in terms of the irreducible representations of the rotation group.

§ This nomenclature corresponds to the terminology of classical radiation theory; we shall see later (§§46, 47) that the emission of electric and magnetic photons is governed by the electric and magnetic moments of a system of charges.
§7. Spherical waves of photons

Having ascertained the possible values of the photon angular momentum, we must now determine the corresponding wave functions.†

Let us first consider the formal problem of determining vector functions which are eigenfunctions of the operators \( j^2 \) and \( j_z \), without deciding as yet which of these functions will appear in the desired photon wave functions, and without taking account of the transversality condition.

We shall look for the functions in the momentum representation. In this representation, the coordinate operator is \( r = i \partial / \partial k \) (see QM, (15.12)). The orbital angular momentum operator is

\[ I = r \times k = -ik \times \partial / \partial k, \]

and therefore differs from the angular momentum operator in the coordinate representation only in that \( r \) is replaced by \( k \). The solution of the problem is thus formally identical in the two representations.

Let the required eigenfunctions be denoted by \( Y_{jm} \) and referred to as spherical harmonic vectors. They must satisfy the conditions

\[ j^2 Y_{jm} = j(j+1)Y_{jm}, \quad j_z Y_{jm} = m Y_{jm}, \quad (7.1) \]

the \( z \)-axis being in a specified direction in space. We shall show that these conditions are satisfied by any function of the form \( a Y_{jm} \), where \( a \) is any vector formed from the unit vector \( \mathbf{n} = k/\omega \), and \( Y_{jm} \) are the ordinary (scalar) spherical harmonic functions. The latter will everywhere be defined as in QM, §28:

\[ Y_{jm}(\mathbf{n}) = (-1)^l (m+|m|)! \sqrt{(2l+1)(l-|m|)! / 4\pi(l+|m|)!} P_l^m(\cos \theta) e^{im\phi}, \quad (7.2) \]

where \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are the spherical polar angles of the direction \( \mathbf{n} \).‡

The proof is based on the commutation rule

\[ \{l_i, a_k\} = ie_{ikl} a_l \]

(QM, (29.4)). The right-hand side may be written as \(-s_ia_k\), where \( s \) is the operator of spin 1; the effect of this operator on a vector function is in fact given by \( s_ia_k = -ie_{ikl} a_l \) (see QM, §58, Problem 2). Hence

\[ l_i a_k - a_k l_i = -s_ia_k, \]

and therefore

\[ j_z a_k = (l_i + s_i) a_k = a_k l_i. \]

Consequently

\[ j^2(a Y_{jm}) = a l^2 Y_{jm}, \quad j_z(a Y_{jm}) = a l_z Y_{jm}. \]

Since the spherical harmonic \( Y_{jm} \) is the eigenfunction of the operators \( l^2 \) and \( l_z \) which corresponds to the respective eigenvalues \( j(j+1) \) and \( m \), we arrive at equations (7.1).

† This problem was first discussed by W. Heitler (1936). The solution given here is due to V. B. Berestetskii (1947).

‡ For future reference, the value of the function when \( \theta = 0 \) (\( \mathbf{n} \) is along the \( z \)-axis) is

\[ Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n}) = i^l \sqrt{2l + 1 / 4\pi} \delta_{m0}. \quad (7.2a) \]
The three essentially different types of spherical harmonic vectors are obtained by taking as the vector $a$ the three following vectors:

$$\frac{\nabla_n}{\sqrt{j(j+1)}} = \frac{n \times \nabla_n}{\sqrt{j(j+1)}}.$$

(7.3)

The spherical harmonic vectors are thus defined as

$$Y_{jm}^{(e)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{j(j+1)}} \nabla_n Y_{jm}, \quad P = (-1)^j;$$

$$Y_{jm}^{(m)} = n \times Y_{jm}^{(e)}, \quad P = (-1)^j + 1;$$

$$Y_{jm}^{(l)} = n Y_{jm}, \quad P = (-1)^j.$$

(7.4)

The parity $P$ is also shown for each vector. The three vectors are orthogonal, $Y_{jm}^{(l)}$ being longitudinal and $Y_{jm}^{(m)}$ and $Y_{jm}^{(l)}$ transverse with respect to $n$.

The spherical harmonic vectors can be expressed in terms of the scalar spherical harmonics: $Y_{jm}^{(m)}$ in terms of spherical harmonics of the order $l = j$ only, and $Y_{jm}^{(e)}$ and $Y_{jm}^{(l)}$ in terms of those of order $l = j \pm 1$. This is immediately evident on comparing the parities shown in (7.4) with the parity $(-1)^j + 1$ of a vector field in terms of the order of the spherical harmonics concerned.

The spherical harmonic vectors of any one type are orthonormal:

$$\int Y_{jm}^{(m)}, Y_{jm'}^{(m)} \, d\omega = \delta_{jj'}, \delta_{mm'}.$$

(7.5)

For the vectors $Y_{jm}^{(l)}$ this is obvious from the normalisation condition for the spherical harmonics $Y_{jm}$. For the vectors $Y_{jm}^{(e)}$ the normalisation integral is

$$\frac{1}{j(j+1)} \int \nabla_n Y_{jm}, \nabla_n Y_{jm}' \, d\omega = \frac{1}{j(j+1)} \int Y_{jm}' \Delta_n Y_{jm} \, d\omega,$$

and, since $\Delta_n Y_{jm} = -j(j+1)Y_{jm}$, equation (7.5) follows. The normalisation for the vectors $Y_{jm}^{(m)}$ leads to a similar integral.

The spherical harmonic vectors (7.4) could also be derived without the direct verification of equations (7.1) that has been carried out above, using only general arguments concerning the transformational properties of functions. In §6, these arguments were employed to show that a vector function $n\phi$ corresponds to an angular momentum $j$ which is the same as the order of the spherical harmonics occurring in $\phi$. If we put simply $\phi = Y_{jm}$, the function $n\phi$ will also correspond to a definite value $m$ of the angular-momentum component. Thus we derive at once the spherical harmonic vectors $Y_{jm}^{(l)}$. But the discussion of transformational properties in §6 is unaffected if the factor $n$ in the product $n\phi$ is replaced by the vector $V_n$ or by $n \times V_n$. This leads to the other two types of spherical harmonic vectors.

Let us now consider the photon wave functions. For an electric photon of type $E_j$, the parity of the vector $A(k)$ is $(-1)^j$. The spherical harmonic vectors $Y_{jm}^{(e)}$ and $Y_{jm}^{(l)}$ possess this parity, but only the former satisfies the transversality condition. For a magnetic

† The operator $V_n = |k| V_k$, and acts on functions which depend only on the direction of $n$. In spherical polar coordinates its two components are

$$V_n = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right).$$

The operator denoted below by $\Delta_n$ is the angular part of the Laplacian operator:

$$\Delta_n = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2}.$$
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Photon of type \( M_j \), the parity of the vector \( \mathbf{A}(k) \) is \((-1)^{j+1} \); only \( Y_{jm}^{(e)} \) has this parity. The wave functions of a photon having a given angular momentum \( j \), component thereof \( m \), and energy \( \omega \), are therefore

\[
A_{\omega jm}(k) = \frac{4\pi^2}{\omega^{3/2}} \delta(\lvert k \rvert - \omega) Y_{jm}(n),
\]

(7.6)

where \( Y_{jm} \) must be taken as \( Y_{jm}^{(e)} \) and \( Y_{jm}^{(m)} \) for electric and magnetic photons respectively. The given value of the energy is taken into account by the factor \( \delta(\lvert k \rvert - \omega) \).

The functions (7.6) are normalised by the condition

\[
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \omega \omega' A_{\omega' jm}^*(k) A_{\omega jm}(k) d^3 k = \omega \delta(\omega' - \omega) \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'}.
\]

(7.7)

For wave functions of the coordinate representation, the condition (7.7) is equivalent to the condition†

\[
\frac{1}{4\pi} \int \{ E_{\omega' jm}^*(r) E_{\omega jm}(r) + H_{\omega' jm}^*(r) H_{\omega jm}(r) \} d^3 x = \omega \delta(\omega' - \omega) \delta_{jj'} \delta_{mm'};
\]

(7.8)

the integral on the left, when written in terms of the potentials, is

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi} \int A_{\omega' jm}^*(r) A_{\omega jm}(r) \omega' \omega \ d^3 x,
\]

and with

\[
A_{\omega jm}(r) = \int A_{\omega jm}(k) e^{ik \cdot r} \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3},
\]

(7.9)

\[
A_{\omega' jm}^*(r) = \int A_{\omega' jm}^*(k') e^{-ik' \cdot r} \frac{d^3 k'}{(2\pi)^3},
\]

the integral over \( d^3 x \) gives the delta function \((2\pi)^3 \delta(k' - k)\). This is eliminated by integrating over \( d^3 k \), and the integral reduces to (7.7).

So far, we have assumed that the potentials are in the transverse gauge, for which the scalar potential \( \Phi = 0 \). In certain applications, however, other gauges of the spherical wave may be more convenient.

The transformation of the potentials that can be conducted in the momentum representation is

\[
A \rightarrow A + n f(k), \quad \Phi \rightarrow \Phi + f(k),
\]

where \( f(k) \) is an arbitrary function. In the present case we shall choose it so that the new potentials are expressed in terms of the same spherical harmonics and again have a definite parity. For an electric photon, these conditions limit the choice of potentials to the following:

\[
A_{\omega jm}^{(e)}(k) = \frac{4\pi^2}{\omega^{3/2}} \delta(\lvert k \rvert - \omega) (Y_{jm}^{(e)} + C n Y_{jm}),
\]

(7.10)

\[
\Phi_{\omega jm}^{(e)}(k) = \frac{4\pi^2}{\omega^{3/2}} \delta(\lvert k \rvert - \omega) C Y_{jm},
\]

where \( C \) is an arbitrary constant. For a magnetic photon, this addition to \( A_{\omega jm}^{(m)}(k) \) would

† This condition is of the same type as (2.22). The factor \( \delta(\omega' - \omega) \) on the right-hand side appears because we are now considering a field (spherical wave) throughout infinite space instead of in the finite volume \( V = 1 \).
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leave it without a definite parity, and (7.6) is therefore the only possible choice under these conditions.

The probability that a photon having a definite angular momentum and parity will be recorded as moving in a direction \(\mathbf{n}\) which lies in the solid-angle element \(d\sigma\) is, according to (3.5) and (7.6),

\[
w(\mathbf{n}) d\sigma = |Y_{j m}^{(e)}(\mathbf{n})|^2 d\sigma.
\]

(7.11)

This is the expression for an \(E\) photon, but, since \(|Y_{j m}^{(m)}|^2 = |Y_{j m}^{(e)}|^2\), the probability distribution \(w(\mathbf{n})\) is the same for both types of photon.

The squared modulus \(|Y_{j m}^{(e)}|^2\) is independent of the azimuthal angle \(\phi\), since the factors \(e^{\pm im\phi}\) in the spherical harmonic functions cancel. The probability distribution \(w(\mathbf{n})\) is therefore symmetrical about the \(z\)-axis. Moreover, since each of the spherical harmonic vectors has a definite parity, their squared moduli are unaffected by inversion, i.e. by the change of polar angle \(\theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta\); this means that the expansion of the function \(w(\theta)\) in Legendre polynomials will contain only those of even order. The determination of the expansion coefficients is equivalent to a calculation of the integrals of products of three spherical harmonic functions, followed by summation over components. These processes are effected by means of the formulae derived in QM, §§107, 108, and the result is

\[
w(\theta) = (-1)^{j+1} \frac{(2j+1)^{3/2}}{4\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (4n+1) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & 2n \\ 0 & 0 & 2n \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} j & 1 \\ j & 2n \end{array} \right) P_{2n}(\cos \theta).
\]

(7.12)

Finally, we shall give the expressions for the components of the spherical harmonic vectors as expansions in terms of spherical harmonic functions. To do so, we shall use the "spherical components" of a vector, defined as in QM, §107. These components \(f_\lambda\) of a vector \(f\) are

\[
f_0 = f_x, \quad f_{+1} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (f_x + if_y), \quad f_{-1} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (f_x - if_y).
\]

(7.13)

In terms of the "spherical unit vectors",

\[
e^{(0)} = ie^{(2)}, \quad e^{(+1)} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{(x)} + ie^{(y)}), \quad e^{(-1)} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{(x)} - ie^{(y)}),
\]

(7.14)

where \(e^{(x,y,z)}\) are unit vectors in the direction of \(x, y\) and \(z\), we have

\[
\sum_\lambda (-1)^{-j} f_{-\lambda} e^{(\lambda)} = f, \quad f_{\lambda} = (-1)^{-(j-\lambda)} f^* e^{(-\lambda)*}.
\]

(7.15)

The spherical components of the spherical harmonic vectors are expressed in terms of \(3j\)-symbols and spherical harmonic functions as follows:

\[
(-1)^{j+m+\lambda+1} (Y_{j m}^{(e)})_{\lambda} = -\sqrt{j} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j+1 & 1 & -j \\ m+\lambda & -\lambda & -m \end{array} \right) Y_{j+1,m+\lambda+1}
\]

\[
+ \sqrt{(j+1)} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j-1 & 1 & j \\ m+\lambda & -\lambda & -m \end{array} \right) Y_{j-1,m+\lambda+1}
\]

\[
(-1)^{j+m+\lambda+1} (Y_{j m}^{(m)})_{\lambda} = -\sqrt{(2j+1)} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & 1 & j \\ m+\lambda & -\lambda & -m \end{array} \right) Y_{j,m+\lambda+1}
\]

\[
(-1)^{j+m+\lambda+1} (Y_{j m}^{(l)})_{\lambda} = \sqrt{(j+1)} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j+1 & 1 & j \\ m+\lambda & -\lambda & -m \end{array} \right) Y_{j+1,m+\lambda+1}
\]

\[
+ \sqrt{j} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j-1 & 1 & j \\ m+\lambda & -\lambda & -m \end{array} \right) Y_{j-1,m+\lambda+1}
\]

(7.16)
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These formulae are derived in the following way. Each of the three spherical harmonic vectors is of the form \( Y_{jm} = a Y_{jm} \), where \( a \) is one of the three vectors (7.3). Hence

\[
Y_{jm} = \sum_{i,m} \langle lm'|a|jm \rangle Y_{lm'}
\]

and the problem is equivalent to that of finding the matrix elements of the vector \( a \) with respect to the eigenfunctions of the orbital angular momentum. According to QM, (107.6), we have

\[
\langle lm'|a|jm \rangle = i(-1)^{l_{\text{max}}-m'} \begin{pmatrix} l & 1 & j \\ -m' & 1 & m \end{pmatrix} \langle l|a|j \rangle,
\]

where \( l_{\text{max}} \) is the larger of \( l \) and \( j \). It is therefore sufficient to know the non-zero reduced matrix elements \( \langle l|a|j \rangle \). These are given by the formulae

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle l|n|l \rangle &= \langle l|n|l-1 \rangle^* = i\sqrt{l}, \\
\langle l|n \times a|l \rangle &= i(l-1)\sqrt{l}, \\
\langle l-1|n \times a|l \rangle &= i(l+1)\sqrt{l}, \\
\langle l|n \times n \times a|l \rangle &= i\sqrt{l(l+1)(2l+1)}. 
\end{align*}
\] (7.17)

§8. The polarisation of the photon

The polarisation vector \( e \) acts for the photon as the "spin part" of the wave function (with the limitations stated in §6 in connection with the concept of photon spin).

The various cases which can occur with regard to the polarisation of the photon are identical with the possible types of polarisation of a classical electromagnetic wave (see Fields, §48).

Any polarisation \( e \) can be represented as a superposition of two mutually orthogonal polarisations \( e^{(1)} \) and \( e^{(2)} (e^{(1)} \cdot e^{(2)*} = 0) \), chosen in some specified manner. In the resolution

\[
e = e_1 e^{(1)} + e_2 e^{(2)},
\] (8.1)

the squares of the moduli of the coefficients \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) determine the probabilities that the photon has polarisation \( e^{(1)} \) and \( e^{(2)} \) respectively.

These polarisations may be taken to be two mutually perpendicular linear polarisations. We can also resolve any polarisation into two circular polarisations having opposite directions of rotation. The vectors of the right-hand and left-hand circular polarisations will be denoted by \( e^{(+)} \) and \( e^{(-)} \) respectively; in coordinates \( \xi, \eta, \zeta \), with the \( \zeta \)-axis in the direction of the photon \( n = k/\omega \),

\[
e^{(+)} = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{(0)} + ie^{(0)}), \quad e^{(-)} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{(0)} - ie^{(0)}).
\] (8.2)

The possibility that the photon has two different polarisations (for a given momentum) is equivalent to the statement that each eigenvalue of the momentum is doubly degenerate. This property is closely related to the fact that the mass of the photon is zero.

A freely moving particle with non-zero mass always has a rest frame. The intrinsic symmetry properties of the particle, as such, will evidently appear in this particular frame of reference. Symmetry with respect to all possible rotations about the centre (i.e. with respect to the entire spherical symmetry group) must be considered. The property which describes the
symmetry of the particle with respect to this group is its spin $s$; this determines the degree of degeneracy, the number of different wave functions which are transformed into linear combinations of one another being $2s+1$. In particular, a particle having a vector (three-component) wave function has spin 1.

If the mass of the particle is zero, however, there is no rest frame, since it moves with the velocity of light in every frame of reference. For such a particle, there is always a distinctive direction of space, the direction of the momentum vector $k$ (the $\zeta$-axis). In such a case there is clearly no symmetry with respect to the whole group of rotations in three dimensions, but only axial symmetry about the preferred axis.

When there is axial symmetry, only the helicity of the particle is conserved, i.e. the component of its angular momentum along the $\zeta$-axis, which we denote by $\lambda$.† If we also impose the condition of symmetry under reflections in planes passing through the $\zeta$-axis, the states differing in the sign of $\lambda$ will be mutually degenerate, and when $\lambda \neq 0$ there is therefore twofold degeneracy.‡ The state of a photon having a definite momentum in fact corresponds to one type of these doubly degenerate states. It is described by a "spin" wave function which is a vector $e$ in the $\xi\eta$-plane; the two components of this vector are transformed into combinations of each other by any rotation about the $\zeta$-axis and by any reflection in a plane passing through that axis.

The various cases of the polarisation of the photon are in a certain relationship to the possible values of its helicity. The relationship can be deduced from the formulae in \textit{QM} (58.3), which connect the components of a vector wave function with those of the equivalent spinor of rank two.§ Vectors $e$ with only the component $e_{\xi}$ or $e_{\eta}$, non-zero correspond to the components $\lambda = +1$ or $-1$ respectively; these are $e = e^{(\pm 1)}$ and $e = e^{-1})$. In other words, the values $\lambda = +1$ and $-1$ correspond to right-hand and left-hand circular polarisation of the photon. In §16 the same result will be derived by direct calculation of the eigenfunctions of the spin component operator.

Thus the component of the photon angular momentum along the direction of its motion can have only the two values $\pm 1$; the value zero is not possible.

A state of the photon having a definite momentum and polarisation is a pure state, in the sense defined in \textit{QM}, §14; it is described by a wave function, and corresponds to a complete quantum-mechanical description of the state of the particle (the photon). "Mixed" photon states are also possible, which correspond to a less complete description by a density matrix only, not a wave function.

Let us consider a state of the photon which is mixed as regards its polarisation, but corresponds to a definite value of the momentum $k$. In such a state (called a state of partial polarisation), a "coordinate" wave function exists.¶

The polarisation density matrix of the photon is a tensor $\rho_{ab}$ of rank two, in a plane perpendicular to the vector $n$ (the $\xi\eta$-plane; the suffixes $\alpha$, $\beta$ take only two values). This tensor is Hermitian:

$$\rho_{ab} = \rho^{*}_{ba}, \quad (8.3)$$

† This is to be distinguished from $m$, the component of the angular momentum in a specified direction in space (the $z$-axis), which was used in §7.
‡ This is the method of classifying the electron terms of the diatomic molecule (\textit{QM}, §78).
§ It is the contravariant spinor components that correspond to the components of the wave function as the probability amplitudes of various values of the angular momentum of the particle (which are here considered).
¶ A similar matrix for an electron in non-relativistic theory has been discussed in \textit{QM}, §59.
\[ \rho_{ab} \equiv \rho_{11} + \rho_{22} = 1. \]  

(8.4)

From (8.3), the diagonal components \( \rho_{11} \) and \( \rho_{22} \) are real, and either is given in terms of the other by (8.4). The component \( \rho_{12} \) is complex, and \( \rho_{21} = \rho_{12}^{*} \). The density matrix therefore involves three real parameters.

If the polarisation density matrix is known, we can find the probability that the photon has any given polarisation \( e \). This probability is determined by the “projection” of the tensor \( \rho_{ab} \) on the direction of the vector \( e \), i.e. by the quantity

\[ \rho_{ab} e_{a} e_{b}. \]  

(8.5)

For example, the components \( \rho_{11} \) and \( \rho_{22} \) are the probabilities of linear polarisations along the \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) axes. The probability of the two circular polarisations is given by taking the projections along the vectors (8.2):

\[ \frac{1}{2} [1 + i(\rho_{12} - \rho_{21})]. \]  

(8.6)

The properties of the tensor \( \rho_{ab} \) are essentially the same as those of the tensor \( J_{ab} \) which describes partially polarised light in the classical theory (see Fields, §50). Some of these properties are the following.

For a pure state with a definite polarisation \( e \), the tensor \( \rho_{ab} \) reduces to products of components of the vector \( e \):

\[ \rho_{ab} = e_{a} e_{b}^{*}, \]  

(8.7)

and the determinant \( |\rho_{ab}| = 0 \). In the opposite case of an unpolarised photon, all directions of polarisation are equally probable, i.e.

\[ \rho_{ab} = \frac{1}{4} \delta_{ab}, \]  

(8.8)

and \( |\rho_{ab}| = \frac{1}{4} \).

In the general case, it is convenient to describe the partial polarisation by means of three real Stokes parameters \( \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}, \xi_{3} \),† in terms of which the density matrix can be written

\[ \rho_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \xi_{3} & \xi_{1} - i \xi_{2} \\ \xi_{1} + i \xi_{2} & 1 - \xi_{3} \end{pmatrix}. \]  

(8.9)

All three parameters take values between \(-1\) and \(+1\). In the unpolarised state, \( \xi_{1} = \xi_{2} = \xi_{3} = 0 \); for a completely polarised photon, \( \xi_{1}^{2} + \xi_{2}^{2} + \xi_{3}^{2} = 1 \).

The parameter \( \xi_{3} \) describes the linear polarisation along the \( \xi \) or \( \eta \) axis; the probability that the photon is linearly polarised along these axes is respectively \( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \xi_{3}) \) and \( \frac{1}{2} (1 - \xi_{3}) \). The values \( \xi_{3} = +1 \) and \(-1\) therefore correspond to complete polarisation in these directions.

The parameter \( \xi_{1} \) describes the linear polarisation along directions at angles \( \phi = \pm \frac{\pi}{4} \) to the \( \xi \)-axis. The probability that the photon is linearly polarised along these directions is respectively \( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \xi_{1}) \) and \( \frac{1}{2} (1 - \xi_{1}) \). This is easily shown by projecting the tensor \( \rho_{ab} \) on the directions \( e = (1, \pm 1)/\sqrt{2} \).

Finally, the parameter \( \xi_{2} \) represents the degree of circular polarisation: according to (8.6), the probability that the photon has right-hand or left-hand circular polarisation is respectively \( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \xi_{2}) \) and \( \frac{1}{2} (1 - \xi_{2}) \). Since these two polarisations correspond to helicities

† These are not to be confused with the \( \xi \)-axis.
\( \lambda = \pm 1 \), it is clear that \( \xi_2 \) is the mean value of the helicity of the photon. Moreover, for a pure state with polarisation \( \mathbf{e} \),

\[
\xi_2 = i\mathbf{e} \times \mathbf{e}^* \cdot \mathbf{n}.
\]

(8.10)

The quantities \( \xi_2 \) and \( \sqrt{(\xi_1^2 + \xi_3^2)} \) are invariant under Lorentz transformations (see Fields, §50).

We shall later encounter the problem of the behaviour of the Stokes parameters under the operation of time reversal. It is easily seen that they are invariant. This property is evidently independent of the state of polarisation, and therefore need be proved only for a pure state. In quantum mechanics, time reversal corresponds to replacing the wave function by its complex conjugate (QM, §18). For a plane-polarised wave, this implies the changes\(^\dagger\)

\[
k \rightarrow -k, \quad \mathbf{e} \rightarrow -\mathbf{e}^*.
\]

(8.11)

Under this transformation, the symmetrical part

\[
\frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} + \epsilon_{\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta})
\]

of the density matrix is unchanged, and therefore so are \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_3 \). The fact that \( \xi_2 \) is unchanged by this transformation is seen from (8.10), and is also evident from the fact that \( \xi_2 \) is the mean value of the helicity: the helicity is the component of the angular momentum \( \mathbf{j} \) in the direction of \( \mathbf{n} \), i.e. the product \( \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{n} \), and both these vectors change sign under time reversal.

In later calculations, we shall need the photon density matrix written in four-dimensional form, i.e. as a certain 4-tensor \( \rho_{\mu\nu} \). For a polarised photon described by the 4-vector \( e_{\mu} \), this tensor can naturally be defined as

\[
\rho_{\mu\nu} = e_{\mu} e_{\nu}^*.
\]

(8.12)

In the three-dimensionally transverse gauge, \( e = (0, \mathbf{e}) \), and if one of the spatial coordinate axes is taken to be along \( \mathbf{n} \) the non-zero components of this 4-tensor are the same as (8.7).

For an unpolarised photon the three-dimensionally transverse gauge corresponds to a tensor \( \rho_{\mu\nu} \), having components

\[
\rho_{ik} = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ik} - n_i n_k), \quad \rho_{0i} = \rho_{i0} = \rho_{00} = 0;
\]

(8.13)

if one of the axes is in the direction of \( \mathbf{n} \), the result is again (8.8). It would, however, be inconvenient to use the tensor \( \rho_{\mu\nu} \) in this three-dimensional form. But a gauge transformation can be applied, which for the density matrix is

\[
\rho_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \rho_{\mu\nu} + \chi_\mu^i k_i + \chi_i k_\mu,
\]

(8.14)

where the \( \chi_\mu \) are arbitrary functions. Putting

\[
\chi_0 = -1/4\omega, \quad \chi_i = k_i/4k^2,
\]

we obtain instead of (8.13) the simple four-dimensional expression

\[
\rho_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}.
\]

(8.15)

The four-dimensional form of the density matrix for a partly polarised photon is easily

\( \dagger \) The change in the sign of \( \mathbf{e} \) is necessary because time reversal changes the sign of the vector potential of the electromagnetic field. The scalar potential, however, does not change sign, and the effect of time reversal on the 4-vector \( \mathbf{e} \) is therefore as follows:

\[
(\mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{e}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{e}_0^*, -\mathbf{e}^*).
\]

(8.11a)
The polarisation of the photon

found by first writing the two-dimensional tensor (8.9) in three-dimensional form:

\[
\rho_{ik} = \frac{1}{2}(e_i^{(1)}e_k^{(1)} + e_i^{(2)}e_k^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{2}\xi_1(e_i^{(1)}e_k^{(2)} + e_i^{(2)}e_k^{(1)}) - \frac{1}{4}\xi_2(e_i^{(1)}e_k^{(2)} - e_i^{(2)}e_k^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{2}\xi_3(e_i^{(1)}e_k^{(1)} - e_i^{(2)}e_k^{(2)}),
\]

where \(e^{(1)}\) and \(e^{(2)}\) are unit vectors along the \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) axes. The required generalisation is obtained on replacing these 3-vectors by real space-like unit 4-vectors \(e^{(1)}, e^{(2)}\) which are orthogonal to each other and to the photon 4-momentum \(k\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho^{(1)2} &= \rho^{(2)2} = -1, \\
\rho^{(1)1} &= 0, \\
\rho^{(1)k} &= \rho^{(2)k} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]  

(8.16)

In one particular frame of reference, \(e^{(1)} = (0, e^{(1)})\) and \(e^{(2)} = (0, e^{(2)})\). Thus the four-dimensional density matrix of the photon is

\[
\rho_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(e_\mu^{(1)}e_\nu^{(1)} + e_\mu^{(2)}e_\nu^{(2)}) + \frac{1}{2}\xi_1(e_\mu^{(1)}e_\nu^{(2)} + e_\mu^{(2)}e_\nu^{(1)}) - \frac{1}{4}\xi_2(e_\mu^{(1)}e_\nu^{(2)} - e_\mu^{(2)}e_\nu^{(1)}) + \frac{1}{2}\xi_3(e_\mu^{(1)}e_\nu^{(1)} - e_\mu^{(2)}e_\nu^{(2)}). 
\]  

(8.17)

The convenience of any specific choice of the 4-vectors \(e^{(1)}, e^{(2)}\) depends on the conditions of the problem concerned.

It must be noted that the conditions (8.16) do not uniquely define the choice of \(e^{(1)}\) and \(e^{(2)}\). If a 4-vector \(e_\mu\) satisfies these conditions, then so does any 4-vector \(e_\mu + \chi k_\mu\), since \(k^2 = 0\). This non-uniqueness occurs because the density matrix is not invariant under gauge transformations.

The first term in (8.17) corresponds to the unpolarised state. According to (8.15), it can therefore be replaced by \(-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\). This change is again equivalent to a certain gauge transformation.

The following formal device is useful in calculations with 4-tensors of the form (8.17) expressed in terms of two independent 4-vectors. We write the tensor (8.17) in the form

\[
\rho_{\mu\nu} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{3} \rho^{(ab)} e_\mu^{(a)} e_\nu^{(b)},
\]

and the coefficients \(\rho^{(ab)}\) as a two-rowed matrix:

\[
\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho^{(11)} & \rho^{(12)} \\ \rho^{(21)} & \rho^{(22)} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

This, like any two-rowed Hermitian matrix, can be written in terms of four independent two-rowed matrices: the Pauli matrices \(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z\) and the unit matrix \(1\). The result is

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \xi \cdot \sigma), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3),
\]

(8.18)

as is easily seen by direct comparison with (8.17), using the expressions (18.5) for the Pauli matrices. The combination of the three quantities \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\) into a “vector” \(\xi\) is, of course, purely formal and is done only for convenience of notation.

PROBLEM

Write the photon density matrix for the case where the coordinate “axes” are the circular unit vectors (8.2).

SOLUTION. The components \(\rho_{a\beta}\) of the tensor relative to the new axes \((a, \beta = \pm 1)\) are obtained by projecting the tensor (8.9) on the unit vectors (8.2):

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho_{11} = \rho_{\alpha\alpha} e_\alpha^{(a)} e_\beta^{(\alpha)}, & \quad \rho_{21} = \rho_{\alpha\beta} e_\alpha^{(a)} e_\beta^{(-1)}; \\
\rho_{1\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \xi_a - \xi_\alpha + \xi_{\alpha} \xi_a \right), & \quad \rho_{2\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \xi_a - \xi_{\alpha} - \xi_\alpha \xi_a \right).
\end{align*}
\]
§9. A two-photon system

By arguments similar to those in §6, we can calculate the number of possible states in a more complicated case, that of a system of two photons (L. Landau, 1948).

We shall consider the photons in their centre-of-mass system; their momenta are $k_1 = -k_2 \equiv k$. The wave function of the two-photon system (in the momentum representation) can be written as a three-dimensional tensor of rank two $A_{ik}(n)$, formed by a bilinear combination of the vector wave functions of the two photons; each of the suffixes of this tensor corresponds to one of the photons ($n$ being a unit vector in the direction of $k$). The transversality of each photon is expressed by the orthogonality of the tensor $A_{ik}$ to the vector $n$:

$$A_{ik} n_l = 0, \quad A_{ik} n_l = 0. \tag{9.1}$$

An interchange of the photons corresponds to an interchange of the suffixes of the tensor $A_{ik}$ and a simultaneous change in the sign of $n$. Since photons obey Bose statistics, we have

$$A_{ik}(-n) = A_{ik}(n). \tag{9.2}$$

The tensor $A_{ik}$ is not in general symmetrical with respect to its suffixes. It can be resolved into symmetric and antisymmetric parts: $A_{ik} = s_{ik} + a_{ik}$. The equation (9.2), and the orthogonality conditions (9.1), must evidently apply to each part separately. Hence we have

$$s_{ik}(-n) = s_{ik}(n), \tag{9.3}$$
$$a_{ik}(-n) = -a_{ik}(n). \tag{9.4}$$

Inversion of the coordinates does not affect the sign of the components of a tensor of rank two, but changes the sign of $n$. From (9.3), therefore, the wave function $s_{ik}$ is symmetrical under inversion, i.e. it corresponds to even states of the two-photon system, while the wave function $a_{ik}$ corresponds to odd states.

An antisymmetric tensor of rank two is equivalent (dual) to a certain axial vector $a$, whose components are given in terms of those of the tensor by

$$a_i = \frac{1}{2} e_{ikl} a_{kl},$$

$e_{ikl}$ being the antisymmetric unit tensor; see Fields, §6. The orthogonality of the tensor $a_{ik}$ and the vector $n$ implies that the vectors $a$ and $n$ are parallel.‡ We can therefore write $a = n\phi(n)$, where $\phi$ is a scalar; according to (9.4), we must have $a(-n) = -a(n)$, and therefore

$$\phi(-n) = \phi(n).$$

This equation signifies that the scalar $\phi$ can be formed linearly only from spherical harmonic functions of even order $L$ (including order zero).

We see that the transformation properties of the antisymmetric tensor $a_{ik}$ under rotations are equivalent to those of a single scalar (cf. the second footnote to §6). When the latter is assigned a “spin” zero, the angular momentum of the state is found to be $J = L$. Thus the tensor $a_{ik}$ corresponds to odd states of a photon system with even angular momentum $J$.

Let us now consider the symmetric tensor $s_{ik}$. Since this is unaltered when $n$ changes

‡ This frame of reference always exists except in the case of two photons moving in the same direction. The total momentum $k_1 + k_2$ and the total energy $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ of such photons are related in the same way as those of a single photon, and there is therefore no frame of reference in which $k_1 + k_2 = 0$.

‡ For $a_{ik} = e_{ikl} a_l$, and the orthogonality condition gives $a_{ik} n_k = e_{ikl} a_l n_k = (n \times a)_l = 0$. 
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sign, it corresponds to even states of the photon system. Hence all the components $s_{ik}$ can be expressed in terms of spherical harmonic functions of even order $L$ (including zero). It is well known that any symmetric tensor $s_{ik}$ of rank two can be expressed as the sum of a scalar $s_{ii}$ and a symmetric tensor $s'_{ik}$ with zero trace ($s'_{ii} = 0$).

The scalar $s_{ii}$ can be assigned a "spin" zero, and the angular momentum of the corresponding states is therefore $J = L$, i.e. is even. The tensor $s'_{ik}$ has "spin" two (see QM, §58). Adding this "spin" to the even "orbital angular momentum" $L$ by the law of addition of angular momenta, we find that for a given even $J \neq 0$ three states are possible (with $L = J \pm 2, J$), and for odd $J \neq 1$ two states (with $L = J \pm 1$). The exceptions are $J = 0$ with one state ($L = 2$) and $J = 1$ with one state ($L = 2$).

In these calculations, however, we have not yet included the condition that the tensor $s_{ik}$ is orthogonal to the vector $n$. We must therefore subtract, from the numbers of states found above, the numbers of states corresponding to a symmetric tensor of rank 2 "parallel" to the vector $n$. Such a tensor, which we denote by $s''_{ik}$, can be written as

$$s''_{ik} = n_i b_k + n_k b_i,$$

where $b$ is a certain vector. According to (9.3), this vector must be such that $b(-n) = -b(n)$. Thus the tensor $s''_{ik}$ which gives the "unwanted" states is equivalent to an odd vector. The latter must be expressible in terms only of spherical harmonics of odd order $L$. Moreover, the vector has a "spin" one, and therefore, for any even angular momentum $J \neq 0$, two states are possible (with $L = J \pm 1$), and for any odd $J$ one state (with $L = J$); an exception is $J = 0$ with one state ($L = 1$).

Summarising the results obtained, we obtain the following table giving the numbers of possible even and odd states of a two-photon system (with zero total momentum) for various values of the total angular momentum $J$:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$J$</th>
<th>even</th>
<th>odd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2k$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2k+1$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(9.5)

where $k$ is any positive integer (not zero). We see that for odd $J$ there are no odd states, and the value $J = 1$ cannot occur.

The wave function $A_{ik}$ of the two-photon system determines the correlation between the polarisations of the photons. The probability that both photons simultaneously have definite polarisations $e_1$ and $e_2$ is proportional to

$$A_{ik} e_{11}^* e_{2k}^*.$$

Thus, if the polarisation $e_1$ of one photon is given, the polarisation $e_2$ of the other is

$$e_{2k} \sim A_{ik} e_{11}^*.$$

(9.6)

In odd states of the system, $A_{ik}$ is equal to the antisymmetric tensor $a_{ik}$, and

$$e_2 \cdot e_1^* \sim a_{ik} e_{11}^* e_{1k} = 0,$$

so that the polarisations of the two photons are orthogonal. For linear polarisation this means that the directions of polarisation are perpendicular; for circular polarisation, that the directions of rotation are opposite.
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An even state with $J = 0$ corresponds to a symmetric tensor which reduces to a scalar,

$$s_{ik} = \text{constant} \times (\delta_{ik} - n_i n_k).$$

From (9.6), therefore, we have $e_1 = e^*_2$. For linear polarisation this means that the directions of polarisation are parallel; for circular polarisation, that the directions of rotation are again opposite. The latter result is obvious, since when $J = 0$ the sum of the components of the photon angular momenta in the same direction $k$ must always be zero, because the components in opposite directions $k_1$ and $k_2$, i.e. the helicities, are equal.
CHAPTER II

BOSONS

§10. The wave equation for particles with spin zero

It has been shown in Chapter I how a quantum description of the free electromagnetic field can be constructed on the basis of the known properties of the field in the classical limit and the concepts of ordinary quantum mechanics. The resulting scheme for describing the field as a system of photons contains many features which occur also in the relativistic quantum theory description of particles.

The electromagnetic field is a system having an infinite number of degrees of freedom. For this system there is no law of conservation of number of particles (photons), and its possible states include states with an arbitrary number of particles.† In the relativistic theory, systems composed of any particles must in general share this property. The conservation of number of particles in the non-relativistic theory depends on the law of conservation of mass: the sum of the (rest) masses of the particles is unaffected by their interactions, and the constancy of the total mass in a system of electrons, say, implies that the number of electrons is also unchanged. In relativistic mechanics, however, there is no law of conservation of mass; only the total energy of the system is conserved, which includes the rest energy of the particles. The number of particles therefore need not be conserved, and consequently every relativistic theory of particles must be a theory of systems having an infinite number of degrees of freedom. That is to say, any such theory of particles must be a field theory.

The second quantisation formalism (QM, §§64, 65) is a satisfactory means of describing systems with a variable number of particles. In the quantum description of the electromagnetic field, the second quantisation operator is the 4-potential A. This is expressed in terms of the (co-ordinate) wave functions of the individual particles (photons) and their creation and annihilation operators. The quantised wave function operator $\Psi$ has a similar role in the description of a system of particles. To derive this operator, we must first know the form of the wave function of a single free particle and the equation satisfied by this function.

The concept of a field of free particles is, it must be emphasised, only an aid to the theory. Actual particles interact, and the task of the theory is to consider these interactions. But any interaction is equivalent to a collision, before and after which the system may be regarded as an ensemble of free particles. It has been remarked in §1 that the only

† In reality, of course, the number of photons changes only as a result of various interaction processes.
measurable objects are of this kind. We therefore use the fields of free particles as a means of describing the initial and final states.

Let us first consider the relativistic description of free particles having spin zero. This case is mathematically simple, and illustrates most clearly the basic ideas and typical features of the description.

The state of a free particle (with spin zero) can be completely defined by specifying its momentum $\mathbf{p}$ only. The energy $\varepsilon$ of the particle† is given by $\varepsilon^2 = p^2 + m^2$ (where $m$ is the mass of the particle) or, in four-dimensional form,

$$p^2 = m^2. \quad (10.1)$$

The laws of conservation of momentum and energy are well known to be related to the homogeneity of space and time, i.e. to the symmetry with respect to any parallel displacement of the 4-coordinate system. In the quantum description, this requirement of symmetry means that, under such a transformation of the 4-coordinates, the wave function of a particle having a given 4-momentum must be multiplied by a phase factor (of unit modulus). This can be true only for an exponential function, with the exponent linear in the 4-coordinates. Thus the wave function of the state of a free particle with a given 4-momentum $p^\mu = (\varepsilon, \mathbf{p})$ must be a plane wave:

$$\text{constant} \times e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}, \quad px = \varepsilon t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}; \quad (10.2)$$

the choice of sign of the exponent in the relativistic theory itself is arbitrary, and is here made in accordance with the non-relativistic case.

The wave equation must have the functions (10.2) as particular solutions for any 4-vector $\mathbf{p}$ which satisfies the condition (10.1). It must be linear, on account of the principle of superposition: any linear combination of the functions (10.2) also describes a possible state of the particle, and must therefore also be a solution. Finally, the equation must be of the lowest possible order; any higher order would bring in redundant solutions.

The spin is the angular momentum of the particle in a frame of reference in which the particle is at rest. If the spin of the particle is $s$, its wave function in the rest frame is a three-dimensional spinor of rank $2s$. To describe the particle in an arbitrary frame of reference, its wave function must be expressed in terms of four-dimensional quantities.

A particle with spin zero is described in the rest frame by a three-dimensional scalar. This scalar, however, can have more than one four-dimensional "origin": either a four-dimensional scalar $\psi$, or as the fourth component of a (time-like) 4-vector $\psi^\mu$ of which only the component $\psi_0$ is non-zero in the rest frame.‡

For a free particle, the only operator that can appear in the wave equation is the 4-momentum operator $\mathbf{p}$. Its components are the operators of differentiation with respect to coordinates and time:

$$p^\mu = i\partial^\mu = \left(i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - i \mathbf{\nabla}\right). \quad (10.3)$$

The wave equation must be a differential relationship between the quantities $\psi$ and $\psi^\mu$ through the operator $\mathbf{p}$. This relationship must, of course, be given by relativistically

† We denote the energy of a single particle by $\varepsilon$, to distinguish it from the energy $E$ of a system of particles.

‡ Or, similarly, as the time component of a 4-tensor of higher order; but this would lead to higher-order equations.
invariant expressions. Such expressions are

$$m\psi_\mu = p_\mu \psi, \quad p^\mu \psi_\mu = m\psi,$$  
(10.4)

where \(m\) is a dimensional constant characteristic of the particle.†

Substituting \(\psi_\mu\) from the first equation (10.4) in the second equation, we obtain

$$(p^2 - m^2)\psi = 0$$  
(10.5)

(O. Klein, and V. A. Fock, 1926). The explicit form of this equation is

$$-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu \psi = \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \triangle \right) \psi = m^2 \psi.$$  
(10.6)

Substitution of \(\psi\) as the plane wave (10.2) gives \(p^2 = m^2\), from which it is evident that \(m\)

is the mass of the particle. We may note that the form of equation (10.5) is in any case obvious \textit{a priori}, since \(p^2\) is the only scalar operator which can be derived from \(p\) (and, for the same reason, a similar equation is satisfied by every component of the wave function of a particle having any spin value, as will be seen on several occasions below).

Thus a particle with spin zero is essentially described by a single (four-dimensional) scalar \(\psi\), which satisfies the second-order equation (10.5). In the first-order equations (10.4), the wave function is represented by the set of quantities \(\psi\) and \(\psi_\mu\), the 4-vector \(\psi_\mu\) being the 4-gradient of the scalar \(\psi\). In the rest frame, the wave function of the particle is independent of the (space) coordinates, and the space components of the 4-vector \(\psi_\mu\) are therefore zero, as they should be.

In order to continue with the second quantisation procedure, it is useful to express the energy and momentum of the particle as the space integrals of certain combinations bilinear in \(\psi\) and \(\psi^*\), which represent a kind of space density of these quantities. We thus have to find an energy-momentum tensor \(T_{\mu\nu}\) which corresponds to equation (10.5). In terms of this tensor, the law of conservation of energy and momentum is expressed by the equation

$$\partial_\nu T^\nu_\mu = 0.$$  
(10.7)

Following the general procedure of field theory (see \textit{Fields}, §32), we write down a variational principle which would lead to equation (10.5). This principle must be that the “action integral”

$$S = \int L \, d^4x$$  
(10.8)

of some real 4-scalar \(L\), the Lagrangian density of the field,‡ should take a minimum value.

Using the scalar \(\psi\) (and the operator \(\partial_\mu\)), we can construct a real bilinear scalar expression of the form

$$L = \partial_\mu \psi^* \cdot \partial^\mu \psi - m^2 \psi^* \psi,$$  
(10.9)

where \(m\) is a dimensional constant. Regarding \(\psi\) and \(\psi^*\) as independent variables describing the field (“generalised field coordinates” \(q\)), we easily see that Lagrange’s equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_\mu} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}$$  
(10.10)

† The constants \(m\) are shown in (10.4) so that \(\psi_\mu\) and \(\psi\) shall have the same dimensions. There would be no point in using different constants \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) in the two equations, since they could always be made the same by redefining \(\psi\) or \(\psi_\mu\).

‡ The corresponding second-quantised operator \(L\) is called the \textit{Lagrangian} of the field. To simplify the terminology, we shall use this term for either the “quantised” or the “non-quantised” Lagrangian density, as convenient.
(where $q_{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} q$) is in fact the same as the equation (10.5) for $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$, $m$ being the mass of the particle. The sign of the expression (10.9) has been taken such that the square of the time derivative, $|\partial \psi/\partial t|^2$, appears in $L$ with a positive sign; otherwise, the action could not take a minimum value (cf. Fields, §27). The choice of the numerical factor in $L$ is arbitrary (and affects only the normalisation factor in $\psi$; see below).

The energy-momentum tensor can now be calculated from the formula

$$T_{\mu}^\nu = \sum q_{\mu} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{\nu}} - L \delta^\nu_\mu,$$

(10.11)

the summation being over all $q$. Substitution of (10.9) gives

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \psi^* \cdot \partial_{\nu} \psi + \partial_{\nu} \psi^* \cdot \partial_{\mu} \psi - L g_{\mu\nu},$$

(10.12)

these quantities are real (as they should be), since $L$ is real. In particular,

$$T_{00} = 2 \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - L$$

$$= \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \nabla \psi^* \cdot \nabla \psi + m^2 \psi^* \psi,$$

(10.13)

$$T_{i0} = \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x^i} + \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t}.$$  

(10.14)

The 4-momentum of the field is given by the integral

$$P_\mu = \int T_{\mu0} \, d^3x,$$

(10.15)

i.e. $T_{00}$ and $T_{0i}$ act as the energy and momentum densities. The quantity $T_{00}$ is essentially positive.

Formula (10.13) can be used for the normalisation of the wave function. A plane wave, normalised to "one particle in the volume $V = 1$", is

$$\psi_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} e^{-i p x},$$

(10.16)

since for this function $T_{00} = \epsilon$, and the total energy in the volume $V = 1$ is therefore equal to the energy of a single particle.

The angular momentum, whose conservation is due to the isotropy of space, can also be expressed as a space integral, but we shall not need this representation.

There is one further conservation law allowed by equations (10.4) in addition to those arising directly from space-time symmetry. It is easily seen that these equations and those for $\bar{\psi}$ lead to the equation

$$\partial_{\mu} j^\mu = 0,$$

(10.17)

where

$$j^\mu = m(\psi^* \bar{\psi} + \psi \bar{\psi}^*)$$

$$= i[\bar{\psi} \partial_{\mu} \psi - (\partial_{\mu} \psi^*) \psi].$$

(10.18)

Thus $j^\mu$ acts as a current density 4-vector, and (10.17) is the equation of continuity expressing
the law of conservation of the quantity

\[ Q = \int j_0 \, d^3x, \quad (10.19) \]

where

\[ j_0 = j^0 = i \left( \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \psi \right). \quad (10.20) \]

It should be noted that \( j_0 \) need not be positive. This shows that it cannot in general be interpreted as the probability density of spatial localisation of the particle. The significance of the conservation law expressed by equation (10.17) will be shown in §11.

§11. Particles and antiparticles

In accordance with the general procedure of the second quantisation method, we have to consider the expansion of an arbitrary wave function in terms of the eigenfunctions of a complete set of possible states of a free particle, for instance in plane waves \( \psi_p \):

\[ \psi = \sum_p a_p \psi_p, \quad \psi^* = \sum_p a_p^* \psi_p^*. \]

The coefficients \( a_p, a_p^* \) are then to be regarded as the annihilation and creation operators \( a_p, a_p^* \) of particles in the corresponding states.†

Here, however, we immediately encounter a difference of principle as compared with the non-relativistic theory. In a plane wave which is a solution of equation (10.5), the energy \( \varepsilon \) need satisfy (for a given momentum \( p \)) only the condition \( \varepsilon^2 = p^2 + m^2 \), i.e. it can have two values, \( \pm \sqrt{(p^2 + m^2)} \). Only positive values of \( \varepsilon \) can have the physical significance of the energy of a free particle. But the negative values cannot be simply omitted: the general solution of the wave equation can be obtained only by superposing all its independent particular solutions. This shows that the interpretation of the expansion coefficients \( \psi \) and \( \psi^* \) in the second quantisation method must be somewhat different.

We may write the expansion in the form

\[ \psi = \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} a_p^{(+)} e^{i(p \cdot r - \varepsilon t)} + \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} a_p^{(-)} e^{i(p \cdot r + \varepsilon t)}, \quad (11.1) \]

where the first sum contains plane waves with positive "frequency", normalised according to (10.16), and the second sum contains those with negative "frequency", \( \varepsilon \) always denoting the positive quantity \( +\sqrt{(p^2 + m^2)} \). In the second quantisation, the coefficients \( a_p^{(+)} \) in the first sum are replaced as usual by the particle annihilation operators \( a_p \). In the second sum, we note that, in the subsequent derivation of the matrix elements, the time dependence of the terms will correspond to particle creation, not annihilation: the factor \( e^{i\varepsilon t} = (e^{-i\varepsilon t})^* \) corresponds to one extra particle with energy \( \varepsilon \) in the final state (cf. the end of §2). Accordingly, the coefficients \( a_p^{(-)} \) are replaced by creation operators \( b_{-p} \) relating to other particles. If the summation variable \( p \) in the second sum in (11.1) is replaced by \( -p \) in

† The \( \psi \) function is given the 4-momentum \( p \) as suffix, since we intend to denote the functions with "negative frequency" by \( \psi_{-p} \). The operators \( a \) and \( a^* \) are given the three-dimensional momentum \( p \) as suffix, since this entirely defines the state of an actual particle.
order to put the exponential factor in the form $e^{-i(p \cdot r - \epsilon t)}$, the $\psi$-operators are obtained as

$$\psi = \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\epsilon)}} (a_p^+ e^{-ipx} + b_p^+ e^{ipx}),$$

$$\psi^+ = \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\epsilon)}} (a_p^+ e^{ipx} + b_p^+ e^{-ipx}).$$

(11.2)

Thus all the operators $a_p$, $b_p$ are multiplied by functions with the “correct” time dependence ($\sim e^{-i\epsilon t}$), while the operators $a_p^+$, $b_p^+$ are multiplied by the complex conjugate functions. This makes it possible to interpret the former operators, in accordance with the general rules, as annihilation operators for particles with momentum $p$ and energy $\epsilon$, and the latter as creation operators for these particles.

In this way we arrive at the conception of particles of two types which occur simultaneously and on an equal footing. These are called particles and antiparticles; the significance of the names will be shown later. One type corresponds to the operators $a_p^+$, $a_p^+$ in the second quantisation formalism, and the other type to $b_p$, $b_p^+$. The two types of particle have the same mass, since their operators appear in the same $\psi$-operator.

The reason for these results can also be examined from the point of view of the requirements of relativistic invariance.

The Lorentz transformations are, mathematically, rotations of the four-dimensional coordinate system which change the direction of the time axis; together with the purely spatial rotations which do not affect the time axis, they form the Lorentz group of transformations.† All the Lorentz transformations have the property that they leave the $t$ axis within the corresponding light cone, and this expresses the physical principle that there exists a maximum possible velocity of propagation of signals.

In a purely mathematical sense, the simultaneous change of sign of all four coordinates (four-dimensional inversion) is also a rotation, since the determinant of this transformation is $+1$, like that of any rotational transformation. The time axis is thereby carried from one light cone to the other. Although this means that such a transformation is physically impossible (as a transformation of the frame of reference), the only difference mathematically is that, because the metric is pseudo-Euclidean, such a rotation cannot be effected continuously without allowing also a complex transformation of the coordinates.

It is reasonable to suppose that this difference is unimportant in relation to four-dimensional invariance. Then any expression which is invariant under the Lorentz transformations must be invariant under 4-inversion also. A precise statement of this condition as applied to the scalar $\psi$-operator will be given in §13, but here it may be noted that the condition will certainly make necessary the simultaneous presence in the $\psi$-operators of terms having both signs of $\epsilon$ in the exponents, since this sign is changed by the substitution $t \rightarrow -t$.

Let us return now to equations (11.2) and derive the commutation relations between the operators $a_p$, $a_p^+$ (and $b_p$, $b_p^+$). For photons (the operators $c_p$, $c_p^+$), this was done on the basis of the analogy with oscillators, that is, essentially from the properties of the electromagnetic field in the classical limit. Here there is no such analogy. In deriving the (Bose or Fermi) commutation rules between the operators, we can be guided only by the form of the Hamiltonian constructed from these operators.

† The set of all three-dimensional (spatial) rotations is itself a group, which constitutes a subgroup of the Lorentz group. The set of the Lorentz transformations is not itself a group, since the result of successive Lorentz transformations may be a purely spatial rotation.
§11

Particles and antiparticles

This Hamiltonian is obtained (see QM, §64) by substituting $\psi$ and $\psi^*$ in place of $\psi$ and $\psi^*$ in the integral $\int T_{00} d^3 x$.† We then find

$$H = \sum_p \varepsilon (a_p^+ a_p + b_p^+ b_p).$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.3)

It is easily seen that a reasonable result is obtained for the eigenvalues of this Hamiltonian only if the operators satisfy the Bose commutation rules:

$$\{a_p, a_p^+\}_- = \{b_p, b_p^+\}_- = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.4)

(all other pairs of operators commute, including each particle operator $a_p, a_p^+$ with each antiparticle operator $b_p, b_p^+$). For, in this case,

$$H = \sum_p \varepsilon (a_p^+ a_p + b_p^+ b_p + 1).$$

The eigenvalues of the products $a_p^+ a_p$ and $b_p^+ b_p$ are positive integers $N_p$ and $\bar{N}_p$, the numbers of particles and antiparticles. The infinite additive constant $\sum \varepsilon$ (the "energy of the vacuum") may again be simply omitted:

$$E = \sum_p \varepsilon (N_p + \bar{N}_p);$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.5)

cf. formula (3.1) and the footnote to it. This expression is essentially positive, and corresponds to the idea of two types of actual particles. Similarly, we have for the total momentum of the system

$$P = \sum_p p (N_p + \bar{N}_p).$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.6)

If, instead of (11.4), we used the Fermi commutation rules (anticommutators instead of commutators), we should obtain

$$H = \sum_p \varepsilon (a_p^+ a_p - b_p^+ b_p + 1),$$

and instead of (11.5) the physically meaningless expression $\sum \varepsilon (N_p - \bar{N}_p)$, which is not positive–definite and hence cannot represent the energy of a system of free particles.

Particles with spin zero are therefore bosons.

Next, let us consider the integral $Q$ (10.19). Replacing the functions $\psi$ and $\psi^*$ in $\int^0$ by the operators $\psi$ and $\psi^*$, and carrying out the integration, we obtain

$$Q = \sum_p (a_p^+ a_p - b_p^+ b_p) = \sum_p (a_p^+ a_p - b_p^+ b_p) - 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.7)

The eigenvalues of this operator are (omitting the unimportant additive constant $\sum 1$)

$$Q = \sum_p (N_p - \bar{N}_p),$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.8)

and are therefore equal to the differences between the total numbers of particles and antiparticles.

So long as we are discussing free particles and ignoring any interaction between them, the law of conservation of the quantity $Q$ is, of course, largely conventional (like those of total energy (11.5) and total momentum (11.6)); what is actually conserved is not the sum $Q$ but the numbers $N_p, \bar{N}_p$ individually. The nature of the interaction decides whether the

† In the non-relativistic theory, the conjugate operator $\psi^*$ is by convention written to the left of $\psi$. Here, the order is of no importance, since the interchange of $\psi$ and $\psi^*$ would cause only the interchange of the equivalent operators $a_p$ and $b_p$. However, once a particular order has been selected, the same order must be used throughout.
quantity $Q$ is conserved. If $Q$ is conserved (i.e. if the operator $Q$ commutes with the Hamiltonian of the interaction), the formula (11.8) shows the limitation imposed by the conservation law on the possible variation of the number of particles: only "particle–antiparticle" pairs can be formed or disappear.

If a particle is electrically charged, its antiparticle must have a charge of the opposite sign: if both had charges of the same sign, the creation or annihilation of the particle–antiparticle pair would contravene a rigorous law of nature, the conservation of total electric charge. We shall see later (§32) how the theory automatically leads to this oppositeness of the charges (for interactions of particles with an electromagnetic field).

The quantity $Q$ is sometimes called the charge of the field of the particles concerned. For electrically charged particles $Q$ gives, in particular, the total electric charge of the system in terms of the unit charge $e$. But particles and antiparticles may also be electrically neutral.

Thus we see that the nature of the relativistic relation between the energy and the momentum (the twofold root of the equation $E^2 = p^2 + m^2$), together with the requirements of relativistic invariance, leads in the quantum theory to a new principle of classification of particles: there can exist pairs of different particles (particle and antiparticle) which are interrelated in the way described above. This remarkable prediction was first made (for particles with spin $\frac{1}{2}$) by Dirac in 1930, before the discovery of the first antiparticle, the positron.

§12. Strictly neutral particles

In the second quantisation of the $\psi$-function (11.1), the coefficients $a_p^{(+)}$ and $a_p^{(-)}$ were treated as operators relating to different particles. This is not necessary, however: as a particular case, the annihilation and creation operators in $\psi$ may relate to the same particles, as for photons (cf. (2.17)). Then, denoting these operators by $c_p$ and $c_p^+$, we write the $\psi$-operator as

$$\psi = \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\varepsilon)}} (c_p e^{-ipx} + c_p^+ e^{ipx}).$$

(12.1)

The field described by this operator corresponds to a system of particles of one kind only, which may be said to be their own antiparticles.

The operator (12.1) is Hermitian ($\psi^* = \psi$), and we may say that the field is real. Such a field has, of course, only half as many "degrees of freedom" as a complex field for which the operators $\psi$ and $\psi^*$ are not the same.

In consequence, the field Lagrangian, expressed in terms of the real operator $\psi$, must contain a further factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in comparison with (10.9):

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} \psi \cdot \partial^{\mu} \psi - m^2 \psi^2).$$

(12.2)

The corresponding energy-momentum tensor is

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} \psi \cdot \partial_{\nu} \psi - L g_{\mu\nu},$$

(12.3)

† This resembles the extra factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in the operator (2.10) of the electromagnetic field energy density (when the field is expressed in terms of the real operators $E$ and $H$), in comparison with the photon energy density (3.2) expressed in terms of the complex wave function; cf. the last footnote to §3.
and hence the energy density operator is
\[ T_{00} = (\partial \psi / \partial t)^2 - L \]
\[ = \tfrac{1}{2} [(\partial \psi / \partial t)^2 + (\nabla \psi)^2 + m^2 \psi^2]. \]  
(12.4)

Substituting (12.1) in the integral \( \int T_{00} \, d^3x \), we obtain the field Hamiltonian:
\[ H = \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_p \varepsilon (c_p^+ c_p + c_p c_p^+). \]  
(12.5)

This again shows that Bose quantisation is necessary:
\[ \{c_p, c_p^+\} = 1, \]  
(12.6)
and the energy eigenvalues (again without the additive constant) are
\[ E = \sum_p \varepsilon_p N_p. \]  
(12.7)

Fermi quantisation would lead to the absurd result that \( E \) is independent of \( N_p \).

The "charge" \( Q \) of this field is zero, as is evident from the fact that \( Q \) must change sign when particles are replaced by antiparticles, whereas in the present case there is no difference between the two. The current density 4-vector therefore does not exist, since the expression
\[ j_\mu = i[\psi^+ \partial_\mu \psi - (\partial_\mu \psi^+) \psi] \]  
(12.8)
for the operator \( j_\mu \) of the conserved 4-vector is zero when \( \psi = \psi^+ \) (the vector \( \psi \partial_\mu \psi \) is not itself conserved). This, in turn, means that there is no special conservation law restricting the possible changes in the number of particles. Such particles must clearly be electrically neutral.

Particles of this kind are said to be **strictly neutral**, as opposed to electrically neutral particles which are not their own antiparticles. Whereas the latter can be annihilated (transformed into photons) only as pairs, strictly neutral particles can be annihilated singly.

The structure of the \( \psi \)-operator (12.1) is similar to that of the electromagnetic field operators (2.17)–(2.20). In this sense we may say that photons are themselves strictly neutral particles. For the electromagnetic field, the operators are Hermitian because the fields are measurable physical quantities (in the classical limit) and are therefore real. For the \( \psi \)-operators of particles there is no such relation, since they do not correspond to any quantities that are directly measurable. Here it is appropriate to repeat that the \( \psi \)-operators, in the existing theory, are probably "primitive concepts" which will no longer appear in a consistent theory.

The absence of a conserved current 4-vector is a general property of strictly neutral particles, and does not require the spin to be zero; for instance, it occurs for photons also. Physically, it expresses the absence of the corresponding prohibitions on a change in the number of particles. There is a direct formal relation between the absence of a conserved current and the fact that the field is real (the operator \( \psi \) is Hermitian).

The Lagrangian of a complex field,
\[ L = \partial_\mu \psi^+. \partial^\mu \psi - m^2 \psi^+ \psi, \]  
(12.9)
is invariant under multiplication of the \( \psi \)-operator by any phase factor, i.e. under the transformations†
\[ \psi \to e^{ix} \psi, \quad \psi^+ \to e^{-ix} \psi^+. \]  
(12.10)
† The set of such transformations is called the **gauge group**.
In particular, the Lagrangian is unchanged under the infinitesimal transformation
\[ \psi \rightarrow \psi + i \delta x \cdot \psi, \quad \psi^+ \rightarrow \psi^+ - i \delta x \cdot \psi^+ \].

(12.11)

When the “generalised coordinates” \( q \) undergo an infinitesimal change, the change in the Lagrangian is
\[
\delta L = \sum \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta q + \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{,\mu}} \delta q_{,\mu} \right) \\
= \sum \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{,\mu}} \right) \delta q + \sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left( \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{,\mu}} \delta q \right)
\]
(with summation over all \( q \)). The first term is zero, from the “equations of motion” (Lagrange’s equations). If the “coordinates” \( q \) are taken to be the operators \( \psi \) and \( \psi^+ \), and with \( \delta \psi = i \delta x \cdot \psi, \delta \psi^+ = -i \delta x \cdot \psi^+ \), we obtain
\[
\delta L = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left( \psi \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{,\mu}} - \psi^+ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{,\mu}^+} \right).
\]

Hence we see that the condition for the Lagrangian to be invariant \((\delta L = 0)\) is equivalent to the equation of continuity \((\partial_{\mu} j^\mu = 0)\) for the 4-vector
\[
j^\mu = i \left( \psi^+ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{,\mu}^+} - \psi \frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi_{,\mu}} \right).
\]

(12.12)

It is easily shown that, with the Lagrangian (12.9), this formula yields the current (12.8).

Thus, in the mathematical formalism of the theory, the existence of a conserved current is related to the invariance of the Lagrangian under the transformations (12.10) (W. Pauli, 1941). The Lagrangian (12.2) of the strictly neutral field does not possess this symmetry.

§13. The transformations C, P and T

Unlike 4-inversion, three-dimensional (spatial) inversion is not reducible to any rotations of the 4-coordinate system; its determinant is \(-1\), not \(+1\). The symmetry properties of particles with respect to inversion (P) are therefore not determined already by considerations of relativistic invariance.†

The inversion operation, as applied to a scalar wave function, is the transformation
\[ P \psi(t, r) = \pm \psi(t, -r), \]

(13.1)

where the plus and minus signs on the right correspond to true scalars and pseudoscalars respectively.

Hence we see that two features of the behaviour of the wave function under inversion must be distinguished. One of these relates to the coordinate dependence of the wave function. In non-relativistic quantum mechanics, only this aspect was considered; it leads to the concept of the parity of the state (which we shall here call the orbital parity), describing the symmetry properties of the motion of the particle. If the state has a definite orbital parity \((+1 \text{ or } -1)\), this means that
\[ \psi(t, -r) = \pm \psi(t, r). \]

† The Lorentz group together with spatial inversion is called the extended Lorentz group (in contrast to the original group without P, which in this connection is called the proper Lorentz group). The extended group includes all transformations which leave the \( t \) axis within the corresponding light cone.
The other feature is the behaviour of the wave function at a given point (which may conveniently be taken as the origin) under inversion of the coordinate axes. This leads to the concept of the internal parity of the particle. The two signs in (13.1) correspond to internal parity +1 and −1 (for a particle with spin zero). The total parity of a system of particles is given by the product of their internal parities and the orbital parity of their relative motion.

The "internal" symmetry properties of various particles appear, of course, only in their mutual transformation processes. In non-relativistic quantum mechanics, the analogue of the internal parity is the parity of a definite bound state of a composite system, such as a nucleus. In the relativistic theory, which makes no essential distinction between composite and elementary particles, this internal parity is no different from the internal parity of those particles which are regarded as elementary in the non-relativistic theory. In the non-relativistic case, where these particles are regarded as unalterable, their internal symmetry properties are not observable, and a discussion of these would therefore be devoid of physical significance.

In the second quantisation formalism, the internal parity is expressed by the behaviour of the \( \psi \)-operators under inversion. Scalar and pseudoscalar fields correspond to the transformation laws

\[ P: \psi(t, r) \rightarrow \pm \psi(t, -r). \]  

The actual significance of the action of inversion on the \( \psi \)-operator must be formulated as a particular transformation of the particle annihilation and creation operators, such as to lead to the result (13.2). It is easily seen that such transformations are

\[ P: a_p \rightarrow \pm a_{-p}, \quad b_p \rightarrow \pm b_{-p} \]  

(and the same for the conjugate operators). For, on making these changes in the operator

\[ \psi(t, r) = \sum_p \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\epsilon}} (a_p e^{-i\epsilon t + ip \cdot r} + b_p^* e^{i\epsilon t - ip \cdot r}) \]  

and then changing the notation for the summation variable \((p \rightarrow -p)\), we can bring it to the form \( \pm \psi(t, -r) \). Thus, if \( \psi^p(t, r) \) denotes the operator after the substitutions (13.3), we have

\[ \psi^p(t, r) = \pm \psi(t, -r). \]  

The transformation (13.3) is entirely reasonable, since inversion changes the sign of the polar vector \( p \), and particles with momentum \( p \) are therefore replaced by particles with momentum \( -p \).

In (13.3) the operators \( a_p \) and \( b_p \) are transformed either both with the upper sign or both with the lower sign. In the second quantisation formalism, this expresses the fact that particles and antiparticles (with spin zero) have the same internal parity, a result which is evident because they are described by the same (scalar or pseudoscalar) wave functions.

The \( \psi \)-operator (13.4) is also symmetrical under a transformation which has no analogue in the non-relativistic theory, that of charge conjugation, denoted by \( C \). If all the operators \( a_p \) and \( b_p \) are respectively interchanged:

\[ C: a_p \rightarrow b_p, \quad b_p \rightarrow a_p \]  

(i.e. if particles and antiparticles are interchanged), then \( \bar{\psi} \) becomes the charge-conjugate operator \( \psi^C \), where

\[ \psi^C(t, r) = \psi^+(t, r). \]
This equation expresses the symmetry of the concepts of particles and antiparticles in the theory.

There is an unimportant formal arbitrariness in the definition of the charge-conjugation transformation. The significance of the transformation is unchanged if an arbitrary phase factor is included in the definition (13.6):

$$a_p \to e^{ix} b_p, \quad b_p \to e^{-ix} a_p.$$  

This would lead to

$$\psi \to e^{ix} \psi^+, \quad \psi^+ \to e^{-ix} \psi,$$

and a twofold repetition of the transformation would again yield an identity ($\psi \to \psi$). All such definitions are equivalent, however. Since the properties of the $\psi$-operators are unchanged on multiplication by a phase factor (cf. the end of §12), we can simply write $\psi e^{ix/2}$ in place of $\psi$, and thus again obtain the definition of charge conjugation (13.6), (13.7).

Since charge conjugation replaces a particle by its antiparticle, which is not identical with it, no new properties of a particle or a system of particles, as such, will in general arise.

An exception is formed by systems comprising equal numbers of particles and antiparticles. The operator $C$ transforms such a system into itself, and so in this case the operator has eigenstates, corresponding to the eigenvalues $C = \pm 1$ (since $C^2 = 1$). To describe the charge symmetry, we may regard the particle and the antiparticle as two different "charge states" of the same particle, differing in the value of the charge quantum number $Q = \pm 1$. The wave function of the system is the product of an orbital function and a "charge" function, and must be symmetrical with respect to simultaneous interchange of all the variables (coordinate and charge) of any pair of particles. The symmetry of the "charge" function determines the charge parity of the system (see the Problem at the end of this section).†

It has been emphasised in §1 that, in the relativistic theory, there is no essential difference between "composite" and "elementary" particles. The concept of charge parity, which arises in a natural manner for "strictly neutral" systems, must therefore apply also to strictly neutral "elementary" particles. In the second quantisation formalism, this concept is represented by the equation

$$\psi^c = \pm \psi, \quad (13.8)$$

where the plus and minus signs correspond to charge-even and charge-odd particles respectively.

Relativistic invariance implies invariance under 4-inversion (see §11). For a scalar field operator (in the sense of 4-rotations) this means that 4-inversion must give

$$\psi(t, r) \to \psi(-t, -r)$$

with the right-hand side always positive. In terms of transformations of the operators $a_p, b_p$, the transformation of $\psi(t, r)$ into $\psi(-t, -r)$ is obtained by interchanging the coefficients of $e^{-ipx}$ and $e^{ipx}$ in (13.4), i.e. by making

$$a_p \to b^+_p, \quad b_p \to a^+_p. \quad (13.9)$$

Since $a$-operators are replaced by $b$-operators, this involves interchange of particles and antiparticles. We see that, in the relativistic theory, there is a natural requirement of

† In this discussion we are considering a particle with spin zero. The treatment given here can be immediately generalised to other spin values; see, for instance, §27, Problem.
invariance under a transformation in which spatial inversion (P) and time reversal (T) are accompanied by charge conjugation (C); this is called the CPT theorem.†

Here, however, it must be emphasised that, although the arguments given in §§11 and 12 and the present section are a natural development of the ideas of ordinary quantum mechanics and classical relativity theory, the results thus obtained go beyond these both in form (ψ-operators including both particle creation and particle annihilation operators at the same time) and in content (particles and antiparticles). They cannot therefore be regarded as logically necessary, but embrace new physical principles whose correctness can be tested only by experiment.

If the operator (13.4) transformed by (13.9) is denoted by \( \Psi_{\text{CPT}}(t, r) \), we can write

\[
\Psi_{\text{CPT}}(t, r) = \Psi(-t, -r). \tag{13.10}
\]

Thus, if 4-inversion is formulated as the transformation (13.9), we thereby establish also the formulation of the time-reversal transformation for the ψ-operator: when combined with the transformation CP, it must give (13.9).‡ Using the definitions (13.3) and (13.6), we therefore find

\[
T: a_p \rightarrow \pm a_p^-, \quad b_p \rightarrow \pm b_p^-, \tag{13.11}
\]

where the signs ± correspond to those in (13.3). The significance of this transformation is obvious: time reversal not only changes motion with momentum \( p \) into motion with momentum \(-p\), but also interchanges initial and final states in the matrix elements. The annihilation operators for particles with momentum \( p \) are therefore replaced by creation operators for particles with momentum \(-p\). Making the substitutions (13.11) in (13.4) and changing the notation for the summation variable \( (p \rightarrow -p) \), we obtain§

\[
\Psi^T(t, r) = \pm \Psi^*(-t, r). \tag{13.12}
\]

This is similar to the general rule for time reversal in quantum mechanics: if a certain state is described by the wave function \( \psi(t, r) \), then the "time-reversed" state is described by the function \( \psi^*(-t, r) \). The change to the complex conjugate function is necessary because the "correct" time dependence must be restored, after being lost through the change in the sign of \( t \) (E. P. Wigner, 1932).

Since the transformation T (and therefore CPT) interchanges the initial and final states, there are no eigenstates and eigenvalues, and therefore no new properties of particles as such. The consequences as regards scattering processes will be discussed in §70.

Let us see how the current 4-vector operator \( j^\mu \) (12.8) is affected by the transformations C, P and T. The transformation (13.2), together with \((\partial_0, \partial_i) \rightarrow (\partial_0, -\partial_i)\), gives

\[
P: (j^0, j_i)_t \rightarrow (j^0, -j_i)_t, \tag{13.13}
\]

as we should expect for a true 4-vector. The transformation (13.7) would give simply

\[
C: (j^0, j_i)_t \rightarrow (-j^0, -j_i)_t, \tag{13.14}
\]

if the operators \( \psi \) and \( \psi^\dagger \) commuted. However, the non-commutativity of these operators is due only to that of the operators \( a_p \) and \( a_p^\dagger \) (or \( b_p \) and \( b_p^\dagger \)) with the same \( p \), and from the commutation rules (11.4) the interchange of these operators produces only terms

† This theorem was enunciated by J. Schwinger (1953), G. Lüders (1954) and W. Pauli (1955).
‡ The transformation CP is called combined inversion.
§ If the operation T is defined without regard to the other transformations, there is the same arbitrariness in the choice of the phase factor as occurs for the operation C. The requirement of CPT symmetry implies that the phase factor can be chosen arbitrarily for only one of the transformations C and T.
independent of the occupation numbers, i.e., independent of the state of the field. Omitting these terms as unimportant, as in (11.5), (11.6), we return to (13.14), whose significance is evident: charge conjugation replaces particles by antiparticles and thus changes the sign of every component of the 4-current.

Since the operation of time reversal involves transposing the initial and final states, it changes the order of the factors in a product of operators. For example,
\[
(\psi^+ \partial_\mu \psi)^T = (\partial_\mu \psi)^T (\psi^+)^T.
\]

Here, however, this is not important: since the \(\psi\)-operators commute (in the sense explained above), the result is unaffected by returning to the original order of factors. Since also \((\partial_0, \partial_i) \rightarrow (-\partial_0, \partial_i)\) under time reversal, the current transformation rule is
\[
T: (j^0, j)_+,r \rightarrow (j^0, -j)_{-,r}.
\]

The three-dimensional vector \(j\) changes sign, in accordance with its classical significance.

Finally, for the CPT transformation,
\[
\text{CPT}: (j^0, j)_{+,r} \rightarrow (-j^0, -j)_{-,r}.
\]

in accordance with the significance of this operation as 4-inversion. Here it must be emphasised that, since 4-inversion is a rotation of the 4-coordinate system, it does not correspond to two types (true and pseudo) of 4-tensors of any rank.

So far, we have assumed that the particles are free; but parity quantum numbers acquire real significance only when interacting particles are considered and definite selection rules are imposed which allow or forbid specified processes. Only conserved properties, however, can have this significance; that is, the eigenvalues of operators which commute with the Hamiltonian of the interacting particles.

Because of relativistic invariance, the CPT transformation operator always commutes with the Hamiltonian. For the C and P (and therefore T) transformations separately, experiment shows that the electromagnetic and strong interactions are invariant, and the corresponding parity quantum numbers are therefore conserved in these interactions. In a weak interaction, these conservation laws do not hold.†

Anticipating a little, we may mention that the operator of the interaction between charged particles and the electromagnetic field is given by the product of the operator 4-vectors \(A\) and \(j\). Since charge conjugation changes the sign of \(j\), the invariance of the electromagnetic interaction under this transformation means that the sign of \(A\) must also be changed. Thus photons are charge-odd particles.

This behaviour of the operators \(A\) is in accordance with the properties of the 4-potential in the classical theory: from the transformations

\[C: \quad (A_0, A) \rightarrow (-A_0, -A)_{+,r},\]
\[P: \quad (A_0, A) \rightarrow (A_0, -A)_{-,r},\]
\[\text{CPT}: \quad (A_0, A) \rightarrow (-A_0, -A)_{-,r},\]

it follows that
\[T: \quad (A_0, A) \rightarrow (A_0, -A)_{-,r},\]
in agreement with the classical rule for the transformation of the electromagnetic field potentials under time reversal.

† The idea that parity might not be conserved in weak interactions was first put forward by T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang (1956).
§14. The wave equation for a particle with spin one

A particle with spin one is described in its rest frame by a three-component wave function, a three-dimensional vector; such a particle is often called a vector particle. The four-dimensional origin of this vector may be as the three spatial components of the space-like 4-vector $\psi^\mu$ or those of the antisymmetric 4-tensor $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ of rank two; the time component $\psi^0$ and the space components $\psi^{1k}$ are zero in the rest frame.†

The wave equation is a differential relation between the quantities $\psi^\mu$ and $\psi^{\mu\nu}$, and will be written as the equations

$$i\psi_{\mu\nu} = p_\mu \psi_{\nu} - p_\nu \psi_\mu,$$  \hspace{1cm} (14.1)

$$im^2\psi_\mu = p^\nu \psi_{\mu\nu},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14.2)

with $p = i\partial$ (A. Proca, 1936). Applying the operator $p^\mu$ to both sides of equation (14.2), we have

$$p^\mu \psi_\mu = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (14.3)

since $\psi_{\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric.

By substituting (14.1) in (14.2) to eliminate $\psi_{\mu\nu}$, and using (14.3), we obtain

$$(p^2 - m^2)\psi_\mu = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (14.4)

whence it is again evident (cf. §10) that $m$ is the mass of the particle. Thus a free particle with spin one can be described by a single 4-vector $\psi^\mu$, whose components satisfy the second-order equation (14.4), and also the further condition (14.3), which eliminates from $\psi^\mu$ the part pertaining to spin zero.

In the rest frame, where $\psi_\mu$ is independent of the spatial coordinates, we find that $p^0 \psi_0 = 0$. Since also $p^0 \psi_0 = m \psi_0$, it is seen that in the rest frame $\psi_0 = 0$, as it should be, and the $\psi_{ik}$ are likewise zero.

A particle with spin one can have different internal parities, according as $\psi^\mu$ is a true vector or a pseudovector. In the former case

$$P\psi^\mu = (\psi^0, -\psi^i),$$

and in the latter case

$$P\psi^\mu = (-\psi^0, \psi^i).$$

† Anticipating, we may mention that the ensemble of the 4-vector $\psi_\mu$ and the 4-tensor $\psi^{\mu\nu}$ corresponds to that of the 4-dimensional spinors of rank two $\xi^{ab}$, $\eta_{ab}$ $\zeta^{ad}$, where $\xi^{ab}$ and $\eta_{ab}$ are symmetrical spinors changed into each other on inversion (§19).
Equations (14.1), (14.2) can be derived from the variational principle, using the Lagrangian

\[ L = \frac{1}{2} \psi_{\mu\nu} \psi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \psi^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu \psi_\nu - \partial_\nu \psi_\mu) - \frac{1}{2} \psi^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu \psi^{\nu}_* - \partial_\nu \psi^{\mu}_*) + m^2 \psi_\mu \psi^{\mu}_*. \]  

(14.5)

The independent generalised coordinates are here represented by \( \psi_\mu, \psi^{\mu}_*, \psi_\nu, \psi^{\nu}_* \).†

To find the energy-momentum tensor, formula (10.11) is not entirely suitable here, since it would lead to an unsymmetrical tensor requiring further symmetrisation. Instead, we can use the formula

\[ \frac{1}{2} T_{\mu\nu} \sqrt{-g} = - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\lambda} \frac{\partial (L \sqrt{-g})}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} + \frac{\partial (L \sqrt{-g})}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}, \]

(14.6)

in which \( L \) is assumed to be expressed in a form appropriate to any curvilinear coordinates (see Fields, §94). If \( L \) contains only the components of the metric tensor \( g_{\mu\nu} \), and not their derivatives with respect to the coordinates, the formula becomes simply

\[ T_{\mu\nu} = 2 \frac{\partial (L \sqrt{-g})}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} = 2 \frac{\partial L}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}} - g_{\mu\nu} L \]

(since \( d \log g = -g_{\mu\nu} \, dg^{\mu\nu} \)).

Since the differentiation in formula (14.6) is not with respect to the quantities \( \psi_\mu, \psi^{\mu}_* \), these quantities need not be regarded as independent when applying the formula; we may immediately make use of the relationship (14.1) to rewrite the Lagrangian (14.5) as

\[ L = -\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\mu\nu} \psi^{\lambda\rho} g^{\mu\nu} g^{\lambda\rho} + m^2 \psi_\mu \psi^{\mu}_* g^{\mu\nu}. \]

(14.7)

Then

\[ T_{\mu\nu} = -\psi_\mu \psi^{\mu}_* \psi^{\lambda\rho} + m^2 (\psi_\mu^{\mu\nu} \psi^{\nu}_* + \psi_\mu^{\nu\lambda} \psi^{\lambda}_*) + g_{\mu\nu} (\frac{1}{2} \psi^{\mu\nu} \psi^{\lambda\rho}_* - m^2 \psi^{\lambda\rho}_*) \psi^{\lambda\rho}_*. \]

(14.8)

In particular, the energy density is given by the essentially positive expression

\[ T_{00} = \frac{1}{2} \psi_{ik} \psi^{ik}_* + \psi_{0i} \psi^{0i}_* + m^2 (\psi_0 \psi^{0}_* + \psi_i \psi^{i}_*). \]

(14.9)

The conserved current density 4-vector is given by

\[ j^\mu = i(\psi^{\mu\nu}\psi_\nu^* - \psi^{\nu\mu}\psi_\nu^*). \]

(14.10)

This can be obtained, in accordance with (12.12), by differentiating the Lagrangian (14.5) with respect to the derivative \( \partial_\mu \psi_\nu \). In particular

\[ j^0 = i(\psi^{0k}\psi_k - \psi^0_k \psi_k^*). \]

(14.11)

and is not an essentially positive quantity.

A plane wave normalised to one particle in the volume \( V = 1 \) is

\[ \psi_\mu = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)}} \, u_\mu \, e^{-ipx}, \quad u_\mu u^{\mu}_* = -1, \]

(14.12)

where \( u_\mu \) is the unit polarisation 4-vector, which, by (14.3), satisfies the condition of four-dimensional transversality,

\[ u_\mu p^\mu = 0. \]

(14.13)

For, on substituting the function (14.12) in (14.9) and (14.11), we obtain

\[ T_{00} = -2\varepsilon^2 \psi_\mu \psi^{\mu}_* = \varepsilon, \quad j^0 = 1. \]

† If the variation were made with respect to \( \psi_\mu \) only (assuming \( \psi^{\mu}_* \) already expressed in terms of \( \psi_\mu \) by (14.1)), equation (14.3) would have to be imposed as an additional condition unrelated to the variational principle.
Unlike the photon, a vector particle with non-zero mass has three independent directions of polarisation. The corresponding amplitudes are given in (16.21).

The density matrix for partially polarised vector particles is defined so that in a pure state it reduces to the product

$$\rho_{\mu\nu} = u_\mu u_\nu^*$$

(similarly to (8.7) for photons). According to (14.12) and (14.13), it satisfies the conditions

$$p^\mu \rho_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \rho_{\mu\nu}^\mu = -1. \quad (14.14)$$

For completely unpolarised particles, $\rho_{\mu\nu}$ must have the form $a g_{\mu\nu} + b p_\mu p_\nu$. When the coefficients $a$ and $b$ are found from (14.14), the result is

$$\rho_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} (g_{\mu\nu} - p_\mu p_\nu/m^2). \quad (14.15)$$

The quantisation of the vector field is entirely analogous to the scalar case, and there is no need to repeat the arguments. As before, Bose quantisation is necessary because the expression (14.9) for $T_{00}$ is positive definite and the expression (14.11) for $j^0$ is not.

There is a close connection between the properties of strictly neutral vector and electromagnetic fields. The neutral vector field is described by a real $\psi^a$-operator:

$$\Psi_\mu = \sum_{p, \alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\epsilon)}} (c^a_{p_\alpha} u_\mu^{(\alpha)} e^{-i p x} + c^a_{p_\alpha} u_\mu^{(\alpha)*} e^{i p x}), \quad (14.16)$$

where $c^a_{p_\alpha}$ and $c^a_{p_\alpha}^*$ are the boson particle annihilation and creation operators, the suffix $\alpha$ labelling the three independent polarisations. The Lagrangian of this field is

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{\mu\nu} \Psi^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \Psi^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \Psi_\nu - \partial_\nu \Psi_\mu) + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \Psi_\mu \Psi^\mu. \quad (14.17)$$

The electromagnetic field corresponds to $m = 0$. The 4-vector $\Psi^a$ then becomes the 4-potential $A^a$, and the 4-tensor $\Psi^{\mu\nu}$ becomes the field tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$, which is related to the potential by the definition (14.1). Equation (14.2) becomes $\partial^\nu \Psi_{\mu\nu} = 0$, corresponding to the second pair of Maxwell's equations. This does not imply the condition (14.3), which therefore is no longer obligatory. Since the extra condition has disappeared, there is no need to regard $\Psi_\mu$ and $\Psi_{\mu\nu}$ as independent "coordinates" in the Lagrangian, and (14.15) becomes

$$L = -\frac{1}{2} \Psi_{\mu\nu} \Psi^{\mu\nu}, \quad (14.18)$$

in agreement with the familiar classical expression for the Lagrangian of the electromagnetic field. This Lagrangian, like the tensor $\Psi_{\mu\nu}$, is invariant under any gauge transformation of the "potentials" $\Psi_\mu$. There is an evident connection between this property and the zero mass: the Lagrangian (14.17) does not possess the property, because of the term $m^2 \Psi_\mu \Psi^\mu$.

§15. The wave equation for particles with higher integral spins

Since the wave equations (14.3), (14.4) follow immediately when the particle mass and spin are given, the practical utilisation of the Lagrangian involves not so much the derivation of these equations as the establishment of expressions for the field energy, momentum and charge.

To do so we can, as already mentioned, use in place of (14.5) the expression (14.7),
and the latter can be further transformed as follows. From (14.1), it can be rewritten as

\[ L = -\left(\partial_{\mu}\psi^*_{\mu}(\partial^{\mu}\psi^\prime) + (\partial_{\nu}\psi^*_{\nu}(\partial_{\mu}\psi^\prime) + m^2\psi_{\mu}\psi_{\mu}^* \right) \]
\[ = -\left(\partial_{\mu}\psi^*_{\mu}(\partial^{\mu}\psi^\prime) + m^2\psi_{\mu}\psi_{\mu}^* + \partial_{\lambda}(\psi^*_{\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi^\prime) - \psi_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\psi^\prime. \right) \]

The last term is zero, by (14.3), and the one preceding it is a total derivative. Omitting this, we obtain the Lagrangian

\[ L' = -\left(\partial_{\mu}\psi^*_{\mu}(\partial^{\mu}\psi^\prime) + m^2\psi_{\mu}\psi_{\mu}^*. \right) \] (15.1)

This has the same form as the Lagrangian (10.9) for a particle with spin zero, the only difference being that the scalar \( \psi \) is replaced by the 4-vector \( \psi_{\mu} \) and the sign is changed. The change of sign occurs because \( \psi_{\mu} \) is a space-like vector, so that \( \psi_{\mu}\psi_{\mu}^* < 0 \), whereas for a scalar particle \( \psi^* \) > 0.

On constructing the energy-momentum 4-tensor and the current 4-vector from the Lagrangian (15.1), we obtain expressions of the same form as (10.12) and (10.18) for the scalar field:

\[ T_{\mu\nu} = -\partial_{\mu}\psi^{*\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\psi^{*\mu} - \partial_{\lambda}\psi^{*\mu} - L g_{\mu\nu} \] (15.2)
\[ j_{\mu} = -i[\psi^{*\mu}\partial_{\mu}\psi^{\prime} - (\partial_{\mu}\psi^{*})\psi^{\prime}] \] (15.3)

The difference between these and (14.8), (14.10) is again a total derivative. But it has already been stressed that the local values of these quantities have no profound significance. Only the volume integrals \( P_{\mu} \) (10.15) and \( Q \) (10.19) are important, and these will be the same for either choice of \( T_{\mu\nu} \) and \( j_{\mu} \).

This method of description can be immediately generalised to particles with any (integral) spin. The wave function of a particle with spin \( s \) is an irreducible 4-tensor of rank \( s \), i.e. a tensor symmetrical in all its indices and vanishing on contraction with respect to any pair of indices:

\[ \psi_{\ldots\mu\ldots}^{\ldots} = \psi_{\ldots\mu\ldots}, \quad \psi_{\ldots\mu}^{\ldots} = 0. \] (15.4)

This tensor must satisfy the additional condition of 4-transversality:

\[ p^\mu\psi_{\ldots\mu}^{\ldots} = 0, \] (15.5)

and each of its components must satisfy the second-order equation

\[ (p^2 - m^2)\psi_{\ldots}^{\ldots} = 0. \] (15.6)

In the rest frame, the condition (15.5) means that every component of the 4-tensor whose indices include a zero must vanish. Thus the wave function in the rest frame (i.e. in the non-relativistic limit) is equivalent, as it should be, to an irreducible 3-tensor of rank \( s \), the number of independent components of which is \( 2s + 1 \).

The Lagrangian, the energy-momentum tensor and the current vector for a field of particles with spin \( s \) differ from (15.1)–(15.3) only in that \( \psi_{\lambda} \) is replaced by \( \psi_{\lambda\mu\ldots} \).

The normalised plane wave is

\[ \psi_{\ldots\mu\ldots}^{\ldots} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^s}} e^{-ipx}, \quad \psi_{\ldots\mu}^{\ldots} = -1, \] (15.7)

the wave amplitude satisfying the conditions

\[ u_{\ldots\mu}^{\ldots} p_\mu = 0. \] (15.8)

There are \( 2s + 1 \) independent polarisation states.
§16 Helicity states of a particle

The quantisation of the field is effected by an obvious generalisation from the cases of spin zero and one.

The procedure given above is entirely sufficient for the stated purpose: to describe a field of free particles. The situation is different if it is proposed to describe the interaction of the particles with an electromagnetic field. This interaction would have to be included in the Lagrangian in order to yield all the equations without the need to impose additional conditions (see also the second footnote to §32). In practice, however, this description of the interaction is found to be applicable only for electrons, i.e. particles with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) (see §32). For other spin values, therefore, the problem is only of methodological interest.

For any spin \( s > 1 \) (integral or half-integral), it proves impossible to formulate a variational principle by means of a single (tensor or spinor) function whose rank corresponds to the given spin. It is necessary to use additional tensor or spinor quantities of lower rank. The Lagrangian is then so chosen that these auxiliary quantities must be zero on account of the free-particle field equations which follow from the variational principle.†

---

† See M. Fierz and W. Pauli, Proceedings of the Royal Society A173, 211, 1939. The procedure indicated above is carried out in this paper for particles with spin \( \frac{3}{2} \) and 2.

‡ The discussion in this section relates to particles with any spin (integral or half-integral).
with $2s+1$ components. Let its amplitude be denoted by $w^{(s)}(n)$, the argument being the direction $n = p/|p|$ along which the angular momentum is quantised. The amplitude $w^{(s)}$ is an eigenfunction of the operator $n.s$:

$$(n.s)w^{(s)}(n) = \lambda w^{(s)}(n).$$

(16.1)

In the spinor representation, $w^{(s)}$ is a contravariant symmetrical spinor of rank $2s$; according to the correspondence formulae ($QM$, (57.2)), its components can also be enumerated by the corresponding values of the spin component $\sigma$ along a fixed $z$-axis.†

In the momentum representation, the wave functions of the states considered are essentially the same as the amplitudes $u^{(s)}(p)$:

$$\psi_{p\lambda}(k) = u^{(s)}(k)\delta^{(2)}(v-n) = u^{(s)}(p)\delta^{(2)}(v-n),$$

(16.2)

where the momentum as an independent variable is denoted by $k$, as contrasted with its eigenvalue $p$, and $v = k/|k|$, as against $n = p/|p|$.‡ In the non-relativistic limit,

$$\psi_{n\lambda}(v) = w^{(s)}(v)\delta^{(2)}(v-n) = w^{(s)}(n)\delta^{(2)}(v-n).$$

(16.3)

This expression should be written in the more explicit form

$$\psi_{n\lambda}(v, \sigma) = w^{(s)}(v)\delta^{(2)}(v-n),$$

showing the discrete independent variable $\sigma$.

The helicity operator $s.n$ commutes with the operators $j_x$ and $j_z^2$, since the angular momentum operator is related to an infinitesimal rotation of the coordinates, and the scalar product of two vectors is invariant under any rotation. There exist, therefore, stationary states in which the particle simultaneously has definite values of the angular momentum $j_z$ which can be used for the coordinate $j_z = m$, and the helicity $\lambda$. Such states will be called spherical helicity states.

Let us determine the wave functions of these states in the momentum representation. This may be done by means of the formulae derived in §a of the Appendix.§ In addition to the coordinates $x, y, z$ fixed in space (with respect to which the functions $\psi_{jm\lambda}$ are written), we shall also use "moving" coordinates $\xi, \eta, \zeta$, with the $\zeta$-axis in the direction of $v$. Writing $\lambda$ in place of $m'$ in equation (a.2), we have

$$\psi_{jm\lambda}(k) = \psi_{j\lambda}^{(0)}D_{jm}^{(0)}(v).$$

Since the $\zeta$-axis coincides with the axis of quantisation of the angular momentum in the

† These arguments, like the possible values shown for $\lambda$, apply to particles with non-zero mass. For massless particles there is no rest frame, and the helicity can take only the two values $\lambda = \pm s$. This is because of the fact already mentioned in §8, that the states of such a particle are classified by their behaviour with respect to the axial-symmetry group, which allows only twofold degeneracy of levels (as regards the properties of the wave equation, this means that in the limit as $m \to 0$ the set of equations for a particle with spin $s$ separates into independent equations corresponding to massless particles with spins $s, s-1, \ldots$). For example, the photon has $\lambda = \pm 1$, and the corresponding $w^{(1)}$ are the three-dimensional vectors $\epsilon_{s\lambda}^{(1)}$ (8.2).

‡ The delta function $\delta^{(2)}$ is defined so that

$$\int \delta^{(2)}(v-n) dv = 1.$$ 

The delta function which imposes a fixed value of the energy is omitted in (16.2), and similarly in (16.4) below.

§ The formulae derived in §a depend only on the symmetry properties with respect to rotation. They are therefore applicable to functions in the momentum representation just as much as to coordinate functions.
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function $\psi_{jm\lambda}^{(2)}$, this function is the same as the amplitude $u^{(2)}$. The normalised wave function (see below) is

$$
\psi_{jm\lambda}(k) = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} D_{jm}^{(2)}(v) u^{(2)}(k).
$$

(16.4)

Here, however, there is a question of the choice of phases, because of the following non-uniqueness: a rotation of the coordinates $\xi, \eta, \zeta$ relative to $x, y, z$ is defined by three angles $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, whereas the wave function of the particle can depend only on the direction of $v$, which is defined by the two spherical angles $\alpha \equiv \phi$ and $\beta \equiv \theta$. It is thus necessary to agree on some definite choice of the angle $\gamma$. We shall take $\gamma = 0$, defining $D_{jm}^{(2)}(v)$ as

$$
D_{jm}^{(2)}(v) = D_{jm}(\phi, \theta, 0) = e^{im\phi} d_{jm}(\theta).
$$

(16.5)

From (a.14), the functions (16.5) are seen to satisfy the orthonormality conditions:

$$
\int D_{k_{1}m_{1}}^{(1)*}(v) D_{k_{2}m_{2}}^{(2)}(v) \frac{d\omega}{4\pi} = \frac{1}{2j+1} \delta_{k_{1}m_{1}}^{*} \delta_{k_{2}m_{2}},
$$

(16.6)

where $d\omega = \sin \theta d\theta d\phi$. The orthogonality of the functions $\psi_{jm\lambda}$ with respect to the suffix $\lambda$ is ensured by the factor $u^{(2)}$. Thus the functions $\psi_{jm\lambda}$ are orthogonal in all three suffixes, as they should be, and with the coefficient chosen in (16.4) they are normalised by the condition

$$
\int |\psi_{jm\lambda}|^2 d\omega = 1.
$$

(16.7)

Here we assume that the amplitudes $u^{(2)}$ are normalised to unity: $u^{(2)}u^{(2)*} = 1$.

Let us now consider the behaviour of the wave functions of helicity states under inversion of the coordinates. The product of the polar vector $v$ and the axial vector $j$ is a pseudoscalar. It is therefore obvious that inversion will change a state with helicity $\lambda$ into one with helicity $-\lambda$; all that is necessary is to determine the phase factors in these transformations.

Under inversion, $v \rightarrow -v$. The vector $v$ is defined by the two angles $\phi$ and $\theta$, and the transformation $v \rightarrow -v$ is brought about by the changes $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \pi$, $\theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta$. This determines the new $\zeta$-axis but leaves indefinite the position of the $\xi$ and $\eta$ axes, which depends also on the third Eulerian angle $\gamma$; the transformation of $\theta$ and $\phi$ alone does not distinguish, in this sense, between reflection of the coordinates and rotation of the $\zeta$-axis. Expressed in terms of all three Eulerian angles, inversion is the transformation

$$
\alpha \equiv \phi \rightarrow \phi + \pi, \quad \beta \equiv \theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta, \quad \gamma \rightarrow \pi - \gamma.
$$

(16.8)

Hence, if $D_{jm}^{(2)}(v)$ is defined as in (16.5) (i.e. with $\gamma = 0$), and the transformation $v \rightarrow -v$ is regarded as being the result of inversion, then

$$
D_{jm}^{(2)}(-v) = D_{jm}^{(2)}(\phi + \pi, \pi - \theta, \pi).
$$

(16.9)

From formulae (a.5), (a.9) and (a.11) we hence find

$$
D_{jm}^{(2)}(-v) = e^{i\pi} D_{jm}^{(2)}(\pi - \theta) e^{im(\phi + \pi)}
$$

$$
= (-1)^{j-\lambda} e^{im\phi} d_{jm}(\theta)
$$

$$
= (-1)^{j-\lambda} D_{jm}^{(2)}(\phi, \theta, 0),
$$

or

$$
D_{jm}^{(2)}(-v) = (-1)^{j-\lambda} D_{jm}^{(2)}(v),
$$

(16.10)

where $j-\lambda$ is an integer. 

R.Q.T.
A similar formula for the spinor $w^{(3)}$ can be obtained by noticing that its components $w^{(3)}_{\sigma}$ are the same, apart from a factor, as the functions

$$w^{(3)}_{\sigma}(v) \sim D^{(3)}_{\sigma}(v)^{*}. \quad (16.11)$$

For, by applying the transformation formula (a.1) to the spin eigenfunctions and taking the $\zeta$-component of the spin to have a definite value $\lambda$ (i.e. replacing $\psi_{jm\sigma}$ by $\delta_{m'\lambda}$ on the right-hand side of (a.1)), we find that $D^{(3)}_{\lambda\sigma}(v)$ are the spin wave functions corresponding to definite values of the $z$ and $\zeta$ components ($\sigma$ and $\lambda$) of the spin. The set of these functions with $\sigma = -s, \ldots, +s$ forms, according to the correspondence formulae ($QM$, (58.1)), a covariant spinor of rank $2s$. The components of the contravariant spinor, which according to the formulae $QM$ (57.2) correspond to the components $w^{(3)}_{\sigma}$, are transformed as the complex conjugates of the components of the covariant spinor of the same rank.

From (16.10) and (16.11), we have

$$w^{(3)}(-v) = (-1)^{s-\lambda}w^{(-\lambda)}(v), \quad (16.12)$$

where $s-\lambda$ is an integer. The inversion operation applied to $w^{(3)}$, however, not only changes $v$ into $-v$ but also multiplies $w^{(3)}$ by a common phase factor (the "internal parity" of the particle), which we shall denote by $\eta$:

$$Pw^{(3)}(v) = \eta w^{(3)}(-v) = \eta(-1)^{s-\lambda}w^{(-\lambda)}(v). \quad (16.13)$$

For the relativistic amplitude $u^{(3)}(k)$, this transformation becomes

$$Pu^{(3)}(k) = \eta \beta u^{(3)}(-k) = \eta(-1)^{s-\lambda}u^{(-\lambda)}(k), \quad (16.14)$$

where $\beta$ is a certain matrix which is a unit matrix with respect to the components of $u^{(3)}$ which remain in the limit $|p| \to 0$. It is important to note that this matrix does not depend on the quantum numbers of the state, and in this sense the difference between (16.13) and (16.14) is unimportant.

On applying (16.14) to (16.2), we obtain the law of transformation of the wave functions of the states $|n\lambda\rangle$:

$$P\psi_{n\lambda}(v) = \eta(-1)^{s-\lambda}\psi_{-n, -\lambda}(v). \quad (16.15)$$

For spherical helicity states, using (16.10) and (16.12), we obtain the transformation law

$$P\psi_{jm\lambda}(v) = \eta(-1)^{J-\lambda}\psi_{jm, -\lambda}(v). \quad (16.16)$$

The states $\psi_{jm0}$ are transformed into themselves, according to (16.16), i.e. they have a definite parity. If $\lambda \neq 0$, however, only superpositions of states with opposite helicities have a definite parity:

$$\psi^{(\pm)}_{jm|\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\psi_{jm, \lambda} \pm \psi_{jm, -\lambda}). \quad (16.17)$$

On inversion, these are transformed into themselves:

$$P\psi^{(\pm)}_{jm|\lambda}(v) = \pm \eta(-1)^{J-\lambda}\psi^{(\pm)}_{jm|\lambda}(v). \quad (16.18)$$

It should be noted that in this section we have arrived at a classification of states of a

† For example, when $s = 1$ the amplitudes $u^{(3)}$ are the 4-vectors (16.21); $\beta$ is then entirely a unit matrix with respect to the 4-vector indices, $\beta_{\mu \nu} = \delta_{\mu \nu}$. When $s = \frac{1}{2}$, as we shall see in Chapter III, $u^{(3)}$ is a bispinor, the phase factor $\eta = i$, and $\beta$ is the Dirac matrix $\gamma^0$ (see (21.10)).
free particle with a given angular momentum, using only conserved quantities and without invoking the concept of the orbital angular momentum (which was employed, for instance, in §§6 and 7 for classifying photon states).

As an example, let us consider the case of spin one. In the rest frame the amplitudes \( u^{(1)} \) (4-vectors) become the three-dimensional vectors \( e^{(2)} \), which here take the place of the amplitudes \( w^{(2)} \). The action of the operator of spin one on the vector function \( e \) is given by the formula

\[
(s_i e)_k = -ie_{ikl} e^l;
\]

see QM, §58, Problem 2. Thus equation (16.1) becomes

\[
i n \times e^{(2)} = \lambda e^{(2)}.
\]

The solutions of this equation (in \( \xi \eta \zeta \) coordinates with the \( \zeta \)-axis in the direction of \( n \)) are the same as the spherical unit vectors (7.14):

\[
(e^{(0)}) = i(0, 0, 1), \quad e^{(\pm 1)} = \mp \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (1, \pm i, 0).
\]

In a frame of reference in which the particle has momentum \( p \), the helicity state amplitudes are the 4-vectors

\[
u^{(0)\mu} = \left( \frac{|p|}{m}, i \frac{e^{(0)}}{m} \right), \quad \nu^{(\pm 1)\mu} = (0, e^{(\pm 1)}).
\]

If \( e \) is a polar vector, then \( \eta = -1 \), and the functions (16.17), which are three-dimensional vectors when \( s = 1 \), have the following parities:

\[
\psi^{(+)}_{jm|\lambda|} : P = (-1)^j, \\
\psi^{(-)}_{jm|\lambda|} : P = (-1)^{j+1}, \\
\psi_{jm0} : P = (-1)^j.
\]

On comparing with the definition of the spherical harmonic vectors (7.4), we see that these functions are identical (apart from phase factors) with \( Y^{(e)}_{jm} \), \( Y^{(m)}_{jm} \), \( Y^{(l)}_{jm} \) respectively. After ascertaining the phase factors (by comparing values for \( \theta = 0 \), say), we obtain the equations

\[
Y^{(e)}_{jm} = i^{j-1} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{8\pi}} (e^{(1)}D^{(j)}_{1m} + e^{(-1)}D^{(j)}_{-1m}), \\
Y^{(m)}_{jm} = i^{j-1} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{8\pi}} (e^{(1)}Y^{(j)}_{1m} + e^{(-1)}Y^{(j)}_{-1m}), \\
Y^{(l)}_{jm} = i^{j-1} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} e^{(0)}D^{(j)}_{0m},
\]

where \( j \) is an integer; \( e^{(2)} = n \times e^{(2)} \) are spherical unit vectors along axes \( \xi', \eta', \zeta \) which are obtained from \( \xi, \eta, \zeta \) by a rotation of 90° about the \( \zeta \)-axis.

The last formula (16.23) is equivalent to the expression (a.17) for \( d^{(j)}_{0m}(\theta) \). The first or

\[\text{† The choice of phase factors is determined by the condition that the spin operator matrix elements calculated with the eigenfunctions (16.21) must be in accordance with the general definitions in QM, §§27 and 107.}\]
second formula (16.23) leads to a simple expression for the functions $d^{(J)}_{\pm 1, m}$. We have

$$i^{j-1} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{8\pi}} D^{(j)}_{\pm 1, m} = Y_{jm}^{(e)} \cdot e^{(\pm 1)*}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{[j(j+1)]}} e^{(\pm 1)*} \nabla Y_{jm}.$$

The scalar product on the right can be written explicitly in the coordinates $\xi, \eta, \zeta$, with

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \right) \rightarrow \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi'} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \right).$$

With the definitions (7.2) of $Y_{jm}$ and (16.5), the result is

$$d^{(J)}_{\pm 1, m}(\theta) = (-1)^{m+1} \sqrt{\frac{(j-m)!}{(j+m)! j(j+1)}} \left( \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{m}{\sin \theta} \right) P_{jm}^m(\cos \theta), \quad m \geq 0.$$  (16.24)
§17. Four-dimensional spinors

In the non-relativistic theory, a particle with arbitrary spin $s$ is described by a quantity with $2s+1$ components, a symmetrical spinor of rank $2s$. These quantities are, mathematically, realisations of the irreducible representations of the spatial rotation group.

In the relativistic theory, this group is only a subgroup of the wider group of four-dimensional rotations, the Lorentz group. It is therefore necessary to develop the theory of four-dimensional spinors (4-spinors), as quantities which are realisations of the irreducible representations of the Lorentz group. This theory will be given in §§17–19. In §§17 and 18 we shall consider only the proper Lorentz group, which excludes spatial inversion; the latter will be dealt with in §19.

The theory of 4-spinors is analogous in structure to that of three-dimensional spinors (B. L. van der Waerden, 1929; G. E. Uhlenbeck and O. Laporte, 1931).

A spinor $\xi^\alpha$ is a quantity having two components ($\alpha = 1, 2$); as components of the wave function of a particle with spin $\frac{1}{2}$, $\xi^1$ and $\xi^2$ correspond to the respective eigenvalues $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ of the $z$-component of the spin. Under any transformation belonging to the (proper) Lorentz group, the two quantities $\xi^1$ and $\xi^2$ are transformed into linear combinations of themselves:

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi'^1 &= \alpha \xi^1 + \beta \xi^2, \\
\xi'^2 &= \gamma \xi^1 + \delta \xi^2.
\end{align*}
$$

(17.1)

The coefficients $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$, $\delta$ are definite functions of the angles of rotation of the 4-coordinate system, and must satisfy the condition

$$
\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma = 1;
$$

(17.2)

that is, the determinant of the binary transformation (17.1) is equal to unity, as are the determinants of the coordinate transformations in the Lorentz group.

Because of the condition (17.2), the bilinear form $\xi^1 \Xi^2 - \xi^2 \Xi^1$ (where $\xi^\alpha$ and $\Xi^\alpha$ are two spinors) is invariant under the transformation (17.1), and corresponds to a particle with spin zero which “consists” of two particles with spin $\frac{1}{2}$. In order to write such invariant expressions in a natural way, the “covariant” components $\xi_\alpha$ are used as well as the “contravariant” components $\xi^\alpha$ of the spinor. Their relationship is governed by the “metric spinor” $g_{\alpha\beta}$:

$$
\xi_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta} \xi^\beta.
$$

(17.3)

† The spinor indices will be denoted by the letters at the beginning of the Greek alphabet: $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$. 
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where

\[ g_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]

so that

\[ \xi_1 = \xi_2, \quad \xi_2 = -\xi_1. \]

Then the invariant \( \xi^1 \xi^2 - \xi^2 \xi^1 \) becomes the scalar product \( \xi^a \xi_a \), and \( \xi^a \xi_a = -\xi^a \xi_a \).

The properties so far stated are formally the same as those of three-dimensional spinors.

A difference arises, however, when complex-conjugate spinors are considered.

In the non-relativistic theory, the sum

\[ \psi^1 \psi^1^* + \psi^2 \psi^2^*, \]

which determines the probability density for the localisation of the particles in space, must be a scalar, and the components \( \psi^2^* \) must therefore be transformed as the covariant components of a spinor; the transformation (17.1) must therefore be unitary (\( \alpha = \delta^*, \beta = -\gamma^* \)). In the relativistic theory, however, the particle density is not a scalar, but is the time component of a 4-vector. The above-mentioned condition therefore no longer applies, and the transformation coefficients need satisfy no condition other than (17.2). The four complex quantities \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \) under the condition (17.2) alone are equivalent to \( 8 - 2 = 6 \) real parameters, in accordance with the number of angles which define a rotation of the 4-coordinate system (rotations in six coordinate planes).

Thus complex-conjugate binary transformations are quite different, and in the relativistic theory there exist two types of spinors. A special notation is customary, in order to distinguish these two types: the indices of spinors which are transformed by the complex conjugate formulae to (17.1) are written with dots over them and are called dotted indices.

Thus, by definition,

\[ \eta^* \sim \xi^{**}, \]

where the sign \( \sim \) denotes "is transformed as". The transformation formulae for a "dotted" spinor are therefore

\[ \eta^1' = \alpha^* \eta^1 + \beta^* \eta^2, \quad \eta^2' = \gamma^* \eta^1 + \delta^* \eta^2. \]

The operations of raising and lowering the dotted indices are carried out in the same way as for the undotted indices:

\[ \eta_1 = \eta^1, \quad \eta_2 = -\eta^2. \]

The behaviour of 4-spinors as regards spatial rotation is the same as that of 3-spinors, for which, as we know, \( \psi^a \sim \psi^a \). According to the definition (17.7), the 4-spinor \( \eta_a \) therefore behaves under rotations in the same way as the contravariant 3-spinor \( \psi^a \). The covariant components \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) therefore correspond, as the components of the wave function of a particle with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \), to the eigenvalues \( \frac{1}{2} \) and \( -\frac{1}{2} \) of the spin component.

Spinors of higher rank are defined as sets of quantities which are transformed as products of the components of a number of spinors of rank one. The indices of these spinors of higher rank may be partly dotted and partly undotted. For example, there exist three types of spinors of rank two:

\[ \xi^{ab} \sim \xi^a \xi^b, \quad \xi^{a\beta} \sim \xi^a \eta^\beta, \quad \eta^{a\beta} \sim \eta^a H^\beta. \]

In this respect, the statement of just the total rank of a spinor does not uniquely define it; we shall therefore, where necessary, indicate the rank as a pair of numbers \((k, l)\), the numbers of undotted and dotted indices respectively.
Since the transformations (17.1) and (17.8) are algebraically independent, it is not necessary to specify the sequence of dotted and undotted indices; in this sense the spinors \( \eta^{ab} \) and \( \xi^{ab} \), for example, are the same.

In order to be invariant, every spinor equation must have on each side the same numbers of undotted and dotted indices, since otherwise the equation could not remain valid when the frame of reference was changed. Here we must remember that taking the complex conjugate implies interchanging dotted and undotted indices. The relationship \( \eta^{ab} = (\xi^{ab})^\ast \) between two spinors is therefore invariant.

Spinors or their products can be contracted only with respect to pairs of indices of the same kind (dotted or undotted); summation with respect to two indices of different kinds is not an invariant operation. Hence, from the spinor
\[
\xi^{a_{1}a_{2} \ldots a_{k}}b_{1}b_{2} \ldots b_{l},
\]
which is symmetrical in all \( k \) undotted indices and in all \( l \) dotted indices, we can obtain no spinor of lower rank (since contraction with respect to a pair of indices in which the spinor is symmetrical gives zero). Thus we cannot construct from the quantities (17.10) a smaller number of linear combinations of them which in turn are transformed into linear combinations of themselves by every transformation in the group. That is, the symmetrical 4-spinors are realisations of the irreducible representations of the proper Lorentz group. Each irreducible representation is specified by the pair of numbers \((k, l)\).

Each spinor index takes two values, and there are therefore \( k+1 \) essentially different sets of numbers \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k \) in (17.10) (containing 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \( k \) ones and \( k, k-1, \ldots, 0 \) twos) and \( l+1 \) sets of numbers \( \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_l \). The symmetrical spinor of rank \((k, l)\) thus has a total of \((k+1)(l+1)\) independent components, and this is also the dimension of the corresponding irreducible representation.

§18. The relation between spinors and 4-vectors

The spinor \( \xi^{a_{b}} \), with one dotted and undotted index, has \( 2 \times 2 = 4 \) independent components, the same as the number of components of a 4-vector. It is therefore clear that both are realisations of the same irreducible representation of the proper Lorentz group, and that there must consequently be a certain relation between their components.

In order to ascertain this relation, let us first consider the corresponding relation in the three-dimensional case, using the fact that 3-spinors and 4-spinors must behave in the same manner with respect to purely spatial rotations.

For the three-dimensional spinor \( \psi^{a_{b}} \), the correspondence formulae are as shown in QM, §58; they will here be written as
\[
\begin{align*}
a_x & = \frac{1}{2}(\psi^{22} - \psi^{11}) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{1}^{\dagger} + \psi_{2}^{\dagger}), \\
a_y & = -\frac{1}{2}i(\psi^{22} + \psi^{11}) = \frac{1}{2}i(\psi_{1}^{\dagger} - \psi_{2}^{\dagger}), \\
a_z & = \frac{1}{2}(\psi^{12} + \psi^{21}) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_{1}^{\dagger} - \psi_{2}^{\dagger})\end{align*}
\]
where \( a_x, a_y, a_z \) are the components of a three-dimensional vector \( \mathbf{a} \). For the four-dimensional case, the components \( \psi_{b}^{a} \) must be replaced by \( \xi^{a_{b}} \), and \( a_x, a_y, a_z \) must be taken to be the contravariant components \( a^1, a^2, a^3 \) of a 4-vector. The form of the expression for the fourth component \( a^0 \) is evident from the fact, noted in §17, that the quantity (17.6) must transform as \( a^0 \). Hence \( a^0 \sim \xi^{11} + \xi^{22} \), the coefficient of proportionality being determined so that the scalar \( \xi^{a_{b}}\xi^{a_{b}} \) is the same as the scalar \( 2a_{\mu}a^{\mu} \equiv 2a^2 \).
Thus we obtain the correspondence formulae
\[
\begin{align*}
a^1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\zeta^{12} + \zeta^{21}), & a^2 &= \frac{1}{2}(\zeta^{12} - \zeta^{21}), \\
a^3 &= \frac{1}{2}(\zeta^{11} - \zeta^{22}), & a^0 &= \frac{1}{2}(\zeta^{11} + \zeta^{22}).
\end{align*}
\] (18.1)

The inverse formulae are
\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta^{11} &= \zeta_{22} = a^3 + a^0, & \zeta^{22} &= \zeta_{11} = a^0 - a^3, \\
\zeta^{12} &= -\zeta_{21} = a^1 - ia^2, & \zeta^{21} &= -\zeta_{12} = a^1 + ia^2,
\end{align*}
\] (18.2)

with
\[
\zeta_{ab} \zeta^{ab} = 2a^2.
\] (18.3)

Moreover
\[
\zeta_{ab} \zeta^{cd} = \delta^a_c a^d,
\] (18.4)
as is seen from the fact that the spinor \(\zeta_{ab} \zeta^{cd}\), of rank two, is antisymmetric in the indices \(\alpha, \gamma\), and is therefore proportional to the metric spinor.

The correspondence between the spinor \(\zeta_{ab}\) and the 4-vector is a particular case of a general rule: any symmetrical spinor of rank \((k, k)\) is equivalent to a symmetrical 4-tensor of rank \(k\) which is irreducible (i.e., which gives zero on contraction with respect to any pair of indices).

The relation between the spinor and the 4-vector may be written in a compact form by means of the two-rowed Pauli matrices\(\dagger\)
\[
\sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\] (18.5)

If the matrix of the quantities \(\xi^{ab}\) (with the indices raised and the first undotted) is symbolised by \(\zeta\), then formulae (18.2) become
\[
\zeta = a \cdot \sigma + a^0,
\] (18.6)

the second term denoting of course the product of \(a^0\) and a unit matrix. The inverse formulae are
\[
a = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\zeta \sigma), \quad a^0 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \zeta.
\] (18.7)

Using formulae (18.6), (18.7), we can determine the relation between the laws of transformation of the 4-vector and the spinor, and thus express the law of transformation of the spinor in terms of the parameters of rotations of the 4-coordinates.

We write the transformation of the spinor \(\zeta^\alpha\) in the form
\[
\zeta'^\alpha = (B\zeta)^\alpha, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix},
\] (18.8)

where \(B\) is a two-rowed matrix formed from the coefficients of the binary transformation. Then the transformation of the dotted spinor is
\[
\eta'{}^\beta = (B^* \eta)^\beta = (\eta B^+)^\beta,
\] (18.9)

and the transformation of the spinor \(\xi^{ab} \sim \zeta^a \eta^b\), of rank two, may be symbolised as\(\ddagger\)

\(\dagger\) In QM the matrices \(s = i\sigma\) were used.
\(\ddagger\) For the covariant components we have
\[
\xi'^\alpha = (B^{-1} \xi)\alpha = (\xi B^{-1})\alpha, \\
\eta'^\beta = (\eta B^*)\beta,
\] (18.8a)

so that the product \(\zeta^\alpha \eta^\beta\) of two spinors remains invariant.
The relation between spinors and 4-vectors

§18

For the infinitesimal transformation $B = 1 + \lambda$, where $\lambda$ is a small matrix, we have as far as first-order quantities

$$\zeta' = \zeta + (\lambda \zeta + \zeta \lambda^+).$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.10)

Let us first consider the Lorentz transformation to a frame of reference moving with an infinitesimal velocity $\delta V$ (without change in direction of the space coordinate axes). Then the 4-vector $a^\mu = (a^0, a)$ is transformed as follows:

$$a' = a - a^0 \delta V, \quad a^{0'} = a^0 - a \cdot \delta V.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.11)

We now make use of formulae (18.7). The transformation of $a^0$ may be represented, firstly, as

$$a^{0'} = a^0 - a \cdot \delta V = a^0 - \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\zeta \sigma \cdot \delta V);$$

secondly, as

$$a^{0'} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \zeta' = a^0 + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\lambda \zeta + \zeta \lambda^+)$$

$$= a^0 + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \zeta (\lambda + \lambda^+).$$

These two expressions must be identically equal (i.e. equal for all values of $\zeta$). Hence

$$\lambda + \lambda^+ = - \sigma \cdot \delta V.$$

Treating the transformation of $a$ in the same way, we find

$$\sigma \lambda + \lambda^+ \sigma = - \delta V.$$

These equations, as equations for $\lambda$, have the solution

$$\lambda = \lambda^+ = - \frac{1}{2} \sigma \cdot \delta V.$$

Thus an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation of the spinor $\xi^a$ has the matrix

$$B = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma \cdot n \delta V,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.12)

where $n$ is a unit vector in the direction of the velocity $\delta V$. From this we can easily find the transformation for a finite velocity $V$. To do so, we recall that a Lorentz transformation signifies (geometrically) a rotation of the 4-coordinates in the plane of $t$ and $n$ through an angle $\phi$ which is related to the velocity $V$ by $\tanh \phi = V$. An angle $\delta \phi = \delta V$ corresponds to an infinitesimal transformation, and a rotation through a finite angle $\phi$ is carried out by a $\phi/\delta \phi$-fold repetition of a rotation through $\delta \phi$. Raising the operator (18.12) to the power $\phi/\delta \phi$ and taking the limit $\delta \phi \to 0$, we obtain

$$B = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \phi n \cdot \sigma}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.13)

The mathematical significance of this operator is seen by noticing that, from the properties of the Pauli matrices, all even powers of $n \cdot \sigma$ are equal to 1, and all odd powers are equal to $n \cdot \sigma$. Since the expansions of the hyperbolic sine and cosine contain respectively odd and even powers of the argument, we have finally

$$B = \cosh \frac{1}{2} \phi - n \cdot \sigma \sinh \frac{1}{2} \phi,$$

$$\tanh \phi = V.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.14)

The matrices $B$ of the Lorentz transformations are Hermitian: $B = B^+$. Let us now consider an infinitesimal rotation of the space coordinates. The three-dimensional vector $a$ is transformed as follows:

$$a' = a - \delta \theta \times a,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.15)

† The metric is pseudo-Euclidean in planes containing the time axis.
where $\delta \theta$ is the vector of the infinitesimal angle of rotation. The corresponding transformation of a spinor may be found similarly. There is no need to do so, however, since the behaviour of 4-spinors under spatial rotations is the same as that of 3-spinors, and the transformation for the latter is known from the general relationship between the spin operator and the operator of an infinitesimal rotation:

$$B = 1 + \frac{1}{2} i \sigma \cdot \delta \theta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.16)

The change to a rotation through a finite angle $\theta$ is made in the same way as that from (18.12) to (18.14):

$$B = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} i \theta n \cdot \sigma \right) = \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta + i n \cdot \sigma \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18.17)

where $n$ is a unit vector along the axis of rotation. This matrix is unitary ($B^+ = B^{-1}$), as it should be for a spatial rotation.

§19. Inversion of spinors

The discussion (in $QM$) of the three-dimensional theory of spinors did not consider their behaviour under the operation of spatial inversion, since in the non-relativistic theory this would not have led to any new physical results. Here we shall examine the point, however, in order to make clearer the subsequent analysis of the inversion properties of 4-spinors.

The operation of inversion does not alter the sign of the spin vector, or of any axial vector, and the spin component $s_z$ is therefore also unchanged in value. Hence it follows that inversion can change each component of the spinor $\psi^s$ only into a multiple of itself:

$$\psi^s \rightarrow P \psi^s,$$  \hspace{1cm} (19.1)

where $P$ is a constant factor. On repeating the inversion, we return to the original coordinates. For a spinor, however, a return to the original position can be regarded in two different ways, as a rotation through 0° or 360°. These two definitions are not equivalent with respect to spinors, since $\psi^s$ changes sign on rotation through 360°. Thus two alternative views of inversion are possible: one where

$$P^2 = 1, \quad P = \pm 1,$$  \hspace{1cm} (19.2)

and one where

$$P^2 = -1, \quad P = \pm i.$$  \hspace{1cm} (19.3)

Here it is important to note that the concept of inversion must be defined in the same way for all spinors. It is not permissible for different spinors to behave differently under inversion (i.e. in accordance with both (19.2) and (19.3)), since in that case it would not be possible to construct a scalar (or a pseudoscalar) from every pair of spinors: if the spinor $\psi^s$ were transformed according to (19.2), and $\phi^s$ according to (19.3), then the quantity $\psi^s \phi_s$ would be multiplied by $\pm i$ under inversion, instead of remaining constant (or simply changing sign).

It should be emphasised that (whatever the definition of inversion) the assignment of a particular parity $P$ to a spinor has no absolute significance, since spinors change sign on rotation through $2\pi$, and this can always be carried out simultaneously with inversion. The "relative parity" of two spinors, defined as the parity of the scalar $\psi^s \phi_s$ formed from them, has absolute significance, however; on rotation through $2\pi$, both spinors change sign, and the indeterminacy therefore does not influence the parity of this scalar.
Let us now go on to discuss four-dimensional spinors, first noting that inversion changes the sign of only three coordinates \( x, y, z \) out of the four \( x, y, z, t \); it therefore commutes with spatial rotations but not with transformations which rotate the \( t \)-axis. If \( L \) is the Lorentz transformation to a frame of reference moving with velocity \( V \), then \( PL = L'P \), where \( L' \) is the transformation to a frame moving with velocity \( -V \).

Hence it follows that the components of the 4-spinor \( \xi^a \) cannot be transformed into multiples of themselves under inversion. If the inversion of the spinor \( \xi^a \) were given by the transformation (19.1) as before (i.e. if it were represented by a matrix proportional to the unit matrix), it would commute with every Lorentz transformation, and this certainly cannot be true, since the operations \( L \) and \( L' \) are not the same when applied to \( \xi^a \).

Thus inversion must transform the components of the spinor \( \xi^a \) into expressions involving other quantities. The latter can only be the components of some other spinor \( \eta^a \) whose transformation properties are not the same as those of \( \xi^a \). Since inversion does not affect the \( z \)-component of the spin (as mentioned above), the components \( \xi^1 \) and \( \xi^2 \) can only become \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) on inversion; these corresponding to the same values \( s_z = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( s_z = -\frac{1}{2} \). If inversion is taken to be an operation which gives identity when carried out twice, its effect may be expressed by the formulae

\[
\xi^a \to \eta_a, \quad \eta_a \to \xi^a. \quad (19.4)
\]

For the covariant components \( \xi_a \) and contravariant components \( \eta^a \), these transformations change sign:

\[
\xi_a \to -\eta^a, \quad \eta^a \to -\xi_a, \quad (19.4a)
\]

since the lowering and raising of the same index lead to opposite signs (cf. (17.5) and (17.9)). If, however, inversion is taken in the sense such that \( P^2 = -1 \), its effect is given by

\[
\xi^a \to i\eta_a, \quad \eta_a \to i\xi^a \quad (19.5)
\]

or, equivalently,

\[
\xi_a \to -i\eta^a, \quad \eta^a \to -i\xi_a. \quad (19.5a)
\]

There is a certain difference between the two definitions of inversion in that with the second definition complex-conjugate spinors are transformed in the same manner: \( \Xi \Xi = \eta_a^{\ast} \), \( \Xi^4 = \xi^{a\ast} \), then by (19.5) \( \Xi_a \to -\mathbf{i}\Xi^a \), \( \Xi^4 \to -\Xi^4 \), i.e. the rule is the same as for \( \xi^a, \eta^a \). According to the definition (19.4), however, we should obtain \( \Xi_a \to \mathbf{H}^a, \mathbf{H}^a \to \mathbf{H}^a \), which is opposite in sign to the transformation of the spinors \( \xi_a, \eta^a \). We shall return in \( \xi_a, \eta^a \) to some possible physical consequences of this difference.

In the following, the definition (19.5) will be used.

The spinors \( \xi^a \) and \( \eta_a \) are, as we know, transformed in the same way by the rotation subgroup. On taking the combinations

\[
\xi^a \pm \eta_a \quad (19.6)
\]

we obtain quantities which are transformed under inversion according to (19.1) with \( P = \pm \mathbf{i} \). These combinations, however, do not behave as spinors under all the transformations of the Lorentz group.

\[\dagger\] The definition (19.4) is, of course, to some extent arbitrary, since the quantities \( \xi^a \) and \( \eta_a \) are independent. For instance, if \( \eta_a \) is replaced by a new spinor \( \eta'_a = e^{i\alpha}\eta_a \), (19.4) is replaced by the equivalent definition

\[
\xi^a \to e^{-i\alpha}\eta'_a, \quad \eta'_a \to e^{i\alpha}\xi^a.
\]
Thus the inclusion of inversion in the symmetry group makes necessary the simultaneous treatment of a pair of spinors \((\xi^a, \eta_a)\); this is called a bispinor (of rank one). The four components of a bispinor form a realisation of one of the irreducible representations of the extended Lorentz group.

The scalar product of two bispinors \((\xi^a, \eta_a)\) and \((\Xi^a, H_a)\) can be formed in two ways. The quantity

\[
\xi^a \Xi_a + \eta_a H^a
\]

is unchanged by inversion, i.e. it is a true scalar. The quantity

\[
\xi^a \Xi_a - \eta_a H^a
\]

is also invariant under rotations of the 4-coordinates, but changes sign under inversion, i.e. it is a pseudoscalar.

A spinor of rank two, \(\zeta^{ab}\), may also be defined in two ways. If it is defined by the transformation rule

\[
\zeta^{ab} \sim \xi^a \Xi^b + \Xi^a \eta^b,
\]

we obtain quantities which are transformed under inversion as follows:

\[
\zeta^{ab} \rightarrow -\zeta_{ab}.
\]

The 4-vector \(a^a\) to which such a spinor is equivalent is transformed, according to (18.1), by \((a^0, a) \rightarrow (a^0, -a)\), i.e. it is a true 4-vector, and the three-dimensional vector \(a\) is a polar vector.

It is also possible, however, to define \(\zeta^{ab}\) thus:

\[
\zeta^{ab} \sim \xi^a \Xi^b - \Xi^a \eta^b.
\]

Then†

\[
\zeta^{ab} \rightarrow -\zeta_{ab}.
\]

Such a spinor corresponds to a 4-vector such that under inversion \((a^0, a) \rightarrow (-a^0, a)\), i.e. a 4-pseudovector (the three-dimensional vector \(a\) being an axial vector).

Symmetrical spinors of rank two, with indices of the same type, are defined by

\[
\xi^{ab} \sim \xi ^a \Xi^b + \xi ^b \Xi ^a, \quad \eta_{ab} \sim \eta ^a H^b + \eta ^b H^a.
\]

On inversion they are transformed into each other:

\[
\xi^{ab} \rightarrow -\eta_{ab}.
\]

The pair \((\xi^{ab}, \eta_{ab})\) forms a bispinor of rank two. It has \(3 + 3 = 6\) independent components. The antisymmetric 4-tensor of rank two \(a^{\alpha\beta}\) also has this number of components. There must therefore be a certain correspondence between the bispinor and the tensor; both are realisations of equivalent irreducible representations of the extended Lorentz group.

Since the spinors \(\xi^{ab}\) and \(\eta_{ab}\) are transformed independently by the proper Lorentz group, we can construct from the components of the 4-tensor \(a^{\alpha\beta}\) two groups of quantities which are transformed only into combinations of one another under any rotation of the 4-coordinates. This division is achieved as follows.

† It must be emphasised that the transformation rules (19.10) and (19.12), which differ in the sign on the right, are not equivalent, since components of the same spinor appear on both sides (cf. the last footnote).
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We define a three-dimensional polar vector \( \mathbf{p} \) and a three-dimensional axial vector \( \mathbf{a} \) related to the components of the 4-tensor \( a^{\mu\nu} \) by

\[
a^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & p_x & p_y & p_z \\
-\mathbf{p} & 0 & -a_z & a_y \\
-\mathbf{p} & a_z & 0 & -a_x \\
-\mathbf{p} & a_y & a_x & 0
\end{pmatrix} \equiv (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{a}),
\]

(19.15)

where \( (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{a}) \) is a concise notation which we shall use in order to specify the components of such a tensor. Then \( a_{\mu\nu} = (-\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{a}) \), and, of the two quantities

\[
a^2 - p^2 = \frac{1}{2} a_{\mu\nu} a^{\mu\nu}, \quad \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{2} e_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} a^{\mu\nu} a^{\rho\sigma},
\]

the first is a scalar and the second a pseudoscalar; both are invariant under the proper Lorentz group. The squares of the three-dimensional vectors \( f^\pm = p \pm ia \) are therefore also invariant. Thus any rotation in 4-space is equivalent, as regards the vectors \( f^\pm \), to a “rotation” in 3-space, through angles which are in general complex; the six angles of rotation in 4-space correspond to three complex “angles of rotation” of the three-dimensional coordinates. The operation of spatial inversion changes the sign of \( \mathbf{p} \) but not that of \( \mathbf{a} \), and converts the vectors \( f^+ \) and \( -f^- \) into each other. The components of these vectors are the required two groups of quantities formed from the components of the tensor \( a^{\mu\nu} \).

This also makes evident the correspondence between the components of the 4-tensor \( a^{\mu\nu} \) and the spinors \( \bar{\zeta}^\alpha_\beta, \eta_\alpha^\beta \). Since the Lorentz group contains as a subgroup the spatial rotations, the relations between the components of the spinor and those of the three-dimensional vector must be the same as for three-dimensional spinors:

\[
\begin{align*}
f^+_x &= \frac{1}{2}(\zeta^{22} - \zeta^{11}), & f^+_y &= \frac{1}{2}i(\zeta^{22} + \zeta^{11}), & f^+_z &= \zeta^{12}; \\
f^-_x &= \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{22} - \eta^{11}), & f^-_y &= \frac{1}{2}i(\eta^{22} + \eta^{11}), & f^-_z &= \eta^{12}.
\end{align*}
\]

(19.16)

**PROBLEM**

Derive the general correspondence between spinors of even rank and 4-tensors.

**SOLUTION.** All spinors for which \( k + l \) is even are realizations of single-valued irreducible representations of the extended Lorentz group, and are therefore equivalent to the 4-tensors which are realizations of similar representations.†

A spinor of rank \( (k, k) \) can be defined so that it is transformed under inversion by

\[
\zeta^\alpha_\beta \ldots \eta^\beta_\alpha \rightarrow \pm \zeta^\alpha_\beta \ldots \eta^\beta_\alpha
\]

(1)

Such a spinor is equivalent to a symmetrical irreducible 4-tensor of rank \( k \), which is a true tensor or a pseudotensor according to the sign in (1).

Spinors of ranks \( (k, l) \) and \( (l, k) \), forming a bispinor, are transformed under inversion by

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\zeta^\alpha_\beta \ldots \eta^\beta_\gamma \\
1 \\
\end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(k-l)} \zeta^\alpha_\beta \ldots \eta^\beta_\gamma
\]

(2)

When \( l = k + 2 \), the bispinor is equivalent to an irreducible 4-tensor \( a_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ldots \) of rank \( k + 2 \), antisymmetric in the indices \( [\mu\nu] \) and symmetric in all the other indices. The irreducibility of this tensor signifies that it gives zero on contraction with respect to any pair of indices and on dualization with respect to any three indices (i.e. \( e^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}a_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \ldots = 0 \)); the latter condition implies that the result is zero on taking the cyclic sum over three indices, \( \mu\nu \) and any one other.

When \( l = k + 4 \), the bispinor is equivalent to an irreducible 4-tensor \( a_{\lambda\mu l\rho\sigma} \ldots \) of rank \( k + 4 \), having the following properties: it is antisymmetric in the pairs of indices \( [\lambda\mu] \) and \( [\nu\rho] \), symmetric in all others,

† Spinors of odd rank are realizations of two-valued representations of the group: a spatial rotation through 360° changes the sign of spinors, so that two matrices of opposite sign correspond to each element of the group.
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symmetric for interchange of \([k\ell]\) with \([\nu\rho]\), gives zero on contraction with respect to any pair of indices and on dualisation with respect to any three indices.

Generally, when \(l = k + 2n\), the bispinor is equivalent to an irreducible 4-tensor of rank \(k + 2n\), antisymmetric in \(n\) pairs of indices and symmetric in the other \(k\) indices.

§20. Dirac’s equation in the spinor representation

A particle with spin \(\frac{1}{2}\) is described, in its rest frame, by a two-component wave function, i.e. an three-dimensional spinor. The “four-dimensional origin” of this may be either an undotted or a dotted spinor. Both these 4-spinors appear in the description of the particle in an arbitrary frame of reference; we shall denote them by \(\xi^a\) and \(\eta_a\).

For a free particle, the only operator which can appear in the wave equation is (as shown in §10) the 4-momentum operator \(p_\mu = i\partial_\mu\). In the spinor notation, this 4-vector corresponds to the operator spinor \(p_a\), with

\[
\begin{align*}
p^{11} &= p_{22} = p_z + p_0, & p^{22} &= p_{11} = p_0 - p_z, \\
p^{12} &= -p_{21} = p_z - ip_y, & p^{21} &= -p_{12} = p_z + ip_y.
\end{align*}
\]

The wave equation is a linear differential relation between the components of spinors, expressed by the operator \(p_a\). The requirement of relativistic invariance leads to the equations

\[
\begin{align*}
p^{a\beta}\eta_\beta &= m\xi^a, \\
p_{\beta\beta}\xi^\beta &= mn_\beta,
\end{align*}
\]  

(20.2)

where \(m\) is a dimensional constant. There would be no meaning in using different constants \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) here, or in changing the sign of \(m\), since the equations could still be reduced to the above form by an appropriate transformation of \(\xi^a\) or \(\eta_a\).

By substituting \(\eta_\beta\) from the second equation (20.2) in the first, we can eliminate one of the two spinors:

\[
p^{a\beta}\eta_\beta = \frac{1}{m} p^{a\beta}p_{\beta\beta}\xi^\beta = m\xi^a.
\]

From (18.4), \(p^{a\beta}p_{\beta\beta} = p^2\delta^a_r\), and thus we obtain

\[
(p^2 - m^2)\xi^a = 0,
\]

(20.3)

whence it is evident that \(m\) is the mass of the particle.

It should be noticed that the need to use the mass in the wave equation implies the simultaneous consideration of two spinors (\(\xi^a\) and \(\eta_a\)): with only one of these, it would not be possible to construct a relativistically invariant equation containing a dimensional parameter.

The wave equation is necessarily invariant under spatial inversion if the transformation of the wave function is defined by

\[
P: \quad \xi^a \to i\eta_a, \quad \eta_a \to i\xi^a.
\]

(20.4)

It is easily seen that the two equations (20.2) are interchanged by this substitution (together

† 4-tensors antisymmetric in larger numbers (threes, fours, etc.) of indices do not appear in this classification, for the obvious reason that an antisymmetric tensor of rank 3 is equivalent (dual) to a pseudovector, and an antisymmetric tensor of rank 4 reduces to a scalar (is proportional to the unit pseudotensor \(\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\)); antisymmetry in a still greater number of indices is not possible in 4-space.

‡ A three-dimensional spinor of rank one may also “originate” from 4-spinors of higher odd rank which, in the rest frame, become antisymmetric in one or more pairs of indices. These would, however, lead to higher-order equations (cf. the third footnote to §10).
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with $p^\mu \to p_\alpha$, which is evident from (20.1)). Two spinors which are interchanged by inversion form a four-component quantity, a bispinor.

The relativistic wave equation given by (20.2) is called Dirac's equation, having been first derived by Dirac in 1928. In order to analyse and apply this equation further, let us consider various ways in which it may be written.

Using (18.6), we can rewrite equations (20.2) as

$$\begin{align*}
(p_0 + p \cdot \sigma) \xi &= m \xi, \\
(p_0 - p \cdot \sigma) \eta &= m \eta.
\end{align*} \tag{20.5}$$

Here the symbols $\xi$ and $\eta$ denote two-component quantities, the spinors

$$\begin{align*}
\xi &= \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 \\ \xi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\eta &= \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1 \\ \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}
\end{align*} \tag{20.6}$$

(the first with upper and the second with lower indices). Here and below, multiplication of the matrices $\sigma$ by any two-component quantity $f$ means multiplication by the usual matrix rule:

$$(\sigma f)_a = \sigma_{ab} f_b. \tag{20.7}$$

The vertical column notation for $f$ is in accordance with the multiplication of each row of $\sigma$ by the column $f$.

For subsequent reference, the Pauli matrices may be written once more:

$$\begin{align*}
\sigma_x &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\sigma_y &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\sigma_z &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*} \tag{20.8}$$

Their fundamental properties are

$$\begin{align*}
\sigma_i \sigma_k + \sigma_k \sigma_i &= 2 \delta_{ik}, \\
\sigma_i \sigma_k &= i \epsilon_{ikl} \sigma_l + \delta_{ik};
\end{align*} \tag{20.9}$$

see QM, §55.

We shall also give the wave equation satisfied by the complex-conjugate wave function formed from the spinors

$$\begin{align*}
\xi^* &= (\xi_1^*, \xi_2^*), \\
\eta^* &= (\eta_1^*, \eta_2^*). \tag{20.10}
\end{align*}$$

Since all the operators $p_\mu$ contain the factor $i$, $p_\mu^* = -p_\mu$. In taking the complex conjugate of both sides of equations (20.5), we must also use the fact that, since the matrices $\sigma$ are Hermitian ($\sigma^* = \overline{\sigma}$),

$$(\sigma f)_a^* = \sigma_{ab}^* f_b^* = f_b^* \sigma_{ba} = (f^* \sigma)_a; \tag{20.11}$$

the resulting equations are

$$\begin{align*}
\eta^*(p_0 + p \cdot \sigma) &= -m \xi^*, \\
\xi^*(p_0 - p \cdot \sigma) &= -m \eta^*.
\end{align*} \tag{20.11}$$

Here it is conventionally implied that the operators $p^\mu$ act on the function to the left of them. The writing of $\xi^*$ and $\eta^*$ as horizontal rows is in accordance with the matrix multiplication in these equations: the row $f$ is multiplied by the columns of the matrix $\sigma$,

$$(f^* \sigma)_a = f_b^* \sigma_{ba}. \tag{20.12}$$

The inversion transformation for $\xi^*$, $\eta^*$ is defined as the complex conjugate of the transformation (20.4):

$$P: \quad \xi_a^* \to -i \eta_a^*, \quad \eta_a^* \to -i \xi_a^*. \tag{20.13}$$
§21. The symmetrical form of Dirac’s equation

The spinor form of Dirac’s equation is the most natural one, in the sense that its relativistic invariance is immediately apparent. In applications of the equation, however, other forms of the wave equation may be more convenient, which are obtained by a different choice of the four independent components of the wave function.

We shall denote the four-component wave function by the symbol \( \psi \), with components \( \psi_i \) \((i = 1, 2, 3, 4)\). In the spinor representation, it is a bispinor:

\[
\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}.
\]

But the independent components of \( \psi \) can equally well be taken as any linearly independent combinations of components of the spinors \( \zeta \) and \( \eta \).† We shall arbitrarily limit the acceptable linear transformations by the one condition of unitarity; such transformations leave unchanged the bilinear forms constructed from \( \psi \) and \( \psi^* \) (§28).

In the general case of an arbitrary choice of the components of \( \psi \), Dirac’s equation can be put in the form

\[
p_\mu \gamma_\mu \psi_k = m \psi_k,
\]

where \( \gamma^\mu \) \((\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3)\) are certain four-rowed matrices (Dirac matrices). We shall usually write this equation in a symbolic form, omitting the matrix indices:

\[
(\gamma \mu - m) \psi = 0,
\]

where

\[
\gamma \mu \equiv \gamma^\mu p_\mu = p_0 \gamma^0 - \mathbf{p} \cdot \gamma
\]

\[
= i \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i \mathbf{\gamma} \cdot \nabla,
\]

\[
\gamma = (\gamma^1, \gamma^2, \gamma^3).
\]

For example, the spinor form of the equation with the components of \( \psi \) as in (21.1) corresponds to the matrices‡

\[
\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma \\ \sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

as is easily seen by writing the equations (20.5) as

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & p_0 + \mathbf{p} \cdot \sigma \\ p_0 - \mathbf{p} \cdot \sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = m \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}
\]

and comparing with (21.2).

In the general case, the matrices \( \gamma \) need satisfy only conditions ensuring that \( p^2 = m^2 \).

To find these conditions, we multiply equation (21.2) on the left by \( \gamma^\mu \):

\[
(\gamma^\mu p_\mu) (\gamma^\mu \psi) = m (p_\mu \gamma^\mu) \psi = m^2 \psi.
\]

Since \( p_\mu p_\mu \) is a symmetrical tensor (all the operators \( p_\mu \) commute), this equation may be rewritten

\[
\frac{1}{2} p_\mu p_\mu (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu \gamma^\mu) \psi = m^2 \psi,
\]

† For brevity, the four-component quantity \( \psi \) will be referred to as a bispinor even in non-spinor representations.

‡ Here and below, we use a compact two-rowed notation for four-rowed matrices. Each symbol in (21.3) represents a two-rowed matrix.
and we must therefore have
\[ \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2g^\mu^\nu. \] (21.4)
Thus all the pairs of different matrices \( \gamma^\mu \) anticommute, and their squares are
\[ (\gamma^1)^2 = (\gamma^2)^2 = (\gamma^3)^2 = -1, \quad (\gamma^0)^2 = 1. \] (21.5)

Under an arbitrary unitary transformation of the components \( \psi': \psi' = U\psi \), where \( U \) is a unitary four-rowed matrix, the matrices \( \gamma \) are transformed as follows:
\[ \gamma' = U\gamma U^{-1} = U\gamma U^+, \] (21.6)
so that the equation \(( \gamma p - m )\psi = 0 \) becomes \(( \gamma' p - m )\psi' = 0 \). The commutation relations (21.4) remain unchanged, of course.

The matrix \( \gamma^0 \) (21.3) is Hermitian, and the matrices \( \gamma \) are anti-Hermitian. These properties are preserved under any unitary transformation (21.6), and we therefore always have†
\[ \gamma^+ = -\gamma, \quad \gamma^{0+} = \gamma^0. \] (21.7)

The equation for the complex-conjugate function \( \psi^* \) may also be given. Taking the complex conjugate of equation (21.2) and using the properties (21.7), we obtain
\[ (-p_0 \gamma^0 - p \cdot \gamma - m)\psi^* = 0. \]
We commute \( \psi^* \) by \( \gamma^\mu \psi^* = \psi^* \gamma^\mu \) and then multiply the whole equation on the right by \( \gamma^0 \); since \( \gamma^0 = -\gamma^0 \gamma \), we have in terms of a new bispinor
\[ \bar{\psi} = \psi^* \gamma^0, \quad \psi^* = \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \] (21.8)
the result
\[ \bar{\psi}(\gamma p + m) = 0. \] (21.9)

As in (20.11), the operator \( p \) is here taken to act on the function to its left. The function \( \bar{\psi} \) is called the Dirac conjugate (or relativistically conjugate) function to \( \psi \). The factor \( \gamma^0 \) in its definition signifies that (in the spinor representation) it interchanges the spinors \( \xi^* \) and \( \eta^* \); thus, in \( \bar{\psi} = (\eta^*, \xi^*) \) the first spinor is undotted (as in \( \psi \)) and the second is dotted. For this reason \( \bar{\psi} \) is a more natural “partner” of \( \psi \) than \( \psi^* \) is; they appear together, for instance, in various bilinear combinations (see §28).

The inversion transformation for the wave function may be written as
\[ P: \quad \psi \rightarrow i\gamma^0 \psi, \quad \bar{\psi} = -i\bar{\psi} \gamma^0. \] (21.10)
In the spinor representation of \( \psi \), the matrix \( \gamma^0 \) interchanges the components \( \xi \) and \( \eta \), as should happen on inversion. The invariance of Dirac’s equation under the transformation (21.10) in the general case is immediately obvious: changing \( p \) into \(-p \) and \( \psi \) into \( i\gamma^0 \psi \) in equation (21.2), we have
\[ (p_0\gamma^0 + p \cdot \gamma - m)\gamma^0 \psi = 0. \]
Multiplying this equation on the left by \( \gamma^0 \) and taking into account the fact that \( \gamma^0 \) and \( \gamma \) anticommute, we return to the original equation.

Multiplying the equation \(( \gamma p - m )\psi = 0 \) on the left by \( \bar{\psi} \), and the equation \( \bar{\psi}(\gamma p + m) = 0 \) on the right by \( \psi \), and adding, we obtain
\[ \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu(p_\mu \psi) + (p_\mu \bar{\psi})\gamma^\mu \psi = (p_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) = 0, \]
where the parentheses indicate the function on which the operator \( p \) acts. This equation

† These equations may be written jointly in the form
\[ \gamma^4 = \gamma^0 \gamma^4 \gamma^0. \]
is in the form of an equation of continuity, \( \partial_{\mu} j^\mu = 0 \), so that
\[
j^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi
= (\psi^* \gamma_0 \psi^* \gamma^0 \gamma \psi)
\]
(21.11)
is the particle current density 4-vector. Its time component \( j^0 = \psi^* \psi \) is positive–definite.

Dirac’s equation may be put in the form of an expression for the time derivative:
\[
i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = H \psi,
\]
(21.12)
where \( H \) is the Hamiltonian of the particle.† To obtain this form, we need only multiply equation (21.2) on the left by \( \gamma^0 \). The resulting expression for the Hamiltonian is
\[
H = \alpha \cdot p + \beta m,
\]
(21.13)
where
\[
\alpha = \gamma^0 \gamma, \quad \beta = \gamma^0
\]
(21.14)
is the customary notation for the matrices concerned.

It may be noted that
\[
a_i a_k + a_k a_i = 2 \delta_{ik}, \quad \beta a + \alpha \beta = 0, \quad \beta^2 = 1,
\]
(21.15)
i.e. all the matrices \( \alpha, \beta \) anticommute and their squares are unity; they are all Hermitian.

In the spinor representation,
\[
\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
(21.16)

In the limit of small velocities the particle must be described, as in the non-relativistic theory, by a single two-component spinor: on taking the limit \( p \to 0, \epsilon \to m \) in equations (20.5), we find \( \xi = \eta \), so that the two spinors which form the bispinor are equal. This, however, reveals a defect of the spinor form of Dirac’s equation: in the limit, all four components of \( \psi \) are non-zero, although only two of them are really independent. A more convenient representation of the wave function \( \psi \) would be one in which two of its components were zero in the limit.

Accordingly, we replace \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) by linear combinations \( \phi \) and \( \chi \):
\[
\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix},
\]
\[
\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi + \eta), \quad \chi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\xi - \eta).
\]
(21.17)
Then \( \chi = 0 \) for a particle at rest. This will be called the standard representation of \( \psi \). On inversion, \( \phi \) and \( \chi \) are transformed as follows:
\[
P: \quad \phi \to i \phi, \quad \chi \to -i \chi.
\]
(21.18)

The equations for \( \phi \) and \( \chi \) are obtained by adding and subtracting equations (20.5):
\[
\begin{align*}
p_0 \phi - p \cdot \sigma \chi &= m \phi, \\
-p_0 \chi + p \cdot \sigma \phi &= m \chi.
\end{align*}
\]
(21.19)

† For a particle with spin zero, the wave equation was not capable of being written in this form: the equation (10.5) for the scalar \( \psi \) is of the second order in the time, while the first-order equations (10.4) for the five-component quantity \( (\psi, \psi_\mu) \) contain the time derivatives of only some of the components.
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Hence we see that the standard representation corresponds to the matrices
\[
\gamma^0 \equiv \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma \\ -\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma \\ \sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21.20)
\]

Since the first and second components of \( \xi \) and \( \eta \) are added separately in (21.17), the components \( \psi_1 \) and \( \psi_3 \) correspond to the spin component eigenvalue \( +\frac{1}{2} \) in both the standard and the spinor representation, and \( \psi_2 \) and \( \psi_4 \) to \( -\frac{1}{2} \). In both representations, therefore, the matrix \( \frac{1}{2} \Sigma \), where
\[
\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (21.21)
\]
is a three-dimensional spin operator: when \( \frac{1}{2} \Sigma \) acts on a bispinor containing only the components \( \psi_1, \psi_3 \), or \( \psi_2, \psi_4 \), this bispinor is multiplied by \( +\frac{1}{2} \) or \( -\frac{1}{2} \). In an arbitrary representation, (21.21) may be written in the form
\[
\Sigma = -\alpha \gamma^5 = -\frac{1}{2} i \alpha \times \alpha; \quad (21.22)
\]
the definition of \( \gamma^5 \) is given in (22.14) below.

PROBLEMS

PROBLEM 1. Find the formulae giving the transformations of the wave function under an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation and an infinitesimal three-dimensional rotation.

SOLUTION. In the spinor representation of \( \psi \), an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation gives
\[
\xi' = (1 - \frac{1}{2} \sigma \cdot \delta \nu) \xi, \quad \eta' = (1 + \frac{1}{2} \sigma \cdot \delta \nu) \eta;
\]
see (18.8), (18.8a), (18.10). These formulae may be combined as
\[
\psi' = (1 - \frac{1}{2} \alpha \cdot \delta \nu) \psi. \quad (1)
\]
Similarly, the transformation under an infinitesimal rotation is
\[
\psi' = (1 + \frac{1}{4} (\Sigma \cdot \delta \theta)) \psi. \quad (2)
\]
In this form the results are valid for any representation of \( \psi \) if \( \alpha \) and \( \Sigma \) are matrices in that representation.

It is easily verified that the matrices \( \alpha \) and \( \Sigma \) are the components of an antisymmetric "matrix 4-tensor",
\[
\sigma^{\alpha\nu} = \frac{i}{4} (\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\alpha) = (\alpha, i \Sigma);
\]
the components are arranged as shown in (19.15). Using also the infinitesimal antisymmetric tensor
\[
\delta e^{\nu} = (\delta \nu, \delta \theta),
\]
we have
\[
\sigma^{\alpha\nu} e_{\nu} = 2 (\Sigma \cdot \delta \theta - 2 \alpha \cdot \delta \nu),
\]
and formulae (1) and (2) above may be combined as
\[
\psi' = (1 + \frac{1}{4} \sigma^{\alpha\nu} e_{\nu}) \psi. \quad (3)
\]

PROBLEM 2. Write Dirac’s equation in a representation such that it contains no imaginary coefficients (E. Majorana, 1937).

SOLUTION. In the standard representation, the only imaginary quantities in the equation
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \alpha_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \alpha_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \alpha_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + im \beta \right) \psi = 0
\]
are the matrices \( \alpha_x \) and \( i \beta \). These may be eliminated by a transformation \( \psi' = U \psi \) such that the imaginary matrix \( \alpha_x \) and the real matrix \( \beta \) are interchanged. This is achieved by putting
\[
U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha_y + \beta) = U^{-1};
\]
then \( \alpha_x' = U \alpha_x U = -\alpha_x, \quad \alpha_y' = \beta, \quad \alpha_z' = -\alpha_z, \quad \beta' = \alpha_y, \) and Dirac’s equation becomes
\[
\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \alpha_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \alpha_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + im \alpha_y \right) \psi' = 0,
\]
in which all the coefficients are real.
§22. **Algebra of Dirac matrices**

In calculations using Dirac’s equation, the matrices $\gamma$ occur repeatedly without reference to their specific form in any particular representation. The rules of operation with these matrices are entirely given by the commutation relations

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu = 2g^\mu\nu \quad (\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3),$$  \hspace{1cm} (22.1)

which determine all their general properties.

In this section we shall give various formulae and rules of the algebra of these matrices which are useful in such calculations.

The “scalar product” of the matrices $\gamma$ with themselves is $g_{\mu\nu}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu = 4$. For brevity we use the notation $\gamma^\mu = g_{\mu\nu}\gamma^\nu$ by analogy with the covariant components of 4-vectors. Then

$$\gamma^\mu\gamma^\mu = 4.\quad (22.2)$$

If the matrices $\gamma^\mu$ and $\gamma^n$ are separated by one or more factors $\gamma$, then they can be brought to adjoining positions by one or more interchanges using the rule (22.1), and the summation over $\mu$ is then carried out by means of (22.2). This yields the formulae

$$\begin{align*}
\gamma^\mu\gamma^n\gamma^\mu &= -2\gamma^n, \\
\gamma^\mu\gamma^n\gamma^n\gamma^\mu &= 4g^{\lambda\nu}, \\
\gamma^\mu\gamma^n\gamma^n\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu &= -2g^{\nu\gamma}\gamma^\nu, \\
\gamma^\mu\gamma^n\gamma^n\gamma^\nu\gamma^n\gamma^\mu &= 2g^{\nu\gamma}\gamma^n\gamma^\nu + g^{\nu\gamma}\gamma^n\gamma^\nu.
\end{align*}\quad (22.3)$$

The factors $\gamma^n$, etc., usually appear in combination with various 4-vectors as “scalar products” with the latter. We shall often use the notation

$$a = \gamma a \equiv \gamma^\mu a_\mu.\quad (22.4)$$

For such products, formulae (22.1) become

$$\hat{a} = a_\mu a_\mu = 2(ab), \quad \hat{a} = a^2, \quad (22.5)$$

and formulae (22.3) become

$$\begin{align*}
\gamma^\mu\hat{a}\gamma^\mu &= -2\hat{a}, \\
\gamma^\mu\hat{b}\gamma^\mu &= 4(\hat{a}\hat{b}), \\
\gamma^\mu\hat{a}\hat{b}\gamma^\mu &= -2\delta\delta\hat{a}, \\
\gamma^\mu\hat{a}\hat{b}\gamma^\mu &= 2(\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c} + \hat{c}\hat{b}\hat{a}).
\end{align*}\quad (22.6)$$

A frequent operation is taking the trace of the product of a number of matrices $\gamma$. Let us consider the quantities

$$T^{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_n} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \text{tr} \,(\gamma^{\mu_1}\gamma^{\mu_2}\cdots\gamma^{\mu_n}).\quad (22.7)$$

On account of a familiar property of the trace of a product of matrices, this tensor is symmetrical with respect to cyclic permutations of the indices $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$.

Since the matrices $\gamma$ have the same form in any frame of reference, the quantities $T$ are also independent of this frame, and they therefore form a tensor which can be expressed entirely in terms of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ which has this property.

From the tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ of rank two, however, only tensors of even rank can be constructed. Hence it follows immediately that the trace of the product of any odd number of factors $\gamma$ is zero. In particular, the trace of each $\gamma$ is zero:

$$\text{tr} \gamma^n = 0.\quad (22.8)$$

† The trace of a matrix is invariant under the transformations $\gamma = U\gamma U^{-1}$. Thus the result (22.8) is also evident from the expressions (21.3) for the matrices.
The trace of a unit four-rowed matrix (which is implied on the right-hand side of the commutation rule (22.1)) is 4. Thus, if we take the trace of both sides of (22.1), we find

\[ T^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu}. \]  

(22.9)

The trace of the four-matrix product is

\[ T^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} = g^{\lambda\mu}g^{\nu\rho} - g^{\lambda\nu}g^{\mu\rho} + g^{\lambda\rho}g^{\mu\nu}. \]  

(22.10)

This formula may be derived, for instance, by "pulling" the factor \( \gamma^\lambda \) in \( \text{tr} \gamma^\lambda \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \) to the right by means of the relation (22.1); after each interchange one of the terms in (22.10) appears:

\[ T^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} = 2g^{\lambda\mu}T^{\nu\rho} - T^{\rho\lambda\mu\nu} \]

\[ = 2g^{\lambda\mu}g^{\nu\rho} - T^{\rho\lambda\mu\nu} \]

and so on. After all the interchanges there remains on the right \(-T^{\mu\nu\rho\lambda} = -T^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\), which we take to the left-hand side. The trace of a product of six \( \gamma \) can similarly be reduced to the traces of four-factor products, and so on. For instance,

\[ T^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} = g^{\lambda\mu}T^{\nu\rho\sigma\tau} - g^{\lambda\nu}T^{\mu\rho\sigma\tau} + g^{\lambda\rho}T^{\mu\nu\sigma\tau} - g^{\lambda\sigma}T^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} + g^{\lambda\tau}T^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}. \]  

(22.11)

All the traces \( T^{\lambda\mu\ldots\rho\sigma} \) are real, and they are non-zero only if each of the matrices \( \gamma^0, \gamma^1, \ldots \) appears in the product an even number of times; both these results are obvious from the above formulae. Hence we easily find that the trace is unchanged when the order of the factors is reversed:†

\[ T^{\lambda\mu\ldots\rho\sigma} = T^{\rho\sigma\ldots\mu\lambda}. \]  

(22.12)

As already mentioned, the factors \( \gamma \) usually appear as "scalar" products with various 4-vectors. In such cases, formulae (22.9) and (22.10), for example, become

\[ \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \, \hat{a} \hat{b} = ab, \]

\[ \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \, \hat{a} \hat{b} \hat{c} \hat{d} = (ab)(cd) - (ac)(bd) + (ad)(bc). \]  

(22.13)

The product \( \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3 \) is of particular importance. There is a special notation for it which is customarily used:

\[ \gamma^5 = -i\gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3. \]  

(22.14)

It is easily seen that

\[ \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu + \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 = 0, \quad (\gamma^5)^2 = 1, \]  

(22.15)

i.e. the matrix \( \gamma^5 \) anticommutes with all the \( \gamma^\mu \). For the matrices \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), the rules are

\[ \alpha \gamma^5 - \gamma^5 \alpha = 0, \quad \beta \gamma^5 + \gamma^5 \beta = 0; \]  

(22.16)

the commutability with \( \alpha \) follows because \( \alpha = \gamma^0 \gamma \) is a product of two matrices \( \gamma^\mu \).

The matrix \( \gamma^5 \) is Hermitian, since

\[ \gamma^{5+} = i\gamma^3 + \gamma^2 \gamma^1 \gamma^0 + = -i\gamma^3 \gamma^2 \gamma^1 \gamma^0, \]

and hence

\[ \gamma^{5+} = \gamma^5, \]  

(22.17)

because the sequence 3210 is changed to 0123 by an even number of transpositions.

† For, since \( \text{tr} \, M = \text{tr} \, \hat{M} \) with any matrix \( M \), we have \( \text{tr} \, (\gamma^\lambda \ldots \gamma^\nu) = \text{tr} \, (\gamma^\nu \ldots \gamma^\lambda) = \text{tr} \, (\gamma^\nu \ldots \gamma^\lambda) \) because the trace is real; since each matrix is either Hermitian or anti-Hermitian \( (\gamma^\mu)^* = \pm \gamma^\mu \), and appears in the product an even number of times, the result (22.12) is obvious.
§23
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The form of this matrix in two particular representations is:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{spinor} & \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
\text{standard} & \quad \gamma^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

The trace of the matrix \(\gamma^5\) is zero:

\[
\text{tr } \gamma^5 = 0,
\]

as can be seen directly from (22.18). The traces of the products \(\gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu\) are also zero. For the products of \(\gamma^5\) with four factors \(\gamma^\mu\) we have

\[
\frac{1}{4} \text{tr } \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho = i e^{\mu \nu \rho}.
\]

Another formula is

\[
\tilde{N} = i \gamma^5 \delta \delta, \quad N^\lambda = e^{\mu \nu \rho} a_\mu b_\nu c_\rho.
\]

This follows from the definition (22.14) written in the form

\[
e^{\mu \nu \rho} \gamma_\lambda = i \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho.
\]

PROBLEM

Find \(\frac{1}{4} \text{tr } \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho \gamma^\sigma = A^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\).

SOLUTION. Using the commutation rule (22.1) and formula (22.20), we can express the trace in the form

\[
A^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} = -2B^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} + A^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma},
\]

where

\[
B^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} = e^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} - e^{\mu \rho \sigma} g^{\nu \sigma} + e^{\mu \nu \sigma} g^{\rho \sigma} - e^{\nu \rho \sigma} g^{\mu \sigma} + e^{\nu \sigma} g^{\mu \rho} - e^{\nu \mu \rho} g^{\sigma}.
\]

The cyclic properties and (22.12) show that \(A^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} = A^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\); hence

\[
A^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} = i B^{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}.
\]

§23. Plane waves

The state of a free particle having definite values of the momentum and energy is described by a plane wave which may be written in the form

\[
\psi_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\varepsilon)}} u_p e^{-ipx}.
\]

The suffix \(p\) indicates the value of the 4-momentum; the wave amplitude \(u_p\) is a suitably normalised bispinor.

In proceeding with second quantisation we need not only the wave functions (23.1) but also functions with a "negative frequency", which arise in the relativistic theory because of the two-valuedness of the square root \(\pm \sqrt{(p^2 + m^2)}\), as shown in §11. As in §11, we shall always take \(\varepsilon\) to be the positive quantity \(\varepsilon = +\sqrt{(p^2 + m^2)}\), so that the "negative frequency" is \(-\varepsilon\); on changing also the sign of \(p\), we obtain a function which may naturally be called \(\psi_{-p}\):

\[
\psi_{-p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\varepsilon)}} u_{-p} e^{ipx}.
\]

The significance of these functions will be explained in §26; here we shall write parallel formulae for \(\psi_p\) and \(\psi_{-p}\).
§23

Plane waves

The components of the bispinor amplitudes \( u_p \) and \( u_{-p} \) satisfy the algebraic equations

\[
(\beta - m)u_p = 0, \\
(\beta + m)u_{-p} = 0,
\]

which are obtained by substituting (23.1), (23.2) in Dirac's equation (this is equivalent to replacing the operator \( p \) in that equation by \( \pm p \)). The relation \( p^2 = m^2 \) is then the condition for each such pair of equations to be compatible. We shall always normalise the bispinor amplitudes by the invariant conditions

\[
\bar{u}_pu_p = 2m, \\
\bar{u}_{-p}u_{-p} = -2m,
\]

where the bar over a letter denotes, as usual, Dirac conjugation: \( \bar{u} = u^*\gamma^0 \). Multiplying equations (23.3) on the left by \( \bar{u}_{\pm p} \), we obtain \( (\bar{u}_{\pm p}\gamma u_{\pm p})p = 2m^2 = 2p^2 \), whence

\[
\bar{u}_pu_p = \bar{u}_{-p}\gamma u_{-p} = 2p. 
\]

(23.5)

It may be noted that the change from the formulae for \( u_p \) to those for \( u_{-p} \) is made by changing the sign of \( m \).

The current density 4-vector is

\[
j = \bar{\psi}_{\pm p}\gamma\psi_{\pm p} = \frac{1}{2e} \bar{u}_{\pm p}\gamma u_{\pm p} = p/e,
\]

(23.6)
i.e. \( j^\mu = (1, v) \), where \( v = p/e \) is the velocity of the particle. Hence we see that the functions \( \psi_p \) are "normalised to one particle in the volume \( V = 1 \".

Equations (23.3) show that the components of the wave amplitude are related, but the actual form of the relations depends, of course, on the specific representation of \( \psi \). For the standard representation they are found as follows.

From equations (21.19) we have, for a plane wave,

\[
(\varepsilon - m)\phi - p \cdot \sigma \chi = 0, \\
(\varepsilon + m)\chi - p \cdot \sigma \phi = 0.
\]

(23.7)

From these we find the relation between \( \phi \) and \( \chi \) in two equivalent forms:

\[
\phi = \frac{p \cdot \sigma}{\varepsilon - m} \chi, \quad \chi = \frac{p \cdot \sigma}{\varepsilon + m} \phi;
\]

(23.8)

their equivalence is evident on multiplying the first form on the left by \( p \cdot \sigma/(\varepsilon + m) \) and using the results \( (p \cdot \sigma)^2 = p^2 \) and \( \varepsilon^2 - m^2 = p^2 \), which gives the second form. The common factor in \( \phi \) and \( \chi \) is chosen to satisfy the normalisation condition (23.4). Thus we obtain for \( u_p \), and correspondingly for \( u_{-p} \), the expressions

\[
u_p = \left( \frac{\sqrt{(\varepsilon + m)w}}{\sqrt{(\varepsilon - m)(n \cdot \sigma)w'}} \right), \quad u_{-p} = \left( \frac{\sqrt{(\varepsilon - m)(n \cdot \sigma)w'}}{\sqrt{(\varepsilon + m)w}} \right);
\]

(23.9)

the second formula is obtained from the first by changing the sign of \( m \) and replacing \( w \) by \( (n \cdot \sigma)w' \). Here \( n \) is a unit vector in the direction of \( p \), and \( w \) is an arbitrary two-component quantity subject only to the normalisation condition

\[
w^*w = 1.
\]

(23.10)

† There are also similar equations obtained from Dirac's equation (21.9) for the complex-conjugate function:

\[
\tilde{u}_p(\tilde{p} - m) = 0, \quad \tilde{u}_{-p}(\tilde{p} + m) = 0.
\]

(23.3a)
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For \( \tilde{u} = u^\gamma_0 \) (with \( \gamma_0 \) from (21.20)) we have

\begin{align}
\tilde{u}_p &= (\sqrt{(e^2 + m^2)}w^*, - \sqrt{(e^2 - m^2)}w^*(n \cdot \sigma)) , \\
\tilde{u}_{-p} &= (\sqrt{(e^2 - m^2)}w^*(n \cdot \sigma), - \sqrt{(e^2 + m^2)}w^*),
\end{align}

(23.11)

and multiplication shows that in fact \( \tilde{u}_{\pm p}u_{\pm p} = \pm 2m. \)

In the rest frame \( (e = m) \), we have

\begin{align}
u_p &= \sqrt{(2m)} \begin{pmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
u_{-p} &= \sqrt{(2m)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ w' \end{pmatrix},
\end{align}

(23.12)

i.e. \( w \) is the three-dimensional spinor to which the amplitude of each wave reduces in the non-relativistic limit. In the bispinor \( u_{-p} \), the first two components, not the second two, vanish in the rest frame. This property of solutions of Dirac’s equation having “negative frequencies” is evident, since by putting \( p = 0 \) and replacing \( e \) by \( -m \) in (23.7), we find \( \phi = 0. \)

The amplitude of the plane wave contains one arbitrary two-component quantity. Thus, for a given momentum, there are two different independent states, corresponding to the two possible values of the spin component. But the spin component along an arbitrary \( z \)-axis cannot have a definite value. This is evident because the Hamiltonian of a particle with definite \( p \) (i.e. the matrix \( H = \alpha \cdot p + \beta m \)) does not commute with the matrix \( \Sigma_z = -ix_\alpha \alpha_r \). In accordance with the general conclusions of §16, however, the helicity \( \lambda \) (the component of the spin in the direction of \( p \)) is conserved: the Hamiltonian commutes with the matrix \( n \cdot \Sigma \).

Helicity states correspond to plane waves in which the three-dimensional spinor \( w = w^{(\lambda)}(n) \) is an eigenfunction of the operator \( n \cdot \sigma \):

\[ \frac{1}{2}(n \cdot \sigma)w^{(\lambda)} = \lambda w^{(\lambda)}. \]

(23.13)

The explicit form of these spinors is

\[ w^{(\lambda = \pm \frac{1}{2})} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\phi} \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta \\ e^{i\phi} \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta \end{pmatrix}, \]

\[ w^{(\lambda = \mp \frac{1}{2})} = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-i\phi} \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta \\ e^{i\phi} \cos \frac{1}{2} \theta \end{pmatrix}, \]

(23.14)

where \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are the polar angle and the azimuth of the direction of \( n \) relative to fixed axes \( xyz. \)

Another possible choice of the two independent states of a free particle with given \( p \), which is simpler but less clear, corresponds to the two values of the \( z \)-component of the spin in the rest frame, which we denote by \( \sigma \). The spinors are

\[ w^{(\sigma = \pm \frac{1}{2})} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad w^{(\sigma = -\frac{1}{2})} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

(23.15)

† In the spinor representation \( \xi = -\eta \), instead of \( \xi = \eta \) as in the rest frame for solutions having “positive frequencies”.

‡ The solution of equation (23.13) can be multiplied by any phase factor, because of the possibility of an arbitrary rotation about the direction of \( n \). This corresponds to the arbitrary angle \( \gamma \) in the transformation (a.3); in deriving (23.14) from formulae (a.3), the primed components of the spinor in the latter must be taken as \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \) or \( \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \), corresponding to definite values of the spin component along the \( \zeta \)-axis, and the angles \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) must be replaced by \( \phi, \theta, \omega \).
As the two linearly independent solutions with "negative frequency" we take plane waves in which the three-dimensional spinors are

\[ w^{(s)} = -\sigma_3 w^{(-s)} = 2\sigma_1 \rho \]

(23.16)

the significance of this choice will be shown in §26.

PROBLEMS

Problem 1. Derive the wave function (23.9) by a direct Lorentz transformation from the rest frame.

Solution. The transformation formula for a finite velocity \( V \) of the frame \( K' \) relative to \( K \) is obtained from §21, Problem 1, equation (1), in the same way as (18.13) is obtained from (18.12):

\[ \psi' = e^{-i\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \psi = (\cosh \frac{1}{2} \phi - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{a} \sinh \frac{1}{2} \phi) \psi, \]

where \( \mathbf{v} \) is a unit vector along \( V \) and \( \tanh \phi = |V| \); the bispinor amplitude \( \psi \) is transformed according to the same formula. If \( K \) is the rest frame of the particle and \( K' \) a frame in which its momentum is \( \mathbf{p} \), then \( V = -\mathbf{p}/e \), whence

\[ \cosh \frac{1}{2} \phi = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon + m}{2m}}, \quad \sinh \frac{1}{2} \phi = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon - m}{2m}}. \]

Taking \( \psi \) from (23.12) and using the matrix \( \mathbf{a} \) of the standard representation, we obtain for \( \psi' \) the expression (23.9).

Problem 2. In the rest frame, the spin of a free particle is conserved, and its wave function (in the standard representation) has only two components, corresponding to values \( \pm \frac{1}{2} \) of the spin component along a given z-axis. Find a representation in which the wave function (plane wave) has only two components in every frame of reference, these components corresponding to definite values of the same physical property of the state, the spin component in the rest frame (L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, 1950).

Solution. Starting from the amplitude \( u_\sigma \) in the standard representation (23.9), we seek the required unitary transformation in the form \( U = e^{iW_Tn} \), where \( n \) is a unit vector along \( \mathbf{p} \), and \( W \) is real; since \( \gamma^+ = -\gamma \), it follows that \( U^+ = U^{-1} \). Expanding in series and using the equation \( (\gamma_n)^2 = -1 \), we can write \( U \) in the form

\[ U = \cos W + \gamma_n \sin W. \]

From the condition that in the transformed amplitude \( u'_\sigma = Uu_\sigma \) the second pair of components should vanish, we find \( \tan W = |\mathbf{p}|/(m + e) \), or

\[ W = \tan^{-1} \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{m + e} = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \frac{|\mathbf{p}|}{m}. \]

In the new representation,

\[ u'_\sigma = \sqrt{(2e)} \binom{w}{0}. \]

The Hamiltonian of the particle in the new representation is

\[ H' = U(\alpha_\sigma \mathbf{p} + \beta m) U^{-1} = \beta e, \]

where all the matrices \( \beta, \alpha, \gamma \) belong to the standard representation. This Hamiltonian commutes with the matrix

\[ \Sigma = -\alpha^\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \]

which is, in the new representation, the operator of the conserved physical quantity: the spin in the rest frame.

§24. Spherical waves

The wave functions of states of a free particle (with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \)) having definite values \( j \) of the angular momentum are spinor spherical waves. To determine their form, let us first state the corresponding formulae of the non-relativistic theory.
The non-relativistic wave function is a three-dimensional spinor \( \psi = \left( \begin{array}{c} \psi^1 \\ \psi^2 \end{array} \right) \). For a state having definite values of the energy \( \varepsilon \) (and therefore of the momentum \( p \)), the orbital angular momentum \( I \), the total angular momentum \( J \) and its component \( m \), the wave function is

\[
\psi = R_p(r) \Omega_{jm}(\theta, \phi).
\]

(24.1)

The angular functions \( \Omega_{jm} \) are three-dimensional spinors whose components (for the two values \( j = l \pm \frac{1}{2} \) which are possible for a given \( l \)) are given by

\[
\Omega_{l+\frac{1}{2},l,m} = \left( \begin{array}{c} \sqrt{\frac{j+m}{2j}} Y_{l,m-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{j-m}{2j}} Y_{l,m+\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right)
\]

\[
\Omega_{l-\frac{1}{2},l,m} = \left( \begin{array}{c} -\sqrt{\frac{j-m+1}{2j+2}} Y_{l,m-\frac{1}{2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{j+m+1}{2j+2}} Y_{l,m+\frac{1}{2}} \end{array} \right)
\]

(24.2)

(see QM, §106, Problem). We shall call the \( \Omega_{jm} \) spherical harmonic spinors. They are normalised by the condition

\[
\int \Omega_{jm}^* \Omega_{j'lm'} \, d\alpha = \delta_{jj'} \delta_{lm'} \delta_{mm'}.
\]

(24.3)

The radial functions \( R_p \) are the common factor in the two components of the spinor \( \psi \), and are given by

\[
R_p = \sqrt{\frac{p}{r}} J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(pr)
\]

(24.4)

(QM, (33.9)). They are normalised by the condition

\[
\int_0^\infty r^2 R_{p'}^* R_p \, dr = \delta(p'-p).
\]

(24.5)

Returning now to the relativistic case, let us note first of all that separate laws of conservation of spin and orbital angular momentum do not exist for a moving particle: the operators \( s \) and \( l \) do not separately commute with the Hamiltonian. But the parity of the state is still conserved (for a free particle). The quantum number \( l \) therefore no longer refers to a definite value of the orbital angular momentum, but it defines the parity of the state (see below).

Let us consider the required wave function (bispinor) in the standard representation: \( \psi = \left( \begin{array}{c} \phi \\ \chi \end{array} \right) \). Under rotations, \( \phi \) and \( \chi \) behave like three-dimensional spinors. Their angular dependence is therefore given by the same spherical harmonic spinors \( \Omega_{jm} \). Let \( \phi \sim \Omega_{jm}, \) where \( l \) is a certain one of the two values \( j+\frac{1}{2} \) and \( j-\frac{1}{2} \). Under inversion \( \phi(r) \rightarrow i\phi(-r) \) (see (21.18)), and \( \Omega_{jm}(-n) = (-1)^l \Omega_{jm}(n), \) so that

\[
\phi(r) \rightarrow i(-1)^l \phi(r).
\]

† In this section, \( p \) denotes \( |p| \).
The components $\chi(r)$, under inversion, become $-i\chi(-r)$. In order that the state should have a definite parity (i.e. that all the components should be multiplied by the same factor on inversion), it is therefore necessary that the angular dependence in $\chi$ should be given by the spherical harmonic spinor $\Omega_{jl'm}$ with the other of the two possible values of $l$; since these two values differ by 1, $(-1)^l = (-1)^{l'}$.

The radial dependence of $\phi$ and $\chi$ will be given by the same functions $R_{pl}$ and $R_{pl'}$ (with the values of $l$ and $l'$ which give the order of the spherical harmonics in $\Omega_{jl'm}$). This is clear because each component of $\psi$ satisfies the second-order equation $(p^2 - m^2)\psi = 0$, which for a given value of $|p|$ becomes

$$(\Delta + p^2)\psi = 0,$$

and this is formally identical with Schrödinger’s non-relativistic equation for a free particle.

Thus

$$\phi = AR_{pl}\Omega_{jlm}, \quad \chi = BR_{pl'}\Omega_{jl'm},$$

and it remains to determine the constant coefficients $A$ and $B$. To do so, we consider a distant region, where the spherical wave may be regarded as a plane wave. According to the asymptotic formula ($QM$, (33.10)),

$$R_{pl} \approx \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi 2r}} \frac{1}{pr} \left\{ e^{i(pr - \frac{1}{2}m)} - e^{-i(pr - \frac{1}{2}m)} \right\}$$

so that $\phi$ is the difference of two plane waves propagated in the directions $\pm n$ ($n = r/r$). For each plane wave, by (23.8),

$$\chi = \frac{p}{\epsilon + m} (\pm n \cdot \epsilon) \phi.$$

From the previous results (formulae (24.6)) it is obvious that $(n \cdot \epsilon)\Omega_{jlm} = \alpha\Omega_{jl'm}$ where $\alpha$ is a constant. This constant is easily found by comparing the values of the two sides of the equation when $m = \frac{1}{2}$ and $n$ is along the z-axis. Using (7.2a), we find

$$\alpha = \frac{(n \cdot \epsilon)}{(\epsilon - m)}\Omega_{jl'm}.$$

These formulae, on comparison with (24.6), show that

$$B = -\frac{p}{\epsilon + m} A.$$

Finally, the coefficient $A$ is determined by the normalisation of $\psi$. If this is specified by

$$\int \psi_{pljm}^* \psi_{p'j'l'm'} d^3x = \delta_{jj'} \delta_{ll'} \delta_{mm'} \delta(p - p'),$$

we have

$$\psi_{pljm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\epsilon)}} \left( \sqrt{(\epsilon + m)}R_{pl}\Omega_{jl'm} \right), \quad l' = 2j - l.$$

Thus, for given values of $j$ and $m$ (and of the energy $\epsilon$) there exist two states differing in parity. The parity is uniquely defined by the number $l$, which takes the values $j \pm \frac{1}{2}$; on inversion, the bispinor (24.10) is multiplied by $i(-1)^l$. The components of this bispinor, however, contain spherical harmonics of both orders $l$ and $l'$, showing that the orbital angular momentum has no definite value.

When $r \to \infty$, the spherical waves (24.7) may be regarded as plane waves in any small region of space, with momentum $p = \pm pn$. It is therefore clear that the wave functions in
the momentum representation differ from (24.10) essentially only in that the radial factors are absent and \( n \) denotes the direction of the momentum.

In order to make a direct change to the momentum representation, we must carry out a Fourier transformation:

\[
\psi(p') = \int \psi(r) e^{-ip\cdot r} \, d^3x. \tag{24.11}
\]

The integral is calculated by means of the expansion of a plane wave in spherical waves: \( e^{ip\cdot r} = \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{p} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} i^l R_l(r) Y_{lm}^*(\frac{p}{r}) \, Y_{lm}(\frac{r}{p}) \). \( \tag{24.12} \)

Using an expansion of this kind for \( e^{-ip\cdot r} \) in (24.11) and using (24.5), we find that the Fourier components of the function

\[
\psi(r) = R_p(r) \Omega_{jlm}(r/r)
\]

are

\[
\psi(p') = \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{p} \delta(p' - p) i^{-l} Y_{lm} \int \Omega_{jlm}(\frac{r}{r}) Y_{lm}^*(\frac{r}{r}) \, d\Omega.
\]

The integral is equal to the coefficient of the spherical harmonic function in the definition (24.2) of the spherical harmonic spinors, and together with the factor \( Y_{lm}(p'/p') \) it yields the same spherical harmonic spinor, but with argument \( p'/p' \):

\[
\psi(p') = \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{p} \delta(p' - p) i^{-l} \Omega_{jlm}(\frac{p'}{p}).
\]

Applying this result to the bispinor wave function (24.10), we obtain the momentum representation

\[
\psi_{\rho, jlm}(p') = \delta(p' - p) \frac{(2\pi)^{3/2}}{p \sqrt{2\pi}} \left( \frac{\sqrt{(s + m)} i^{-l} \Omega_{jlm}^*(p'/p')}{\sqrt{(s - m)} i^{-l} \Omega_{jlm}(p'/p')} \right).
\]

The states \( |\rho jlm \rangle \) are the same as the states \( |pjlm| \lambda \rangle \) (with \( |\lambda| = \frac{1}{2} \)) discussed in §16: both have definite values of \( pjlm \) and of the parity. The spherical harmonic spinors \( \Omega_{jlm} \) are therefore related in a certain way to the functions \( D_{jlm}^{(p)} \) (both with argument \( p/p \)). When \( p \to 0 \), the wave functions (24.13) reduce to the three-dimensional spinors \( \Omega_{jlm} \), the parity of which is \( P = \eta(-1)^l \) (where \( \eta = i \) is the “internal parity” of the spinor). A comparison with the results of §16 gives the formula

\[
\Omega_{jlm} = i^l \sqrt{\frac{2l+1}{8\pi}} (w^{(\downarrow)} D_{j,m}^{(j)} \pm w^{(\uparrow)} D_{j,m}^{(j)}), \tag{24.14}
\]

where \( l = j \pm \frac{1}{2} \), and the \( w^{(\lambda)} \) are the three-dimensional spinors (23.14).

§25. The relation between the spin and the statistics

The second quantisation of a field of particles with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) (a spinor field) is carried out in a similar way to that of a scalar field in §11.

Without repeating the arguments, we shall immediately write down expressions for the

\[\text{\dag This formula is a generalisation of } QM \text{ (34.1), without the assumption of a particular direction of the } z \text{-axis. See also (46.3), (46.4).}\]
The relation between the spin and the statistics

Field operators, which are exactly analogous to (11.2):

\[ \psi = \sum_{p, \sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} (a_{p\sigma}^+ u_{p\sigma} e^{-ipx} + b_{p\sigma}^+ u_{-p, -\sigma} e^{ipx}), \]

\[ \bar{\psi} = \psi^+ \gamma^0 = \sum_{p, \sigma} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} (a_{p\sigma}^+ \bar{u}_{p\sigma} e^{ipx} + b_{p\sigma}^+ \bar{u}_{-p, -\sigma} e^{-ipx}); \]

the summation is over all values of the momentum \( p \) and over \( \sigma = \pm \frac{1}{2} \). The antiparticle annihilation operators \( b_{p\sigma} \) (like the particle annihilation operators \( a_{p\sigma} \)) appear as the coefficients of functions whose coordinate dependence \( e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \) corresponds to a state having momentum \( p \).†

To calculate the Hamiltonian of the spinor field, it is not necessary to determine the energy-momentum tensor (as we did for the scalar field), since in this case there exists a particle Hamiltonian which can be used to derive the wave equation (Dirac's equation) (21.12). The mean energy of the particle in a state with wave function \( \psi \) is the integral

\[ \int \psi^* H \psi \, d^3x = i \int \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \, d^3x \]

\[ = i \int \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \, d^3x. \]

(25.2)

It should be noticed that the "energy density" (the integrand) is here not a positive-definite quantity.

Replacing the functions \( \psi \) and \( \bar{\psi} \) in (25.2) by \( \psi \)-operators, using the orthogonality of the wave functions with different \( p \) or \( \sigma \), and also using the relation \( \bar{u}_{\pm p, \sigma} \gamma^0 u_{\pm p, \sigma} = 2\varepsilon \) for the wave amplitudes, we obtain the field Hamiltonian in the form

\[ H = \sum_{p, \sigma} \varepsilon(a_{p\sigma}^+ a_{p\sigma} - b_{p\sigma}^+ b_{p\sigma}). \]

(25.3)

Hence it is seen that in this case Fermi quantisation must be used:

\[ \{a_{p\sigma}, a_{p\sigma}^+\} = 1, \quad \{b_{p\sigma}, b_{p\sigma}^+\} = 1, \]

(25.4)

and all other pairs of operators \( a, a^+, b, b^+ \) anticommute (see QM, §65), since then the Hamiltonian (25.3) may be written

\[ H = \sum_{p, \sigma} \varepsilon(a_{p\sigma}^+ a_{p\sigma} + b_{p\sigma}^+ b_{p\sigma} - 1), \]

and the energy eigenvalues are (with the usual omission of an infinite additive constant)

\[ E = \sum_{p, \sigma} \varepsilon(N_{p\sigma} + \bar{N}_{p\sigma}), \]

(25.5)

and are positive-definite, as they should be. With Bose quantisation, we should obtain from (25.3) the eigenvalues

\[ \sum_{p, \sigma} \varepsilon(N_{p\sigma} - \bar{N}_{p\sigma}), \]

which are not positive-definite and have no meaning.

An expression analogous to (25.5) is obtained for the momentum of the system, i.e. the eigenvalues of the operator \( \int \psi^+ p \psi \, d^3x \):

\[ P = \sum_{p, \sigma} p(N_{p\sigma} + \bar{N}_{p\sigma}). \]

(25.6)

† The two functions also correspond to the same value \( \sigma \) of the spin component in the rest frame; for the functions \( \bar{\psi}_{-p, -\sigma} \) this will be proved in §26 (see (26.10)).
The 4-current operator is

$$j^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi,$$

and the "charge" operator of the field is found to be

$$Q = \int \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \psi \, d^3x$$

$$= \sum_{p, \sigma} (a_{p0}^+ a_{p0} + b_{p0}^+ b_{p0}^+)$$

$$= \sum_{p, \sigma} (a_{p0}^+ a_{p0} - b_{p0}^+ b_{p0} + 1);$$

its eigenvalues are

$$Q = \sum_{p, \sigma} (N_{p0} - \bar{N}_{p0}).$$

Thus we again arrive at the concept of particles and antiparticles, and the whole of the discussion of these in §11 is applicable.

But particles with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ are fermions, whereas those with spin zero are bosons. An examination of the formal origin of this difference shows that it is due to the different nature of the expressions for the "energy density" in the scalar and spinor fields. In the scalar field the expression is positive–definite, and the terms $a^+ a$ and $bb^+$ therefore both have a positive sign in the Hamiltonian (11.3). If the energy eigenvalues are positive, the replacement of $bb^+$ by $b^+ b$ must occur without change of sign, i.e. in accordance with the Bose commutation rule. For the spinor field, however, the "energy density" is not a positive–definite quantity, and hence the term $bb^+$ appears with the minus sign in the Hamiltonian (25.3); to obtain positive eigenvalues, the replacement of $bb^+$ must be accompanied by a change of sign, i.e. must occur in accordance with the Fermi commutation rule.

The form of the energy density is directly related to the transformation properties of the wave function and to the requirements of relativistic invariance. In this sense we may say that the relation between the spin and the statistics obeyed by the particles is likewise a direct consequence of these requirements.

The fact that particles with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ are fermions also leads to a general conclusion: all particles with half-integral spin are fermions, and those with integral spin are bosons (including those with spin zero, as demonstrated in §11).†

This is evident because a particle with spin $s$ may be regarded as "composed" of $2s$ particles with spin $\frac{1}{2}$. When $s$ is half-integral, $2s$ is odd; when $s$ is integral, $2s$ is even. A "composite" particle containing an even number of fermions is a boson, and one containing an odd number of fermions is a fermion.‡

If a system consists of particles of various kinds, then creation and annihilation operators must be defined separately for each kind of particle. The operators pertaining to different bosons, or to bosons and fermions, commute. Operators pertaining to different fermions may be regarded, in the non-relativistic theory, as either commuting or anticommuting.

† The origin of the relation between the spin of a particle and the statistics which it obeys was first elucidated by W. Pauli (1940).

‡ In this argument it is assumed that all particles with the same spin obey the same statistics (whatever the way in which they are "compounded"). The truth of this assumption is seen by analogous arguments. For example, if there existed fermions with spin zero, then a fermion with spin zero and one with spin $\frac{1}{2}$ would yield a particle with spin $\frac{1}{2}$, which would be a boson, in contradiction with the general result demonstrated for spin $\frac{1}{2}$. 
§26 Charge conjugation and time reversal of spinors

(QM, §65). In the relativistic theory, which allows transformations of particles into one another, the creation and annihilation operators of different fermions must be regarded as anticommuting, like those which pertain to different states of the same fermions.

PROBLEM

Find the Lagrangian of the spinor field.

SOLUTION. The Lagrangian corresponding to Dirac’s equation is given by the real scalar expression

\[ L = \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi) - m \bar{\psi} \psi. \]  

(1)

Taking the components of \( \psi \) and \( \bar{\psi} \) as the “generalised coordinates” \( q \), we easily see that the corresponding Lagrange’s equations (10.10) are the same as Dirac’s equations for \( \bar{\psi} \) and \( \psi \). The overall sign of the Lagrangian (like the common factor in it) is arbitrary. Since \( L \) involves the derivatives of \( \psi \) and \( \bar{\psi} \) linearly, the action \( S = \int L \text{d}t \) can in any case have no minimum or maximum. The condition \( \delta S = 0 \) here gives only a stationary point of the integral, not an extremum.

The Lagrangian of the spinor field is obtained by replacing \( \psi \) in (1) by the operator \( \bar{\psi} \). Applying formula (12.12) to this Lagrangian, we find the current operator (25.7).

§26. Charge conjugation and time reversal of spinors

The coefficients \( \psi_{p\sigma} = u_{p\sigma} e^{-i p x} \) which appear with the operators \( a_{p\sigma} \) in (25.1) are the wave functions of free particles (electrons, say) having momenta \( p \) and polarisations \( \sigma \):  

\[ \psi_{p\sigma} = \psi_{p\sigma}. \]

The coefficients \( \bar{\psi}_{-p, -\sigma} \) of the operators \( b_{p\sigma} \) are to be regarded as the wave functions of positrons having the same \( p \) and \( \sigma \). It is found, however, that the electron and positron functions are expressed in different bispinor representations. This is evident from the fact that \( \psi \) and \( \bar{\psi} \) differ in their transformation properties and their components satisfy different sets of equations. To eliminate this defect, it is necessary to carry out a certain unitary transformation of the components \( \bar{\psi}_{-p, -\sigma} \) such that the new four-component function satisfies the same equation as \( \psi_{p\sigma} \).† This will be referred to as the wave function of the positron (with momentum \( p \) and polarisation \( \sigma \)). Denoting the matrix of the required unitary transformation by \( U_C \), we may write

\[ \psi^{(p)}_{p\sigma} = U_C \bar{\psi}_{-p, -\sigma}. \]  

(26.1)

The operation \( C \) whereby this function is obtained from \( \bar{\psi}_{-p, -\sigma} \) is called charge conjugation of the wave function (H. Kramers, 1937). This concept is, of course, not restricted to its application to plane waves: for any function \( \psi \), there exists a “charge-conjugate” function

\[ C \psi(t, r) = U_C \bar{\psi}(t, r), \]  

(26.2)

which has the same transformation properties as \( \psi \) and satisfies the same equation.

The properties of the matrix \( U_C \) follow from this definition. If \( \psi \) is a solution of Dirac’s equation \( (\gamma p - m)\psi = 0 \), then \( \bar{\psi} \) satisfies the equation

\[ \bar{\psi}(\gamma p + m) = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (\bar{\gamma} p + m)\bar{\psi} = 0. \]

Multiplying this equation on the left by \( U_C \):

\[ U_C \gamma p \bar{\psi} + m U_C \bar{\psi} = 0, \]

† For particles with spin zero, this problem did not arise, since the scalar functions \( \psi \) and \( \psi^* \) satisfy the same equation, and \( \psi_{-p} \) is identical with \( \psi_p \).
we apply the condition that the function \( U_c \bar{\psi} \) satisfies the same equation as \( \psi \):

\[
(\gamma p - m) U_c \bar{\psi} = 0.
\]

A comparison of the two equations gives the following "commutation relation" between \( U_c \) and the matrices \( \gamma^\mu \):

\[
U_c \gamma^\mu = -\gamma^\mu U_c.
\] (26.3)

We shall further suppose that the wave functions are stated in the spinor or standard representation; the general case of any representation will be considered only at the end of this section. In these representations, we have

\[
\gamma^{0,2} = \tilde{\gamma}^{0,2}, \quad \gamma^{1,3} = -\tilde{\gamma}^{1,3},
\]

\[
(\gamma^{0,1,3})^* = \gamma^{0,1,3}, \quad \gamma^2 = -\gamma^2.
\] (26.4)

Then the condition (26.3) is satisfied by the matrix \( U_c = \eta_c \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \), the constant \( \eta_c \) being arbitrary. The condition \( C^2 = 1 \) shows that \( |\eta_c|^2 = 1 \), and the matrix \( U_c \) is therefore determined apart from a phase factor. We shall take \( \eta_c = 1 \); thus

\[
U_c = \gamma^2 \gamma^0 = -\sigma_y.
\] (26.5)

Noting also that \( \bar{\psi} = \psi^* \gamma^0 = \tilde{\gamma}^0 \psi^* = \gamma^0 \psi^* \), we may write the effect of the operator \( C \) as

\[
C \psi = \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \bar{\psi} = \gamma^2 \psi^*.
\] (26.6)

The explicit form of the transformation (26.6) for the spinor representation is

\[
C: \xi_\alpha \rightarrow -i \eta^*_\alpha, \quad \eta_\alpha \rightarrow -i \xi^*_\alpha,
\] (26.7a)

or, equivalently,

\[
C: \xi_\alpha \rightarrow -i \eta^*_\alpha, \quad \eta^*_\alpha \rightarrow -i \xi^*_\alpha.
\] (26.7b)

The charge-conjugation transformation for the plane waves \( \psi_{\pm p, \sigma} \) is easily carried out by using the explicit expressions (23.9) for the plane waves and the matrix \( U_c \) in the standard representation:

\[
U_c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_y \\ -\sigma_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\] (26.8)

Since

\[
\sigma_y \sigma^* = -\sigma \sigma_y,
\]

we have, if \( \psi^{(\sigma)} \) is defined as in (23.16),

\[
U_c \bar{\psi}_{-p, -\sigma} = u_{p, \sigma^*}, \quad U_c u_{-p, -\sigma} = \bar{u}_{p, \sigma}.
\] (26.9)

Thus

\[
C \psi_{-p, -\sigma} = \psi_{p, \sigma^*},
\] (26.10)

so that the functions \( \psi_{-p, -\sigma} \) which appear with the operators \( b_{p, \sigma} \) in the \( \psi \)-operators (25.1) do in fact correspond to states of a particle having momentum \( p \) and polarisation \( \sigma \). We see also that the electron and positron states are described by the same functions:

\[
\psi^{(e)}_{p, \sigma} = \psi^{(p)}_{p, \sigma} = \psi_{p, \sigma^*}.
\]

This is to be expected, since the functions \( \psi_{p, \sigma} \) embody information only as to the momentum and polarisation of the particle.

The operation of time reversal may be treated similarly. When the sign of the time is changed, the wave function must change to the complex conjugate. In order to obtain the

\[
U_c \bar{\psi} = \gamma^0 U_c.
\] (26.3a)
"time-reversed" wave function \( (T\psi) \) in the same representation as the original \( \psi \), we must also perform some unitary transformation on the components of \( \psi^* \) (or \( \bar{\psi} \)). Thus the action of the operator \( T \) on \( \psi \) can be written, similarly to (26.2), as

\[
T\psi(t, r) = U_T \bar{\psi}(-t, r), \tag{26.11}
\]

where \( U_T \) is a unitary matrix.

Dirac's equation satisfied by \( \psi \) is

\[
\left( i\gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\gamma \cdot \nabla - m \right) \psi(t, r) = 0,
\]

and the equation for \( \bar{\psi} \) is

\[
\left( i\gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\gamma \cdot \nabla + m \right) \bar{\psi}(t, r) = 0.
\]

In the latter equation we change \( t \) into \(-t\) and multiply on the left by \(-U_T:\)

\[
\left( iU_T \gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - iU_T \gamma \cdot \nabla \right) \bar{\psi}(-t, r) - mU_T \bar{\psi}(-t, r) = 0.
\]

We want the function \( U_T \bar{\psi}(-t, r) \) to satisfy the same equation as \( \psi(t, r) \):

\[
\left( i\gamma^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + i\gamma \cdot \nabla \right) U_T \bar{\psi}(-t, r) - mU_T \bar{\psi}(-t, r) = 0.
\]

Comparing the two equations, we find that the matrix \( U_T \) must satisfy the conditions

\[
U_T \gamma^0 = \gamma^0 U_T, \quad U_T \gamma = -\gamma U_T \tag{26.12}
\]

In the spinor and standard representations, these conditions are satisfied by the matrix†

\[
U_T = i\gamma^3 \gamma^1 \gamma^0. \tag{26.13}
\]

Thus the effect of the operator \( T \) is given by

\[
T\psi(t, r) = i\gamma^3 \gamma^1 \gamma^0 \bar{\psi}(-t, r) = i\gamma^3 \gamma^1 \psi^*(-t, r). \tag{26.14}
\]

The explicit form of this transformation for the spinor representation is

\[
T: \quad \xi^a \to -i\xi^a, \quad \eta^a \to i\eta^a, \tag{26.15a}
\]

or

\[
T: \quad \xi^a \to i\xi^a, \quad \eta^a \to -i\eta^a. \tag{26.15b}
\]

In the standard representation,

\[
U_T = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_y & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{26.16}
\]

To find the effect on \( \psi \) of all three operations \( P, T \) and \( C \), we write successively

\[
T\psi(t, r) = -i\gamma^1 \gamma^3 \psi^*(-t, r),
\]

\[
PT\psi(t, r) = i\gamma^0 \gamma^3 \psi^*(-t, r),
\]

\[
CPT\psi(t, r) = \gamma^2 (\gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^3 \psi^*)^* = \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^3 \psi(-t, r),
\]

or

\[
CPT\psi(t, r) = i\gamma^5 \psi(-t, r). \tag{26.17}
\]

† The choice of the phase factor in (26.13) depends on that in (26.5); see the first footnote to §27.
In the spinor representation,
\[
\text{CPT: } \xi^a \rightarrow -i\xi^a, \quad \eta_a \rightarrow i\eta_a, \quad (26.18)
\]
as is also easily seen directly from the transformation rules (20.4), (26.7) and (26.15).\footnote{The notation CPT implies that the operators act in the sequence from right to left. The sign of (26.17) and (26.18) depends on this sequence, since T does not commute with C and P (as regards their action on a bispinor).}

The expressions given above for the matrices \(U_C\) and \(U_T\) assume the spinor or standard representation of \(\psi\). Let us finally see which properties of these expressions are retained for any representation of \(\psi\).

If \(\psi\) is subjected to a unitary transformation:
\[
\psi' = U\psi, \quad \gamma' = U\gamma U^{-1}, \quad \overline{\psi}' = \psi'^*\gamma' = \overline{\psi}U = \overline{\psi}U^{-1}, \quad (26.19)
\]
then in the new representation we have
\[
(C\psi)' = U(C\psi) = UU_C\overline{\psi} = UU_C(\overline{\psi}'U) = UU_C\overline{\psi}'.
\]
A comparison with the definition of the matrix \(U_C\) in the new representation, \((C\psi)' = U_C\overline{\psi}'\), shows that
\[
U_C' = UU_C\overline{\psi} \quad (26.20)
\]
The transformation (26.20) is the same as that of the matrices \(\gamma\) only if \(U\) is real. The expression (26.5) also is therefore valid only in representations which are a real transformation of the spinor or standard representation.

The matrix (26.5) is unitary, and changes sign when transposed:
\[
U_C U_C^* = 1, \quad \overline{U}_C = -U_C. \quad (26.21)
\]
These properties are unaffected by the transformation (26.20), and are therefore retained in any representation. The matrix (26.5) is also Hermitian \((U_C = U_C^*)\), but this property is in general not preserved by the transformation (26.20).

The above discussion and formulae (26.21) apply likewise to the properties of the matrix \(U_T\).

In the second quantisation formalism, the transformations \(C, P, T\) for the \(\psi\)-operators must be formulated as transformation rules for the particle creation and annihilation operators. These rules can be established (as in §13 for particles with spin zero) from the condition that the transformed \(\psi\)-operators may be written
\[
\begin{align*}
\psi^C(t, r) &= U_C\overline{\psi}(t, r), \\
\psi^P(t, r) &= i\gamma^0\psi(t, -r), \\
\psi^T(t, r) &= U_T\overline{\psi}(-t, r).
\end{align*} \quad (26.22)
\]
Calculations using the formulae derived above show that these conditions are satisfied by the transformations
\[
\begin{align*}
a^C_{p\alpha} &= b_{p\alpha}, & b^C_{p\alpha} &= a_{p\alpha}; \\
a^P_{-p, \sigma} &= ia_{p\sigma}, & b^P_{-p, \sigma} &= ib_{p\sigma}; \\
a^T_{-p, -\sigma} &= 2\sigma a^+_{p\sigma}, & b^T_{-p, -\sigma} &= 2\sigma b^+_{p\sigma}.
\end{align*} \quad (26.23)
\]

PROBLEM

Find the charge-conjugation operator in the Majorana representation (§21, Problem 2).

SOLUTION. The matrix \(U_C\) in the Majorana representation is obtained from the matrix \(U_C = -\alpha_y\) in the standard representation by the transformation (26.20) with \(U = (\alpha_y + \beta)/\sqrt{2}\), and is \(U_C = \alpha_y\) (where
\(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) denote matrices in the standard representation. If primes denote quantities in the Majorana representation, we have \(C\psi' = U\bar{\psi}'\bar{\beta}'\), and, since \(\beta' = \alpha_0\),
\[
C\psi' = \alpha_p(\psi'^*\alpha_0) = \alpha_0\bar{\alpha}_p\psi' = \psi'^*,
\]
i.e. charge conjugation is the same as complex conjugation.

### §27. Internal symmetry of particles and antiparticles

The wave function of a particle with spin \(\frac{1}{2}\), in its rest frame, is a single three-dimensional spinor, which will be denoted by \(\Phi^s\). The behaviour of this spinor under inversion is related to the concept of the internal parity of the particle. However, as mentioned in §19, although the two possible laws of transformation of three-dimensional spinors \((\Phi^s \rightarrow \pm i\Phi^s)\) are not equivalent, there is no absolute significance in assigning a particular parity to a spinor. We therefore cannot speak of the internal parity of any one particle with spin \(\frac{1}{2}\), but we can refer to the relative internal parity of two such particles.

From two (three-dimensional) spinors \(\Phi^{(1)}\) and \(\Phi^{(2)}\), a scalar \(\Phi^{(1)}\Phi^{(2)*}\) can be formed. If this is a true scalar, the particles described by the spinors are said to have the same internal parity; if it is a pseudoscalar, they are said to have opposite internal parities.

We shall show that particles and antiparticles (with spin \(\frac{1}{2}\)) have opposite parities (V. B. Berestetskii, 1948).

Firstly, if the operation \(C\) (26.7) is applied to both sides of the P transformation (19.5) (in the spinor representation)

\[
P: \begin{align*}
\zeta^x &\rightarrow i\eta^x, \\
\eta^x &\rightarrow -i\zeta^x,
\end{align*}
\]

(27.1)

we obtain

\[
\eta^{c\ast x} \rightarrow i\zeta^{c\ast x}, \\
\zeta^{c\ast x} \rightarrow i\eta^{c\ast x},
\]

where the index \(c\) marks the components of the bispinor \(\psi^c = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^c \\ \eta^c \end{pmatrix}\) charge-conjugate to \(\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}\). Taking the complex conjugate and interchanging the indices, we find

\[
P: \begin{align*}
\eta^c &\rightarrow i\zeta^c, \\
\zeta^c &\rightarrow i\eta^c.
\end{align*}
\]

(27.2)

Thus charge-conjugate bispinors are transformed in the same manner by inversion.

Let \(\psi^{(e)}\) be the wave function of a particle (say an electron) and \(\psi^{(p)}\) that of the antiparticle (a positron). The latter is a bispinor which is the charge conjugate of a "negative-frequency" solution of Dirac's equation. In the rest frame, each function becomes a three-dimensional spinor:

\[
\zeta^{(e)x} = \eta^{(e)x} = \Phi^{(e)x}, \\
\zeta^{(p)x} = \eta^{(p)x} = \Phi^{(p)x}.
\]

According to (27.1), (27.2), these spinors are transformed as follows by inversion:

\[
\Phi^s \rightarrow i\Phi^s,
\]

(27.3)

the same for both \(\Phi^{(e)}\) and \(\Phi^{(p)}\). The product \(\Phi^{(e)}\Phi^{(p)}\), however, changes sign, and this proves the result stated above.

In the second quantisation formalism, the opposite parity of particles and antiparticles is shown by the change in sign of the operator products under inversion: \(a_{\rho\sigma}b_{\rho\sigma} \rightarrow -a_{\rho\sigma}b_{\rho\sigma}\) (see (26.23)).
A strictly neutral particle is one which "coincides" with its antiparticle (§12). The \( \psi \)-operator of a field of such particles satisfies the condition
\[
\psi(t, r) = \psi^c(t, r).
\]
For particles with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \), this implies the conditions (in the spinor representation)
\[
\xi^a = -i \eta^\frac{1}{2} \bar{a}^\dagger, \quad \eta^a = -i \xi^\frac{1}{2} \bar{a}^\dagger.
\] (27.4)
Like any relation which expresses a physical property, these conditions are invariant under the transformation CPT.† It is easily verified that they are in fact invariant not only with respect to CPT but also with respect to each of the three transformations separately.

In §19, inversion of spinors was defined to be a transformation for which \( P^2 = -1 \), and this definition has been used so far. The result derived above concerning the relative parity of particles and antiparticles is easily seen to be, as it should be, independent of the way in which inversion is defined.

If inversion is defined by the condition \( P^2 = 1 \), then (27.1) becomes
\[
P: \quad \xi^a \rightarrow \eta^a, \quad \eta^a \rightarrow \xi^a.
\] (27.5)
The charge-conjugate function is then transformed according to
\[
\xi^{ca} \rightarrow -\eta^c, \quad \eta^c \rightarrow -\xi^{ca},
\]
which differs in sign from (27.5). Accordingly, the three-dimensional spinors \( \Phi \) will be transformed thus:
\[
\Phi^{(e)a} \rightarrow \Phi^{(e)c}, \quad \Phi^{(p)a} \rightarrow -\Phi^{(p)c},
\]
and the product \( \Phi^{(e)} \Phi^{(p)} \) will again be a pseudoscalar.

The only possible difference in the physical consequences of the two views of inversion is that with the definition (27.5) the condition for a strictly neutral field would not be invariant under this transformation (or the transformation CP), which would alter the relative sign of the sides of the equations (27.4). Actually, no strictly neutral particle with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) is known, and we cannot yet say whether this difference between the two definitions of inversion has any real physical meaning:‡

**PROBLEM**

Find the charge parity of positronium (a hydrogen-like system consisting of an electron and a positron).

**SOLUTION.** The wave system of two fermions must be antisymmetric with respect to simultaneous interchange of coordinates, spins and charge variables of the particles (cf. §13, Problem). The interchange of the coordinates multiplies the function by \( (-1)^s \), that of the spins by \( (-1)^{s+1} \), where \( S (=0 \text{ or } 1) \) is the total spin of the system, and that of the charge variables by the required parity \( C \). The condition
\[
(-1)^s(-1)^{s+1}C = -1
\]
gives \( C = (-1)^{s+1} \). Since the internal parities of the electron and the positron are opposite, the spatial parity of the system is \( P = (-1)^{s+1} \). The combined parity \( CP = (-1)^{s+1} \).

§28. **Bilinear forms**

Let us consider the transformation properties of various bilinear forms which can be constructed from components of the functions \( \psi \) and \( \psi^* \). Such forms are of great importance in quantum mechanics. They include the current density 4-vector (21.11).

† More precisely, the transformation CPT must here be defined so as to leave relations such as (27.4) unchanged. This is achieved by an appropriate choice of the phase factor in the definition of the matrix \( U_r \); see the third footnote to §26.

‡ The incomplete equivalence of the two definitions of inversion was first noted by G. Racah (1937).
Since $\psi$ and $\psi^*$ have four components each, a total of $4 \times 4 = 16$ independent bilinear combinations can be formed from them. The classification of the transformation properties of these is evident from the ways listed in §19 of multiplying any two bispinors (in this case $\psi$ and $\psi^*$). We can form a scalar (denoted by $S$), a pseudoscalar ($P$), a mixed spinor of rank two equivalent to a true 4-vector $V^\mu$ (four independent quantities), a mixed spinor of rank two equivalent to a 4-pseudovector $A^\mu$ (four quantities), and a bispinor of rank two equivalent to an antisymmetric 4-tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ (six quantities).

In a symmetrical form (for any representation of $\psi$), these combinations may be written

$$S = \overline{\psi} \psi,$$

$$V^\mu = i \overline{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi,$$

$$A^\mu = i \overline{\psi} \sigma^\mu \psi,$$

$$T^{\mu\nu} = i \overline{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi,$$

where

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{i}{2} (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) = (\alpha, i\Sigma);$$

the components in (28.2) are stated as in (19.15). All the expressions given above are real.

The fact that $S$ is a scalar and $P$ a pseudoscalar is evident from their spinor representations:

$$S = \xi^* \eta + \eta^* \xi, \quad P = i(\xi^* \eta - \eta^* \xi),$$

which agree with (19.7) and (19.8). The fact that the $V^\mu$ form a vector is then evident from Dirac’s equation: multiplying the equation $p_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi = m \psi$ on the left by $\overline{\psi}$, we obtain

$$\overline{\psi} p_\mu \gamma^\mu \psi = m \overline{\psi} \psi.$$

Since the right-hand side is a scalar, so is the left-hand side.

The rule whereby the quantities (28.1) are obtained is obvious: they are constructed as if the matrices $\gamma^\mu$ formed a 4-vector, $\gamma^5$ were a pseudoscalar, and the $\overline{\psi}$ and $\psi$ on either side together formed a scalar. The non-existence of bilinear forms which are symmetrical 4-tensors is seen from the spinor representation and also from this rule: since the symmetrical combination of matrices is $\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = 2 g^{\mu\nu}$, any such form would reduce to a scalar.

The second-quantised bilinear forms are obtained by replacing the $\psi$-functions in (28.1) by $\psi$-operators. For greater generality, we shall assume that the two $\psi$-operators relate to fields of different particles, denoted by suffixes $a$ and $b$. Let us see how such operator forms are transformed under charge conjugation. We have

$$\psi^c = U_c \overline{\psi}, \quad \overline{\psi}^c = U_c^\dagger \psi,$$

§ For a unitary transformation of $\psi$ (change of representation), we have $\psi \to U \psi$, $\gamma \to U \gamma U^{-1}$, $\overline{\psi} \to \overline{\psi} U^{-1}$, and the invariance of the bilinear forms under such transformations is obvious.

† The “pseudoscalar” nature of $\gamma^5$ is itself in accordance with these rules, since

$$\gamma^5 = \frac{i}{24} e_{\mu\nu\rho} \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\rho.$$

‡ To derive the second of these equations from the first, we write

$$\overline{\psi}^c = [U^c_b (\psi^b \gamma^5)]^c_0 = \overline{\psi}^c \gamma^5 \psi$$

using (26.3), (26.21) and the fact that $\gamma^0$ is Hermitian.
and therefore, using (26.3) and (26.21),
\[
\overline{\psi}_{a}^{c} \psi_{b}^{c} = (U_c^\dagger \psi_{a}) (U_c \overline{\psi}_{b}) = \psi_{a} U_c^\dagger U_c \overline{\psi}_{b} = -\psi_{a} \overline{\psi}_{b},
\]
\[
\overline{\psi}_{a}^{c} \gamma^{\alpha} \psi_{b}^{c} = \psi_{a} U_c^\dagger \gamma^{\alpha} U_c \overline{\psi}_{b} = -\psi_{a} U_c^\dagger \gamma^{\alpha} U_c \overline{\psi}_{b} = \psi_{a} \gamma^{\alpha} \overline{\psi}_{b}.
\]

When the operators are restored to their original order (\(\overline{\psi}\) to the left of \(\psi\)), the Fermi commutation rules (25.4) show that the sign of the product is changed (and moreover terms appear which are independent of the state of the field; we omit these, as in the corresponding treatment in §13). Thus we have
\[
\overline{\psi}_{a}^{c} \psi_{b}^{c} = \overline{\psi}_{b} \psi_{a}, \quad \overline{\psi}_{a}^{c} \gamma^{\alpha} \psi_{b}^{c} = -\overline{\psi}_{b} \gamma^{\alpha} \psi_{a}.
\]

Proceeding similarly with the other forms, we find the results for charge conjugation†
\[
C: \quad S_{ab} \rightarrow S_{ba}, \quad P_{ab} \rightarrow P_{ba}, \quad V^{\mu}_{ab} \rightarrow -V^{\mu}_{ba},
\]
\[
A^{\mu}_{ab} \rightarrow A^{\mu}_{ba}, \quad T^{\nu}_{ab} \rightarrow -T^{\nu}_{ba}.
\]

The behaviour of these forms under time reversal may be ascertained similarly, remembering (see §13) that this operation brings about a change in the order of the operators, so that, for example,
\[
(\overline{\psi}_{a} \psi_{b})^{T} = \psi_{b}^{T} \overline{\psi}_{a}^{T}.
\]
Substituting here
\[
\psi^{T} = U_{T} \overline{\psi}, \quad \overline{\psi}^{T} = -U_{T}^{\dagger} \psi,
\]
we obtain
\[
(\overline{\psi}_{a} \psi_{b})^{T} = -(U_{T} \overline{\psi}_{b})(U_{T}^{\dagger} \psi_{a}) = -\overline{\psi}_{b} U_{T} U_{T}^{\dagger} \psi_{a} = \overline{\psi}_{b} U_{T} U_{T}^{\dagger} \psi_{a} = \overline{\psi}_{b} \psi_{a}.
\]

Treating the other forms in the same way, we obtain
\[
T: \quad S_{ab} \rightarrow S_{ba}, \quad P_{ab} \rightarrow -P_{ba}, \quad (V^{0}, V)_{ab} \rightarrow (V^{0}, -V)_{ba},
\]
\[
(A^{0}, A)_{ab} \rightarrow (A^{0}, -A)_{ba}, \quad T^{\nu}_{ab} = (p, a)_{ab} \rightarrow (p, -a)_{ba},
\]
\[
(28.4)
\]
where \(p\) and \(a\) are three-dimensional vectors equivalent to the components of \(T^{\nu}\) as shown in (19.15).

Under spatial inversion we have, in accordance with the tensor properties,
\[
P: \quad S_{ab} \rightarrow S_{ab}, \quad P_{ab} \rightarrow -P_{ab}, \quad (V^{0}, V)_{ab} \rightarrow (V^{0}, -V)_{ab},
\]
\[
(A^{0}, A)_{ab} \rightarrow (-A^{0}, A)_{ab}, \quad T^{\nu}_{ab} = (p, a)_{ab} \rightarrow (-p, a)_{ab}.
\]
\[
(28.7)
\]
† It should be noticed that, for bilinear forms constructed from \(\psi\)-functions (not \(\psi\)-operators), the transformations (28.4) would have the opposite signs, since the return to the original order of the factors \(\overline{\psi}\) and \(\psi\) would not involve a change of sign.
Finally, the simultaneous application of all three operations gives
\[ \text{CPT: } S_{ab} \rightarrow S_{ab}, \quad P_{ab} \rightarrow P_{ab}, \quad V_{ab}^\mu \rightarrow -V_{ab}^\mu, \]
\[ A_{ab}^\mu \rightarrow -A_{ab}^\mu, \quad T_{ab}^{\mu\nu} \rightarrow T_{ab}^{\mu\nu}, \]
(28.8)
in agreement with the fact that this transformation is a 4-inversion: since 4-inversion is equivalent to a rotation of the 4-coordinates, it creates no difference between true tensors and pseudotensors of any rank.

Let us now consider products of pairs of bilinear forms constructed from four different functions \( \psi^a, \psi^b, \psi^c, \psi^d \). The result depends on which pairs of functions are multiplied together. It is possible, however, to reduce any such product to products of bilinear forms with specified pairs of factors (W. Pauli and M. Fierz, 1936). We shall derive the relationship on which this reduction is based.

If we take the set of four-rowed matrices
\[ 1, \gamma^5, \gamma^\mu, i\gamma^\mu\gamma^5, i\sigma^{\mu\nu}, \]
(28.9)
where 1 is the unit matrix, arrange these 16 \((= 1+1+4+4+6)\) matrices in any definite order and denote them by \( \gamma^A \) \((A = 1, \ldots, 16)\), and also denote the same matrices with lowered 4-tensor indices \((\mu, \nu)\) by \( \gamma_{\mu\nu}\), then they will have the following properties:
\[ \text{tr} \gamma^A = 0 \quad (\gamma^A \neq 1), \]
\[ \gamma^A \gamma_B = 1, \quad \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \gamma^A \gamma_B = \delta^A_B. \]
(28.10)
The last of these shows that the matrices \( \gamma^A \) are linearly independent. Since their number is equal to the number \((4 \times 4)\) of elements of a four-rowed matrix, the matrices \( \gamma^A \) form a complete set in terms of which an arbitrary four-rowed matrix \( \Gamma \) may be expressed:
\[ \Gamma = \sum_A c_A \gamma^A, \quad c_A = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \gamma_A \Gamma, \]
(28.11)
or, in explicit form, with matrix suffixes \( i, k \) = 1, 2, 3, 4,
\[ \Gamma_{ik} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_A \Gamma_{lm} \gamma^A_{ml} \gamma_{Aik}. \]
Assuming, in particular, that in the matrix \( \Gamma \) only the element \( \Gamma_{lm} \) is non-zero, we obtain the required relation (the "completeness condition"):
\[ \delta_{ij} \delta_{km} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_A \gamma_{Aik} \gamma^A_{ml}. \]
(28.12)

Multiplying both sides of this equation by \( \bar{\psi}_i \psi_j \bar{\psi}_k \psi_m \), we have
\[ (\bar{\psi}_a \psi^b)(\bar{\psi}_c \psi^d) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_A (\bar{\psi}_a \gamma_A \psi^b)(\bar{\psi}_c \gamma_A \psi^d). \]
(28.13)

This is one equation of the type mentioned above, reducing the product of two scalar bilinear forms to products of forms involving other pairs of factors.†

† To avoid any misunderstanding, it should be mentioned that the transformations \( T \) and \( P \) also involve a change in the arguments of the functions; the right-hand (transformed) sides in (22.6)–(22.8) are respectively functions of \( x^F = (-t, r), \quad x^E = (t, -r), \quad x^{CF} = (-t, -r) \) when the left-hand sides are functions of \( x = (t, r) \).

‡ It should be mentioned, to avoid any misunderstanding, that we are referring here to forms constructed from \( \psi \)-functions. The sign of the transformation would be opposite for forms constructed from the anticommuting \( \psi \)-operators.
Other equations of the same type may be obtained from (28.13) by the changes
\[ \psi^d \rightarrow \gamma^B \psi^d, \quad \psi^b \rightarrow \gamma^C \psi^b, \]
using the expansion
\[ \gamma^A \gamma^B = \sum_R c_R \gamma^R, \quad c_R = \frac{1}{4} \text{tr} \, \gamma^A \gamma^B \gamma^R \]
(see the Problems).

Here we may also give, for future reference, the relation for two-rowed matrices which corresponds to (28.12). The complete set of linearly independent two-rowed matrices \( \sigma^A (A = 1, 2, 3, 4) \) is
\[ 1, \sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z. \] (28.14)

These have the properties
\[ \text{tr} \, \sigma^A = 0 \quad (\sigma^4 \neq 1), \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \, \sigma^A \sigma^B = \delta_{AB}. \] (28.15)

The completeness condition is
\[ \delta_{xy} \delta_{\beta\delta} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_A \sigma^A_{\alpha\beta} \sigma^A_{\gamma\delta} \]
\[ = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\gamma\delta} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\gamma\delta} \]
(\( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = 1, 2 \), or
\[ \sigma_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\gamma\delta} = - \frac{1}{4} \sigma_{\alpha\gamma} \sigma_{\beta\delta} + \frac{3}{2} \sigma_{\gamma\delta} \delta_{\alpha\beta}. \] (28.16)

PROBLEMS

PROBLEM 1. Derive formulae analogous to (28.13) for products of pairs of bilinear forms, \( P, V, A, T \).

**Solution.** We use the notation
\[ J_x = (\bar{\psi}^a \psi^a)(\bar{\psi}^b \psi^b), \quad J_y = (\bar{\psi}^a \gamma^p \psi^a)(\bar{\psi}^b \gamma^p \psi^b), \]
\[ J_v = (\bar{\psi}^a \gamma^p \gamma^q \psi^a)(\bar{\psi}^b \gamma^p \gamma^q \psi^b), \quad J_t = (\bar{\psi}^a \gamma^p \gamma^q \gamma^s \psi^a)(\bar{\psi}^b \gamma^p \gamma^q \gamma^s \psi^b), \]
and the same symbols with primes to denote the products with \( \psi^b \) and \( \psi^d \) interchanged. The method used above gives
\[ 4J_x = J_x + J_y + J_t + J_A + J_T, \]
\[ 4J_y = 4J_x - 2J_y + 2J_A - 4J_P, \]
\[ 4J_v = 6J_x - 2J_y + 6J_A + 6J_P, \]
\[ 4J_t = 4J_x + 2J_y - 2J_A - 4J_P, \]
\[ 4J_A = J_x - J_y - J_t - J_A + J_T, \]
the first of these equations being the same as (28.13).

PROBLEM 2. Show that
\[ J^{ab, cd} = - J^{ad, cb}, \]
where
\[ J^{ab, cd} = (\bar{\psi}^a \gamma^p (1 + \gamma^p) \psi^b)(\bar{\psi}^c \gamma^q (1 + \gamma^q) \psi^d). \]

**Solution.** The quantities \( J \) are scalars with respect to 4-rotations, but have no definite parity with respect to inversion. The required equality is most simply obtained in the spinor representation. Since
\[ \gamma^a (1 + \gamma^b) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \gamma^b) \gamma^a (1 + \gamma^b) \]
and
\[ \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma^b) \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta_b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \psi (1 - \gamma^b) = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_b^* \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \]
we see that the "scalar" \( J^{ab, cd} \) must be expressed in terms of spinors of rank two
\[ \zeta^{(ab)}_{(cd)} = \eta_a^* \eta_b^* \eta_c \eta_d, \quad \zeta^{(cd)}_{(ab)} = \eta_a \eta_b \eta_c \eta_d, \]
and therefore must be of the form
\[ J^{ab, cd} \sim \zeta^{(ab)}_{(cd)} \zeta^{(cd)}_{(ab)} = \eta_a^* \eta_c^* \eta_b \eta_d. \]
Interchanging the indices \( b \) and \( d \), and noticing that
\[ \eta_a \eta_b \eta_c \eta_d = - \eta_b \eta_d \eta_a \eta_c, \]
we have the desired result.
§29. The polarisation density matrix

The dependence on the coordinates of the wave function $\psi$ which describes free motion with momentum $p$ (a plane wave) reduces to a common factor $e^{ip\cdot x}$, and the amplitude $u_p$ acts as a spin wave function. In such a state (a pure state), the particle is completely polarised (see QM, §59). In the non-relativistic theory this means that the particle spin has a definite direction in space (more precisely, there exists a direction in which the spin component has the definite value $+\frac{1}{2}$). In the relativistic theory, this description of a state in an arbitrary frame of reference is not possible, because, as already mentioned in §23, the spin vector is not conserved. The term “pure state” signifies only that the spin has a definite direction in the rest frame of the particle.

In a state of partial polarisation, there is no definite amplitude, but only a polarisation density matrix $\rho_{ik}$ ($i, k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ being bispinor indices). We define this matrix in such a way that in a pure state it reduces to products:

$$\rho_{ik} = u_{pi} \bar{u}_{pk}. \tag{29.1}$$

Accordingly the matrix $\rho$ is normalised by the condition

$$\text{tr} \rho = 2m; \tag{29.2}$$

cf. (23.4).

In a pure state, the mean value of the spin is given by the quantity

$$\bar{s} = \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \int \bar{\psi} \Sigma \psi \, d^3x$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\epsilon} u_p^{*\Sigma} u_p$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \bar{u}_p \gamma^0 \Sigma u_p. \tag{29.3}$$

The corresponding expression for a state of partial polarisation is

$$\bar{s} = \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \text{tr} (\rho \gamma^0 \Sigma) = \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \text{tr} (\rho \gamma^5 \gamma). \tag{29.4}$$

The amplitudes $u_p$ and $\bar{u}_p$ satisfy the algebraic equations $(\hat{p} - m)u_p = 0$, $\bar{u}_p(\hat{p} - m) = 0$. The matrix (29.1) therefore satisfies the equations

$$(\hat{p} - m)\rho = \rho(\hat{p} - m) = 0. \tag{29.5}$$

The density matrix must satisfy similar linear equations in the general case of a state which is mixed (with respect to the spin); cf. the analogous argument in QM, §14.

If we consider a free particle in its rest frame, the non-relativistic theory is applicable. In that theory the state of partial polarisation is completely defined by three parameters, the components of the mean spin vector $\bar{s}$ (see QM, §59). It is therefore clear that the same parameters will define the polarisation state after any Lorentz transformation, i.e. for a moving particle.

Let twice the mean spin vector in the rest frame be denoted by $\xi$; in a pure state $|\xi| = 1$, in a mixed state $|\xi| < 1$. For a four-dimensional description of the polarisation state it is convenient to define a 4-vector $a^\mu$ which in the rest frame is the same as the three-dimensional vector $\xi$; since $\xi$ is an axial vector, $a^\mu$ is a 4-pseudovector. This 4-vector is orthogonal
to the 4-momentum in the rest frame (in which \( a^\mu = (0, \zeta), \ p^\mu = (m, 0) \)); in any frame, we therefore have
\[
a^\mu p_\mu = 0. \tag{29.6}
\]
In any frame, moreover,
\[
a_\mu a^\mu = -\zeta^2. \tag{29.7}
\]

The components of the 4-vector \( a^\mu \) in a frame in which the particle is moving with velocity \( v = p/e \) are found by a Lorentz transformation from the rest frame, and are
\[
a^0 = \frac{|p|}{m} \zeta, \quad a_\parallel = \zeta, \quad a_\perp = \frac{e}{m} \zeta, \tag{29.8}
\]
where the suffixes \( \parallel \) and \( \perp \) denote the components of the vectors \( \zeta \) and \( a \) parallel and perpendicular to the direction of \( p \).† These formulae may be expressed in vector form:
\[
a = \zeta + \frac{p(\zeta \cdot p)}{m(e+m)}, \quad a^0 = \frac{a \cdot p}{e} = \frac{p \cdot \zeta}{m}, \quad a^2 = \zeta^2 + \frac{(p \cdot \zeta)^2}{m^2}. \tag{29.9}
\]

Let us first consider the unpolarised state \((\zeta = 0)\). The density matrix in this case can contain as parameters only the 4-momentum \( p \). The only form for such a matrix which satisfies the equations (29.5) is
\[
\rho = \frac{1}{4m}(\hat{p} + m)\rho' \hat{(p} + m), \tag{29.10}
\]
(I. E. Tamm, 1930; H. B. G. Casimir, 1933). The constant coefficient is chosen in accordance with the normalisation condition (29.2).

In the general case of partial polarisation \((\zeta \neq 0)\), we seek the density matrix in the form
\[
\rho = \frac{1}{4m}(\hat{p} + m)\rho' \hat{(p} + m), \tag{29.11}
\]
which necessarily satisfies the equations (29.5). When \( \zeta = 0 \), the auxiliary matrix \( \rho' \) must become a unit matrix; since \((\hat{p} + m)^2 = 2m\hat{(p} + m)\), (29.11) is then the same as (29.10). The matrix \( \rho' \) must also contain the 4-vector \( a \) linearly as a parameter, i.e. must be of the form
\[
\rho' = 1 - Ay\hat{a}; \tag{29.12}
\]
the second term includes the "scalar" product of the pseudovector \( a \) and the "matrix 4-pseudovector" \( \gamma^5 \gamma \). To determine the coefficient \( A \), we write the density matrix in the rest frame:
\[
\rho = \frac{1}{4m}(1 + \gamma^0)(1 + A\gamma^5 \gamma \cdot \zeta)(1 + \gamma^0)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{4m}(1 + \gamma^0)(1 + A\gamma^5 \gamma \cdot \zeta)
\]

† As regards their transformation properties, the components of the mean spin vector \( \bar{s} \) (like those of any angular momentum) are, in relativistic mechanics, the space components of an antisymmetric tensor \( S^{\mu} \).

The 4-vector \( a^\mu \) is related to this tensor by the equations
\[
S^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2m} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} a_\alpha p_\beta, \quad a^\alpha = -\frac{2}{m} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} S_{\beta \gamma} p_\delta
\]
It must be emphasised that, in an arbitrary frame of reference, the spatial part \( a \) of the 4-vector \( a^\mu \) is not the same as the vector \( 2\bar{s} \); we easily see that
\[
2\bar{s}_\parallel = \frac{1}{m} (a_\parallel e - \sigma_\parallel p) = \zeta, \quad 2\bar{s}_\perp = \frac{e}{m} a_\perp = \frac{e}{m} \zeta.
\]
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and calculate the mean spin by (29.4). Using the rules given in §22, we easily find that the only non-zero term in the trace is

\[ 2s = \frac{1}{2m} \text{tr} (\rho \gamma^5 \gamma) \]
\[ = -\frac{1}{4} \mathcal{A} \text{tr} [(\gamma \cdot \zeta) \gamma] \]
\[ = \mathcal{A} \zeta. \]

Equating this to \( \zeta \), we have \( \mathcal{A} = 1 \). The final expression for \( \rho \) is obtained by substituting (29.12) in (29.11) and interchanging the factors \( \rho' \) and \( \rho' + m \); since \( a \) and \( p \) are orthogonal, the product \( \gamma p \) anticommutes with \( \gamma a \):

\[ \hat{\rho} = 2ap - \hat{p}a = -\hat{p}a, \]

and therefore commutes with \( \gamma^5 \hat{a} \).

Thus the density matrix of a partially polarised electron is given by the expression

\[ \rho = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p} + m)(1 - \gamma^5 \hat{a}) \]

(29.13)

(L. Michel and A. S. Wightman, 1955). If the matrix \( \rho \) is known, the 4-vector \( a \) which describes the state can be found from

\[ a^\mu = \frac{1}{2m} \text{tr} (\rho \gamma^5 \gamma^\mu), \]

(29.14)

and the vector \( \zeta \) is therefore also known.

The formulae for the density matrix of the positron are entirely analogous to those of the electron. If the positron (with 4-momentum \( p \)) were described by a positron amplitude \( u_p^{(\text{pos})} \) and by a density matrix \( \rho^{(\text{pos})} \) defined on the basis of this amplitude, then there would be no difference from the case of the electron, and the matrix \( \rho^{(\text{pos})} \) would be given by the same formula (29.13). But, in actual calculations of cross-sections of scattering processes involving positrons, it is necessary (as we shall see below) to deal not with \( u_p^{(\text{pos})} \) but with the “negative frequency” amplitudes \( u_{-p} \). Accordingly, the polarisation density matrix (which we denote by \( \rho^{(-)} \)) must be defined so as to reduce to \( u_{-p, i}u_{-p, k} \) for a pure state.

According to (26.1), the positron amplitude \( u_p^{(\text{pos})} = U_c \bar{u}_{-p} \). Conversely, \( u_{-p} = U_c^{*} \bar{u}_{p}^{(\text{pos})} = U_c^{*} u_{p}^{(\text{pos})} U_c^* \); cf. (28.3). If

\[ \rho_{ik}^{(-)} = u_{-p, i}u_{-p, k}, \quad \rho_{ik}^{(\text{pos})} = u_{p, i}^{(\text{pos})}u_{p, k}^{(\text{pos})}, \]

then these formulae give

\[ \rho^{(-)} = U_c \bar{u}_{p}^{(\text{pos})} U_c^*. \]

(29.15)

Substituting for \( \rho^{(\text{pos})} \) the expression (29.13), we obtain, after some simple rearrangements using (26.3) and (26.21),

\[ \rho^{(-)} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p} - m)(1 - \gamma^5 \hat{a}). \]

(29.16)

In particular, for an unpolarised state

\[ \rho^{(-)} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p} - m). \]

(29.17)

In referring to positron density matrices below, we shall intend the matrices \( \rho^{(-)} \), and the index \( (-) \) will be omitted; the matrices \( \rho^{(\text{pos})} \) will not be needed in practice.

In various calculations it will often be necessary to average over spin states an expression such as \( \bar{u} F u \equiv \bar{u}_i F_{ik} u_k \) where \( F \) is a (four-rowed) matrix and \( u \) is the bispinor amplitude
of a state having a definite 4-momentum \( p \). This averaging is equivalent to replacing the products \( u_k u_i \) by the density matrix \( \rho_{ki} \) of a partially polarised state.

In particular, complete averaging over two independent spin states is equivalent to changing to an unpolarised state, and, by (29.10), we have

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{polar}} \bar{u}_p F u_p = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\hat{p} + m) F. \tag{29.18}
\]

Similarly, for wave functions with negative frequency,

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{polar}} \bar{u}_{-p} F u_{-p} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} (\hat{p} - m) F. \tag{29.19}
\]

If summation over spin states replaces averaging, the result is doubled.

Let us see how the density matrix (29.13) tends to its non-relativistic limit. To do so, we use the rest frame of the electron. In the standard representation of the wave functions, the amplitudes \( u_p \) in this frame have two components in the limit, and the density matrix must accordingly have two rows. For, in the rest frame,

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2} m (\gamma^0 + 1) (1 - \gamma^5 \gamma \cdot \zeta),
\]

and we find from the expressions (21.20) and (22.18) for the matrices \( \gamma \)

\[
\rho = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{\text{non-r}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_{\text{non-r}} = m (1 + \sigma \cdot \zeta), \tag{29.20}
\]

the zeros denoting two-rowed null matrices. If we use the normalisation of the density matrix to unity (\( \text{tr} \rho_{\text{non-r}} = 1 \)), as is customary in the non-relativistic theory, instead of the normalisation to \( 2m \), then the above expression must be divided by \( 2m \), giving

\[
\frac{1}{2} (1 + \sigma \cdot \zeta)
\]

in agreement with QM, (59.4), (59.5).

Similarly, the non-relativistic limit for the positron density matrix is

\[
\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho_{\text{non-r}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho_{\text{non-r}} = -m (1 + \sigma \cdot \zeta).
\]

Finally, there is a simpler expression for the density matrix in the ultra-relativistic case. Putting in (29.8) \( |p| \approx \epsilon \) (and thereby neglecting small quantities of order \( (m/\epsilon)^2 \)), substituting these expressions in (29.13) or (29.16), and taking the direction of \( p \) as the x-axis, we can write

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \epsilon (\gamma^0 - \gamma^1) \pm m \right] \left[ 1 - \gamma^5 \left( \frac{\epsilon}{m} (\gamma^0 - \gamma^1) \zeta \pm \zeta_\perp \cdot \gamma_\perp \right) \right],
\]

where the upper sign refers to the electron and the lower sign to the positron. When the product is expanded, the leading terms cancel, and those of the next order give

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \epsilon (\gamma^0 - \gamma^1) \left[ 1 - \gamma^5 (\pm \zeta_\perp \pm \zeta_\perp \cdot \gamma_\perp) \right] \right],
\]
or, again writing \( \epsilon (\gamma^0 - \gamma^1) \) in the form \( \hat{p} \),

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2} \hat{p} \left[ 1 - \gamma^5 (\pm \zeta_\perp \pm \zeta_\perp \cdot \gamma_\perp) \right]. \tag{29.21}
\]

This is the required expression for the density matrix in the ultra-relativistic case. It should be noticed that all the components of the polarisation vector \( \zeta \) appear in this expression equivalently, as terms of the same order of magnitude. It will be recalled that
\( \zeta_{\parallel} \) is the component of this vector parallel (if \( \zeta_{\parallel} > 0 \)) or antiparallel (if \( \zeta_{\parallel} < 0 \)) to the particle momentum. In particular, for a helicity state of the particle, \( \zeta_{\parallel} = 2\lambda = \pm 1 \); the density matrix then has an especially simple form,\(^\dagger\)

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2} \hat{\rho}(1 \mp 2\lambda \gamma^5).
\]  

(29.22)

§30. Neutrinos

We have seen in §20 that the necessity of two spinors (\( \xi \) and \( \eta \)) to describe a particle with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) is due to the mass of the particle. This necessity disappears if the mass is zero. The wave equation which describes such a particle can be derived from a single spinor, say the dotted spinor \( \eta \):

\[
p^\beta \eta_\beta = 0,
\]  

(30.1)

or, equivalently,

\[
(p_0 + p \cdot \sigma)\eta = 0.
\]  

(30.2)

It has also been noted in §20 that the wave equation containing the mass \( m \) is necessarily symmetrical with respect to inversion (the transformation \( \xi \leftrightarrow \eta \)). When the particle is described by a single spinor, this symmetry is lost, but it is not needed, since symmetry with respect to inversion is not a universal property of Nature.

The energy and momentum of a particle with \( m = 0 \) are related by \( \varepsilon = |p| \). For a plane wave \( (\eta_\rho \sim e^{-ipx}) \), equation (30.2) therefore gives

\[
(n \cdot \sigma)\eta_\rho = -\eta_\rho,
\]  

(30.3)

where \( n \) is a unit vector in the direction of \( p \). A similar equation,

\[
(n \cdot \sigma)\eta_{-\rho} = -\eta_{-\rho},
\]  

(30.4)

applies to a wave with “negative frequency” \( (\eta_{-\rho} \sim e^{ipx}) \).

The second-quantised \( \psi \)-operator is

\[
\eta = \sum_p (\eta_\rho a_\rho + \eta_{-\rho} b_\rho^+),
\]

(30.5)

\[
\eta^+ = \sum_p (\eta^*_\rho a_\rho^+ + \eta^*_{-\rho} b_\rho).
\]

Hence it follows, as usual, that \( \eta^*_\rho \) are the wave functions of the antiparticle.

The definition (20.1) of the operators \( p^\beta \) shows that \( p_\rho^\beta * = -p^\beta \). The complex conjugate spinor \( \eta^* \) therefore satisfies the equation \( p_\rho^\beta \eta^*_\beta = 0 \), or, equivalently,

\[
p_\rho^\beta \eta^*_\beta = 0.
\]

We write \( \eta^* = \xi^\rho \), thus expressing the fact that complex conjugation changes the dotted to the undotted spinor. The wave function of the antiparticle then satisfies the equation

\[
p_{\rho\beta} \xi_\beta = 0,
\]  

(30.6)

or

\[
(p_0 - p \cdot \sigma)\xi = 0.
\]  

(30.7)

Hence, for a plane wave,

\[
(n \cdot \sigma)\xi_\rho = \xi_\rho.
\]  

(30.8)

But \( \frac{1}{2} n \cdot \sigma \) is the operator which projects the spin on the direction of motion. Equations (30.3) and (30.8) therefore signify that states of the particle with a definite momentum

\(^\dagger\) This is, as it should be, the same as the neutrino or antineutrino density matrix, these being particles with zero mass and definite helicity (see §30).
are necessarily helicity states, for which the spin component in the direction of motion has
a definite value. If the particle spin is opposite to the momentum (helicity \(-\frac{1}{2}\)), then the
antiparticle spin is along the momentum (helicity \(+\frac{1}{2}\)).

The neutrinos which occur in Nature appear to be particles possessing these properties.
The particle with helicity \(-\frac{1}{2}\) is conventionally called the neutrino, and that with helicity \(+\frac{1}{2}\)
the antineutrino.†

In connection with the fact that the neutrino states are not degenerate with respect to
spin directions, reference should be made to the comment in §8 that a massless particle
has only axial symmetry about the direction of the momentum. For a strictly neutral
particle (the photon), this symmetry includes both rotations about the axis and reflections
in planes passing through the axis. For the neutrino, there is no reflection symmetry,
leaving only the group of rotations about the axis, which conserve the angular momentum
component along the axis and do not change its sign. The symmetry with respect to reflec-
tions exists only if the particle is at the same time replaced by the antiparticle.

It should also be noted that the necessarily longitudinal polarisation signifies that the
spin of the neutrino cannot be distinguished from its orbital momentum, just as with the
necessarily transverse polarisation of the photon (§6).

From one spinor \(\eta\) (or \(\xi\)), only four bilinear combinations can be constructed, which
together form the 4-vector

\[ j^\mu = (\eta^* \eta, \eta^* \sigma \eta). \]  (30.9)

It is easily verified that the equations

\[(p_0 + p \cdot \sigma)\eta = 0, \quad \eta^* (p_0 - p \cdot \sigma) = 0\]

imply the continuity equation \(\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0\), so that \(j^\mu\) acts as a particle current density 4-vector.

It is convenient to normalise neutrino plane waves in a manner similar to that used in
§23 for particles possessing mass:

\[ \eta_+ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\epsilon)}} u_p e^{-ipx}, \quad \eta_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\epsilon)}} u_p e^{ipx}, \]  (30.10)

the spinor amplitudes being normalised by the invariant condition

\[ u^*_\pm (1, \sigma) u_{\pm p} = 2(\epsilon, p). \]  (30.11)

The particle density and particle current density are then \(j^0 = 1, j = p/\epsilon = n\).

Since a free neutrino with a given momentum is always completely polarised, there are
no states which are mixed (with respect to the spin). It may nevertheless be convenient
to define a two-rowed polarisation “density matrix” simply as the spinor of rank two

\[ \rho_{\sigma \sigma} = u_{\sigma} u^*_\sigma, \]  (30.12)

for which \(\text{tr} \rho = 2\epsilon\). An expression for this matrix can be obtained by noting that it must
satisfy the equations

\[(\epsilon + p \cdot \sigma) \rho = \rho (\epsilon + p \cdot \sigma) = 0.\]

Hence we have

\[ \rho = \epsilon - p \cdot \sigma. \]  (30.13)

In the consideration of various interaction processes, neutrinos may appear together

† The existence of neutrinos was theoretically predicted by W. Pauli (1931) in order to explain
the properties of \(\beta\)-decay. Equation (30.1) was first discussed by H. Weyl (1929). The neutrino theory based on
these equations was evolved by L. D. Landau, T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, and A. Salam (1957).
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with other particles having spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) and possessing mass, which are therefore described by four-component wave functions. In such cases it is convenient to retain uniformity of notation by formally defining for the neutrino also a “bispinor” wave function having two components zero: \( \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} \). But this form of \( \psi \) is in general not preserved in another (non-spinor) representation. This difficulty can be overcome by noting that in the spinor representation we have identically

\[
\frac{1 + \gamma^5}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\eta^* \xi^*) \left( \frac{1 - \gamma^5}{2} \right) = (\eta^* 0),
\]

where \( \xi \) is an arbitrary “makeweight” spinor which does not appear in the final result; the matrix \( \gamma^5 \) is given by (22.18). The condition for a true “two-component” neutrino will therefore be maintained when it is described by a four-component \( \psi \) in any representation, if \( \psi \) is taken to be the solution of Dirac’s equation with \( m = 0 \):

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} \psi = 0,
\]

subject to the additional condition \( \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma^5) \psi = \psi \), or

\[
\gamma^5 \psi = \psi.
\]

This condition may be taken into account by replacing \( \psi \) and \( \bar{\psi} \), wherever they would occur, by the following expressions:

\[
\psi \rightarrow \frac{1 + \gamma^5}{2} \psi, \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} \left( \frac{1 - \gamma^5}{2} \right).
\]

For example, using these expressions in \( \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \), the current density 4-vector may be written in the form

\[
j^\mu = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} (1 - \gamma^5) \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) \psi
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) \psi.
\]

(30.17)

In the same way, the four-rowed neutrino density matrix becomes

\[
\rho = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma^5) \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau} (1 - \gamma^5) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \gamma^5) \frac{\partial}{\partial\tau}.
\]

(30.18)

In the spinor representation it reduces, as it should, to the two-rowed matrix (30.13),

\[
\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-\sigma \cdot p} \\ 0 & e^{\sigma \cdot p} \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The corresponding formulae for the antineutrino differ from those given above by a change of the sign of \( \gamma^5 \).

The neutrino is an electrically neutral particle, but, with the properties described above, it is not a strictly neutral particle. Here it must be noted that the “neutrino field” described by a two-component spinor is equivalent, as regards the number of possible particle states (but not, of course, as regards its other physical properties) to a strictly neutral field described by a four-component bispinor. Instead of states of particles and antiparticles with definite helicity, we should here have the same number of states of one particle with two possible values of the helicity, and the property of symmetry with respect to inversion would automatically occur. However, the zero mass of the “four-component” neutrino would be, so to speak, “accidental”, since it would be unrelated to the symmetry properties of the wave equation describing the neutrino (which allows also a non-zero mass). The various interactions of such a particle would therefore necessarily imply the existence of a small but not zero rest mass.
§31. The wave equation for a particle with spin 3/2

A particle with spin 3/2 is described, in its rest frame, by a three-dimensional symmetrical spinor of rank three (having \(2s+1 = 4\) independent components). Correspondingly, in an arbitrary frame of reference, its description may involve the 4-spinors \(\xi^{ab\gamma}\), \(\eta_{ab\gamma}\) and \(\zeta^{ab\gamma}\), \(\chi_{ab\gamma}\), each of which is symmetrical in all the indices of one kind (dotted or undotted). The spinors in each pair are interchanged by inversion.

In order that the 4-spinors \(\xi^{ab\gamma}\) and \(\eta_{ab\gamma}\) should become in the rest frame 3-spinors symmetrical in all three indices, they must satisfy the conditions

\[
p^{ab\beta}\eta_{ab\gamma} = 0, \quad p_{ab\beta}\xi^{ab\gamma} = 0:
\]

in the rest frame we have

\[
p^{ab\beta}\rightarrow p_0\delta^\beta_a = m\delta^\beta_a,
\]

as is seen from (20.1), and the conditions (31.1) therefore imply the equations

\[
\delta^\beta_a\eta^{ab\gamma} = 0, \quad \delta^\beta_a\xi^{ab\gamma} = 0,
\]

where the primed letters denote the corresponding three-dimensional spinors. Thus these spinors give zero on contraction with respect to the indices \(\alpha, \beta\), which means that they are symmetrical in these two indices, and hence in all three.

The differential relations between the spinors \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) are

\[
p^{\delta\gamma}_{ab\beta} = m\xi^{ab\gamma},
\]

\[
p_{\delta\gamma}{^a_{b\beta}} = m\eta^{ab\gamma}.
\]

The conditions (31.1) ensure that the left-hand sides of (31.2) vanish on contraction with respect to any other pair of indices, and hence that these quantities are symmetrical in \(\beta, \gamma\) or \(\alpha, \delta\). In the rest frame, the three-dimensional spinors \(\xi'\) and \(\eta'\) are the same according to (31.2), as they should be. On eliminating \(\eta\) or \(\xi\) from (31.2), we find that each component of the spinors \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) satisfies the second-order equation

\[
(p^2 - m^2)\xi^{ab\gamma} = 0.
\]

The equations (31.1), (31.2) form a complete set of wave equations for a particle with spin 3/2.† No further results would be obtained by using the spinors \(\xi\) and \(\chi\). Their structure is as follows:

\[
m\xi^{ab\gamma} = p^{ab}\eta_{0\gamma},
\]

\[
m\chi_{ab\gamma} = p_{ab}\xi^{ab\gamma}.
\]

The equations of particles with spin 3/2 can also be put in a different form, using the vectorial properties of spinors (W. Rarita and J. Schwinger, 1941; A. S. Davydov and I. E. Tamm, 1942). One four-dimensional vector index \(\mu\) is assigned to a pair of spinor indices \(\alpha \beta\). Thus the components \(\psi^{ab\gamma}_\mu\) of the spinor of rank three can be put into correspondence with the components of the "mixed" quantities \(\psi_\mu^\gamma\), which have one vector and one spinor index. Similarly, the spinor \(\psi^{ab\gamma}_\mu\) is correlated with \(\psi^\gamma_\mu\), and the two spinors together correspond to a "vector" bispinor \(\psi_\mu\) (omitting the bispinor index). The wave equation then becomes a "Dirac equation" for each of the vector components \(\psi_\mu\):

\[
(\slashed{p} - m)\psi_\mu = 0,
\]

with the added condition

\[
\gamma^\mu\psi_\mu = 0.
\]

† See the paper by Fierz and Pauli, cited in §15, concerning the Lagrangian formulation of these equations.
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Using the expressions for the matrices $\gamma^\mu$ in the spinor representation and the relations (18.6), (18.7) between the spinor and vector components, we can easily verify that equation (31.4) implies equations (31.2), and that the condition (31.5) is equivalent to the condition for the spinors $e^{a\beta\gamma}$ and $n^{a\beta\gamma}$ to be symmetrical in the indices $\beta\gamma$ or $\beta\gamma$. Multiplying (31.4) by $\gamma^\mu$ and using (31.5), we find

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu p_\nu \psi_\mu = 0,$$

or, using the commutation rules for the matrices $\gamma^\mu$,

$$2g^\mu\nu p_\nu - \gamma^\nu p_\nu \gamma^\mu = 0. \quad (31.6)$$

The second term in turn is zero by (31.5), and the first term gives

$$p^\mu \psi_\mu = 0. \quad (31.7)$$

This condition, which is implied by (31.4), (31.5), is easily seen to be equivalent to the conditions (31.1).

Finally, yet another way of expressing the wave equation is to use quantities $\psi_{ikl}$ ($i, k, l = 1, 2, 3, 4$) with three bispinor indices, in which they are symmetrical (V. Bargmann and E. P. Wigner, 1948). The set of these quantities is equivalent to the components of all four spinors $\xi, \eta, \zeta, \chi$. The wave equation becomes a set of "Dirac equations"

$$p_\mu \gamma^\mu_{ikl} \psi_{mkl} = m \psi_{ikl}. \quad (31.8)$$

We easily see that these equations yield the necessary number (four) of independent components $\psi_{ikl}$, and there is therefore no need to impose any further conditions. In the rest frame, (31.8) becomes

$$\gamma^0_{im} \psi_{mkl} = \psi_{ikl},$$

according to which all the components with $i, k, l = 3, 4$ are zero (in the standard representation), i.e. the $\psi_{ikl}$ reduce to the components of a three-dimensional spinor of rank three.

The results given above have an obvious generalisation for particles with any half-integral spin $s$. In the description by equations of the form (31.4), (31.5), the wave function is a symmetrical 4-tensor of rank $\frac{1}{2}(2s-1)$ with one bispinor index. In the description by equations of the form (31.8), the wave function has $2s$ bispinor indices and is symmetrical in these.
CHAPTER IV
PARTICLES IN AN EXTERNAL FIELD

§32. Dirac’s equation for an electron in an external field

The wave equations of free particles express essentially only those properties which depend on the general requirements of space-time symmetry. Physical processes involving the particles, however, depend on their interaction properties.

In the relativistic theory, it proves impossible to obtain by any simple generalisation of the wave equations a description of particles that are capable of strong interactions, i.e. a description going beyond the information contained in the equations for free particles.

The wave-equation method, however, is applicable to the description of electromagnetic interactions of particles that are not capable of strong interactions. These include electrons (and positrons), and the very wide domain of electron quantum electrodynamics is therefore accessible to the existing theory. There are also unstable particles, the muons, which are not capable of strong interactions; they are described by the same quantum electrodynamics as regards phenomena occurring in times short in comparison with their lifetime (with respect to weak interactions).

In this chapter we shall discuss problems of quantum electrodynamics which fall within the scope of single-particle theory. These are problems in which the number of particles is unchanged, and the interaction can be represented in terms of an external electromagnetic field. Besides the conditions which ensure that the external field may be regarded as given, there are conditions arising from “radiative corrections” (to be discussed in Part 2) which also impose limits on the validity of such a theory.

The wave equations of an electron in a given external field can be derived in the same way as in the non-relativistic theory (QM, §110). Let \( A'^{\mu} = (\Phi, A) \) be the 4-potential of the external electromagnetic field (\( A \) being the vector potential and \( \Phi \) the scalar potential). We obtain the desired equation on replacing the 4-momentum operator \( p \) in Dirac’s equation by \( p - eA \), where \( e \) is the charge on the particle†:

\[
[\gamma(p - eA) - m]\nu = 0. \tag{32.1}
\]

The corresponding Hamiltonian is found by making the same change in (21.13):

\[
H = \alpha \cdot (p - eA) + \beta m + e\Phi. \tag{32.2}
\]

The invariance of Dirac’s equation under gauge transformations of the electromagnetic field potential is shown by the fact that it is unchanged in form if the transformation

† The charge together with its sign is meant, so that for the electron \( e = -|e| \).
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$A \to A + ip\chi$ (where $\chi$ is an arbitrary function) is accompanied by the following transformation of the wave function:

$$\psi \to \psi e^{i\chi};$$

(32.3)

cf. the corresponding transformation for Schrödinger's equation in QM, §110.

The current density is expressed in terms of the wave function by the same formula (21.11),

$$j = \overline{\psi} \gamma \psi,$$

as when there is no external field. It is easily seen that, when the calculations used in deriving (21.11) are repeated with the equation (32.1) (and the equation (32.4) below), the external field disappears, and the continuity equation contains the same expression for the current as previously.

Let us apply the operation of charge conjugation to equation (32.1). To do so, we write the equation

$$\overline{\psi} [\gamma(p + eA) + m] = 0,$$

(32.4)

which is obtained as the complex conjugate of (32.1), in the same way as equation (21.9) was derived in Chapter III, and using the fact that the 4-vector $A$ is real. Putting this equation in the form

$$[\gamma(p + eA) + m] \overline{\psi} = 0,$$

multiplying it on the left by the matrix $U_c$ and using the relations (26.3), we find

$$[\gamma(p + eA) - m](C\psi) = 0.$$  

(32.5)

Thus the charge-conjugate wave function satisfies an equation which differs from the original equation by a change in the sign of the charge. The operation of charge conjugation, however, corresponds to a change from particles to antiparticles. We see that, if the particles possess an electric charge, the signs of the electron and positron charges, which determine their interaction with the electromagnetic field, are necessarily opposite.

The first-order equations (32.1) can be transformed into second-order equations by applying to them the operator $\gamma(p - eA) + m$:

$$[\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu(p_\mu - eA_\mu)(p_\nu - eA_\nu) - m^2] \psi = 0.$$  

The product $\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu$ may be written as follows:

$$\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu)$$

$$= g^{\mu\nu} + \sigma^{\mu\nu},$$

where $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ is the antisymmetric "matrix 4-tensor" (28.2). On multiplying by $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ we can antisymmetrise by the substitution

$$ (p_\mu - eA_\mu)(p_\nu - eA_\nu) \to \frac{1}{2}[(p_\mu - eA_\mu)(p_\nu - eA_\nu)] -$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(-A_\mu p_\nu + p_\nu A_\mu - p_\mu A_\nu - A_\nu p_\mu)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}ie(\partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}ieF_{\mu\nu}$$

(with $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ the electromagnetic field tensor). The result is the second-order equation

$$[(p - eA)^2 - m^2 - \frac{1}{2}ieF_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}]\psi = 0.$$  

(32.6)
The product $F_{\mu} \Sigma^{\mu}$ may be written in three-dimensional form in terms of the components

$$\Sigma^{\mu} = (\alpha, i \Sigma), \quad F^{\mu} = (-E, H).$$

Then

$$[(p - eA)^2 - m^2 + e \Sigma \cdot H - ie \alpha \cdot E] \psi = 0,$$

or, in ordinary units,

$$\left[ \left( i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{e}{c} A \right)^2 - \left( i \hbar \nabla + \frac{e}{c} A \right)^2 - m^2 c^2 + \frac{e \hbar}{c} \Sigma \cdot H - i \frac{e \hbar}{c} \alpha \cdot E \right] \psi = 0. \quad (32.7a)$$

The occurrence in these equations of terms in the fields $E$ and $H$ is due to the spin of the particle, and will be further discussed in §33.

The solutions of the second-order equation include, of course, "redundant" solutions which do not satisfy the original first-order equation (32.1), being solutions of the equation $[\gamma(p - eA) + m] \psi = 0$ with the opposite sign of $m$. The choice of the appropriate solutions in particular cases is usually obvious and causes no difficulty. The customary procedure is that, if $\phi$ is any solution of the second-order equation, then a solution of the correct first-order equation is

$$\psi = [\gamma(p - eA) + m] \phi. \quad (32.8)$$

For, on multiplying this equation by $\gamma(p - eA) - m$, we see that the right-hand side vanishes if $\phi$ satisfies (32.6).

It should be emphasised that the introduction of the external field into the relativistic wave equation by replacing $p$ by $p - eA$ is not self-evident. In doing so, we have essentially made use of a further principle: this substitution must be applied to first-order equations. For this reason equation (32.6) contains additional terms which would not appear if the substitution were made directly in the second-order equation.†

The stationary-state solutions of Dirac's equation in an external field may include states of both the continuous spectrum and the discrete spectrum. As in the non-relativistic theory, states of the continuous spectrum correspond to infinite motion, in which the particle can be at infinity; it may there be regarded as a free particle. Since the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian of a free particle are $\pm \sqrt{(p^2 + m^2)}$, it is clear that the continuous spectrum of energy eigenvalues is in the ranges $\varepsilon \geq m$ and $\varepsilon \leq -m$. If $-m < \varepsilon < m$, the particle cannot be at infinity, and the motion is therefore finite and the state belongs to the discrete spectrum.

As in the case of free particles, the wave functions with "positive frequency" ($\varepsilon > 0$) and with "negative frequency" ($\varepsilon < 0$) appear in a definite manner in the second quantisation procedure. For particles in an external field, there is a natural generalisation of this procedure, the plane waves in formulae (25.1) being replaced by appropriately normalised

† This principle ought also to be used when the external field is introduced into the wave equations of particles with other spin values, and the equations ought to be in the form which results from the variational principle, without applying further conditions. For example, with spin 1 the substitution $p \rightarrow p - eA$ should be made in equations (14.1), (14.2). This substitution (for spin 1) in the second-order equation (14.4) and the extra condition (14.3) would lead, in fact, to incompatible equations. For spin 3/2, the incompatibility would even occur if the first-order equations (31.2) were used with the extra conditions (31.1).

For spin zero, using the substitution $p \rightarrow p - eA$ in the first-order equations (10.4) is equivalent to doing so in the second-order equation (10.5).

We shall not pause to discuss these problems further, since they have no direct physical significance: the electromagnetic interaction of actual particles with spin other than $\frac{1}{2}$ cannot be described by wave equations.
§33. Expansion in powers of $1/c$

We have seen in §21 that, in the non-relativistic limit ($v \to 0$), two components ($\chi$) of the bispinor $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix}$ vanish. Hence $\chi \ll \phi$ when the electron velocity is small. This leads to an approximate equation involving only the two-component quantity $\phi$, obtained by a formal expansion of the wave function in powers of $1/c$.

† In particular, this will occur if there are two deep potential wells of opposite sign.

‡ In this section, ordinary units are used.
Dirac's equation for an electron in an external field may be written

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \left\{ c\mathbf{\alpha} \cdot \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) + \beta mc^2 + e\Phi \right\} \psi. \] (33.1)

The relativistic energy of the particle includes also its rest energy \( mc^2 \). This must be excluded in arriving at the non-relativistic approximation, and we therefore replace \( \psi \) by a function \( \psi' \) defined as follows:

\[ \psi = \psi' e^{-imc^2t/\hbar}. \]

Then

\[ \left( i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + mc^2 \right) \psi' = \left\{ c\mathbf{\alpha} \cdot \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) + \beta mc^2 + e\Phi \right\} \psi'. \]

Substituting \( \psi' = \left( \phi', \chi' \right) \), we obtain the equations

\[ \left( i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - e\Phi \right) \phi' = c\mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \chi', \] (33.2)

\[ \left( i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - e\Phi + 2mc^2 \right) \chi' = c\mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \phi'. \] (33.3)

The primes to \( \phi \) and \( \chi \) will henceforth be omitted; this will cause no misunderstanding, since only the transformed function \( \psi' \) is used in the present section.

In the first approximation, only the term \( 2mc^2 \chi \) is retained on the left-hand side of (33.3), which gives

\[ \chi = \frac{1}{2mc} \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \phi \] (33.4)

(thus \( \chi \sim \phi/c \)). Substitution of this in (33.2) gives

\[ \left( i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - e\Phi \right) \phi = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \right)^2 \phi. \]

For the Pauli matrices we have the relation

\[ (\mathbf{\sigma}.\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{\sigma}.\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} + i\mathbf{\sigma} \times \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b}, \] (33.5)

where \( \mathbf{a} \) and \( \mathbf{b} \) are arbitrary vectors (see (20.9)). In the present case, \( \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{p} - e\mathbf{A}/c \), but the vector product \( \mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b} \) is not zero, since \( \mathbf{p} \) and \( \mathbf{A} \) do not commute:

\[ \left[ \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \times \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \right] \phi = i \frac{e\hbar}{c} \{ \mathbf{A} \times \nabla + \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \} \phi \]

\[ = i \frac{e\hbar}{c} \text{curl} \mathbf{A} \cdot \phi. \]

Thus

\[ \left( \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \right)^2 = i \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right)^2 - \frac{e\hbar}{c} \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{H}, \] (33.6)

where \( \mathbf{H} = \text{curl} \mathbf{A} \) is the magnetic field, and for \( \phi \) we obtain the equation

\[ i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \mathbf{H} \phi = \left[ \frac{1}{2m} \left( \mathbf{p} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right)^2 + e\Phi - \frac{e}{2mc} \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{H} \right] \phi. \] (33.7)
§33

Expansion in powers of $1/c$

This is Pauli’s equation. It differs from the non-relativistic Schrödinger’s equation by the last term in the Hamiltonian, which has the form of the potential energy of a magnetic dipole in the external field (cf. QM, §110). Thus, in the first approximation (with respect to $1/c$), the electron behaves as a particle having both a charge and a magnetic moment

$$\mathbf{\mu} = \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \sigma = \frac{e}{mc} \mathbf{h}s.$$  \hspace{1cm} (33.8)

The gyromagnetic ratio $e/mc$ is twice its value for a magnetic moment due to orbital motion.†

The density $\rho = \psi^*\psi = \phi^*\phi + \chi^*\chi$. The second term must be omitted in the first approximation, so that $\rho = |\phi|^2$, as would be expected for Schrödinger’s equation.

The current density is

$$j = c\psi^*\mathbf{a}\psi = c(\phi^*\sigma\chi + \chi^*\sigma\phi).$$

We substitute here, from (33.4),

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2mc} \sigma \cdot \left( -i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \phi,$$

$$\chi^* = \frac{1}{2mc} \left( i\hbar \nabla - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right) \phi^* \cdot \sigma,$$

and transform the products containing two factors $\sigma$ by means of (33.5) in the form

$$(\sigma \cdot a)\sigma = a + i\sigma \times a, \quad \sigma(\sigma \cdot a) = a + i a \times \sigma.$$ \hspace{1cm} (33.9)

The result is

$$j = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} (\phi \nabla \phi^* - \phi^* \nabla \phi) - \frac{e}{mc} A\phi^* \phi + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla (\phi^* \sigma \phi),$$ \hspace{1cm} (33.10)

in agreement with the expression in the non-relativistic theory, QM (114.4).

Let us now derive the second approximation, continuing the expansion as far as terms of order $1/c^2$, and assuming that there is only an electric external field ($A = 0$).

First, we note that, when terms $\sim 1/c^2$ are included, the density is

$$\rho = |\phi|^2 + |\chi|^2 = |\phi|^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2c^2} |\sigma \cdot \nabla \phi|^2.$$ 

This differs from the Schrödinger expression. In order to find (in the second approximation) the wave equation corresponding to Schrödinger’s equation, we must replace $\phi$ by another (two-component) function $\phi_{\text{Sch}}$, for which the time-independent integral would be of the form $\int |\phi_{\text{Sch}}|^2 \, dV$, as it should be for Schrödinger’s equation.

To obtain the required transformation, we write the condition

$$\int \phi_{\text{Sch}}^* \phi_{\text{Sch}} \, dV = \int \left\{ \phi^{*} \phi + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2c^2} (\nabla \phi^* \cdot \sigma) (\sigma \cdot \nabla \phi) \right\} \, dV.$$ 

† This remarkable result was first derived by P. A. M. Dirac in 1928. The two-component wave function satisfying equation (33.7) was introduced by W. Pauli (1927), before Dirac’s discovery of his equation.
and integrate by parts:
\[
\int (\nabla \phi^* \cdot \sigma) (\sigma \cdot \nabla \phi) \, dV = - \int \phi^* (\sigma \cdot \nabla) (\sigma \cdot \nabla) \phi \, dV \\
= - \int \phi^* \Delta \phi \, dV
\]
(or the same with $\phi$ and $\phi^*$ interchanged). Thus
\[
\int \phi_{\text{Sch}}^* \phi_{\text{Sch}} \, dV = \int \left\{ \frac{\hbar^2}{8m^2c^2} (\phi^* \Delta \phi + \phi \Delta \phi^*) \right\} \, dV,
\]
whence it is evident that
\[
\phi_{\text{Sch}} = \left( 1 + \frac{p^2}{8m^2c^2} \right) \phi, \quad \phi = \left( 1 - \frac{p^2}{8m^2c^2} \right) \phi_{\text{Sch}}.
\]
(33.11)

To simplify the notation we shall assume a stationary state, replacing the operator $-i\hbar \partial / \partial t$ by the energy $\varepsilon$ (with the rest energy subtracted). In the next approximation after (33.4) we have from (33.3)
\[
\chi = \frac{1}{2mc} \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon - e\Phi}{2mc^2} \right) (\sigma \cdot p) \phi.
\]
This is to be substituted in (33.2) and $\phi$ then replaced by $\phi_{\text{Sch}}$ according to (33.11), omitting all terms of higher order than $1/c^2$. A simple calculation leads to an equation for $\phi_{\text{Sch}}$ in the form $\varepsilon \phi_{\text{Sch}} = H \phi_{\text{Sch}}$, where the Hamiltonian is
\[
H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + e\Phi - \frac{p^4}{8m^2c^2} + \frac{e}{4m^2c^2} \left\{ (\sigma \cdot p) \Phi (\sigma \cdot p) - \frac{1}{2} (p^2 \Phi + \Phi p^2) \right\}.
\]
The expression in the braces is transformed by means of the formulae
\[
(\sigma \cdot p) \Phi (\sigma \cdot p) = \Phi p^2 + (\sigma \cdot p) \Phi (\sigma \cdot p)
= \Phi p^2 + i\hbar (\sigma \cdot E)(\sigma \cdot p),
\]
\[
p^2 \Phi - \Phi p^2 = - \hbar^2 \Delta \Phi + 2i\hbar E \cdot p,
\]
where $E = -\nabla \Phi$ is the electric field. The final expression for the Hamiltonian is
\[
H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + e\Phi - \frac{p^4}{8m^2c^2} - \frac{e\hbar}{4m^2c^2} \sigma \cdot E \times p - \frac{e\hbar^2}{8m^2c^2} \text{div} E.
\]
(33.12)

The last three terms are the required corrections of order $1/c^2$. The first of these three terms is due to the relativistic dependence of the kinetic energy on the momentum (the expansion of the difference $c\sqrt{(p^2 + m^2c^2) - mc^2}$). The second, which may be called the spin-orbit interaction energy, is the energy of the interaction of the moving magnetic moment with the electric field.† The last is zero except at points where there are charges creating the external field (for instance, in the Coulomb field of a point charge $Ze$, $\Delta \Phi = -4\pi Z e \delta(r)$) (C. G. Darwin, 1928).

† With the magnetic moment (33.8) and the velocity $v = p/m$, this energy becomes $-\mu \cdot E \times v/2c$. At first sight this result may appear unlikely, because on changing to a frame of reference fixed to the particle there arises a magnetic field $H = E \times v/c$, in which the energy of the magnetic moment should be $-\mu \cdot H$. This argument, however, does not make proper allowance for the fact that the frame of reference fixed to the particle is not inertial. The occurrence of the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ (the "Thomas half"; L. H. Thomas, 1926) is due to the general requirements of relativistic invariance together with the particular properties of the electron as a "spinor" particle with the corresponding value of the gyromagnetic ratio (see §41).
§34. Fine structure of levels of the hydrogen atom

If the electric field is centrally symmetric, then

\[ E = - \frac{r}{r} \frac{d \Phi}{dr}, \]

and the spin-orbit interaction operator can be put in the form

\[ \frac{e \hbar}{4m^2 c^2 r} \sigma \cdot \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} \frac{d \Phi}{dr} = \frac{\hbar}{2m^2 c^2 r} \frac{d U}{dr} \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{s}, \tag{33.13} \]

where \( \mathbf{l} \) is the orbital angular momentum operator, \( \mathbf{s} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \) is the electron spin operator, and \( U = e \Phi \) is the potential energy of the electron in the field.

§34. Fine structure of levels of the hydrogen atom

Let us determine the relativistic corrections to the energy levels of the hydrogen atom—an electron in the Coulomb field of a fixed nucleus. The velocity of the electron in the hydrogen atom is \( v/c \sim \alpha \ll 1 \). The required corrections can therefore be calculated by the use of perturbation theory, averaging over the unperturbed state (i.e. over the non-relativistic wave function) the relativistic terms in the approximate Hamiltonian (33.12). For somewhat greater generality we shall take the charge of the nucleus as \( Z e \), assuming, however, that \( Z \alpha \ll 1 \).

The field of the nucleus is \( E = Z e r/r^3 \), and its potential satisfies the equation \( \Delta \Phi = -4\pi Z e \delta(r) \). Substituting this in the last three terms in (33.12) and using the fact that the electron charge is negative, we obtain the perturbation operator

\[ V = -\frac{Z \alpha}{8m^3} + \frac{Z \alpha}{2r^2 m^2} \mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{s} + \frac{Z \alpha}{2m^2} \delta(r). \tag{34.1} \]

Since, according to the non-relativistic Schrödinger’s equation,

\[ p^2 \psi = 2m \left( \epsilon_0 + \frac{Z \alpha}{r} \right) \psi \]

(where \( \epsilon_0 = -mZ^2 \alpha^2/2n^2 \) is the unperturbed level and \( n \) the principal quantum number), the mean value is

\[ \bar{p}^2 = 4m^2 \left( \epsilon_0 + \frac{Z \alpha}{r} \right)^2. \]

This quantity, like the mean value of the second term in (34.1), is calculated by means of the formulae (see QM, §36)

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{r}^{-1} &= maZ/n^2, \\
\bar{r}^{-2} &= (maZ^2/n^3)(l+\frac{1}{2}), \\
\bar{r}^{-3} &= (maZ^3/n^3)(l+\frac{1}{2})(l+1),
\end{align*}
\tag{34.2}
\]

the last of which is valid if \( l \neq 0 \); the eigenvalue is

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{s} &= \frac{1}{2} [j(j+1) - l(l+1) - \frac{3}{2}] \quad \text{if } l \neq 0, \\
\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{s} &= 0 \quad \text{if } l = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

† Formula (33.13) has been used in QM, §72.

‡ The effect of the motion of the nucleus on these corrections is a quantity of a higher order of smallness, which will not be considered here.
Finally, the third term is averaged by means of the formulae
\[
\psi(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \frac{Zam}{n} \right)^{3/2}, \quad l = 0;
\]
\[
\psi(0) = 0, \quad l \neq 0.
\]

The result of a simple calculation using the above formulae may be written for all cases (for all \( j \) and \( l \)) as
\[
\Delta \epsilon = -\frac{m(Z\alpha)^4}{2n^3} \left( \frac{1}{j + \frac{1}{2}} - \frac{3}{4n} \right).
\]

Formula (34.4) gives the required relativistic correction to the energy of the hydrogen levels; that is, it gives the fine-structure energy.\(^\dagger\) In the non-relativistic theory, there is both degeneracy with respect to spin direction and Coulomb degeneracy with respect to \( l \). The fine structure (spin-orbit interaction) removes this degeneracy, but not completely: there remain levels with mutual double degeneracy, having the same \( n \) and \( j \) but different \( l = j \pm \frac{1}{2} \). The levels with the maximum possible value for \( j \) for a given \( n \),
\[
j_{\text{max}} = l_{\text{max}} + \frac{1}{2} = n - \frac{1}{2},
\]
are then not degenerate.\(^\ddagger\) Thus the sequence of hydrogen levels, with allowance for the fine structure, is
\[
1s_{1/2};
\]
\[
2s_{1/2}, 2p_{1/2}, 2p_{3/2};
\]
\[
3s_{1/2}, 3p_{1/2}, 3p_{3/2}, 3d_{3/2}, 3d_{5/2};
\]
\[
\ldots.
\]
The level with principal quantum number \( n \) is split into \( n \) fine-structure components.

We shall see later that the remaining degeneracy is removed by “radiative corrections” (the Lamb shift), which are neglected in Dirac’s equation for the single-electron problem.

To anticipate, it may be mentioned here that the order of magnitude of these corrections is \( mZ^4\alpha^5 \log(1/\alpha) \). The second-order spin-orbit interaction correction would be \( \sim m(Z\alpha)^6 \), and the ratio of this to the radiative corrections is therefore \( \sim Z^2\alpha/\log(1/\alpha) \). For hydrogen (\( Z = 1 \)), this ratio is certainly small, and the exact solution of Dirac’s equation is therefore of no significance in that case, but it may be significant as regards the electron energy levels in the field of a nucleus with large \( Z \) (§36).

\(^\dagger\) This formula, and the more exact formula (36.10), were first derived by A. Sommerfeld from the old Bohr theory before the development of quantum mechanics.

\(^\ddagger\) This degeneracy is due to the existence of an additional conservation law peculiar to the Coulomb field: the Hamiltonian of Dirac’s equation, \( H = \alpha \cdot p + \beta m - e^2r/r \), commutes with the operator
\[
I = \frac{r}{r} \cdot \Sigma + \frac{1}{me^2} \mu(\Sigma \cdot \mathbf{l} + 1)^2p^2(\mathbf{H} - m\beta)
\]
(M. H. Johnson and B. A. Lippmann, 1950). In the non-relativistic limit, \( I \rightarrow \Sigma \cdot A \), where
\[
A = \frac{r}{r} + \frac{1}{2me^2} (1 \times p - p \times l)
\]
is an operator corresponding to the classical Coulomb integral of the motion (Mechanics, §15). The non-relativistic accidental degeneracy in a Coulomb field is due to the conservation law for \( A \).
§35. Motion in a centrally symmetric field

Let us now consider the motion of an electron in a centrally symmetric electric field.

Since the angular momentum and the parity (relative to the centre of the field, which is taken as the origin) are conserved in a central field, the discussion in §24 regarding spherical waves of free particles is entirely applicable to the angular dependence of the wave functions of such a motion; only the radial functions vary. Accordingly, we shall seek the wave function of the stationary states (in the standard representation) in the form

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \chi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f(r) \Omega_{jim} \\ g(r) \Omega_{j'l'm'} \end{pmatrix},$$  \hspace{1cm} (35.1)

where \( l = j \pm \frac{1}{2}, \ l' = 2j - l \), and the power of \(-1\) is chosen for subsequent convenience.

Dirac’s equation in the standard representation yields the following equations for \( \phi \) and \( \chi \):

$$\begin{align*}
(\varepsilon - m - U)\phi &= \sigma \cdot p \chi, \\
(\varepsilon + m - U)\chi &= \sigma \cdot p \phi,
\end{align*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (35.2)

where \( U(r) = e\Phi(r) \) is the potential energy of the electron in the field. The result of substituting the expressions (35.1) is calculated by evaluating the right-hand sides of these equations.

Expressing the spherical harmonic spinor \( \Omega_{j'l'm} \) in terms of \( \Omega_{jim} \) by

$$\Omega_{j'l'm} = i^{l'-l'} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \cdot r \\ r \end{pmatrix} \Omega_{jim},$$

(see (24.8)), we can write

$$\begin{align*}
(\sigma \cdot p)\chi &= -i(\sigma \cdot p)(\sigma \cdot r) \frac{g}{r} \Omega_{jim},
\end{align*}$$

Now transforming the product \( (\sigma \cdot p)(\sigma \cdot r) \) by means of the formula (33.5), and expanding the vector operators, we have

$$\begin{align*}
(\sigma \cdot p)\chi &= -i\{p \cdot r + i\sigma \cdot p \times r\} \frac{g}{r} \Omega_{jim} \\
&= \{-\text{div} r - (r, \nabla) - \sigma \cdot r \times p\} \frac{g}{r} \Omega_{jim} \\
&= -\left\{\frac{g'}{r} + \frac{2}{r} p + \frac{g}{r} \sigma \cdot l\right\} \Omega_{jim},
\end{align*}$$

where \( I = r \times p \) is the orbital angular momentum operator; the prime denotes differentiation with respect to \( r \). The eigenvalues of the product \( \sigma \cdot I = 2l \cdot s \) are

$$\sigma \cdot I = j^2 - l^2 - s^2 = j(j + 1) - l(l + 1) - \frac{3}{4},$$

$$\begin{align*}
&= \begin{cases} 
  j - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } l = j - \frac{1}{2}, \\
  -j - \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } l = j + \frac{1}{2}.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}$$

In order to be able to use the same formulae for both cases \( l = j \pm \frac{1}{2} \), it is convenient to write

$$\kappa = -(j + \frac{1}{2}) = -(l + 1) \quad \text{for } j = l + \frac{1}{2},$$

$$= +(j + \frac{1}{2}) = l \quad \text{for } j = l - \frac{1}{2}. \hspace{1cm} (35.3)$$
The number $\kappa$ takes all integral values except zero, the positive numbers corresponding to the case $j = l - \frac{1}{2}$, and the negative numbers to the case $j = l + \frac{1}{2}$. Then $I \sigma = -(1 + \kappa)$, and

$$(\sigma \cdot p) \chi = - \left( g' + \frac{1 - \kappa}{r} g \right) \Omega_{jlm}.$$ 

When this expression is substituted in the first equation (35.2), the spherical harmonic spinor $\Omega_{jlm}$ cancels from the two sides. Proceeding similarly with the second equation,† we finally obtain the following equations for the radial functions:

$$f' + \frac{1 + \kappa}{r} f - (\epsilon + m - U) g = 0,$$

$$g' + \frac{1 - \kappa}{r} g + (\epsilon - m - U) f = 0,$$

or

$$(fr)' + \frac{\kappa}{r} (fr) - (\epsilon + m - U) gr = 0,$$

$$(gr)' - \frac{\kappa}{r} (gr) + (\epsilon - m - U) fr = 0.$$  

Let us examine the behaviour of $f$ and $g$ at small distances, assuming that the field $U(r)$ increases more rapidly than $1/r$ as $r \to 0$. Then, for small $r$, equations (35.4) become

$$f'' + Ug = 0, \quad g' - Uf = 0.$$ 

These have real solutions, of the form

$$f = \text{constant} \times \sin \left( \int U \, dr + \delta \right),$$

$$g = \text{constant} \times \cos \left( \int U \, dr + \delta \right),$$  

where $\delta$ is an arbitrary constant. These functions oscillate as $r \to 0$, but tend to no limit. Thus, in the case considered, the wave equation has a continuous spectrum for all $\epsilon$, even if $|\epsilon| < m$: there are here no restrictions on the choice of solution arising from the fact that $r$ is small, since there is essentially no boundary condition at $r = 0$ for the oscillatory function, and so the choice of $\delta$ remains arbitrary. The correct behaviour of the wave function for large $r$ ("joining" to the function (35.8)) can be ensured by an appropriate choice of $\delta$, for any value of $\epsilon$.

But such a situation is impossible in the relativistic theory, since the continuity of the spectrum when $|\epsilon| < m$ means that states with negative and positive frequencies are not separated, and so no reasonable description of the system can be given. In particular,

---

† The calculations need not be repeated; it is seen from (35.1) and (35.2) that the second equation is obtained from the first by making the substitutions

$$f \to g, \quad g \to f, \quad m \to -m, \quad l \to l', \quad \kappa \to -\kappa.$$
such a system would be absolutely unstable: any perturbation would lead to the production of an infinite number of pairs. Thus fields whose potential increases more rapidly than \(1/r\) as \(r \to 0\) cannot be dealt with by means of Dirac's theory. This applies to potentials of either sign. Although a "fall" can occur, of course, only with an attractive potential, the sign of \(U = e\Phi\) depends also on the sign of the charge \(e\), so that in one case the electron levels and in the other the positron levels will behave anomalously.

Let us next consider the behaviour of the wave functions at large distances. If the field \(U(r)\) decreases sufficiently rapidly as \(r \to \infty\), it may be entirely neglected in the equations when determining the asymptotic form of the wave functions at large distances. When \(\varepsilon > m\), i.e. in the continuous spectrum, we then return to the equation of free motion, so that the asymptotic form of the wave functions (spherical waves) differs from that for a free particle only by the presence of additional "phase shifts", whose values are determined by the form of the field at short distances.† These shifts depend on the values of \(j\) and \(I\); that is, on the number \(\kappa\) defined above (and also, of course, on the energy \(\varepsilon\)). Denoting the phase shifts by \(\delta_\kappa\) and using the expression (24.7) for a free spherical wave, we can therefore immediately write down the required asymptotic formula:

\[
\psi \approx \frac{2\frac{1}{2}}{\pi r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \left( \sqrt{(\varepsilon + m)\Omega_{jm}} \sin \left( pr - \frac{1}{2} \pi \kappa + \delta_\kappa \right) - \sqrt{(\varepsilon - m)\Omega_{jm}^*} \sin \left( pr + \frac{1}{2} \pi \kappa + \delta_\kappa \right) \right),
\]

(35.7)

where \(p = \sqrt{(\varepsilon^2 - m^2)}\). The common coefficient here corresponds to the normalisation of the radial functions by (24.5).

The wave functions of the discrete spectrum (\(\varepsilon < m\)) decrease exponentially as \(r \to \infty\):

\[
f = -\sqrt{\frac{m + \varepsilon}{m - \varepsilon}} g = \frac{A_0}{r} \exp \left[ -r \sqrt{(m^2 - \varepsilon^2)} \right],
\]

(35.8)

where \(A_0\) is a constant.

As in the non-relativistic theory, the phase shifts \(\delta_\kappa\) (more precisely, the quantities \(e^{2i\delta_\kappa} - 1\)) determine the scattering amplitudes in a given field, as will be further discussed in §37. We shall not pause to investigate here the analytical properties of these amplitudes (cf. \(QM\), §128), but merely note that \(e^{2i\delta_\kappa}\) again has, as a function of energy, poles at the points corresponding to the levels for bound states of the particle. The residue of \(e^{2i\delta_\kappa}\) at such a pole is related in a certain manner to the coefficient in the asymptotic expression for the corresponding wave function of the discrete spectrum. This relation may be found by a generalisation of the non-relativistic formula, \(QM\) (128.16). The necessary calculations are entirely similar to those in \(QM\), §128.

Differentiation of equations (35.5) with respect to the energy gives

\[
\left( \frac{\partial (rf)}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)' + \frac{\kappa}{r} \frac{\partial (rf)}{\partial \varepsilon} - (\varepsilon + m - U) \frac{\partial (rg)}{\partial \varepsilon} = rg,
\]

\[
\left( \frac{\partial (rg)}{\partial \varepsilon} \right)' - \frac{\kappa}{r} \frac{\partial (rg)}{\partial \varepsilon} + (\varepsilon - m - U) \frac{\partial (rf)}{\partial \varepsilon} = -rf.
\]

We multiply these two equations by \(rg\) and \(-rf\) respectively, and the two equations (35.5)

† Cf. \(QM\), §33. As in the non-relativistic theory, \(U(r)\) must decrease more rapidly than \(1/r\). The case \(U \sim 1/r\) will be discussed separately in §36.
by \(-rg\) and \(rf\), and add all four term-by-term. After simplification, we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ r^2 \left( g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} - f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \right) \right] = r^2(f^2 + g^2).
\]

We now integrate with respect to \(r\):

\[
r^2 \left( g \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} - f \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \right) = \int_0^r (f^2 + g^2) r^2 \, dr,
\]

and take the limit as \(r \to \infty\). The integral on the right tends to unity, by the normalisation condition. On the left-hand side, we use the fact that in the asymptotic region the functions \(f\) and \(g\) are related by

\[
gr = \frac{(rf)'}{e + m},
\]

which is derived from (35.5) by neglecting terms in \(U\) and in \(1/r\). The result is

\[
\frac{1}{e + m} \left[ (rf)' \frac{\partial (rf)}{\partial \theta} - rf \left( \frac{\partial (rf)}{\partial \theta} \right)' \right] = 1. \tag{35.9}
\]

This formula differs only in the coefficient \((e + m\) replacing \(2m\)) from the corresponding non-relativistic formula (for the function \(\chi\)). There is therefore no need to repeat the subsequent calculations; the final formula, valid near the point \(\epsilon = \epsilon_0\) (where \(\epsilon_0\) is the energy level), is

\[
\epsilon^{2\lambda \alpha} = (-1)^l \frac{2A_0^2}{\epsilon - \epsilon_0} \sqrt{m - \epsilon_0 \over m + \epsilon_0}, \tag{35.10}
\]

where \(A_0\) is the coefficient in the asymptotic expression (35.8).

**PROBLEM**

Find the limiting form of the wave function for small \(r\) in a field \(U \sim r^{-s}, s < 1\).

**SOLUTION.** For a free particle we have, when \(r\) is small, \(f \sim r^l\), \(g \sim r^p\), so that \(f \gg g\) if \(l < l'\), and \(f \ll g\) if \(l > l'\). We make the assumption (which is confirmed by the result) that this relationship exists also in the field considered here. If \(l < l'\) (i.e. \(l = j - \frac{1}{2}\), \(\kappa = -l - 1\), the term in \(g\) may be omitted from the first equation (35.4), so that \(f \sim r^l\) as before. The second equation then gives \(g \sim rfU\), i.e. \(g \sim r^{l+1-1} = r^{l'-1}\). The case \(l > l'\) is treated similarly. The result is

- for \(l < l'\), \(f \sim r^l\), \(g \sim r^{l'-1}\);
- for \(l > l'\), \(f \sim r^{l'-1}\), \(g \sim r^l\).

§36. **Motion in a Coulomb field**

We shall begin the study of the properties of the motion in the very important case of a Coulomb field by considering the behaviour of the wave functions at short distances, taking the particular case of an attractive field: \(U = -Z\alpha/r\).†

For small \(r\), the terms in \(\epsilon \pm m\) may be omitted in equations (35.5), leaving

\[
(fr)' + \frac{\kappa}{r} fr - \frac{Z\alpha}{r} gr = 0,
\]

\[
(gr)' - \frac{\kappa}{r} gr + \frac{Z\alpha}{r} fr = 0.
\]

† In ordinary units, \(U = -Ze^2/r\). In relativistic units, \(e^2\) is replaced by the dimensionless quantity \(\alpha\).
The two functions $f_r$ and $g_r$ appear in an equivalent manner in these equations, and we therefore seek them as equal powers of $r$: $f_r = ar^l$, $g_r = br^2$. Substitution gives

$$a(y + \kappa) - bZ\alpha = 0, \quad aZ\alpha + b(y - \kappa) = 0,$$

whence

$$\gamma^2 = \kappa^2 - (Z\alpha)^2. \quad (36.1)$$

Let $(Z\alpha)^2 < \kappa^2$. Then $\gamma$ is real, and the positive value must be taken: the corresponding solution either does not diverge at $r = 0$ or does so less rapidly than the other.† Thus

$$f = \frac{Z\alpha}{\gamma + \kappa} \quad g = \text{constant} \times r^{-1+\gamma}, \quad \gamma = \sqrt{(\kappa^2 - Z^2\alpha^2)} = \sqrt{[(j + \frac{1}{2})^2 - Z^2\alpha^2]}. \quad (36.2)$$

Although the wave function may become infinite at $r = 0$ (if $\gamma < 1$), the integral of $|\psi|^2$ remains finite, of course.

If $(Z\alpha)^2 > \kappa^2$, both values of $\gamma$ given by (36.2) are imaginary. The corresponding solutions oscillate as $r^{-1} \cos(|\gamma| \log r)$ when $r \to 0$, and this again corresponds to a situation which is inadmissible in the relativistic theory, as already shown above. Since $\kappa^2 \geq 1$, this means that a purely Coulomb field can be discussed in Dirac's theory only if $Z\alpha < 1$, i.e. $Z < 137$.

In the actual case of motion in the field of a nucleus, the form of the potential at short distances differs from the Coulomb form because of the finite size of the nucleus. This makes possible, in principle, the existence of nuclei with larger values of $Z$.‡

It should also be noted that, even for a point charge, the form of the potential at short distances is influenced by the radiative corrections, and this may affect the result. However, the importance of such corrections for values of $Z\alpha$ close to unity is not yet known.

Let us now turn to the exact solution of the wave equation (C. G. Darwin, 1928; W. Gordon, 1928).

(a) Discrete spectrum ($\varepsilon < m$). We seek the functions $f$ and $g$ in the form

$$f = \sqrt{(m + \varepsilon)} e^{-\frac{i\varepsilon}{\rho} \rho^\nu - 1}(Q_1 + Q_2),$$

$$g = -\sqrt{(m - \varepsilon)} e^{-\frac{i\varepsilon}{\rho} \rho^\nu - 1}(Q_1 - Q_2), \quad (36.3)$$

with the notation

$$\rho = 2\lambda r, \quad \lambda = \sqrt{(m^2 - \varepsilon^2)}, \quad \gamma = \sqrt{(\kappa^2 - Z^2\alpha^2)}. \quad (36.4)$$

This is reasonable, since we already know the behaviour of the functions as $\rho \to 0$ (36.2) and their exponential decrease ($\sim e^{-\frac{i\varepsilon}{\rho}}$) as $\rho \to \infty$. Since, as $\rho \to \infty$, the functions $f$ and $g$ must have the same asymptotic behaviour, we must expect that $Q_1 \gg Q_2$ as $\rho \to \infty$.

Substitution of (36.3) in (35.4) yields the equations

$$\rho(Q_1 + Q_2)' + (\gamma + \kappa)(Q_1 + Q_2) - \rho Q_2 + Z\alpha \sqrt{\frac{m - \varepsilon}{m + \varepsilon}} (Q_1 - Q_2) = 0,$$

$$\rho(Q_1 - Q_2)' + (\gamma - \kappa)(Q_1 - Q_2) + \rho Q_2 - Z\alpha \sqrt{\frac{m + \varepsilon}{m - \varepsilon}} (Q_1 + Q_2) = 0,$$

† The choice of the less rapidly diverging solution may be justified by considering a potential which is "cut off" at a certain radius $r_0$ and then taking the limit $r_0 \to 0$, as in $QM$, §35.

‡ This problem is analysed, with "cut-off" potentials, by V. S. Popov, Yadernaya fizika 12, 429, 1970; Zhurnal eksperimental’noi i teoreticheskoi fiziki 59, 965, 1970.
where the prime denotes differentiation with respect to \( \rho \). The sum and difference of these give
\[
\rho Q'_1 + \left( \gamma - \frac{Z\alpha}{\lambda} \right) Q_1 + \left( \kappa - \frac{Z\gamma m}{\lambda} \right) Q_2 = 0,
\]
\[
\rho Q'_2 + \left( \gamma + \frac{Z\alpha}{\lambda} - \rho \right) Q_2 + \left( \kappa + \frac{Z\gamma m}{\lambda} \right) Q_1 = 0,
\]
(36.5)
or, eliminating either \( Q_1 \) or \( Q_2 \),
\[
\rho Q'_1 + (2\gamma + 1 - \rho)Q_1 - \left( \gamma - \frac{Z\alpha}{\lambda} \right) Q_1 = 0,
\]
\[
\rho Q'_2 + (2\gamma + 1 - \rho)Q_2 - \left( \gamma + \frac{Z\alpha}{\lambda} \right) Q_2 = 0,
\]
where we have used the fact that \( \gamma^2 - (Z\alpha/\lambda)^2 = \kappa^2 - (Z\alpha m/\lambda)^2 \). The solution of these equations which is finite when \( \rho = 0 \) is
\[
Q_1 = AF \left( \gamma - \frac{Z\alpha}{\lambda}, 2\gamma + 1, \rho \right),
\]
\[
Q_2 = BF \left( \gamma + 1 - \frac{Z\alpha}{\lambda}, 2\gamma + 1, \rho \right),
\]
(36.6)
where \( F(\alpha, \beta, z) \) is the confluent hypergeometric function. Putting \( \rho = 0 \) in either of the equations (36.5), we obtain the relation between the constants \( A \) and \( B \):
\[
B = - \frac{\gamma - Z\alpha/\lambda}{\kappa - Z\gamma m/\lambda} A.
\]
(36.7)
The two hypergeometric functions in (36.6) must reduce to polynomials, since otherwise they would increase as \( e^{\rho} \) when \( \rho \to \infty \), and the wave function itself would increase as \( e^{ip} \).
The function \( F(\alpha, \beta, z) \) reduces to a polynomial if \( \alpha \) is a negative integer or zero. We write
\[
\gamma - Z\alpha/\lambda = -n_r.
\]
(36.8)
If \( n_r = 1, 2, \ldots \), the two hypergeometric functions reduce to polynomials. If \( n_r = 0 \), only one of them does so. In that case, \( \gamma = Z\alpha/\lambda \), and \( Z\gamma m/\lambda = |\kappa| \), as is easily verified. If \( \kappa < 0 \), the coefficient \( B \) (36.7) is zero, so that \( Q_2 = 0 \) and the necessary condition is satisfied. If \( \kappa > 0 \), however, then \( B = -A \), and \( Q_2 \) remains divergent when \( n_r = 0 \). Thus the following values are possible for the quantum number \( n_r \):
\[
\begin{align*}
n_r &= 0, 1, 2, \ldots \quad \text{for } \kappa < 0, \\
&= 1, 2, 3, \ldots \quad \text{for } \kappa > 0.
\end{align*}
\]
(36.9)
The definition (36.8) then yields the following expression for the discrete energy levels:
\[
\varepsilon = m \left[ 1 + \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{\sqrt{[\kappa^2 - (Z\alpha)^2] + n_r^2}} \right]^{-1}.
\]
(36.10)
For \( Z\alpha \ll 1 \), the leading terms in the expansion of this formula are
\[
\frac{\varepsilon}{m} - 1 = -\frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{2(|\kappa| + n_r)^2} \left[ 1 + \frac{(Z\alpha)^2}{|\kappa| + n_r} \left[ \frac{1}{|\kappa|} - \frac{3}{4(|\kappa| + n_r)} \right] \right].
\]
On writing \( n_r + |\kappa| = n \) (= 1, 2, \ldots) and noting that \( |\kappa| = j + \frac{1}{2} \), we return to formula
(34.4), which was previously derived by means of perturbation theory. As already mentioned at the end of §34, the further terms in this expansion have no significance, since they are certainly exceeded by the radiative corrections. Formula (36.10) as it stands, however, is meaningful when \( Z \alpha \sim 1 \). The double degeneracy of the levels shown by the approximate formula (34.4) exists also in the exact formula, which involves only \(|\kappa|\), so that levels with the same \( j \) and different \( l \) again coincide.

We have still to determine the common normalisation factor \( A \) in the wave function. The wave function of the discrete spectrum must, as usual, be normalised by the condition \( \int \psi^2 \, dv = 1 \); the corresponding condition on the functions \( f \) and \( g \) is

\[
\int_\delta^\infty (f^2 + g^2) r^2 \, dr = 1.
\]

The value of \( A \) is most simply determined from the asymptotic form of the functions as \( r \to \infty \). Using the asymptotic formula

\[
F(-n_r, 2\gamma + 1, \rho) \approx \frac{\Gamma(2\gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(n_r + 2\gamma + 1)} (-\rho)^{n_r}
\]

(see QM, (d.14)), we find

\[
f \approx (-1)^n A \sqrt{(m+\epsilon)} \frac{\Gamma(2\gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(n_r + 2\gamma + 1)} e^{-\lambda r} (2\lambda r)^{\gamma + n_r - 1}.
\]

Comparing this with (36.22) derived below, we obtain

\[
A = \frac{\lambda^2}{\Gamma(2\gamma + 1)} \frac{2\Gamma(2\gamma + 1 + n_r)(Zam/\lambda - \kappa)}{Zam^2 \cdot n_r}.
\]

Collecting together the above formulae, we can write out in full the final expressions for the normalised wave functions:

\[
f, g = \frac{\pm (2\lambda)^{3/2}}{\Gamma(2\gamma + 1)} \left[ \frac{(m \pm \epsilon)\Gamma(2\gamma + n_r + 1)}{4m(Zam/\lambda)(Zam/\lambda - \kappa) \cdot n_r!} \right] \frac{(2\lambda r)^{\gamma - 1} e^{-\lambda r} \times}{\left( \frac{Zam}{\lambda} - \kappa \right)} F(-n_r, 2\gamma + 1, 2\lambda r) \mp \frac{n_r F(1-n_r, 2\gamma + 1, 2\lambda r)}{n_r F(1-n_r, 2\gamma + 1, 2\lambda r)} \right] \times \left( \frac{Zam}{\lambda} - \kappa \right)
\]

(36.11)

where the upper signs refer to \( f \) and the lower signs to \( g \).

(b) Continuous spectrum (\( \epsilon > m \)). There is no need to solve the wave equation afresh for the states of the continuous spectrum. The wave functions for this case are obtained from those of the discrete spectrum by the substitutions\(^\dagger\)

\[
\sqrt{(m-\epsilon)} \to -i \sqrt{(\epsilon - m)}, \quad \lambda \to -ip, \quad -n_r \to \gamma - iZ\alpha/p;
\]

(36.12)

see QM, §128 concerning the choice of sign in the analytical continuation of the square root \( \sqrt{(m-\epsilon)} \). The normalisation of the functions must, however, be done again. Making these substitutions in (36.11), we may write

\[
f = \sqrt{(\epsilon + m)} \right] \times A' e^{i\epsilon r} (2pr)^{\gamma - 1} \times
\]

\[
g = i \sqrt{(\epsilon - m)} \right] \times \left[ e^{i\epsilon} F(\gamma - iv, 2\gamma + 1, -2ipr) \mp e^{-i\epsilon} F(\gamma + 1 - iv, 2\gamma + 1, -2ipr) \right],
\]

\(^\dagger\) In the rest of this section, \( p \) denotes \( |p| = \sqrt{(\epsilon^2 - m^2)} \).

R.Q.T.
where \( A' \) is another normalisation constant,
\[
\nu = Zae/p, \quad e^{-2i\xi} = \frac{\gamma - iv}{\kappa - ivm/\varepsilon};
\]
the value of \( \xi \) is real, since \( \gamma^2 + (Zae/p)^2 = \kappa^2 + (Zam/p)^2 \).

According to the formula
\[
F(\alpha, \beta, z) = e^z F(\beta - \alpha, \beta, -z)
\]
(see QM, (d.10)), we have
\[
F(\gamma + 1 - iv, 2\gamma + 1, -2ipr) = e^{-2ipr} F(\gamma + iv, 2\gamma + 1, 2ipr)
\]
\[
= e^{-2ipr} F^*(\gamma - iv, 2\gamma + 1, -2ipr).
\]

Hence
\[
, g = 2iA' \sqrt{(\varepsilon \pm m)} (2pr)^{ \gamma - 1} \text{ im, re } \{ e^{i(pr + \xi)} F(\gamma - iv, 2\gamma + 1, -2ipr) \}.
\]

The asymptotic expressions for these functions are derived by means of QM, (d.14), where only the first term is now significant, the second decreasing as a higher power of \( 1/r \):
\[
f, g = 2iA' \sqrt{(\varepsilon \pm m)} \Gamma(2\gamma + 1) (2pr)^{ \gamma - 1} \text{ im, re } \left\{ \frac{(2ipr)^{-\gamma + iv} e^{i(pr + \xi)}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 + iv)} \right\}
\]
\[
= \frac{iA' \sqrt{(\varepsilon + m)}}{pr} e^{-\frac{1}{3} \pi \nu} \frac{\Gamma(2\gamma + 1)}{|\Gamma(\gamma + 1 + iv)|} \sin \cos (pr + \delta_\kappa + \nu \log 2pr - \frac{1}{2} \nu),
\]
where
\[
\delta_\kappa = \xi - \text{arg} \Gamma(\gamma + 1 + iv) - \frac{1}{2} \pi \gamma + \frac{1}{2} \nu \pi,
\]
or
\[
e^{2i\delta_\kappa} = \frac{\kappa - ivm/\varepsilon}{\gamma - ivm/\varepsilon} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - iv)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 + iv)} e^{i\kappa(1 - \gamma)}.
\]

For future reference, we shall give an expression for the phase in the extreme relativistic case \( \varepsilon \gg m, \nu \approx Z\alpha \):
\[
e^{2i\delta_\kappa} = \frac{\kappa - Z\alpha m/\lambda}{\gamma - Z\alpha m/\lambda} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - Z\alpha/\lambda)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 + Z\alpha/\lambda)} e^{i\kappa(1 - \gamma)}.
\]

A comparison of (36.15) with the general formula (35.7) for the normalised spherical wave (bearing in mind the definition (35.1) of the functions \( f \) and \( g \)) enables us to determine the normalisation constant \( A' \):
\[
\frac{iA' \sqrt{(\varepsilon - m)}}{p} e^{-\frac{1}{3} \pi \nu} \frac{\Gamma(2\gamma + 1)}{|\Gamma(\gamma + 1 + iv)|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\pi \varepsilon)}}.
\]

Thus we have the following final expression for the wave functions of the continuous spectrum:
\[
f, g = 2 \sqrt{\frac{m \pm \varepsilon}{\pi \varepsilon}} e^{\frac{1}{2} \pi \nu} \frac{|\Gamma(\gamma + 1 + iv)| (2pr)^{ \gamma}}{\Gamma(2\gamma + 1)} \times
\]
\[
\times \text{ im, re } \{ e^{i(pr + \xi)} F(\gamma - iv, 2\gamma + 1, -2ipr) \}.
\]

Analytical continuation into the region \( \varepsilon < m \) gives, in place of (36.17),
\[
e^{2i\delta_\kappa} = \frac{\kappa - Z\alpha m/\lambda}{\gamma - Z\alpha m/\lambda} \frac{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - Z\alpha/\lambda)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1 + Z\alpha/\lambda)} e^{i\kappa(1 - \gamma)}.
\]

† As in Schrödinger's equation, the slowness of the decrease of the Coulomb potential affects the phase of the wave, which becomes a slowly varying function of \( r \).

‡ The wave functions for a repulsive field are obtained by changing the sign of \( Z\alpha \), i.e. that of \( \nu \).
This expression has poles at the points where \( \gamma + 1 - Z\varepsilon/\lambda = 1 - n_r, n_r = 1, 2, \ldots \) (poles of the gamma function in the numerator), and also at the point \( \gamma - Z\varepsilon = -n_r = 0 \) (if also \( \kappa < 0 \)); these points coincide with the discrete energy levels, as they should.

Near any of the poles with \( n_r \neq 0 \), we have

\[
e^{2i\delta_n} \approx \frac{(Z\varepsilon/\lambda - \kappa) e^{i\pi(1 - \gamma)}}{n_r \Gamma(2\gamma + 1 + n_r)} \Gamma(\gamma + 1 - Z\varepsilon/\lambda).
\]

The form of the gamma function near its pole is found by means of the familiar formula

\[
\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - Z\varepsilon/\lambda) \approx \frac{\pi}{\Gamma(n_r) \sin \pi(\gamma + 1 - Z\varepsilon/\lambda)},
\]

where \( \varepsilon_0 \) is the energy level. Thus we have†

\[
e^{2i\delta_n} \approx (-1)^{n + r} \frac{e^{-i\pi y} (Z\varepsilon/\lambda - \kappa)}{n_r \Gamma(2\gamma + 1 + n_r)} \frac{\lambda^3}{Z\varepsilon^2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0}.
\]  

(36.21)

At the end of §35 a formula was derived which relates the residue of the function \( e^{2i\delta_n} \) at its pole to the coefficient in the asymptotic expression for the wave function of the corresponding bound state. For a Coulomb field, however, the formula (35.10) must be slightly modified, because the constant phase shift \( \delta_n \) in (35.7) is replaced in (36.15) by the sum \( \delta_n + \nu \log 2pr \). We must therefore replace \( e^{2i\delta_n} \) on the left-hand side of (36.10) by

\[
\exp(2i\delta_n + 2i \nu \log 2pr) \to e^{2i\delta_n} (2i\nu r)^{2(n_r + r)}.
\]

Using (36.21) and determining from (35.10) the coefficient \( A_0 \) (which will now be a power function of \( r \)), we find the asymptotic form of the normalised wave function of the discrete spectrum:

\[
f = \left[ \frac{(Z\varepsilon/\lambda - \kappa)(m + \varepsilon)\lambda^2}{2n_r! Z\varepsilon^2 \Gamma(2\gamma + 1 + n_r)} \right]^{1/2} (2\lambda r)^{m + \gamma} e^{-\lambda r}/r.
\]

(36.22)

This has already been used to determine the coefficient in (36.11).

§37. Scattering in a centrally symmetric field

The asymptotic expression for the wave function which describes the scattering of particles in the field of a fixed centre of force may be written‡

\[
\psi = u_{\varepsilon p} e^{ipz} + u'_{\varepsilon' p'} e^{ipr}/r.
\]

(37.1)

Here \( u_{\varepsilon p} \) is the bispinor amplitude of the incident plane wave. The bispinor \( u'_{\varepsilon' p'} \) is a function of the direction of scattering \( \mathbf{n'} \), and for any given value of \( \mathbf{n'} \) its form (but not, of course, its normalisation) is the same as that of the bispinor amplitude of the plane wave propagated in the direction \( \mathbf{n'} \).

† This formula is easily seen to be valid even if \( n_r = 0 \).
‡ In §§37 and 38 \( p \) denotes \( |p| \), and \( e \) and \( p \) will be written separately as suffixes to the amplitude.
We have seen in §24 that the bispinor amplitude of the plane wave is entirely determined by specifying a two-component quantity, the three-dimensional spinor \( w \), which is the non-relativistic wave function in the rest frame of the particle. The flux density is expressed in terms of the same spinor, and is proportional to \( w^∗w \), with a proportionality coefficient which depends only on the energy \( \varepsilon \) and is therefore the same for the incident and scattered particles. The scattering cross-section is \( d\sigma = (w^∗w/|w|^2) \, d\omega \) or, if as in §24 the incident wave is normalised by the condition \( w^∗w = 1 \),

\[
d\sigma = w^∗w' \, d\omega.
\]

We define the scattering operator \( f \) by

\[
w' = fw.
\]

Since the quantities \( w, w' \) have two components, the operator thus defined is exactly analogous to the operator scattering amplitude which appears in the non-relativistic scattering theory taking account of spin (QM, §138). We can therefore apply immediately the formulae derived there which express the operator in terms of the phase shifts of the wave functions in the scattering field. It is only necessary to transform these phase shifts by expressing \( \delta_1^+ \) and \( \delta_1^- \) from \( QM, \) §138, in terms of the phase shift \( \delta_k \) which appears in the relativistic formula (35.7). The phases \( \delta_1^+ \) and \( \delta_1^- \) referred to states with orbital and angular momentum \( l \) and total angular momentum \( j = l + \frac{1}{2} \) and \( j = l - \frac{1}{2} \). According to the definition (35.3), \( \kappa = -l - 1 \) for \( j = l + \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \kappa = l \) for \( j = l - \frac{1}{2} \). We must therefore make the changes

\[
\delta_1^+ \to \delta_{-(l+1)}^-, \quad \delta_1^- \to \delta_l
\]

and remember that the suffix to \( \delta \) now represents the value of \( \kappa \). Thus we find

\[
f = A + B \cdot \sigma,
\]  
\[
A = \frac{1}{2ip} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} [(l+1)(e^{2il\theta} - 1) + i(l^2 - 1)] P_l(\cos \theta),
\]  
\[
B = \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} (e^{2il\theta} - e^{2il\theta}) P_l(\cos \theta),
\]

where \( \sigma = n \times n' \).

Since \( w \) is the spinor wave function in the rest frame, the polarisation properties of the scattering are given in terms of \( f \) by the same formulae as in \( QM, \) §138.

For a Coulomb field, it is possible to express both functions \( A(\theta) \) and \( B(\theta) \) in terms of one function. The calculation is briefly as follows.†

In a Coulomb field, the phases \( \delta_k \) are given by (36.17), which we write in the form

\[
e^{2i\theta_k} = - \left( \frac{Ze^2m}{p} \right)^k \frac{\kappa}{|\kappa|} C_\kappa,
\]

\[
C_\kappa = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma - iv)}{\Gamma(\gamma + iv)} e^{iv(\kappa - \gamma)};
\]

\( e^{i\eta} = e^{i\eta} \) when \( \kappa > 0 \), and \( e^{i\eta} = -e^{i\eta} \) when \( \kappa < 0 \). Using the quantities thus defined

we can put the series (37.4), (37.5) in the form
\[
A(\theta) = \frac{1}{P} G(\theta) - i \frac{Z e^2 m}{P^2} F(\theta),
\]
(37.7)
\[
B(\theta) = - \frac{i}{P} \tan \frac{1}{2} \theta \cdot G(\theta) + \frac{Z e^2 m}{P^2} \cot \frac{1}{2} \theta \cdot F(\theta),
\]
where
\[
G(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^2 C_i(P_i + P_{1-i}), \quad F(\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i C_i(P_i - P_{1-i}).
\]
(37.8)
In transforming the series \(B(\theta)\), we have used the following recurrence relations between Legendre polynomials:
\[
P_i^1 + P_{1-i}^1 = -\cot \frac{1}{2} \theta \cdot i(P_i - P_{1-i}), \quad (37.9)
\]
\[
P_i^1 - P_{1-i}^1 = \tan \frac{1}{2} \theta \cdot i(P_i + P_{1-i}). \quad (37.10)
\]
According to the identity
\[
(1 + \cos \theta) \frac{d}{d \cos \theta} \left[ P_i(\cos \theta) - P_{1-i}(\cos \theta) \right] = i \left[ P_i(\cos \theta) + P_{1-i}(\cos \theta) \right], \quad (37.11)
\]
the functions \(F(\theta)\) and \(G(\theta)\) are related by
\[
G = (1 - \cos \theta) \frac{dF}{d \cos \theta} = -\cot \frac{1}{2} \theta \cdot \frac{dF}{d \theta}. \quad (37.12)
\]
Thus \(A(\theta)\) and \(B(\theta)\) are expressed in terms of the single function \(F(\theta)\).†

§38. Scattering in the ultra-relativistic case

We shall now discuss separately the scattering in the ultra-relativistic case \((\epsilon \gg m)\). In the first approximation, we neglect altogether the mass \(m\) in the wave equation. It is convenient to use for \(\psi\) the spinor representation \(\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}\), since the equations for \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) are separable when \(m = 0\):
\[
- i \sigma \cdot \nabla \xi = (\epsilon - U) \xi,
\]
\[
- i \sigma \cdot \nabla \eta = -(\epsilon - U) \eta
\]
(38.1)
(the "neutrino" form, §30).

A helicity state of an electron polarised in the direction of \(p\) corresponds to a wave function \(\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}\), and for polarisation opposite to \(p\) we have \(\psi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \eta \end{pmatrix}\). Since the equations for \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) are separable, it is evident that this property is unaffected by scattering. Thus helicity is conserved in the scattering of ultra-relativistic electrons. From considerations of symmetry (longitudinal polarisation) it is obvious that there is no azimuthal asymmetry in the scattering of helical (longitudinally polarised) particles. We can also say that the scattering cross-section of helical electrons is independent of the sign of the helicity; this follows because a central field is invariant under inversion, while the sign of the helicity is reversed.

† The function \(F(\theta)\) cannot be expressed in a closed form in terms of the elementary functions, but it can be written as a certain double integral; see the paper cited in the last footnote.
In the ultra-relativistic case, formulae (37.3)–(37.5) may be considerably simplified (D. R. Yennie, D. G. Ravenhall and R. N. Wilson, 1954).

Let the incident electron be polarised, say in the direction of motion \( \mathbf{n} \). For a plane wave with a definite value of \( \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma} \), the spinor \( \xi = (\phi + \gamma) / \sqrt{2} \) is proportional to the same three-dimensional spinor \( \psi \) as appeared in the standard representation of the wave. The relation between the spinor amplitudes of the incident and scattered waves in the new representation is therefore given by the same operator \( f \).

As a result of the scattering, the polarisation is rotated with the momentum to the direction \( \mathbf{n}' \). The effect of the operator \( f \) on the spin wave function of the electron therefore reduces to a rotation of the spin through the angle \( \theta \) (between \( \mathbf{n} \) and \( \mathbf{n}' \)) about the axis \( \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}' \). This rotation is itself equivalent to a rotation of the coordinates about that axis but in the opposite direction, i.e. through an angle \( -\theta \). Hence it follows that the operator \( f \) must be the same (apart from a factor) as the operator which transforms the wave function when the coordinates are changed in the way described, i.e. the operator (18.17) with \( -\theta \) instead of \( \theta \). A comparison of (37.3) with (18.17) shows that

\[
B/A = -i \tan \frac{1}{2} \theta. \tag{38.2}
\]

Thus, in the ultra-relativistic limit,

\[
f = A(\theta) [1 - i \tan \frac{1}{2} \theta \cdot \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}]. \tag{38.3}
\]

The expression (37.4) for \( A(\theta) \) can also be simplified if a relation between \( \delta_\kappa \) and \( \delta_- \) which exists in the ultra-relativistic limit is used. To derive this relation, we note that, when the terms in \( m \) are omitted, the equations (35.4) for the functions \( f \) and \( g \) become invariant with respect to the changes

\[ \kappa \rightarrow -\kappa, \quad f \rightarrow g, \quad g \rightarrow -f, \]

which do not affect the parameters of the particle or field itself. We must therefore have

\[ f_\kappa / g_\kappa = -g_- / f_- \kappa, \]

and substitution of the asymptotic expressions gives

\[ \tan (pr - \frac{1}{2} l \pi + \delta_\kappa) = -\cot (pr - \frac{1}{2} l' \pi + \delta_-), \]

\[ \delta_\kappa = \delta_- - \frac{1}{2} (l - l') \pi + (n + \frac{1}{2}) \pi, \]

whence

\[ e^{2i\delta_\kappa} = e^{2i\delta_-}. \tag{38.4} \]

From this relation, and replacing the summation variable \( l \) by \( l - 1 \) in the first term of the sum in (37.4), we find\(^\dagger\)

\[ A(\theta) = \frac{1}{2ip} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l(e^{2i\delta_l} - 1) [P_l(\cos \theta) + P_{l-1}(\cos \theta)]. \tag{38.5} \]

From (38.2) it follows that \( \text{re} (AB^*) = 0 \). Hence, in the approximation considered, the cross-section is independent of the initial polarisation of the particles, and an unpolarised beam remains unpolarised after scattering (see \( QM \), (138.7)–(138.9)). We may also note that, when \( \theta \rightarrow \pi \), the expression (38.5) for \( A(\theta) \) tends to zero as \( (\pi - \theta)^2 \) (since \( P_l(-1) = (-1)^l \)). The cross-section

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} = |A|^2 + |B|^2 = |A(\theta)|^2 / \cos^2 \frac{1}{2} \theta \tag{38.6} \]

\(^\dagger\) The relation (38.2) may also be obtained by means of a similar transformation of formula (37.5), using the recurrence relation (37.10) between the Legendre polynomials.
therefore tends to zero also. These properties do not occur, of course, in higher approximations with respect to the small quantity \( m/e \). In particular, analysis shows that as \( \theta \to \pi \) the cross-section tends to a limit proportional to \( (m/e)^2 \).

For a Coulomb field in the ultra-relativistic case, the phases \( \delta_\epsilon \) are independent of the energy, as is seen from (36.18).\(^{\dagger}\) Hence, in a purely Coulomb field, the scattering cross-section for \( \epsilon \gg m \) has the form

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{\tau(\theta)}{\epsilon^2} \, d\Omega,
\]

where \( \tau \) is a function of the angle only.

§39. The continuous-spectrum wave functions for scattering in a Coulomb field

In a later section (§93) we shall consider various inelastic processes which occur when ultra-relativistic electrons are scattered in the field of a heavy nucleus \((Z\alpha \sim 1)\). To calculate the relevant matrix elements, we need wave functions whose asymptotic form (as \( r \to \infty \)) is the sum of a plane wave and a spherical wave.

We shall see that, in the ultra-relativistic case (electron energy \( \epsilon \gg m \)), the most significant values of the momentum transfer from electron to nucleus in scattering are \( q = |p' - p| \sim m \). These values of \( q \) correspond to impact parameters \( \rho \sim 1/q \sim 1/m \), the electron being deflected through angles

\[
\theta \sim q/p \sim m/\epsilon.
\]

In terms of the coordinates \( r \) (distance from the centre) and \( z = r \cos \theta \), this represents the region

\[
\rho \equiv r \sin \theta \sim 1/m, \quad p(r-z) = pr(1-\cos \theta) \sim 1,
\]

and \( r \sim \epsilon/m^2 \), so that the distances concerned are large.

We write Dirac's equation in the form

\[
(\epsilon - U - m\beta + i\alpha \cdot \nabla)\psi = 0, \quad U = Z\alpha/r,
\]

and transform it into a second-order equation by applying the operator \( \epsilon - U + m\beta - i\alpha \cdot \nabla \):

\[
(\Delta + p^2 - 2\epsilon U)\psi = (-i\alpha \cdot \nabla U - U^2)\psi.
\]

Since \( r \gg Z\alpha/\epsilon \) in the region considered, \( U \ll \epsilon \). As a first approximation, the right-hand side of (39.4) may be neglected. The remaining equation,

\[
(\Delta + p^2 + 2\epsilon Z\alpha/r)\psi = 0,
\]

is of the same form as the non-relativistic Schrödinger's equation in a Coulomb field:

\[
\left( \frac{1}{2m} \Delta + \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{Z\alpha}{r} \right) \psi = 0,
\]

differing only in an obvious change in the notation for the parameters (the "potential energy" containing an extra factor \( \epsilon/m \)). We can therefore write down immediately the solution which has the required asymptotic form (see QM, §134).

For example, the wave function which asymptotically comprises a plane wave \( \sim e^{ip \cdot r} \)

\(^{\dagger}\) This is also evident directly from equations (38.1), since for a Coulomb field the energy \( \epsilon \) may be eliminated from the equations by the substitution \( r \to r/\epsilon \).

\(^{\ddagger}\) In this section, \( p \) denotes \(|p|\).
and an outgoing spherical wave is
\[
\psi_{ep}(+) = C \frac{u_{ep}}{\sqrt{(2\varepsilon)}} e^{ip \cdot r} F \left( \frac{iZ\varepsilon}{p}, 1, i(p \cdot r - p \cdot r) \right) \tag{39.6}
\]
where \( F \) is the confluent hypergeometric function and \( u_{ep} \) the constant bispinor amplitude of the plane wave, normalised by the condition stated earlier (23.4):
\[
\bar{u}_{ep} u_{ep} = 2m. \tag{39.7}
\]

The wave function (39.6) is normalised in such a way that the plane wave in its asymptotic limit has the usual form,
\[
\frac{u_{ep}}{\sqrt{(2\varepsilon)}} e^{ip \cdot r},
\]
corresponding to "one particle in unit volume". Since \( p \approx \varepsilon \) in the ultra-relativistic case, we can write \( Z\varepsilon/p \approx Z\varepsilon \) in (39.6):
\[
\psi_{ep}(+) = C \frac{u_{ep}}{\sqrt{(2\varepsilon)}} e^{ip \cdot r} F(iZ\varepsilon, 1, i(p \cdot r - p \cdot r)), \tag{39.8}
\]
\[
C = e^{Z\varepsilon /2} \Gamma(1 - iZ\varepsilon).
\]

It should be noted that, although we are considering distances so large that \( pr \gg 1 \), the hypergeometric function in (39.8) cannot be replaced by its asymptotic form: the argument of \( F \) is not \( pr \) but \( pr(1 - \cos \theta) \), which is not assumed large.†

In applications, the next approximation for \( \psi \) is also needed, which has a spinor structure different from (39.8) (the latter reducing to the factor \( u_{ep} \)). To calculate this approximation, we write \( \psi \) in the form
\[
\psi = C \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\varepsilon)}} e^{ip \cdot r} (u_{ep} F + \phi).
\]

On the right-hand side of (39.4) we now retain the term linear in \( U \), obtaining for \( \phi \) the equation
\[
(\Delta + 2ip \cdot \nabla - 2\varepsilon U)\phi = -iu_{ep} \alpha \cdot \nabla U. \tag{39.9}
\]
The solution of this may be found by noticing that the function \( F \) satisfies the equation
\[
(\Delta + 2ip \cdot \nabla - 2\varepsilon U)F = 0,
\]
as may be seen by substituting (39.6) in (39.5). Applying the operator \( \nabla \) to this equation, we obtain
\[
(\Delta + 2ip \cdot \nabla - 2\varepsilon U)\nabla F = 2\varepsilon F \nabla U.
\]
A comparison with (39.9) shows that
\[
\phi = -\frac{i}{2\varepsilon} (\alpha \cdot \nabla) u_{ep} F.
\]

The final expressions for \( \psi(+) \) and for a similar function \( \psi(-) \) whose asymptotic form

† In QM, §133, we were concerned with arbitrarily large \( r \), and this approximation was therefore allowable for all values of \( \theta \).
contains an ingoing spherical wave are

\[
\psi^{(\pm)}_{sp} = \frac{C}{\sqrt{(2e)}} e^{ip \cdot r} \left( 1 \pm \frac{i}{2e} \alpha \cdot \nabla \right) F(iZ\alpha, 1, i(pr - p \cdot r))u_{\pm p},
\]

\[
C = e^{\pm za/2} \Gamma(1 \pm iZ\alpha)
\]

(W. H. Furry, 1934). We shall also write out the corresponding functions \( \psi_{-e, -p} \) with

"negative frequency", which are needed when dealing with processes which involve positrons. These can be derived from the functions \( \psi_{ep} \) by the substitutions \( p \to -p, e \to -e \), with \( p = |p| \) unchanged; the parameter \( iZ\alpha \) of the hypergeometric function therefore changes sign, as will be seen from the original expression (39.6), where this parameter occurs in the form \( iZae/p \). Thus we have

\[
\psi^{-\pm}_{-e, -p} = \frac{C}{\sqrt{(2e)}} e^{-ip \cdot r} \left( 1 \pm \frac{i}{2e} \alpha \cdot \nabla \right) F(-iZ\alpha, 1, i(pr + p \cdot r))u_{-e, -p},
\]

\[
C = e^{-\mp za/2} \Gamma(1 \pm iZ\alpha).
\]

The following comment is necessary regarding the above calculations. Our asymptotic condition is not in itself sufficient to provide a unique choice of the solution of the wave equation; this is clear, since we can always add to \( \psi \) any outgoing Coulomb spherical wave without violating the condition. By writing the solution of equation (39.5) in the form (39.6), we have tacitly presupposed the choice of a solution finite at \( r = 0 \). This requirement was necessary in QM, §§133, 134, where we were considering solutions, valid in all space, of the exact Schrödinger’s equation.† In the present case, however, equation (39.5) applies only to large distances, and therefore the choice of solution demands further justification.

This is provided by the fact that large impact parameters \( \rho = r \sin \theta \) correspond to large orbital angular momenta \( l \) and small scattering angles \( \theta \): when \( \rho \sim 1/m \), we have

\[
l \sim \rho p \sim \rho e \sim \varepsilon/m \gg 1,
\]

and the angle \( \theta \) may be estimated by a quasi-classical procedure:

\[
\theta \sim \frac{1}{p} \int \frac{dU}{dr} \, dt \sim \frac{U'(\rho)}{p} \sim \frac{m}{\varepsilon} \ll 1.
\]

Thus, in the expansion of \( \psi \) in terms of spherical waves the main contribution (in this range of \( r \) and \( \theta \)) will come from waves with these large values of \( l \). But a spherical wave with large \( l \) will certainly decrease to small values at distances from the origin \( r \ll l/\varepsilon \) which are "classically inaccessible" (because of the centrifugal barrier). Hence, if we "join" the solution of equation (39.5) to that of the exact equation (39.4) at short distances \( r \sim r_1 \), where \( l/\varepsilon \gg r_1 \gg Z\alpha/\varepsilon \), then the boundary condition for the solution of equation (39.5) will be that it is small, and this justifies our choice.

† In the method of solution given in QM, §133, this condition was satisfied by taking the particular integral in the form (133.1) instead of as a general sum of integrals with different values of \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \).
PROBLEM
For an attractive Coulomb field with \( Z_a \ll 1 \), find the correction (of relative order \( Z_a \)) to the non-relativistic wave function of the discrete spectrum.

SOLUTION. The electron velocity in a bound state is \( v \sim Z_a \), and therefore, for \( Z_a \ll 1 \), the wave function is non-relativistic in the zero-order approximation, i.e.
\[
\psi = u\psi_{\text{non-\( \tau \)}}
\]
where \( \psi_{\text{non-\( \tau \)}} \) is the Schrödinger function and \( u \) a bispinor of the form \( u = \begin{pmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \), with \( w \) a spinor describing the polarisation state of the electron. In the next approximation, we write \( \psi = u\psi_{\text{non-\( \tau \)}} + \psi^{(1)} \) and, substituting this in (39.4), obtain for \( \psi^{(1)} \) the equation
\[
\left( \frac{1}{2m} \Delta - \frac{Z_a}{r} \right) \psi^{(1)} = i \frac{Z_a}{2m} \left( \frac{1}{r} \right) \cdot (\mathbf{a} \mathbf{u})\psi_{\text{non-\( \tau \)}}
\]
where \( \epsilon_n \) is the non-relativistic discrete energy level. Here we have omitted terms of relative order \( \sim (Z_a)^2 \); in the non-relativistic case, the important distances are of the order of the Bohr radius, \( r \sim 1/mZ_a \). The solution of this equation is \( \psi^{(1)} = - (i/2m) u \cdot \mathbf{A} \psi_{\text{non-\( \tau \)}} \), and therefore
\[
\psi = \left( 1 - \frac{i}{2m} \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \right) u\psi_{\text{non-\( \tau \)}}.
\]

§40. An electron in the field of an electromagnetic plane wave
Dirac's equation can be solved exactly for an electron moving in the field of an electromagnetic plane wave (D. M. Volkov, 1937).

The field of a plane wave with wave 4-vector \( k \) \( (k^2 = 0) \) depends on the 4-coordinates only in the combination \( \phi = kx \), so that the 4-potential is
\[
A^\mu = A^\mu(\phi),
\]
and satisfies the Lorentz gauge condition
\[
\partial_\mu A^\mu = k_\mu A^\mu = 0,
\]
the prime denoting differentiation with respect to \( \phi \). Since the constant term in \( A \) is unimportant, we can omit the prime, writing the condition as
\[
kA = 0.
\]

We start from the second-order equation (32.6), in which the field tensor is
\[
F_{\mu\nu} = k_\mu A^\nu_\nu - k_\nu A^\mu_\nu.
\]
When expanding the square \( (i\partial - eA)^2 \) it must be remembered that, from (40.2),
\[
\partial_\mu(A^\mu\psi) = A^\mu \partial_\mu \psi.
\]
The result is
\[
[- \partial^2 - 2ie(A\partial) + e^2A^2 - m^2 - ie\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{A}]\psi = 0,
\]
where \( \partial^2 = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu \).

We seek a solution of this equation in the form
\[
\psi = e^{-ipx} F(\phi),
\]
where \( p \) is a constant 4-vector. This form of the function \( \psi \) is unaltered by adding to \( p \) any constant multiple of the vector \( k \), if the function \( F(\phi) \) is appropriately redefined. We can therefore, without loss of generality, impose one further condition on \( p \). Let
\[
p^2 = m^2.
\]
Then, when the field is removed, the quantum numbers \( p^\mu \) become the components of the free particle 4-momentum. The significance of the components of the 4-vector \( p \), when the field is present, is more clearly seen in a particular frame of reference chosen so that \( A_0 = 0 \).
Let the vector \( \mathbf{A} \) in this frame be along the \( x^1 \)-axis and \( \mathbf{k} \) along the \( x^3 \)-axis; the electric field of the wave is then along \( x^1 \), the magnetic field along \( x^2 \), and the wave itself is propagated along \( x^3 \). Then (40.5) will be an eigenfunction of the operators

\[ p_1 = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \quad p_2 = i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2}, \quad p_0 - p_3 = i \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3} \right), \]

with eigenvalues \( p_1, p_2, p_0 - p_3 \); the operators themselves are easily seen to commute with the Hamiltonian of Dirac's equation. Thus, in this frame of reference, \( p_1^2 \) and \( p_2^2 \) are the components of the generalised momentum along the \( x^1 \) and \( x^2 \) axes; \( p_0 - p_3 \) is the difference between the total energy and the \( x^3 \)-component of the generalised momentum.

In substituting (40.5) in (40.4), we note that

\[ \partial^\mu F = k^\mu F', \quad \partial_\mu \partial^\mu F = k^2 F'' = 0, \]

and obtain for \( F(\phi) \) the equation

\[ 2i(kp)F' + \left[ -2e(pA) + e^2 A^2 - ie\mathbf{k} \mathbf{A}^* \right] F = 0. \]

The integral of this equation is

\[ F = \exp \left\{ -i \int_0^{kx} \left[ \frac{e}{(kp)} (pA) - \frac{e^2}{2(kp)} A^2 \right] d\phi + \frac{e\mathbf{k} \mathbf{A}}{2(kp)} \right\} \frac{u}{\sqrt{(2p_0)}}, \]

where \( u/\sqrt{(2p_0)} \) is an arbitrary constant bispinor; the reason for writing it in this form will be shown below.

All powers of \( \mathbf{k} \mathbf{A} \) above the first are zero, since

\[ \mathbf{k} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{A} = -\mathbf{k} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A} + 2(kA)\mathbf{k} \mathbf{A} = -k^2 A^2 = 0. \]

We can therefore write

\[ \exp \frac{e\mathbf{k} \mathbf{A}}{2(kp)} = 1 + \frac{e}{2(kp)} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{A}, \]

so that \( \psi \) becomes

\[ \psi_p = \left[ 1 + \frac{e}{2(kp)} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{A} \right] \frac{u}{\sqrt{(2p_0)}} e^{iS}, \quad (40.7) \]

where\(^*\)

\[ S = -px - \int_0^{kx} \left[ \frac{e}{(kp)} (pA) - \frac{e^2}{2(kp)} A^2 \right] d\phi. \quad (40.8) \]

To determine the conditions to be imposed on the constant bispinor \( u \), let us assume that the wave is subject to infinitesimal damping. Then \( A \to 0 \) when \( x \to \infty \), and \( \psi \) must become the solution of the free Dirac's equation. Consequently, \( u = u(p) \) must satisfy

\[ (\beta - m)u = 0. \quad (40.9) \]

This condition rejects the "redundant" solutions of the second-order equation. Since \( u \) is independent of the coordinates, the condition remains valid for finite \( x \), where the presence of slight damping does not affect the form of \( \psi \). Thus \( u(p) \) is the same as the bispinor amplitude of the free plane wave; we shall take it to be normalised by the same condition (23.4): \( \bar{u}u = 2m \).

\(^*\) This \( S \) is the same as the classical action for a particle moving in the field of a wave; cf. Fields, §47, Problem 2.
The foregoing arguments also show immediately the normalisation of the wave functions (40.7). For the wave functions of the continuous spectrum, the normalisation integral depends on distant regions of space. After the introduction of a slight damping, the wave functions in these regions will be the same as those for free motion. Hence it follows that the functions (40.7) satisfy the same normalisation condition,

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \psi^*_p \psi_p \, d^3x = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \overline{\psi}_p \gamma^0 \psi_p \, d^3x = \delta(p' - p),$$  \hspace{1cm} (40.10)

as the free plane waves.

Let us calculate the current density corresponding to the functions (40.7), first noting that

$$\overline{\psi}_p = \frac{\tilde{u}}{\sqrt{(2\,p_0)}} \left[ 1 + \frac{e}{2(kp)} \tilde{A}k \right] e^{iS},$$

and hence obtaining by direct multiplication

$$j^\mu = \overline{\psi}_p \gamma^\mu \psi_p = \frac{1}{p_0} \left\{ p^\mu - eA^\mu + k^\mu \left( \frac{e(pA)}{kp} - \frac{e^2 A^2}{2(kp)} \right) \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (40.11)

If the \( A^\mu(\phi) \) are periodic functions, and their time-average value is zero, the mean value of the current density is

$$\overline{j}^\mu = \frac{1}{p_0} \left( p^\mu - \frac{e^2}{2(kp)} A^2 k^\mu \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (40.12)

We can also find the kinetic momentum density in the state \( \psi_p \). The kinetic momentum operator is the difference \( p - eA = i\partial - eA \). A direct calculation gives

$$\psi^*_p (p^\mu - eA^\mu) \psi_p = \overline{\psi}_p \gamma^0 (p^\mu - eA^\mu) \psi_p$$

$$= p^\mu - eA^\mu + k^\mu \left( \frac{e(pA)}{kp} - \frac{e^2 A^2}{2(kp)} \right) + k^\mu \frac{i e}{8(kp)p_0} F_{\lambda\nu} (u^* \sigma^{\lambda\nu} u).$$ \hspace{1cm} (40.13)

The time-average value of this 4-vector, denoted by \( q^\mu \), is

$$q^\mu = p^\mu - \frac{e^2 A^2}{2(kp)} k^\mu.$$ \hspace{1cm} (40.14)

Its square is

$$q^2 = m_*^2, \quad m_* = m \sqrt{\left( 1 + \frac{e^2}{m^2} A^2 \right)},$$ \hspace{1cm} (40.15)

where \( m_* \) acts as an "effective mass" of the electron in the field. A comparison of (40.14) with (40.12) shows that

$$\overline{j}^\mu = q^\mu / p_0.$$ \hspace{1cm} (40.16)

The normalisation condition (40.10), expressed in terms of the vector \( q \), is

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \psi^*_p \psi_p \, d^3x = \frac{q_0}{p_0} \delta(q' - q);$$ \hspace{1cm} (40.17)

this is most simply proved in the particular frame of reference mentioned above.
§41. Motion of spin in an external field

The quasi-classical approximation in Dirac’s equation is reached in the same way as in the non-relativistic theory. In the second-order equation (32.7a) we substitute†

$$\psi = \mu \ e^{\i \hbar S},$$

where $S$ is a scalar and $\mu$ a slowly varying bispinor. The usual condition of the quasi-classical case is assumed to be satisfied: the momentum of the particle must vary only slightly over distances of the order of the wavelength $\hbar/|p|$. In the zero-order approximation with respect to $\hbar$, we obtain the usual classical relativistic Hamilton–Jacobi equation for the action $S$. All the terms which contain the spin (and are proportional to $\hbar$) are absent from the equations of motion. The spin would appear only in the next approximation with respect to $\hbar$. Thus the influence of the magnetic moment of the electron on its motion is always of the same order of magnitude as the quantum corrections. This is to be expected, since the spin is a purely quantum property and its magnitude is proportional to $\hbar$.

We can therefore reasonably formulate the question of how the electron spin will behave when the electron is executing a given quasi-classical motion in an external field. The answer to this question is contained in the next approximation with respect to $\hbar$ in Dirac’s equation. We shall, however, use another method whose significance is more obvious and which does not directly involve Dirac’s equation. It has the advantage of allowing a treatment of the motion of any particle, including a particle which has an “anomalous” gyromagnetic ratio not describable by Dirac’s equation.

The objective is to derive an “equation of motion” for the spin when the particle moves in any (given) manner. Let us first take the non-relativistic case.

The non-relativistic Hamiltonian of a particle in an external field is

$$H = H' - \mu \sigma \cdot H; \tag{41.1}$$

where $H'$ includes all terms independent of the spin (see $QM$, §110), and $\mu$ is the magnetic moment of the particle. This form of the Hamiltonian relates to any kind of particle. For electrons, $\mu = e\hbar/2mc$ (the electron charge being $e = -|e|$), and for nucleons $\mu$ also contains the “anomalous” part‡

$$\mu' = \mu - e\hbar/2mc. \tag{41.2}$$

According to the general rules of quantum mechanics, the operator equation of motion for the spin is obtained from the formula

$$\dot{s} = \frac{i}{\hbar} (Hs - sH) = \frac{i}{2\hbar} (H\sigma - \sigma H). \tag{41.3}$$

Substitution of (41.1) gives

$$\dot{s}_i = -\frac{i\mu}{2\hbar} H_k (\sigma_k \sigma_i - \sigma_i \sigma_k)$$

$$= \frac{\mu}{\hbar} e_{klj} H_k \sigma_l,$$

or

$$\dot{s} = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar} s \times H. \tag{41.4}$$

† Ordinary units will be used at first.

‡ When radiative corrections are taken into account the magnetic moment of the electron also contains a very small “anomalous” part.
We average this operator equation over the state of the quasi-classical wave packet moving in a given path. This is equivalent to replacing the spin operator by its mean value \( \langle \hat{s} \rangle \), and the vector \( \mathbf{H} \) by the function \( \mathbf{H}(t) \), which represents the change in the magnetic field at the position of the particle (or wave packet) as the latter moves along its path. In the non-relativistic approximation (i.e. in terms of Pauli’s equation), \( s = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \) is the spin operator of the particle in its rest frame, whose mean value was denoted in §29 by \( \frac{1}{2} \zeta \). Thus we obtain the equation

\[
\frac{d\zeta}{dt} = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar} \zeta \times \mathbf{H}(t).
\]

(41.5)

This form of the equation is, of course, purely classical. It signifies that the magnetic momentum vector precesses about the direction of the field with angular velocity \( -2\mu\mathbf{H}/\hbar \), remaining constant in magnitude.†

Again in the non-relativistic case, the velocity \( \mathbf{v} \) of the particle varies in accordance with the equation

\[
d\mathbf{v}/dt = e\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}/mc,
\]

i.e. the vector \( \mathbf{v} \) rotates about the direction of \( \mathbf{H} \) with angular velocity \( -e\mathbf{H}/mc \). If \( \mu' = 0 \), then \( \mu = e\hbar/2mc \), and this angular velocity is the same as the angular velocity \( -2\mu\mathbf{H}/\hbar \) with which the vector \( \zeta \) rotates; thus the polarisation vector is at a constant angle to the direction of motion. We shall see below that this result remains valid in the relativistic case.

Let us now proceed to the relativistic generalisation of equation (41.5). For a covariant description of the polarisation it is necessary to use the 4-vector \( \mathbf{a} \) defined in §29, and the equation of motion of the spin will determine its derivative with respect to the proper time \( \tau \).‡

The form of this equation is given by considerations of relativistic invariance: its right-hand side must be linear and homogeneous in the electromagnetic field tensor \( F^{\mu\nu} \) and in the 4-vector \( a^\mu \), and apart from these can include only the 4-velocity \( u^\mu = p^\mu/m \). The only form of equation satisfying these conditions is

\[
da^\mu/d\tau = \alpha F^{\nu\mu}a_\nu + \beta u^\mu F^{\tau\nu}u_\nu a_\lambda,
\]

(41.6)

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are constant coefficients. It is easily seen, from the condition \( a_\mu u^\mu = 0 \) and the antisymmetry of the tensor \( F^{\mu\nu} \) (whence \( F^{\mu\nu}u_\mu u_\nu = 0 \), that no other expressions with the required properties can be constructed.

As \( v \to 0 \), this equation must become the same as (41.5). Putting \( a^\mu = (0, \zeta) \), \( u^\mu = (1, 0) \), \( \tau = t \), we have

\[
d\zeta/dt = \alpha\zeta \times \mathbf{H}.
\]

A comparison with (41.5) shows that \( \alpha = 2\mu \).

To determine \( \beta \), we use the fact that \( a^\mu u_i = 0 \). Differentiating this with respect to \( \tau \) and using the classical equation of motion of a charge in a field,

\[
m du^\mu/d\tau = eF^{\mu\nu}u_\nu
\]

we have

\[
dM/dt = \mu \times \mathbf{H},
\]

where \( \mathbf{M} \) is the angular momentum and \( \mu \) the magnetic moment of the system; \( \mu \times \mathbf{H} \) is the torque acting on the system. Putting \( M = \hbar\zeta, \mu = (\mu/2\hbar)\zeta = \mu\zeta \), we have (41.5).

† The classical equation (41.5) can be derived directly from the equation

\[
dM/dt = \mu \times \mathbf{H},
\]

where \( M \) is the angular momentum and \( \mu \) the magnetic moment of the system; \( \mu \times \mathbf{H} \) is the torque acting on the system. Putting \( M = \hbar\zeta, \mu = (\mu/2\hbar)\zeta = \mu\zeta \), we have (41.5).

‡ From here onwards we again take \( c = 1, \hbar = 1 \).
(see Fields, §23), we obtain
\[ u_\mu \frac{da^\mu}{d\tau} = -a_\mu \frac{du^\mu}{d\tau} = -a_\mu \frac{e}{m} F^{\nu\mu} u_\nu = \frac{e}{m} F^{\nu\mu} a_\nu. \]

Hence, on multiplying both sides of equation (41.6) by \( u_\mu \), using the equation \( u_\mu u^\mu = 1 \) and cancelling the common factor \( F^{\nu\mu} u_\mu a_\nu \), we have
\[ \beta = -2 \left( \mu - \frac{e}{2m} \right) = -2\mu'. \]

Thus the final relativistic equation of motion for the spin is
\[ \frac{da^\mu}{d\tau} = 2\mu F^{\nu\mu} a_\nu - 2\mu' u^\mu F^{\nu\mu} a_\nu a_\lambda \tag{41.7} \]

(V. Bargmann, L. Michel and V. L. Telegdi, 1959).†

We can change from the 4-vector \( a \) to the quantity \( \zeta \) which directly represents the polarisation of the particle in its "instantaneous" rest frame. The relation between \( a \) and \( \zeta \) is given by formulae (29.7)–(29.9). First of all, from (41.7) we necessarily have \( a_\mu \frac{da^\mu}{d\tau} = 0 \), and therefore \( a_\mu a^\mu = \zeta^2 \), this is equivalent to the obvious result that the polarisation \( \zeta \) of the particle remains unchanged in magnitude during its motion.

The equation which shows the change in direction of the polarisation is obtained by using three-dimensional notation in (41.7). The space components of this equation are, in explicit form,
\[ \frac{da}{dt} = \frac{2\mu m}{e} a \times H + \frac{2\mu m}{e} (a \cdot v)E - \frac{2\mu e}{m} v(a \cdot E) + \frac{2\mu e}{m} \frac{E}{v} a \times H + \frac{2\mu e}{m} a(v \cdot E). \]

Here we must substitute (29.9), using in the differentiation the equations \( p = ev, \)
\[ \dot{e}^2 = \dot{p}^2 + m^2, \]
and the equations of motion
\[ \frac{dp}{dt} = e E + ev \times H, \quad \frac{de}{dt} = ev \cdot E. \tag{41.8} \]

A lengthy but elementary calculation leads to the result‡
\[ \frac{d\zeta}{dt} = \frac{2\mu m + 2\mu(e - m)}{e} \zeta \times H + \frac{2\mu e}{e + m} (v H) \zeta \times \zeta + \frac{2\mu m + 2\mu e}{e + m} (E \times \dot{E}) \zeta. \tag{41.9} \]

The variation of the direction of polarisation relative to the direction of motion is of more interest than the variation of its absolute position in space. We write
\[ \zeta = n \zeta_\parallel + \zeta_\perp, \tag{41.10} \]
where \( n = v/v, \) and derive the equation for the component \( \zeta_\parallel \) of the polarisation in the direction of motion. A calculation using (41.8), (41.9) leads to the result§
\[ \frac{d\zeta_\parallel}{dt} = 2\mu' \zeta_\parallel H \times n + \frac{2}{v} \left( \frac{\mu m^2}{e^2} - \mu' \right) \zeta_\perp E. \tag{41.11} \]

† This equation was first derived, in another form, by Ya. I. Frenkel' (1926).
‡ If the gyromagnetic ratio (Landé factor) \( g \) is used (as is often done) for charged particles, with \( \mu = g(e/2m) \), this equation becomes
\[ \frac{d\xi}{dt} = \frac{e}{2m} \left( g - 2 + \frac{2m}{\epsilon} \right) \zeta \times H + \frac{e}{2m} (g - 2) \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon + m} (v H) \zeta + \frac{e}{2m} (g - \frac{2e}{\epsilon + m}) \zeta \times (E \times v). \tag{41.9a} \]
§ This equation can be obtained a little more directly by writing explicitly the time component of equation (41.7).
The problems at the end of this section include a number of examples of the application of the above formulae. Here it may be noted that, in motion in a purely magnetic field, the polarisation of a particle having no anomalous magnetic moment is at a constant angle to the velocity ($\zeta_\parallel = \text{constant}$). Thus this result, already mentioned previously for the non-relativistic case, is in fact a general one.

The conditions for the above formulae to be applicable can be stated more precisely. The requirement specified initially, that the momentum of the particle should vary sufficiently slowly, is equivalent to a certain condition that the fields $E$ and $H$ should be small; in particular, the Larmor radius in the magnetic field ($\sim p/eH$) must be large compared with the wavelength of the particle. There is also, however, another condition which must, strictly speaking, be fulfilled: the fields must not vary too rapidly in space, and must vary only slightly within the dimensions of the quasi-classical wave packet. That is, the field must vary only slightly over distances of the order of the particle wavelength $(1/p)$ and of the Compton wavelength $(1/m)$.†

In practical problems of motion in macroscopic fields, however, the condition of slow variation is certainly satisfied, and only the condition of smallness remains.

In §33 we have derived the first relativistic corrections for the Hamiltonian of an electron moving in an external field. For an electron in an electric field the approximate Hamiltonian is (see (33.12))

$$H = H' - \frac{e}{4m} \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{p}/m, \quad \mathbf{p} = -i\nabla,$$  \hfill (41.12)

where $H'$ includes the terms which do not contain the spin. In our case, since the field varies slowly, we neglect the term in $H'$ which involves derivatives of $E$ (i.e. the term in div $E$); the small term in $\mathbf{p}^4$ may also be omitted, since it is unrelated to the field effects in question here. Thus $H'$, in the absence of a magnetic field, reduces to the non-relativistic Hamiltonian $H' = \mathbf{p}^2/2m + e\Phi$.

Formula (41.12) can also be derived from (41.9) without making direct use of Dirac's equation. This method will generalise it (in the quasi-classical case) to particles with anomalous magnetic moment.

The equation of motion of the spin in an electric field, as far as first-order terms in the velocity $v$, is obtained from (41.9) as

$$\frac{d\zeta}{dt} = (\mu + \mu')\zeta \times (E \times v) = \left(\frac{e}{2m} + 2\mu'\right)\zeta \times (E \times v).$$

If we impose the condition that this equation should be derived quantum-mechanically by commuting the spin operator with the Hamiltonian (as in (41.3)), then it is easily seen that we must put

$$H = H' - \left(\mu' + \frac{e}{4m}\right)\mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{p}/m.$$  \hfill (41.13)

† The latter requirement arises from the condition that the spread of velocities in the wave packet, in its rest frame, must be small compared with $c$, since otherwise the non-relativistic formulae could not be applied in this frame.

If the field varies too rapidly, the equations may contain significant additional terms in the derivatives of the field with respect to the coordinates.
This is the required expression. If $\mu' = 0$, we again obtain (41.12). It should be noted that the “normal” magnetic moment $e/2m$ is multiplied by an extra factor $\frac{1}{2}$ in comparison with the anomalous moment $\mu'$.

PROBLEMS

Problem 1. Determine the change of the direction of polarisation of a particle when it moves in a plane perpendicular to a uniform magnetic field ($\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{H}$).

Solution. The right-hand side of equation (41.9) is reduced to its first term, and the vector $\zeta$ therefore precesses about the direction of $\mathbf{H}$ (the $z$-axis) with angular velocity

$$- \frac{2\mu m + 2\mu'(e - m)}{e} \mathbf{H} = - \left( \frac{e}{e} + 2\mu' \right) \mathbf{H}. $$

The projection of $\zeta$ on the $xy$-plane (denoted by $\zeta_\perp$) rotates in that plane with the same angular velocity. The vector $\mathbf{v}$ rotates in that plane with angular velocity $-e\mathbf{H}/e$, as can be seen from the equation of motion $\dot{\mathbf{v}} = e\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}$. Hence $\zeta_\perp$ rotates with angular velocity $-2\mu\mathbf{H}$ relative to the direction of $\mathbf{v}$.

Problem 2. The same as Problem 1, but for motion parallel to the magnetic field.

Solution. When $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ are in the same direction, equation (41.9) reduces to

$$\frac{d\zeta}{dt} = \frac{2\mu m}{e} \mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{H},$$

so that $\zeta$ precesses about the common direction of $\mathbf{v}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ with angular velocity $-2\mu m\mathbf{H}/e$.

Problem 3. The same as Problem 1, but for motion in a uniform electric field.

Solution. Let the field $\mathbf{E}$ be along the $x$-axis, and let the motion be in the $xy$-plane (with $p_x = \text{constant}$). According to (41.9), the vector $\zeta$ precesses about the $z$-axis with instantaneous angular velocity

$$- \left( \frac{e}{e + m} + 2\mu' \right) \mathbf{E} \frac{p_y}{e}.$$

We again resolve $\zeta$ into components $\zeta_\parallel$ (in the $xy$-plane) and $\zeta_\perp$. Then

$$\zeta_\parallel = \zeta_\parallel \cos \phi, \quad \zeta_\perp \mathbf{E} = -\zeta_\parallel \sin \phi \cdot \mathbf{v}. $$

From (41.11), $\zeta_\parallel$ rotates relative to the direction of $\mathbf{v}$ with instantaneous angular velocity

$$\phi = \frac{2p_y}{v^2} \left( \frac{\mu m^2}{e^2} - \mu' \right) = \frac{p_y}{e} \left( \frac{me}{p^2} - 2\mu' \right).$$

§42. Neutron scattering in an electric field

In collisions between neutrons and nuclei, the scattering through large angles is determined by the main interaction, the nuclear forces. In small-angle scattering, however, it can be shown that the interaction of the magnetic moment of the neutron with the electric field of the nucleus becomes important (J. Schwinger, 1948).

We shall assume that the neutron is non-relativistic, so that the interaction in question is described by the approximate Hamiltonian (41.13). The magnetic moment of an electrically neutral particle is wholly “anomalous” and the operator $\mathbf{H}'$ reduces in this case to the kinetic-energy operator:

$$\mathbf{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + i \frac{\mu \hbar}{mc} \sigma \cdot \mathbf{E} \times \nabla.$$  \hspace{1cm} (42.1)

Since the electromagnetic interaction of the neutron is small, the corresponding scattering

† This is the “Thomas fall” mentioned in the fourth footnote to §33. Its origin is clearly shown by the derivation given here.

‡ In this section, ordinary units are used, and $m$ denotes the mass of the neutron.
Particles in an external field §42

Amplitude $f_{em}$ may be calculated by the Born approximation:

$$f_{em} = -\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \int e^{-ip\cdot r/\hbar} \left( i \frac{\mu}{mc} \sigma \cdot E \times \nabla \right) e^{i\rho \cdot r/\hbar} \, d^3x$$

(see QM, §125), or

$$f_{em} = \frac{\mu}{2\pi\hbar^2} \sigma \cdot E_q \times p, \quad E_q = \int E(r) e^{-iq \cdot r} \, d^3x,$$

(42.2)

where $p$ and $p'$ are the neutron momenta before and after scattering, and $\hbar q = p' - p$. In this form, the amplitude $f_{em}$ is an operator with respect to the spin variable.

Before continuing the calculation, we should note the following point. Formula (42.1) has been derived in §41 for slowly varying fields (which in practice meant neglecting terms in the Hamiltonian containing coordinate derivatives of the field). As applied to the Coulomb field of the nucleus, this means that the wavelength $\hbar/p$ must be small compared with the distances $r \sim 1/q$ which are important in the integral $E_q$. Hence $\hbar q \ll p$, so that the scattering angle $\theta \sim \hbar q/p \ll 1$. Thus the required condition is in fact satisfied for small-angle scattering.

For a Coulomb field with potential $\Phi = Ze/r$, the Fourier component of the field is

$$E_q = -iq\Phi_q = -iq \frac{4\pi Ze}{q^2};$$

see Fields, (51.5). Substitution in (42.2) gives

$$f_{em} = i \frac{2Z\mu}{q^2\hbar^2} \sigma \cdot p \times p'.$$

For small scattering angles, $\hbar q \approx \rho \theta$ and $p \times p' \approx \rho^2 \theta v$, where $v$ is a unit vector in the direction of $p \times p'$. Thus

$$f_{em} = i \frac{2Z\mu}{\theta \hbar c} \sigma \cdot v.$$

The nuclear scattering amplitude must be added to this expression. Owing to the rapid decrease of the nuclear forces with increasing distance, this amplitude tends for small angles to a finite (energy-dependent) complex limit, which we denote by $a$. The total scattering amplitude is therefore

$$f = a + i(b/\theta)\sigma \cdot v, \quad b = 2Z\mu/c = 2Ze\mu/e.$$  

(42.3)

We see that the electromagnetic scattering is indeed predominant at sufficiently small angles.

The expression (42.3) is the same in form as that discussed in QM, §138. We can therefore make direct use of the formulae derived there. The scattering cross-section summed over all possible final polarisation states is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega} = |a|^2 + \frac{b^2}{\theta^2} + 2b \, \text{Im} \, a \cdot v \cdot \xi,$$

(42.4)

where $\xi$ is the initial polarisation of the neutron beam (called $P$ in QM, §138). If the initial state is unpolarised ($\xi = 0$), then the polarisation after scattering is

$$\xi' = \frac{2b\theta}{|a|^2\theta^2 + b^2} v.$$

(42.5)

This is a maximum when $\theta = b/|a|$, and $\xi'_{\text{max}} = \text{Im} \, a/|a|$. 

CHAPTER V
RADIATION

§43. The electromagnetic interaction operator

The interaction of electrons with an electromagnetic field can, as a rule, be treated by means of perturbation theory. This is because the electromagnetic interaction is comparatively weak, as is shown by the smallness of the corresponding dimensionless “coupling constant”, viz. the fine-structure constant \( \alpha = e^2/\hbar c = 1/137 \). The smallness of this number is of fundamental importance in quantum electrodynamics.

In classical electrodynamics (see Fields, §28), the electromagnetic interaction is described by the term

\[ -e j^\mu A_\mu \]  

(43.1)

in the Lagrangian density of the “field+charge” system (\( A \) being the 4-potential of the field and \( j \) the particle current density 4-vector). The current density satisfies the equation of continuity,

\[ \partial_\mu j^\mu = 0, \]  

(43.2)

which expresses the law of conservation of charge. According to Fields, §29, the gauge invariance of the theory is closely related to this law: when \( A_\mu \) is replaced by \( A_\mu + \partial_\mu \chi \) (4.1), a term \(-e j^\mu \partial_\mu \chi \) is added to the Lagrangian density (43.1), and this, by (43.2), may be written as the 4-divergence \(-e \partial_\mu (x j^\mu)\); it therefore disappears on integration over \( d^4x \) in the action \( S = \int L d^4x \).

In quantum electrodynamics, the 4-vectors \( j \) and \( A \) are replaced by the corresponding second-quantised operators. The current operator is expressed in terms of the \( \psi \)-operators by \( j = \Psi \gamma \Psi \). The generalised “coordinates” \( q \) in the Lagrangian

\[ \int L_{\text{inter}} d^3x = -e \int (jA) d^3x \]

are represented by the values of \( \Psi, \psi \) and \( A \) at each point in space. Since the Lagrangian density is found to depend only on the “coordinates” \( q \) themselves (and not on their derivatives with respect to \( x \)), the change to the Hamiltonian density by formula (10.11) amounts simply to a change in the sign of the Lagrangian density.† Thus the

† Independently of these arguments, it may be noted that, when only the first-order small correction is considered, any small correction in the Lagrangian appears in the Hamiltonian with just a change of sign (see Mechanics, §40).
electromagnetic interaction operator (the space integral of the interaction Hamiltonian density) has the form

\[ V = e \int (jA) \, d^3x. \]  (43.3)

The free electromagnetic field operator is the sum

\[ A = \sum_n [c_n A_n(x) + c_n^+ A_n^*(x)], \]  (43.4)

which contains the operators of photon creation and annihilation in various states labelled by the suffix \( n \). Each operator has matrix elements only for an increase or decrease of the corresponding occupation number \( N_n \) by 1 (the other occupation numbers remaining unchanged). The operator \( A \) therefore also has matrix elements only for transitions in which the number of photons changes by 1. That is, only processes of the emission or absorption of a single photon occur in the first approximation of perturbation theory.

According to (2.15), the matrix elements are

\[ \langle N_n - 1 | c_n^\dagger N_{n}^\ast \rangle = \sqrt{N_n}. \]  (43.5)

If there are no photons (of type \( n \)) in the initial state of the field, then \( \langle 1 | c_n^\dagger | 0 \rangle = 1 \). The matrix element of the operator (43.3) for photon emission is

\[ V_{fi}(t) = e \int (j_{fi} A_n^\dagger) \, d^3x, \]  (43.6)

where \( A_n(x) \) is the wave function of the emitted photon and \( j_{fi} \) the matrix element of the operator \( j \) for a transition of the emitter from the initial state \( i \) to the final state \( f \). The 4-vector \( j_{fi}^\mu = (\rho_{fi}, j_{fi}) \) is called the transition current.

Similarly, we obtain the matrix element for photon absorption:

\[ V_{fi}(t) = e \int (j_{fi} A_n) \, d^3x. \]  (43.7)

This differs from (43.6) only by having \( A_n(x) \) in place of \( A_n^\dagger(x) \).

The argument \( t \) of \( V_{fi} \) is shown in order to emphasise that the matrix element is time-dependent. By separating the time factors in the wave functions, we can change in the usual way to time-independent matrix elements:

\[ V_{fi} = V_{fi} e^{-i(E_i - E_f + \omega) t}, \]  (43.8)

where \( E_i, E_f \) are the initial and final energies of the emitting system, and the sign \( \mp \) is for emission and absorption respectively of a photon \( \omega \).

The wave function of a photon with a definite momentum \( k \) and a definite polarisation is

\[ A^\mu = \sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{e^\mu}{\sqrt{(2\omega)}} \, e^{ik \cdot r}. \]  (43.9)

(see (4.3); the time factor is omitted). Substituting in (43.6), we find the matrix element for the emission of such a photon:

\[ V_{fi} = e^{\sqrt{(4\pi)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\omega)}} e^\mu j_{fi}^\mu, \]  (43.10)

where \( j_{fi}(k) \) is the transition current in the momentum representation, i.e. the Fourier component

\[ j_{fi}(k) = \int j_{fi}(r) e^{-ik \cdot r} \, d^3x. \]  (43.11)

\( \dagger \) The notation in (43.6) is slightly inconsistent. The suffixes in \( V_{fi} \) refer to states of the whole system "emitter + field", those in \( j_{fi} \) to states of the emitter only.
The corresponding formula for photon absorption is

\[ V_{fi} = e\sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\omega)}} e_{\mu} j^\mu_{fi}(-k). \] (43.12)

The equation of conservation of current in the momentum representation is the condition of 4-transversality of the transition currents:

\[ k_{\mu} j^\mu_{fi} = \omega \rho_{fi}(k) - k \cdot j_{fi}(k) = 0. \] (43.13)

The formulae given in this section do not assume any particular form of the current operator, and are generally valid for electromagnetic processes involving any charged particles. The existing theory allows the form of the current operator to be determined (and hence, in principle, its matrix elements to be calculated) only for electrons. For applications to systems of strongly interacting particles, including nuclei, a semi-phenomenological theory has to be used, in which the transition currents appear as empirically determined quantities subject only to the conditions of space–time symmetry and to the equation of continuity.

§44. Emission and absorption

The transition probability under the action of a perturbation \( V \) is given, in the first approximation, by the well-known formulae of perturbation theory (QM, §42). Let the initial and final states of the emitting system belong to the discrete spectrum.† Then the probability (per unit time) of the transition \( i \to f \) with emission of a photon is

\[ dw = 2\pi |V_{fi}|^2 \delta(E_i - E_f - \omega) \; dv, \] (44.1)

where \( dv \) arbitrarily denotes the ensemble of quantities describing the state of the photon and taking a continuous sequence of values; the photon wave function is assumed normalised by the delta function "on the \( v \) scale".

If a photon having a definite angular momentum is emitted, the only continuous variable is the frequency \( \omega \). Integration of (44.1) with respect to \( dv \equiv d\omega \) eliminates the delta function, \( \omega \) being replaced by \( E_i - E_f \), and the transition probability is

\[ w = 2\pi |V_{fi}|^2. \] (44.2)

If, however, we consider the emission of a photon having a given momentum \( k \), then \( dv \equiv d^3k = \omega^2 \; d\omega \; d\omega \). Then, in formula (44.1), it is implied that the photon wave function is normalised by \( \delta(k) \). In this book, however, all plane waves are normalised to "one particle per unit volume". This differs from the normalisation by \( \delta(k) \) in that the factor \((2\pi)^{-3/2}\) is absent. Thus, for our normalisation of the photon plane wave, the probability of emission of a photon with a given momentum is†

\[ dw = 2\pi |V_{fi}|^2 \delta(E_i - E_f - \omega) \; d^3k/(2\pi)^3, \] (44.3)

or, after integration over \( d\omega \),

\[ dw = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} |V_{fi}|^2 \omega^2 \; d\omega. \] (44.4)

In this we must substitute the matrix element \( V_{fi} \) from (43.10).

† This certainly implies that recoil is neglected, the emitter as a whole remaining at rest.

‡ This formula is in accordance with the fact that, on normalising to "one photon per unit volume", we must replace \( dv = d^3k \) in (44.1) by the number of states belonging to the phase volume \( d^3k \), which is \( d^3k/(2\pi)^3 \).
In subsequent sections we shall use these formulae to calculate the probability of emission in various specific cases. Here we shall consider certain general relations between radiative processes of various kinds.

If in the initial state of the field there is already a non-zero number $N_n$ of the photons in question, the matrix element for the transition is multiplied by

$$\langle N_n + 1 | c_n^+ | N_n \rangle = \sqrt{(N_n + 1)},$$

(44.5)

i.e. the transition probability is multiplied by $N_n + 1$. The 1 in this factor corresponds to the spontaneous emission which occurs even if $N_n = 0$. The term $N_n$ represents the stimulated or induced emission: we shall see that the presence of photons in the initial state of the field stimulates the further emission of photons of the same kind.

The matrix element $V_{ij}$ for the transition with the opposite change of state of the system ($f \to i$) differs from $V_{ji}$ in that (44.5) is replaced by

$$\langle N_n - 1 | c_n | N_n \rangle = \sqrt{N_n},$$

(44.6)

(and the other quantities are replaced by their complex conjugates). This opposite transition is a transition of the system from the level $E_f$ to the level $E_i$ with absorption of a photon. Thus the photon emission and absorption probabilities for a given pair of states $i, f$ are related by†

$$w_e/w_a = (N_n + 1)/N_n,$$

(44.7)

an expression first derived by A. Einstein (1916).

The number of photons can be related to the intensity of the external radiation incident on the system. Let

$$I_{ke} \, d\omega \, d\omega$$

(44.8)

be the radiation energy incident on unit area per unit time and having polarisation $e$, frequency in the range $d\omega$ and wave-vector direction in the solid-angle element $d\omega$. These ranges correspond to $k^2 \, dk \, d\omega/(2\pi)^3$ field oscillators, each having $N_{ke}$ photons of the specified polarisation. Hence the same energy (44.7) is given by the product

$$c \, k^2 \, dk \, d\omega \, N_{ke} \, h\omega \, = \, \frac{h\omega^3}{8\pi^2 c^2} \, N_{ke} \, d\omega \, d\omega.$$

Hence we find the required relation:

$$N_{ke} = \frac{8\pi^2 c^2}{h\omega^3} \, I_{ke}.$$  

(44.9)

Let $dw_{ke}^{(sp)}$ be the probability of spontaneous emission of a photon with polarisation $e$ into the solid angle $d\omega$, and let the indices $(in)$ and $(a)$ denote the corresponding probabilities for induced emission and for absorption. According to (44.6) and (44.8), these probabilities are related as follows:

$$dw_{ke}^{(a)} = dw_{ke}^{(in)} = dw_{ke}^{(sp)} \cdot \frac{8\pi^2 c^2}{h\omega^3} \, I_{ke}.$$  

(44.9)

If the incident radiation is isotropic and unpolarised ($I_{ke}$ independent of the directions of $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{e}$), then the integration of (44.9) with respect to $d\omega$ and summation with respect

† In the rest of this section, ordinary units are used.
Dipole radiation

§45

to e gives similar relations between the total probabilities of radiative transitions (between given states i and f of the system):

\[ w^{(a)} = w^{(na)} = \frac{\pi^2 e^2}{\hbar \omega^3} I, \]

(44.10)

where \( I = 2 \times 4\pi I_{\text{ke}} \) is the total spectral intensity of the incident radiation.

If the states i and f of the emitting (or absorbing) system are degenerate, the total probability of emission (or absorption) of the photons concerned is found by summation over all mutually degenerate final states and averaging over all possible initial states. Let the degrees of degeneracy (statistical weights) of states i and f be \( g_i \) and \( g_f \). For processes of spontaneous or induced emission, the states i are the initial states, and for absorption the states f. Assuming in each case that all \( g_i \) or \( g_f \) initial states are equally probable, we obviously have instead of (44.10) the relations†

\[ g_f w^{(a)} = g_i w^{(na)} = g_i w^{(ep)} \frac{\pi^2 e^2}{\hbar \omega^3} I. \]

(44.11)

§45. Dipole radiation

Let us apply the formulae derived above to the emission of a photon by an electron (in general, a relativistic electron) moving in a given external field. In this case the transition current is the matrix element of the operator

\[ j = \bar{\psi} \gamma \psi, \]

in which the \( \psi \)-operators are assumed expanded in terms of the wave functions of stationary states of the electron in a given field (§32). The matrix element \( \langle 0,1_f|j|1_i,0_f \rangle \) corresponds to a transition of the electron from state i to state f. This change in the occupation numbers is brought about by the operator \( a_i^+ a_f \), and the transition current is

\[ j_{f,i} = \bar{\psi_f} \gamma^\mu \psi_i = (\psi_f^+ \psi_i, \psi_f \gamma^\mu \psi_i), \]

(45.1)

where \( \psi_i \) and \( \psi_f \) are the wave functions of the initial and final states of the electron.

Let the wave function of the photon be chosen in the three-dimensionally transverse gauge (the polarisation 4-vector \( e = (0, e) \)). Then the product \( j_f e^* = -j_f \cdot e^* \) in (43.10). Substituting \( V_{f,i} \) in (44.4), we obtain the following expression for the probability (per unit time) of emission of a photon with polarisation e into the solid-angle element \( d\Omega \):

\[ dw_{em} = e^2(\omega/2\pi)|e^* \cdot j_{f,i}(k)|^2 d\Omega, \]

(45.2)

where

\[ j_{f,i}(k) = \int \psi_f^+ \alpha \psi_i e^{-ik \cdot r} d^3x. \]

(45.3)

Summation with respect to the polarisations of the photon is effected by averaging over the directions of \( e \) (in a plane perpendicular to the given direction \( n = k/\omega \)), and the result is then doubled because of the two independent possible transverse polarisations.

† In the literature one frequently meets the Einstein coefficients, defined as \( A_{\nu} = w^{(ep)} \), \( B_{\nu} = w^{(na)} c/I \), \( B_{\nu} = w^{(a)} c/I \) (where \( I/c \) is the spatial spectral density of radiation energy). They are related by the equations

\[ g_f B_{\nu} = g_i B_{\nu} = g_i A_{\nu} \pi^2 e^2/\hbar \omega^3. \]
of the photon.† Thus the result is
\[ dw = e^2(\omega/2\pi)|n \times j_f(k)|^2 \, \text{do}. \tag{45.4} \]

A very important case is that where the photon wavelength \( \lambda \) is large compared with the dimensions \( a \) of the radiating system. This usually means that the velocity of the particles is small compared with that of light. In the first approximation in \( a/\lambda \) (corresponding to dipole radiation; cf. Fields, §67), the factor \( e^{-ikr} \) varies only slightly in the region where \( \psi_i \) or \( \psi_f \) is appreciably different from zero, and it can be replaced by unity in the transition current (45.3). This implies that the photon momentum is neglected in comparison with the momenta of the particles in the system.

In the same approximation, the integral \( j_f(0) \) may be replaced by its non-relativistic value, which is simply the matrix element \( v_{fi} \) of the electron velocity with respect to the Schrödinger wave functions. In turn, this element \( v_{fi} = -i\omega r_{fi} \) and \( er_{fi} = d_{fi} \), where \( d \) is the dipole moment of the electron (in its orbital motion). Thus we have the following formula for the probability of dipole radiation:
\[ dw = (\omega^3/2\pi)|e^* \cdot d_{fi}|^2 \, \text{do}. \tag{45.5} \]

(Here the direction of \( n \) occurs implicitly: the vector \( e \) must be perpendicular to \( n \).) Summation with respect to the polarisations gives
\[ dw = (\omega^3/2\pi)|n \times d_{fi}|^2 \, \text{do}. \tag{45.6} \]

Since these formulae are non-relativistic (as regards the electron), they can be immediately generalised to any electron system by taking \( d_{fi} \) as the matrix element of the total dipole moment of the system.

Integrating (45.6) over all directions, we have the total probability of radiation:
\[ w = (4\omega^3/3)|d_{fi}|^2, \tag{45.7} \]

or, in ordinary units,
\[ w = (4\omega^3/3hc^3)|d_{fi}|^2. \tag{45.7a} \]

The intensity \( I \) is found by multiplying the probability by \( h\omega \):
\[ I = (4\omega^5/3c^3)|d_{fi}|^2. \tag{45.8} \]

This is directly analogous to the classical formula (see Fields, (67.11)) for the intensity of dipole radiation from a system of periodically moving particles: the intensity of radiation at frequency \( \omega_s = s\omega \) (where \( \omega \) is the frequency of the particle motion and \( s \) an integer) is
\[ I_s = (4\omega_s^5/3c^3)|d_s|^2, \tag{45.9} \]

where \( d_s \) are the Fourier components of the dipole moment, i.e. the coefficients in the expansion
\[ d(t) = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} d_s e^{-is\omega t}. \tag{45.10} \]

The quantum formula (45.8) is got from (45.9) by replacing these Fourier components

† In the averaging, we use the formula
\[ e_i e_k^* = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ik} - n_in_k) \tag{45.4a} \]
or
\[ (a.e)(b.e^*) = \frac{1}{2}(a \cdot b - (a \cdot n)(b \cdot n)) = \frac{1}{2}[a \times n] \cdot [b \times n], \tag{45.4b} \]

where \( a \) and \( b \) are constant vectors (cf. Fields, (78.6)).
by the matrix elements of the corresponding transitions. This rule (which is an expression of Bohr's correspondence principle) is a particular case of a general relation between the Fourier components of classical quantities and the quantum matrix elements in the quasi-classical case (see QM, §48). The radiation is quasi-classical for transitions between states having large quantum numbers; the transition energy \( h\omega = E_i - E_f \) is then small in comparison with the energies \( E_i \) and \( E_f \) of the radiator. This, however, would not lead to any change in the form of (45.8), which is valid for all transitions. This explains the fact (which is something of an accident) that the correspondence principle for the radiation intensity is valid not only in the quasi-classical but in the general quantum case.

§46. Electric multipole radiation

Instead of considering the emission of a photon in a given direction (i.e. with a given momentum), let us now consider the emission of a photon with definite values of the angular momentum \( j \) and its component \( m \) in some chosen direction \( z \). We have seen in §6 that such photons can be of two kinds, electric and magnetic. Let us take first the emission of electric photons, and again assume that the dimensions of the radiating system are small in comparison with the wavelength.

The calculations are conveniently carried out by means of the photon wave functions in the momentum representation, i.e. by expressing the 4-vector \( A^\mu(r) \) as a Fourier integral. Then the matrix element is

\[
V_{fi} = e \int j^\mu_f(r) A_\mu^* (r) \, d^3x
\]

or

\[
= e \int d^3x \cdot j^\mu_f(r) \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} A^\mu_\nu(k) \, e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}};
\]  

(46.1)

for simplicity, we omit the suffixes \( \omega jm \) to the photon wave functions.

For an \( Ej \) photon we take the wave function from (7.10), with the arbitrary constant \( C \) having the value

\[
C = - \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{j}}.
\]

The reason for this choice is to ensure that, in the spatial components of the wave function \( (A) \), the terms containing spherical harmonics of order \( j-1 \) cancel (as is seen from formula (7.16)). Then \( A \) will include only spherical harmonics of order \( j+1 \), and therefore the corresponding contribution to \( V_{fi} \) is (as will be clear from the subsequent calculation) of a higher order of smallness (in \( a/\lambda \)) than the contribution from the component \( A^0 \equiv \Phi \), which includes spherical harmonics of the lower order \( j \).

Thus we put

\[
A^\mu = (\Phi, 0), \quad \Phi = - \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{j}} \frac{4\pi^2}{\omega^{3/2}} \delta(|\mathbf{k}| - \omega) Y_{jm}(n)
\]

\((n = k/\omega)\). Substituting this expression in (46.1) and carrying out the integration over \( d|k| \), we obtain

\[
V_{fi} = - e \sqrt{\frac{j+1}{j}} \frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{2\pi} \int d^3x \cdot \rho_f(r) \int do \, e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} Y_{jm}^*(n).
\]  

(46.2)
To calculate the inner integral, we use the expansion (24.12), written in the form
\[ e^{ik \cdot r} = 4\pi \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} i^l g_l(kr) Y_{lm}^*(k|\mathbf{r}) Y_{lm}(r|\mathbf{r}), \quad (46.3) \]
where\(^\dagger\)
\[ g_l(kr) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2kr}} J_{l+\frac{1}{2}}(kr). \quad (46.4) \]
Substitution of this expansion in (46.2) gives
\[ \int e^{-ik \cdot r} Y_{jm}^*(n) do = 4\pi i^{-l} g_l(kr) Y_{jm}^*(r|\mathbf{r}); \]
the remaining terms are zero because of the orthogonality of the spherical harmonics. On account of the condition \(a|\lambda| \ll 1\), only distances such that \(kr \ll 1\) will be important in the integral with respect to \(d^3x\). We can therefore replace the functions \(g_l(kr)\) by the first terms of their expansions in powers of \(kr\):\(^\ddagger\)
\[ g_l(kr) \approx (kr)^{l/2}(2j+1)!. \quad (46.5) \]
The result is
\[ V_{fi} = (-1)^{m+1} i^{j} \sqrt{(2j+1)(j+1) \over \pi j} \omega^{j+{1 \over 2}} e(Q_{j,-m}^{(e)} f_i), \quad (46.6) \]
with the notation
\[ (Q_{jm}^{(e)})_{fi} = \sqrt{4\pi \over 2j+1} \int \rho_{fi}(r)r^iy_{jm}(r|\mathbf{r}) d^3x \quad (46.7) \]
\((Y_{j,-m} = (-1)^{j-m}Y_{jm}^*)\). The quantities (46.7) are called the 2\(j\)-pole electric transition moments of the system, by analogy with the corresponding classical quantities (Fields, §41).\(^\S\)

For an electron in an external field, \(\rho_{fi} = \psi_i^*\psi_f\), and the quantities (46.7) are then calculated as the matrix elements of the classical quantity
\[ Q_{jm}^{(e)} = \sqrt{4\pi \over 2j+1} r^iy_{jm}. \]

In the non-relativistic case (as regards the particle velocities), the transition moment can in principle be calculated similarly for any system of \(N\) interacting particles. The transition density is expressed in terms of the wave functions of the system by
\[ \rho_{fi}(r) = \int \psi_f^*(r_1, \ldots, r_N)\psi_i(r_1, \ldots, r_N) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta(r-r_n) d^3x_1 \ldots d^3x_N, \quad (46.8) \]
where the integral is taken over the whole of configuration space.\(\text{¶}\)

\(\dagger\) The functions \(g_l(kr)\) depend only on the product \(kr\); this makes evident the symmetry of the formula in the vectors \(r\) and \(k\). It does not matter which of the two spherical harmonics has the asterisk indicating the complex conjugate.

The normalisation of the functions \(g_l\) is such that their asymptotic form as \(kr \to \infty\) is
\[ g_l(kr) \approx (1/kr) \sin (kr - \frac{1}{2}ln), \quad (46.4a) \]
\(\ddagger\) The power of \(kr\) is equal to the order of the function \(Y_{jm}\) by which \(g_l\) is multiplied. This justifies the neglect of the terms in \(A\) which contain higher-order spherical harmonics.

\(\S\) The multipole moments are defined without the factor \(e\), since in this book the currents also are defined without the charge factor.

\(\text{¶}\) The assumption that the velocities of the electrons in the system are small is made only in order to be able to describe the system by a wave function, and is to that extent unimportant. A situation can occur where the transition probability vanishes according to the approximate selection rules, valid only when the spin-orbit interaction of the electrons is neglected. Then, to obtain a non-zero result, we must use the wave functions with the relativistic correction which takes account of this interaction.
The photon wave function used here corresponds (in the coordinate representation) to normalisation by the delta function on the \( \omega \) scale, as assumed in formula (44.2). Substituting (46.6), we find the probability of \( E_j \) radiation:†

\[
w^{(e)}_{jm} = \frac{2(2j+1)(j+1)}{j(2j+1)!!} \alpha^{2j+1} e^2 |(Q_{1, -m}^{(e)})_j| ^2.
\] (46.9)

In particular, for \( j = 1 \) we have

\[
w^{(e)}_{1m} = \frac{4\omega^3}{3} e^2 |(Q_{1, -m})_j| ^2.
\] (46.10)

The quantities \( Q_{1m}^{(e)} \) are related to the components of the electric dipole moment vector by

\[
eQ_{10}^{(e)} = id_z, \quad eQ_{1, \pm 1}^{(e)} = \mp \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (d_x \pm id_y).
\] (46.11)

Summing (46.10) with respect to \( m \), we naturally obtain the earlier formula (45.7) for the total probability of dipole radiation.

The angular distribution of multipole radiation is given by formula (7.11). When this is normalised to the total emission probability \( w_{jm} \), we have

\[
dw_{jm} = |Y_{jm}^{(e)}(n)| ^2 w_{jm} do = \frac{w_{jm}}{j(j+1)} |\nabla n Y_{jm}| ^2 do.
\] (46.12)

In particular, for \( j = 1 \),

\[
Y_{10} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \cos \theta, \quad Y_{1, \pm 1} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{8\pi}} \sin \theta e^{\pm i\phi},
\]

where \( \theta \) and \( \phi \) are the polar angle and azimuth of the direction \( n \) relative to the \( z \)-axis. On calculating the gradient, we find that the angular distribution of dipole radiation with a definite value of \( m \) is given by

\[
dw_{10} = w_{10} \frac{3}{8\pi} \sin^2 \theta do, \quad dw_{1, \pm 1} = w_{1, \pm 1} \frac{3}{8\pi} \frac{1 + \cos^2 \theta}{2} do.
\] (46.13)

These expressions could also, of course, be obtained from formula (45.6) by putting firstly (for \( m = 0 \)) \( d_x = d_y = 0, d_z = d \), secondly (for \( m = \pm 1 \)) \( d_y = \mp id_x = d/\sqrt{2}, d_z = 0 \).

If the order of magnitude of the dimensions of the system (atom or nucleus) is \( a \), then that of the electric multipole moments is, in general, \( Q_{jm}^{(e)} \sim a^j \). The probability of multipole radiation is

\[
w^{(e)}_{jm} \sim \alpha k(ka)^{2j}.
\] (46.14)

When the multipole order increases by one, the probability decreases by a factor \( \sim (ka)^2 \).

The laws of conservation of angular momentum and parity imply certain selection rules which restrict the possible changes in the state of the radiating system. If the initial angular momentum of the system is \( J_i \), then, after emission of a photon with angular momentum \( j \), the angular momentum of the system can have only those values \( J_f \) which are in accordance with the angular momentum addition rule (\( J_i - J_f = j \)):

\[
|J_i - J_f| \leq j \leq J_i + J_f.
\] (46.15)

† It might appear at first sight that, owing to the isotropy of space, the total probability of photon emission ought not to depend on the value of \( m \). The incorrectness of this conclusion is easily seen if we notice that different final states of the system (for a given initial state) correspond to the emission of photons with different values of \( m \); cf. the rule (46.16) below.
For given values of $J_i$ and $J_f$, the same rule (46.15) specifies the possible values of the photon angular momentum $j$. But, since the probability of emission decreases rapidly with increasing $j$, the emission occurs principally with the lowest possible multipole order.

The components $M_i$ and $M_f$ of the angular momenta $J_i$ and $J_f$, and $m$ of the photon angular momentum, satisfy the relation

$$M_i - M_f = m,$$  \hspace{1cm} (46.16)

which is obvious from the same law of conservation of angular momenta.

The parities $P_i$ and $P_f$ of the initial and final states of the radiating system must be such that $P_fP_{ph} = P_i$, where $P_{ph}$ is the parity of the emitted photon. Since the parities can have only the values $\pm 1$, this condition may also be written

$$P_i P_f = P_{ph}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (46.17)

For an electric photon $P_{ph} = (-1)^j$, and the parity selection rule for electric multipole radiation is therefore

$$P_i P_f = (-1)^j.$$  \hspace{1cm} (46.18)

The selection rules for total angular momentum and for parity are entirely rigorous and must be satisfied in emission by any systems. There may also be other rules which are more restrictive and which arise from certain properties of the structure of particular radiating systems. These latter rules must of necessity approximate to some extent; they will be discussed in later sections of this chapter.

The dependence of the emission probability on the quantum numbers $m$, $M_i$ and $M_f$ is entirely determined by the tensor character of the multipole moments. The quantities $Q_{jm}$ with a given $j$ form a spherical tensor of rank $j$. The dependence of its matrix elements on these quantum numbers is given by the formula

$$|\langle n_fJ_fM_f|Q_{j,-m}|n_iJ_iM_i\rangle|^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} J_f & j \\ M_f & m & -M_f \end{array}\right)^2 |\langle n_fJ_f||Q_j||n_iJ_i\rangle|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (46.19)

(see $QM$, (107.6)), where $n$ conventionally denotes all the quantum numbers specifying the state of the system, other than $J$ and $M$. The reduced matrix elements on the right of (46.19) do not depend on $m$, $M$, $M_f$. On substituting this formula in (46.9), we obtain the required dependence, which is proportional to

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} J_f & j \\ M_f & m & -M_f \end{array}\right)^2,$$

here it is, of course, assumed that the emitter is not in an external field, and that the transition frequency $\omega$ is thus independent of $M_i$ and $M_f$.

Summing the probability over all values of $M_f$ (for a given $M_i$), we have the total probability of emission of a photon of a given frequency from the initial level $n_i, J_i$ of the system. It is obvious from the isotropy of space that this quantity must also be independent of the initial value $M_i$. The summation is carried out by means of the formula

$$\sum_{M_f} |\langle n_fJ_fM_f|Q_{j,-m}|n_iJ_iM_i\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2J_i+1} |\langle n_fJ_f||Q_j||n_iJ_i\rangle|^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (46.20)

(see $QM$, (107.11)).
§47. Magnetic multipole radiation

The wave function of a magnetic photon is $A^\mu = (0, A)$, where $A$ is given by (7.6). Substitution in (46.1) gives for the transition matrix element

$$ V_{fi} = -e \frac{\sqrt{\omega}}{2\pi} \int d^3x \cdot J_{f1}(r) \int d\omega_\perp e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{Y}^{(m)*}_j(n). $$

(47.1)

The components of the vector $\mathbf{Y}^{(m)}_j(n)$ can be expressed in terms of the spherical harmonics of order $j$, as shown in (7.16). Again using the expansion (46.3), we obtain for the inner integral

$$ \int e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \mathbf{Y}^{(m)*}_j(n) \ d\omega_\perp = 4\pi i^{-j} g_j(kr) \mathbf{Y}^{(m)*}_j(r/r), $$

and, on substituting $g_j$ from (46.5),

$$ V_{fi} = -e i^{-j} \frac{2\omega^{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2j+1)!!} \int J_{f1}(r) r^j \cdot \mathbf{Y}^{(m)*}_j(r/r) \ d^3x. $$

Here we must substitute, in accordance with the definition (7.4),

$$ \mathbf{Y}^{(m)}_j(r/r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{[j(j+1)]}} \mathbf{r} \times \nabla \mathbf{Y}_j; $$

we then transform the integrand by means of the formula

$$ r^j J_{f1} \mathbf{r} \times \nabla \mathbf{Y}^*_j = -r \times J_{f1} \nabla(r^j \mathbf{Y}^*_j), $$

obtaining

$$ V_{fi} = (-1)^m i^j \sqrt{(2j+1)(j+1)} \frac{\omega^{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{(2j+1)!!} e(Q^{(m)}_j, -m)_{f1}, $$

(47.2)

with the notation

$$ (Q^{(m)}_j)_{f1} = \frac{1}{j+1} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2j+1}} \int r \times j_{f1} \cdot \nabla(r^j \mathbf{Y}_j) \ d^3x. $$

(47.3)

These are called the $2^j$-pole magnetic transition moments.

Because of the analogy between the expressions (47.2) and (46.6) for the emission probability, we obtain a formula which differs from (46.10) only in that the electric moments are replaced by magnetic moments. Formula (46.12) for the angular distribution also remains valid (as has already been mentioned in connection with (7.11)).

Let us analyse the form of (47.3) when $j = 1$. In this case, the functions are

$$ r Y_{10} = iz, \quad r Y_{1,1} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (x \pm iy), $$

and their gradients are simply the spherical unit vectors $e^{(0)}$, $e^{(\pm)}$ (7.14). The quantities $e(Q^{(m)}_{1*})_{f1}$ are therefore the spherical components of the vector

$$ \mathbf{u}_{f1} = \frac{1}{2} e \int r \times j_{f1} \ d^3x, $$

(47.4)

which is similar in form to the classical magnetic moment (see Fields, §44). We shall show how formula (47.4) is related to the usual non-relativistic quantum expression for the magnetic moment operator.

† The current $J$ must not be confused with the angular momentum $j$. 
The non-relativistic expression for the transition current is (see QM, §114)

\[ j_{fi} = -\frac{i}{2m} (\psi_f^* \nabla \psi_i - \psi_i \nabla \psi_f^*) + \frac{\mu}{es} \text{curl} (\psi_f^* \psi_i), \]  
(47.5)

where \( \mu \) is the magnetic moment of the particle and \( s \) its spin. Hence

\[ \mu_{fi} = -\frac{ie}{4m} \int \psi_f^* (r \times \nabla) \psi_i \, d^3x + \frac{ie}{4m} \int \psi_f (r \times \nabla) \psi_f^* \, d^3x + \frac{\mu}{2s} \int r \times \text{curl} (\psi_f^* \psi_i) \, d^3x. \]  
(47.6)

In the second term, we write

\[ \int \psi_f (r \times \nabla) \psi_f^* \, d^3x = -\int \psi_f^* (r \times \nabla) \psi_i \, d^3x + \int \text{curl} (r \psi_f^* \psi_i) \, d^3x. \]

The last integral can be transformed into one over an infinitely distant surface, and is zero. Thus the first two terms in (47.6) are equal. In the third term, we transform the integral as follows (temporarily writing \( F = \psi_f^* \psi_i \)):

\[ \int r \times (\nabla \times F) \, d^3x = \oint r \times (df \times F) - \int (F \times \nabla) \times r \, d^3x. \]

The surface integral is zero, and in the last term

\[ (F \times \nabla) \times r = - F \text{ div} r + F = -2F. \]

Thus

\[ \int r \times \text{curl} F \, d^3x = 2 \int F \, d^3x. \]

The expression for \( \mu_{fi} \) therefore becomes

\[ \mu_{fi} = \int \psi_f^* \left( \frac{e}{2m} L + \frac{\mu}{s} s \right) \psi_i \, d^3x, \]  
(47.7)

where \( L = -i \mathbf{r} \times \nabla \) is the particle orbital angular momentum operator. This is, as it should be, the matrix element of the operator

\[ \mu = \frac{e}{2m} L + \frac{\mu}{s}, \]  
(47.8)

which contains the operators of the orbital and intrinsic magnetic moments of the particle.

The selection rules for magnetic multipole radiation are analogous to those for the electric case: the rules (46.15), (46.16) again apply to the total angular momentum, and the parity rule is

\[ P_f P_i = (-1)^{j+1}, \]  
(47.9)

which is obtained by substituting in (46.17) the parity of the \( Mj \) photon, \( P_{ph} = (-1)^{j+1} \).

§48. Angular distribution and polarisation of the radiation

The formulae derived in §§46 and 47 relate to the emission of a photon with definite values of the angular momentum \( j \) and component thereof \( m \). It was accordingly assumed that the radiating system (a nucleus, say) has not only definite values of the angular momentum \( J \) but also definite polarisations, i.e. values of \( M \), both before and after the emission.

Let us now consider the more general case of emission by a partially polarised nucleus (whose dimensions are again assumed small in comparison with the wavelength). The emitted photon again has a definite angular momentum \( j \), but may be partially polarised.
Let us find the emission probability as a function of the direction \( n \) of the photon. This probability must be expressed in terms of density matrices which describe the polarisation states of the nucleus and the photon.

For this purpose, we shall first write down the emission probability as a function of the direction \( n \) and helicity \( \lambda \) of the photon (\( \lambda = \pm 1 \)), for the case where the initial and final nuclei have definite values \( J_1, M_1; J_f, M_f \).

The matrix element for emission of a photon with definite values \( j, m \) is proportional to the matrix element of the (electric or magnetic) \( 2^j \)-pole moment of the nucleus:

\[
\langle J_f M_f; jm | V | J_1 M_1 \rangle \sim (-1)^m \langle J_f M_f | Q_{j,-m} | J_1 M_1 \rangle.
\] (48.1)

The wave function of the emitted photon (in the momentum representation) is proportional to \( Y_m^{(*)}(n) \) or \( Y_m^{(m)}(n) \). The wave function of a photon whose momentum is in the direction \( n \) and whose helicity is \( \lambda \) is proportional to the polarisation vector \( e^{(*)} \). The matrix element for emission of a photon \( n, \lambda \) is found by multiplying (48.1) by the projection of the wave function of the state \( |jm\rangle \) on that of the state \( |n,\lambda\rangle \):

\[
\langle J_f M_f; n\lambda | V | J_1 M_1 \rangle \sim (-1)^m \langle J_f M_f | Q_{j,-m} | J_1 M_1 \rangle e^{(*) \ast} Y_{jm}.
\]

According to (16.23), for photons of either type

\[
e^{(*) \ast} Y_{jm}(n) \sim D_{jm}(n).
\] (48.2)

The matrix element of the multipole moment can be expressed in the usual way in terms of the reduced element. Thus we find the transition probability amplitude in the form

\[
\langle J_f M_f; n\lambda | V | J_1 M_1 \rangle \sim (-1)^{j-M_f+m} \left( \begin{array}{cc} J_f & J_1 \\ -M_f & -m \end{array} \right) Q D_{jm}(n),
\] (48.3)

where \( Q \) denotes \( \langle J_f | Q | J_1 \rangle \).

We can now proceed to the general case of mixed polarisation states. According to the general rules of quantum mechanics, the transition probability is proportional to the expression†

\[
\sum_{(m)} \langle J_f M_f; n\lambda | V | J_1 M_1 \rangle \langle J_f M_f' | n\lambda' | V | J_1 M_1' \rangle \ast \times
\]

\[
\times \langle M_1 | \rho^{(i)} | M_1' \rangle \langle M_f | \rho^{(f)} | M_f' \rangle \langle \lambda' | \rho^{(\lambda)} | \lambda \rangle,
\] (48.4)

where \( \rho^{(i)}, \rho^{(f)}, \rho^{(\lambda)} \) are the density matrices of the initial nucleus, the final nucleus and the emitted photon; the symbol \( (m) \) below the summation sign indicates that the sum is taken over all the \( m \)-type quantities which occur twice \((M_i, M_i', M_f, M_f', \lambda, \lambda')\). Then (48.3) is to be substituted in (48.4).

Let \( w(n) \) denote the probability of emission of a photon into the solid angle \( d\omega \). The

† If the initial and final states of the system are described by the superpositions

\[
\psi^{(i)} = \sum_n a_n \psi^{(i)}_n, \quad \psi^{(f)} = \sum_m b_m \psi^{(f)}_m,
\]

then the matrix element is

\[
\langle f | V | i \rangle = \sum_{m, n} b_m^* a_n V_{mn},
\]

and its square is

\[
|\langle f | V | i \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n, n', m, m'} V_{nn'} V_{m'mn} a_n^* b_{m'}^* b_m a_{n'}.
\]

The case of mixed states is obtained by making the changes

\[
a_n a_{n'} \to \rho^{(i)}_{nn'}, \quad b_{m'} b_m \to \rho^{(f)}_{m'm},
\]

so that

\[
|\langle f | V | i \rangle|^2 = \sum_{n, n', m, m'} V_{nn'} V_{m'mn} \rho^{(i)}_{nn'} \rho^{(f)}_{m'm}.
\]
total probability of emission, in any direction and with any polarisations of the photon and the final nucleus, is evidently independent of the initial polarisation state of the nucleus, is given by formulae already known, and is of no interest here. We shall therefore arbitrarily normalise the probability \( w(n) \) to unity. The result is†

\[
w(n) = \frac{(2j+1)(2J_f+1)}{8\pi} \sum_{(m)} (-1)^{2J_f-M_f-M_{j}} D^{(j)}_{\lambda m} \times \\
\times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_i \\ -M_f & -m & M_i \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_i \\ -M'_f & -m' & M'_i \end{array} \right) \times \\
\times \langle M_f | \rho^{(J)} | M'_f \rangle \langle M_f | \rho^{(J')} | M_f \rangle \langle \lambda' \rangle | \rho^{(r)} | \lambda \rangle; \tag{48.5}
\]

it will be seen below that the normalisation is correct. This formula can be transformed by using the series expansion (b.2) for the product of the two \( D \) functions:

\[
D^{(j)}_{\lambda m} D^{(j')}_{\lambda' m'} = (-1)^{\lambda+\mu+\lambda'+\mu'} D^{(j)}_{\lambda m} D^{(j')}_{\lambda' m'} = (-1)^{\lambda+\mu+\lambda'+\mu'} \sum_{L} (2L+1) \binom{j}{\lambda} \binom{j}{\lambda'} \binom{L}{m} \binom{L}{-m'} \binom{L}{-\mu} D^{(L)}_{\Lambda \mu},
\]

where \( \Lambda = \lambda - \lambda' \), \( \mu = m - m' \) and \( L \) takes integral values \( \geq 2j \). Thus we have finally

\[
w(n) = \frac{(2j+1)(2J_f+1)}{8\pi} \sum_{L} \sum_{(m)} (-1)^{2J_f-M_f-M_{j}+m+1} (2L+1) \times \\
\times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ \lambda & -\lambda' & -\Lambda \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ m & -m' & -\mu \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_i \\ -M_f & -m & M_i \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_i \\ -M'_f & -m' & M'_i \end{array} \right) \times \\
\times D^{(L)}_{\Lambda \mu}(n) \langle M_f | \rho^{(J)} | M_f \rangle \langle M_f | \rho^{(J')} | M_f \rangle \langle \lambda' \rangle | \rho^{(r)} | \lambda \rangle. \tag{48.5}
\]

As previously, \( \sum_{(m)} \) denotes summation over all \( m \)-type quantities which occur twice. Here it must be noted that \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda' \) differ from the other quantities, since they have only two values, \( \lambda, \lambda' = \pm 1 \), corresponding to the two polarisations of the photon, and not \( 2j+1 \) values for any given \( j \).

Formula (48.5) embodies all the necessary information about the angular distribution and polarisation of the emitted photons, and also about the polarisation of the secondary nuclei (i.e. those which have emitted a photon). It is assumed that the initial density matrix is given.

**Angular distribution**

The angular distribution of the photons is obtained by summation over all polarisations of the photon and the secondary nucleus. The averaging with respect to polarisations is done by substituting the density matrices of the unpolarised states:

\[
\langle \lambda | \rho^{(r)} | \lambda' \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\lambda \lambda'}, \quad \langle M_f | \rho^{(J')} | M_f' \rangle = \frac{1}{2J_f+1} \delta_{M_f M_f'}, \tag{48.6}
\]

after which the summation amounts to a multiplication by 2 for the photon or by \( 2J_f+1 \) for the nucleus. Thus the summation is effected by simply making the changes

\[
\langle \lambda | \rho^{(r)} | \lambda' \rangle \rightarrow \delta_{\lambda \lambda'}, \quad \langle M_f | \rho^{(J')} | M_f' \rangle \rightarrow \delta_{M_f M_f'}. \tag{48.7}
\]

† In transforming the sign factor note that the numbers \( 2J_f, 2J_f, 2M_f, 2M' \) have the same parity; \( j \) and \( m \) are integers, and \( \lambda = \pm 1 \).
and the angular distribution is
\[
\bar{w}(n) = \frac{(2j+1)(2J_f+1)}{8\pi} \sum_{L-\mu} (-1)^{m'+1}(2L+1)D^{(L)}_{\theta \mu}(n) \times \\
\times \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ \lambda & -\lambda & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ m & -m' & -\mu \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_i \\ -M_f & -m & M_i \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_i \\ -M_f & -m' & M_i' \end{array} \right) \times \\
\times \langle M_i | \rho^{(i)} | M_i' \rangle.
\]

This formula can be considerably simplified by carrying out the summation over \( m \)-type quantities. First of all, we note that
\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ \lambda & -\lambda & 0 \end{array} \right) = (-1)^L \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ -\lambda & \lambda & 0 \end{array} \right),
\]
and therefore
\[
\sum_{\lambda = \pm 1} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ \lambda & -\lambda & 0 \end{array} \right) = 2 \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \text{ for even } L,
\]
\[
= 0 \text{ for odd } L.
\]

In the sum over \( L \), therefore, only the terms with even \( L \) remain, and it involves only even-order spherical harmonics \( D^{(L)}_{\theta \mu} \). This result is obvious \textit{a priori}, since, by the conservation of parity, the probability must be unchanged by inversion, i.e. by putting \( n \rightarrow -n \).

Thus we have
\[
\bar{w}(n) = \frac{(2j+1)(2J_f+1)}{4\pi} \sum_{L} (2L+1) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right) D^{(L)}_{\theta \mu}(n) \times \\
\times \sum_{(m)} (-1)^{m'+1} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ m & -m' & -\mu \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_i \\ -M_f & -m & M_i \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_i \\ -M_f & -m' & M_i' \end{array} \right) \times \\
\times \langle M_i | \rho^{(i)} | M_i' \rangle.
\]

The normalisation here is easily verified: with the formula
\[
\int D^{(L)}_{\theta \mu}(n) \, d\theta /4\pi = \delta_{L,0} \delta_{\mu,0},
\]
integration over all directions leaves only the term with \( L = 0, \mu = 0 \), and the formulae
\[
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & 0 \\ m & -m & 0 \end{array} \right) = (-1)^{j-m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2j+1)}},
\]
\[
\sum_{M_f, m} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_i \\ -M_f & -m & M_i \end{array} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2J_f+1} \quad \text{tr } \rho^{(i)} = 1
\]
then show that this term is equal to unity.

The further summation with respect to \( m, m', M_f \) in the inner sum in \( \bar{w}(n) \) is effected by means of \( \text{QM, (108.4)} \). The final expression for the photon angular distribution is
\[
\bar{w}(n) = (-1)^{1+J_i+J_f} (2j+1)\sqrt{(2J_f+1)} \times \\
\times \sum_{L \text{ even}} (-i)^L \sqrt{(2L+1)} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} j & j & L \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_i & J_i & J_f \\ j & j & J_f \end{array} \right) \sum_{\mu} \mathcal{P}^{(i)}_{\mu} D^{(L)}_{\theta \mu}(n), \quad (48.9)
\]
R.O.T.
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where

\[ \mathcal{P}_{L\mu}^{(i)} = i^L \sqrt{[(2L+1)(2J_1+1)]} \sum_{M_\mu M_i} (-1)^{J_1-M_i} \begin{pmatrix} J_1 & L & J_i \\ -M_i & \mu & M_i \end{pmatrix} \langle M_i | \rho^{(i)} | M_i \rangle, \]  

\[ \mathcal{P}_{L\mu}^{(i)*} = (-1)^L \mathcal{P}_{L\mu}^{(i)}. \]

The inner sum in (48.9) is taken over all \( |\mu| \leq L \), and the outer sum over all even \( L \) such that \( L \leq 2j, \quad L \leq 2J_1 \) .

(These conditions result from the triangle rule which has to be satisfied by the quantities in the 3j-symbols that appear in (48.9), (48.10).) The number of terms in the sum is therefore usually small. For instance, when \( J_1 = 0 \) or \( \frac{1}{2} \), only the term with \( L = 0 \) remains, and the radiation is isotropic; this term is easily seen to equal \( \pm \), as it should by the normalisation condition.

The number of terms in the sum is therefore usually small. For instance, when \( J_1 = 0 \) or \( \frac{3}{2} \), or \( j = 1 \), the two terms with \( L = 0 \) or \( 2 \) remain in the sum over \( L \). If the density matrix \( \rho^{(i)} \) is diagonal \((M_i = M_i)\), then \( \mu = 0 \), and the distribution function (48.9) becomes an expansion in Legendre polynomials; according to (16.5) and (a.17), the functions \( D_{LM} \) are the functions \( P_L(\cos \theta) \). Finally, if

\[ \langle M_i | \rho^{(i)} | M_i \rangle = \frac{1}{2J_1+1} \delta_{M_iM_i}, \]

i.e. if the initial nucleus is unpolarised, then all the \( \mathcal{P}_{L\mu}^{(i)} \) are zero except \( \mathcal{P}_{00}^{(i)} = 1 \).†

The quantities \( \mathcal{P}_{L\mu} \) are convenient characteristics of the polarisation state of the nucleus, and will be called polarisation moments. Formula (48.10) defines them in terms of the density matrix \( \rho_{MM'} \). The inverse formula expressing the density matrix in terms of the polarisation moments is easily verified:

\[ \rho_{MM'} = \sum_{L,M'} \frac{2L+1}{2J+1} i^{-L} (-1)^{J-M'} \begin{pmatrix} J & L & J \\ -M' & \mu & M \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}_{L\mu}. \]  

Let \( \mathcal{J}_{L\mu} \) be a spherical tensor depending on the polarisation state of the nucleus. According to the general rules (see \( QM \), (14.8)), its mean value in a state having the density matrix \( \rho_{MM'} \) is

\[ \mathcal{J}_{L\mu} = \sum_{M,M'} \rho_{MM'} \langle J M'| \mathcal{J}_{L\mu} | JM \rangle. \]  

Expressing the matrix elements of \( \mathcal{J}_{L\mu} \) in terms of the reduced element \( \langle J\| \mathcal{J}_{L\mu} \| J \rangle \) by means of the formula

\[ \langle J M'| \mathcal{J}_{L\mu} | JM \rangle = i^L (-1)^{J-M'} \begin{pmatrix} J & L & J \\ -M' & \mu & M \end{pmatrix} \langle J\| \mathcal{J}_{L\mu} \| J \rangle, \]

and using the polarisation moments as defined by (48.10), we obtain

\[ \mathcal{J}_{L\mu} = \frac{\langle J\| \mathcal{J}_{L\mu} \| J \rangle}{\sqrt{[(2L+1)(2J+1)]}} \mathcal{P}_{L\mu}. \]

† Using the result that

\[ \begin{pmatrix} J \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{J-M} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2J+1)}} \delta_{MM'}, \]

we have

\[ \sum_{M,M'} (-1)^{J-M'} \begin{pmatrix} J & L & J \\ -M' & \mu & M \end{pmatrix} \delta_{MM'} = \sqrt{(2J+1)} \sum_{M,M'} \begin{pmatrix} J & L & J \\ -M' & \mu & M \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J \\ 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{(2J+1)} \delta_{L0} \delta_{\mu0}, \]

and the conclusion stated then follows from the definition (48.10).
Angular distribution and polarisation of the radiation

PHOTON POLARISATION

When the matrices \( \rho^{(g)} \) and \( \rho^{(f)} \), as well as \( \rho^{(l)} \), are specified, formula (48.5) determines the probability of a transition in which a photon is emitted, and the nucleus left, in definite polarisation states. Such states are essentially characteristic not of the radiation process as such, but of the detectors which record the photon and the recoil nucleus and distinguish definite polarisations of these. There is another and more natural formulation of the problem, in which the final state of the "nucleus + photon" system is not specified from the start, and the polarisation density matrix of this state is to be determined, with only the direction of the photon emission fixed.

The answer to this problem is given by the same formula (48.5). If this is written as

\[
w = \bar{w}(n) \sum_{(m)} \langle M_f; n\lambda | \rho | M'_f; n\lambda' \rangle \langle \lambda' | \rho^{(g)} | \lambda \rangle \langle M'_f | \rho^{(f)} | M_f \rangle,
\]

then the expression \( \langle M_f; n\lambda | \rho | M'_f; n\lambda' \rangle \) is the required density matrix, since according to the general rules of quantum mechanics the probability \( w \) of a transition to a specified state is given by its "projection" on the given \( \rho^{(g)}, \rho^{(f)} \). The factor \( \bar{w}(n) \) is written in (48.15) so that this matrix shall be normalised by the usual condition,

\[
\sum_{\lambda', M_f} \langle M_f; n\lambda | \rho | M'_f; n\lambda' \rangle = 1.
\]

If we want the polarisation of the photon alone, a summation over \( M_f = M'_f \) is necessary:

\[
\langle n\lambda | \rho | n\lambda' \rangle = \sum_{M_f} \langle M_f; n\lambda | \rho | M'_f; n\lambda' \rangle.
\]

Using a derivation exactly similar to that of (48.9), we obtain

\[
\langle n\lambda | \rho | n\lambda' \rangle = (-1)^{J_f + J_f} \frac{(2J_f + 1)^2(2J_f + 1)}{8\pi \bar{w}(n)} \times
\]

\[
\sum_{L} (-1)^L \sqrt{(2L + 1)(L)} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & j \\ \lambda - \lambda' & L & \lambda \end{array} \right\} \sum_{\mu} D^{(f)}_{\mu} D^{(g)}_{\mu}(n),
\]

where \( \Lambda = \lambda - \lambda' \), and the summation is over all integral values of \( L \) which satisfy the conditions (48.11).

In particular, circular polarisation is determined by the Stokes parameter

\[
\xi_2 = \langle n1 | \rho | n1 \rangle - \langle n1, -1 | \rho | n1, -1 \rangle;
\]

see §8, Problem. Because of the relation (48.8), all the terms with even \( L \) in this difference are zero, and the resulting formula for \( \xi_2 \) differs from (48.9) only in that the summation is over odd instead of even values of \( L \).

SECONDARY NUCLEUS POLARISATION

Finally, if we are interested only in the final polarisation of the nuclei, we must put \( \rho^{(g)} \rightarrow \delta \). If the integration with respect to directions of the photon is also carried out, the density matrix of the secondary nucleus is

\[
\langle M_f | \rho | M'_f \rangle = \int \bar{w}(n) \langle M_f; n | \rho | M'_f; n \rangle \, dn = (2J_f + 1) \sum_{m, M_f, M'_f} (-1)^{2J_f - M_f - M'_f} \times
\]

\[
\sum_{J_f} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_f \\ -M_f & m & M_f \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J_f & j & J_f \\ -M'_f & m & M'_f \end{array} \right) \langle M_f | \rho^{(f)} | M'_f \rangle.
\]
The polarisation matrices calculated by means of this matrix are

$$\mathcal{P}^{(L)}_{\mathcal{L} \alpha} = (-1)^{J_f + J_r + L} \sqrt{[(2J + 1)(2J_f + 1)]} \begin{bmatrix} J_f & J_r & L \\ J_f & J_f & J_f \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{P}^{(L)}_{\mathcal{L} \alpha}. \quad (48.17)$$

If the initial nucleus is unpolarised, so is the final nucleus, but there exists a correlation polarisation, i.e. a polarisation of the nucleus after emission in a specified direction. Putting $\rho^{(L)} \rightarrow \delta / (2J_f + 1)$ (and correspondingly $\bar{n}(n) = 1 / 4\pi$) and calculating as in the derivation of (48.9), we obtain for the density matrix describing this polarisation

$$\langle M_f; n | \mathcal{P} | M_f'; n \rangle = (2J_f + 1)^{J_f + M_f'} \sum_{L \text{ even}} (2L + 1) \begin{pmatrix} j & j & L \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_f & L & J_f \mu & -M_f \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} J_f & J_f & L \\ j & j & J_f \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}^{(L)}_{\mathcal{L} \alpha}(n). \quad (48.18)$$

The corresponding polarisation moments are

$$\mathcal{P}^{(L)}_{\mathcal{L} \alpha} = i^L (-1)^{J_f + J_r}(2J_f + 1) \sqrt{[(2L + 1)(2J_f + 1)]} \times \begin{pmatrix} j & j & L \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J_f & J_f & L \mu & -M_f \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{P}^{(L)}_{\mathcal{L} \alpha}(n). \quad (48.19)$$

Only even-order moments occur (which is also a consequence of the conservation of parity already mentioned).

If the secondary nucleus in turn emits a photon, it will generate an anisotropic distribution, being polarised. Since the polarisation moments (48.19) depend on the direction $n$ of the photon emitted in the first decay, there is a certain correlation between the directions of successively emitted photons (with an unpolarised primary nucleus). Other correlation effects (of polarisation, etc.) in cascade emission can be treated similarly.†

PROBLEM

Find the relation between the polarisation moments $\mathcal{P}_{1\mu}$, $\mathcal{P}_{2\mu}$ and the mean values of the angular momentum vector $J$ and the quadrupole moment tensor $Q_{ik}$.

SOLUTION. The reduced elements of the vector $\mathcal{J}$ and the tensor $Q_{ik}$ are determined from

$$\mathcal{J}_r^2 = \langle \mathcal{J} || \mathcal{J} \rangle^2 / (2J + 1),$$

$$Q_{ik} = \langle \mathcal{J} || Q || \mathcal{J} \rangle^2 / (2J + 1);$$

cf. QM, (107.10), The operator $Q_{ik}$ is expressed in terms of the angular momentum operators as in QM (75.2):

$$Q_{ik} = \frac{3Q}{2J(2J - 1)} (J_k J_i + J_i J_k - \frac{3}{2} J^2 \delta_{ik}).$$

Hence we find the mean value

$$Q_{ik} = \frac{6Q^2 (J + 1)^2}{(2J - 1)^2}.$$

The reduced matrix elements are

$$\langle \mathcal{J} || \mathcal{J} \rangle = \sqrt{[J(J + 1)(2J + 1)]},$$

$$\langle \mathcal{J} || Q || \mathcal{J} \rangle = Q \frac{(J + 1) \sqrt{(12J + 6)}}{2J - 1}.$$

† A detailed account of these problems is given in the paper by A. Z. Dolginov, in: L. A. Sliv, ed., Gamma-nuchi (Gamma Rays), USSR Academy of Sciences, Moscow 1961, pp. 523–681.
§49. **Radiation from atoms: the electric type**

The energies of the outer electrons of an atom (which take part in optical radiative transitions) have, as a rough estimate, the order of magnitude $E \sim me^4/h^2$, so that the radiated wavelengths $\lambda \sim hc/E \sim h^2/mme^2$. The dimension of the atom is $a \sim h^2/me^2$. Thus, in the optical spectra of atoms, we generally have the inequality $a/\lambda \sim \alpha \ll 1$. The ratio $v/c \sim \alpha$, where $v$ is the velocity of the optical electrons, has a similar order of magnitude.

Thus, in the optical spectra of atoms, a condition is satisfied which means that the probability of electric dipole radiation (if this is allowed by the selection rules) considerably exceeds the probabilities of multipole transitions. For this reason it is electric dipole transitions which are the most important in atomic spectroscopy.

As has already been mentioned, such transitions are subject to strict selection rules as regards the total angular momentum $J$ of the atom and the parity $P$:

$$|J'-J| \leq 1 \leq J+J', \quad PP' = -1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (49.1)

The inequality $|J'-J| \leq 1$ signifies that the angular momentum $J$ can change only by 0 or $\pm 1$; also, the transition $0 \to 0$ is forbidden by the inequality $J+J' \geq 1$. The parities of the initial and final states must be opposite.||

The probability of emission by the transition $nJM \to n'J'M'$ is determined by the corresponding matrix element of the dipole moment of the atom:

$$w(nJM \to n'J'M') = \frac{4\omega^3}{3hc^3} \left| \langle n'JM'|d_{-m}|nJM \rangle \right|^2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (49.3)

$$\omega = \omega(nJ \to n'J').$$

On summing (49.3) over all values of $M' = M - m$ (with $M$ given), we obtain the total probability of emission with a given frequency from the atomic level $n, J$. The summation is carried out by means of (46.20), and the result is||

$$w(nJ \to n'J') = \frac{4\omega^3}{3hc^3} \frac{1}{2J+1} \left| \langle n'J'|d||nJ \rangle \right|^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (49.4)

† In §§49–51 and 53–55, ordinary units are used.

‡ Typical values of the dipole transition probability in the optical region of the spectra of atoms are of the order of $10^8$ sec$^{-1}$.

§ We shall now denote the quantum numbers of the initial and final states by unprimed and primed letters respectively. The letters $n, n'$ will denote all the quantum numbers which define the state of the system, other than those shown explicitly.

¶ The parity selection rule was first established by O. Laporte (1924).

|| The observed radiation intensity is found by multiplying $w$ by $h\omega$ and by the number $N_{nJ}$ of atoms in the source which are at the excitation level concerned. For example, in a gas at temperature $T$ this number is $N_{nJ} \sim (2J+1) \exp (-E_{nJ}/T)$; the factor $2J+1$ is the statistical weight of the level with angular momentum $J$. 

---

**Radiation from atoms: the electric type**

From (48.14) we now see that the polarisation moments $\mathcal{P}_{1a}$ are equal to the spherical components of the vector

$$\sqrt{\frac{3}{J(J+1)}} J,$$

and the moments $\mathcal{P}_{2a}$ are equal to the spherical components of the tensor

$$\sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \frac{2J-1}{J+1} \tilde{Q}.$$
The squared modulus of the reduced matrix element is sometimes called the \textit{transition line strength}; it is symmetrical as between the initial and final states.

Further deductions regarding transition probabilities in atomic spectra can be obtained only for specific kinds of atomic states. We shall not here discuss methods of calculating matrix elements where the degree of approximation has no clear theoretical significance, but simply derive some relations valid for a fairly large class of states (especially in light atoms) of the \textit{LS} coupling type (see \textit{QM}, §72). Such states are described not only by the total angular momentum but also by definite values of the orbital angular momentum \(L\) and the spin \(S\), which in this case are conserved.

Since the dipole moment is a purely orbital quantity, its operator commutes with the spin operator, i.e. its matrix is diagonal with respect to the number \(S\). For the number \(L\), the dipole moment is subject to the same selection rules as any orbital vector (see \textit{QM}, §29).

Thus transitions between \textit{LS}-type states are subject to the following selection rules (in addition to (49.1), (49.2)):

\[
S' - S = 0,
\]
\[
|L' - L| \leq 1 \leq L + L'.
\]

It should again be stressed that these rules are approximate, and no longer apply when the spin–orbit interaction is taken into account.

The rule (49.5), which forbids transitions between terms of different multiplicity, is valid not only for electric dipole transitions but for all electric transitions: the electric multipole moments of all orders are orbital tensors, and therefore their matrices are diagonal with respect to spin. For instance, for electric quadrupole transitions, in addition to the general rules

\[
|J' - J| \leq 2 \leq J + J', \quad PP' = 1,
\]

in the case of \textit{LS} coupling we have the further rules

\[
S' - S = 0, \quad |L' - L| \leq 2 \leq L + L'.
\]

The emission probability can be written in explicit form as a function of the numbers \(S, L, J'\). This is done immediately by means of the matrix elements of spherical tensors in the addition of angular momenta. According to \textit{QM}, (109.3), we have\(^\dagger\)

\[
\left| \langle n'L'S'J'|d||nLSJ\rangle \right|^2 = (2J + 1)(2J' + 1) \left( \frac{|L'|}{J} \right)^2 \left( \frac{|J'|}{L} \right)^2 \left| \langle n'J'|d||nL\rangle \right|^2.
\]

Substitution of this in (49.4) gives

\[
w(nLSJ \rightarrow n'L'SJ') = \frac{4\omega^3}{3hc^3} (2J' + 1) \left( \frac{|L'|}{J} \right)^2 \left( \frac{|J'|}{L} \right)^2 \left| \langle n'J'|d||nL\rangle \right|^2,
\]

with \(\omega = \omega(nLS \rightarrow n'L'S)\).\(\ddagger\)

A sum rule can be derived for these probabilities. The squares of the \(6j\)-symbols satisfy the summation formula (see \textit{QM}, (108.7))

\[
\sum_{J'} (2J' + 1) \left( \frac{|J'|}{J} \right)^2 \left( \frac{|J'|}{L} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2L + 1}.
\]

\(\dagger\) The "angular momenta of sub-systems 1 and 2" in the formul\ae\ in \textit{QM}, §109, are here to be taken as the orbital momentum and spin of the atom, whose interaction is neglected; the quantities \(J'_{12}\) are represented by the orbital vector \(d_q\).

\(\ddagger\) In neglecting the spin–orbit interaction in the calculation of the matrix elements, we also neglect the dependence of the frequencies on \(J\) and \(J'\), i.e. the fine structure of the initial and final levels of the atom.
Using this, we obtain from (49.10)

\[ \sum_{J'} w(nLSJ \rightarrow n'L'S'J') = \frac{4\alpha^3}{3\hbar c^3} \frac{1}{2L+1} |\langle n'L'\|d\|nL \rangle|^2. \]  

(49.12)

This quantity is thus found to be independent of the initial value of \( J \).

For radiation from a gas whose temperature is much greater than the fine-structure intervals in the atomic term \( nSL \), the states with different \( J \) are uniformly occupied, i.e. all values of \( J \) are equally probable. The probability that the atom is at a level with some definite value of \( J \) is then

\[ \frac{2J+1}{(2L+1)(2S+1)} \]

(49.13)
i.e. is equal to the ratio of the statistical weight of the level to the total statistical weight of the term \( nSL \). Averaging the expressions (49.10) or their sums (49.12) with respect to these probabilities is equivalent to multiplying by the factor (49.13). This averaging will be denoted by a bar over the letter \( w \). The total probability of emission of all the lines in a spectral multiplet (formed by all possible transitions between the fine-structure components of the two terms \( nSL \) and \( n'SL' \)) is the sum

\[ \bar{w}(nLS \rightarrow n'L'S) = \sum_{J} \sum_{J'} \bar{w}(nLSJ \rightarrow n'L'S'J'). \]

(49.14)

Since, of course,

\[ \sum_{J} (2J+1) = (2S+1)(2L+1), \]

the result obtained for the total probability agrees with (49.12). Thus the relative probability (which is the same thing as the relative intensity) of a single line is

\[ \frac{\bar{w}(nLSJ \rightarrow n'L'S'J')}{\bar{w}(nLS \rightarrow n'L'S)} = \frac{(2J+1)(2J'+1)}{2S+1} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} L' & J' & S' \\ J & L & 1 \end{array} \right\}^2. \]

(49.15)

The analysis of the numerical values given by this formula shows that the strongest lines in the multiplet are those for which \( \Delta J = \Delta L \) (called main lines, while the remaining components of the multiplet are called satellites). The intensity of the main lines increases with the initial value of \( J \).

Summation of the quantities (49.15) with respect to \( J' \) and \( J \) gives respectively

\[ \frac{\sum_{J'} \bar{w}(nLSJ \rightarrow n'L'S'J')}{\bar{w}(nLS \rightarrow n'L'S)} = \frac{2J+1}{(2L+1)(2S+1)}, \]

\[ \frac{\sum_{J} \bar{w}(nLSJ \rightarrow n'L'S'J')}{\bar{w}(nLS \rightarrow n'L'S)} = \frac{2J'+1}{(2L+1)(2S+1)}. \]

(49.16)

Thus the total intensity of all the lines in a spectral multiplet having a common initial or final level is proportional to the statistical weight of that common level.

We may also consider the hyperfine structure of atomic spectral lines. The hyperfine splitting of atomic levels is due to the interaction of the electrons with the spin of the nucleus if the latter is non-zero (see QM, §120). The total angular momentum \( F \) of the atom (including the nucleus) consists of the total electron angular momentum \( J \) and the angular momentum \( I \) of the nucleus. Each component of the hyperfine structure of the level \( n, J \) has a different value of the quantum number \( F \).
The rigorous law of conservation of angular momentum now leads to a rigorous selection rule for the total angular momentum $F$: for electric dipole radiation,

$$|F' - F| \leq 1 \leq F + F'. \quad (49.17)$$

But, in view of the extreme weakness of the interaction of the electrons with the spin of the nucleus, this interaction may be neglected in calculating the matrix elements of the electric (and magnetic) moments of the electron shell of the atom. Thus the previous selection rules regarding the electron angular momentum $J$ and the electron parity remain valid also. In particular, the latter selection rule prohibits electric dipole transitions between hyperfine structure components of the same term: all these levels have the same parity, whereas such transitions can occur only between states of different parity.

Since the dipole moment operator commutes with the nuclear spin, the dependence of the matrix elements on the numbers $I$ and $F$ can be found explicitly, the calculations differing only by an obvious change of notation from those given above for $LS$ coupling. The probability of emission, summed over the final values of the component of the total angular momentum $F$, is

$$w(nJIF \rightarrow n'J'IF') = \frac{4\omega^3}{3\hbar^3} \frac{1}{2F + 1} \left| \langle n'J'IF' \| d \| nJIF \rangle \right|^2,$$

$$\omega = \omega(nJ \rightarrow n'J'), \quad (49.18)$$

and the square of the reduced matrix element is

$$\left| \langle n'J'IF' \| d \| nJIF \rangle \right|^2 = (2F + 1)(2F' + 1) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} J' \\ F' \\ I \end{array} \right\} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} J \\ F \\ I \end{array} \right\}^2 \left| \langle n'J' \| d \| nJ \rangle \right|^2. \quad (49.19)$$

**PROBLEM**

The majority of the lines in the spectra of the alkali metals can be described as resulting from transitions of a single outer (optical) electron in the self-consistent field of the rest of the atom, which forms a configuration of closed shells; the state of the atom is governed by $LS$ coupling. Under these conditions, determine the relative intensities of the fine structure components of the spectral lines.

**SOLUTION.** The total angular momenta $L$ and $S = \frac{1}{2}$ of the atom are equal to the orbital angular momentum and spin of the optical electron. The parity of the state is therefore $(-1)^L$ (the parity of the closed configuration of the rest of the atom being positive). The parity selection rules therefore forbid the dipole transition

![Fig. 1.](image)

with $L' = L$, and so only transitions with $L' - L = \pm 1$ are possible. The transitions between components of the doublet levels $n, L$ and $n', L - 1$ give only three lines, because of the selection rule for $J$ (Fig. 1). Their relative intensities (denoted by $a, b, c$) are most simply determined from the rules (49.16), instead of
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using (49.15) directly. The ratios of total intensities of lines having each initial (or final) level give two equations:

\[ \frac{b+c}{a} = \frac{2L}{2L+2}, \quad \frac{a+b}{c} = \frac{2L}{2L-2}. \]

whence

\[ a : b : c = (L+1)(2L-1) : (L-1)(2L+1). \]

If \( L = 1 \), the lower level is unsplit, line \( c \) does not appear and \( a/b = 2 \).

§50. Radiation from atoms: the magnetic type

The magnetic moment of an atom is equal, in order of magnitude, to the Bohr magneton:

\[ \mu \sim \frac{e\hbar}{mc}. \]

This differs by a factor \( \alpha \) from the order of magnitude of the electric dipole moment, \( d \sim \frac{ea}{\hbar} \approx \hbar/2me \) (since \( v/c \sim \alpha \), we have \( \mu \sim \frac{dv}{c} \), as is to be expected). Hence it follows that the probability of magnetic dipole (\( M1 \)) radiation from the atom is about \( \alpha^2 \) times less than that of electric dipole radiation at the same frequency. The magnetic radiation is therefore important in practice only for transitions forbidden by the selection rules for the electric case.

The ratio of the probability of electric quadrupole (\( E2 \)) radiation to that of \( M1 \) radiation is, in order of magnitude,

\[ \frac{E2}{M1} \sim \frac{(ea^2)^2}{\mu^2} \sim \frac{a^4m^2\omega^2}{h^2} \sim \left( \frac{\Delta E}{E} \right)^2; \]

(50.1)

the quadrupole moment \( \sim ea^2 \), \( E \sim h^2/ma^2 \) is the energy of the atom, and \( \Delta E \) the change in energy in the transition. We see that, for medium atomic frequencies (i.e. when \( \Delta E \sim E \)), the probabilities of \( E2 \) and \( M1 \) radiation are of the same order of magnitude (assuming, of course, that both are allowed by the selection rules). If, however, \( \Delta E \ll E \) (as for transitions between fine structure components of the same term), then \( M1 \) radiation is more probable than \( E2 \).

The magnetic dipole transitions are subject to the rigorous selection rules

\[ |J' - J| \leq 1 \leq J + J', \]

(50.2)

\[ PP' = 1. \]

(50.3)

For \( LS \) coupling, there are additional selection rules, which are even more restrictive than in the electric case. This is because of a particular property of the magnetic moment of the atom, which arises from the fact that all the particles (electrons) in the system are identical: the magnetic moment operator of the atom can be expressed in terms of the total orbital and spin angular momenta:

\[ \mu = -\mu_0(L+2S) = -\mu_0(J+S), \]

(50.4)

where \( \mu_0 = |e|\hbar/2mc \) is the Bohr magneton (see QM, §112). Owing to the conservation of total angular momentum, the operator \( \mathbf{J} \) has only matrix elements which are diagonal with respect to the energy, and in considering radiative transitions it is therefore sufficient to put \( \mu = -\mu_0\mathbf{S}. \)

† An exception occurs in cases where the electronic angular momentum \( \mathbf{J} \) of the atom is not conserved: when the hyperfine structure is taken into account, when an external field is present, and so on (see the Problems).
The angular momenta $L$ and $S$ are separately conserved when the spin–orbit interaction is neglected. The operator $S$ is therefore diagonal in all the quantum numbers $n, S, L$ which belong to the unsplit term. In order for a transition to occur at all, the number $J$ must change. The selection rules are consequently

$$n' = n, \quad S' = S, \quad L' = L, \quad J' - J = \pm 1,$$

i.e. transitions are possible only between fine structure components of a single term.

The emission probability can be calculated exactly in this case. By an appropriate change of notation in formula (49.10), we obtain

$$w(nLSJ \rightarrow nLSJ') = \frac{4\omega^3\mu^2}{3hc^5} (2J' + 1) \left[ \frac{S}{J} \frac{J'}{S} \frac{L}{1} \right]^2 |\langle S|S|S\rangle|^2.$$

The reduced spin matrix element with respect to the spin eigenfunctions is

$$\langle S|S|S\rangle = \sqrt{[S(S+1)(2S+1)]};$$

see QM, footnote to (29.7). The $6j$-symbol is

$$\begin{vmatrix}
S & J - 1 & L \\
J & S & 1 
\end{vmatrix}^2 = \frac{(L+S+J+1)(L+S-J+1)(L-S+J)(S-L+J)}{S(2S+1)(2S+2)(2J-1)2J(2J+1)};$$

see QM, §108, Table 10. The result is then

$$w(nLSJ \rightarrow nLS, J - 1) = \frac{2J+1}{2J-1} w(nLS, J - 1 \rightarrow nLSJ)$$


Transitions between hyperfine structure components of one level (whose frequencies are in the radio wave range) cannot occur as electric dipole transitions, since all the components have the same parity. $E2$ and $M1$ transitions involve no change of parity. But, owing to the relatively very small intervals in the hyperfine structure, $E2$ radiation has a low probability compared with $M1$ (cf. (50.1)), so that these transitions occur as magnetic dipole transitions.

PROBLEMS

Problem 1. Find the probability of an $M1$ transition between hyperfine structure components of a single level.

Solution. The transition probability is given by formulæ (49.18), (49.19), in which the diagonal reduced matrix element $\langle nJ|\mu|nJ\rangle$ of the magnetic moment will now appear. Its value can be written down immediately by noting that the total (not reduced) matrix element $\langle nJM|\mu_{\lambda}|nJM\rangle$ determines the splitting of the relevant level by the Zeeman effect (see QM, §112), and is $-\mu gM$, where $g$ is the Landé factor. The reduced matrix element is (see QM, (29.7))

$$\langle nJ|\mu|nJ\rangle = \frac{1}{M} \sqrt{[J(J+1)(2J+1)]} \langle nJM|\mu_{\lambda}|nJM\rangle$$

$$= -\mu g \sqrt{[J(J+1)(2J+1)].}$$
§51  Radiation from atoms: the Zeeman and Stark effects

The required probability is thus found to be\(^\dagger\)

\[
w(nJF \rightarrow nJI, F - 1) = \frac{2F + 1}{2F - 1} w(nJ, F - 1 \rightarrow nJF) = \frac{\omega^2 \mu_0^2 g_0^2}{3\hbar c^2 (2F + 1)} (J + I + F + 1)(J + I - F + 1)(F + J - I)(F - J + I).
\]

This expression differs from (50.8) only by an obvious change of notation and the extra factor \(g^2\).

**Problem 2.** Find the probability of an \(M1\) transition between Zeeman components of a single atomic level.

**Solution.** This is a transition \(M \rightarrow M - 1\) with the values of \(n\) and \(J\) unchanged; the transition frequency is (see (51.3) below) \(\omega = \mu_0 gH\), where \(g\) is the Landé factor. The matrix element of the spherical component \(\mu_{-1}\) of the vector \(\mu\) is

\[
\langle nJ, M - 1|\mu_{-1}|nJM\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{(J - M + 1)(J + M)}{2J(2J + 1)}} \langle nJ|\mu|nJM\rangle = -\mu_0 g\sqrt{[(J - M + 1)(J + M)]}
\]

(see \(QM\), (27.12), and Problem 1). The transition probability is

\[
w = \frac{4\omega^2}{3\hbar c^2} |\langle nJ, M - 1|\mu_{-1}|nJM\rangle|^2 = \frac{2\mu_0^2 H^2}{3\hbar c^2} (J - M + 1)(J + M).
\]

§51. Radiation from atoms: the Zeeman and Stark effects

In an external magnetic field \(H\) (assumed weak), each atomic level with total angular momentum \(J\) is split into \(2J + 1\) levels,

\[
E_M = E^{(0)} + \mu_0 gMH,
\]

where \(E^{(0)}\) is the unperturbed level, \(\mu_0\) the Bohr magneton, \(g\) the Landé factor, and \(M\) the component of \(J\) in the direction of the field (see \(QM\), §112). Thus the degeneracy with respect to directions of the angular momentum is entirely removed.

Spectral lines resulting from transitions between two split levels are correspondingly split. The number of components of the line is determined by the selection rule for the number \(M\), according to which, for dipole radiation, we must have\(^\ddagger\)

\[
m = M - M' = 0, \pm 1.
\]

The components resulting from transitions with \(m = 0\) and \(m = \pm 1\) are called \(\pi\) and \(\sigma\) components respectively. Their frequencies are

\[
\begin{align*}
\hbar \omega_\pi &= \hbar \omega^{(0)} + \mu_0 H(g - g')M, \\
\hbar \omega_\sigma &= \hbar \omega^{(0)} + \mu_0 H[gM - g'(M \pm 1)].
\end{align*}
\]

In the particular case where \(g = g'\), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\hbar \omega_\pi &= \hbar \omega^{(0)}, \\
\hbar \omega_\sigma &= \hbar \omega^{(0)} \mp \mu_0 gH,
\end{align*}
\]

\(^\dagger\) An interesting example is the transition between the hyperfine structure components of the ground level (\(1s_1\)) of the hydrogen atom, where both \(E1\) and \(E2\) transitions are strictly forbidden, the latter by the rule which prohibits a quadrupole transition with \(J + J' = 1\). This transition has a frequency \(\omega = 2\pi \times 1.42 \times 10^8 \text{ sec}^{-1}\) (wavelength \(\lambda = 21\) cm). Putting \(g = 2\), \(I = \frac{1}{2}\), \(J = \frac{1}{2}\), \(F = 1\), \(F' = 0\), we obtain

\[
w = 4\omega^2 \mu_0^2 / 3\hbar c^2 = 2.85 \times 10^{-15} \text{ sec}^{-1}.
\]

\(^\ddagger\) In addition to this rule, the transitions with \(M = M' = 0\) are forbidden if also \(J' = J\). This is seen immediately from the general expressions (\(QM\), (29.7)) for the matrix elements of an arbitrary vector.
The intensities of the $\sigma$ components of the Zeeman components are half as great as in the case of longitudinal polarization, with the relative intensities of the Zeeman components as observed in this direction, being determined by the initial and final values of $J$ and $M$, and not by any other properties of the levels.

These lines have definite values of the angular momentum in the direction of propagation, and either right-hand ($m = 1$) or left-hand ($m = -1$) circular polarization (see §6).

For observation in a direction perpendicular to the field (along the $x$-axis, say), the circular direction of polarisation

\[
\left(\frac{1}{2}m + 1 \pm \frac{1}{2} - M\right)^2
\]

These means that only the two $\sigma$ components ($m = \pm 1$) are observed in the longitudinal direction (along the field). Their intensities are proportional to the components lying in the plane (along the $x$-axis), this sum is equal to the probability of emission of radiation (see §6).

For observation along the field, the sum is

\[\sum_{m} (\frac{1}{2}m + 1 \pm \frac{1}{2} - M)^2 = \sum_{m=\pm 1} (\frac{1}{2}m + 1)^2 = \frac{J(J+1)}{2} + \frac{M^2}{2} = \frac{J^2 + J + (M-1)^2}{2}.
\]

The total probability (for all directions) of emission of radiation is proportional to the squared modulus $|e.e'|^2$, hence, using formula (46.19) with $j = 1$, we see that the relative probability of emission of each of the Zeeman components of the spectral line is whatever the value of $M$; thus, in this case, the line is split into a triplet with an undispersed $\pi$ component and two $\sigma$ components lying symmetrically on either side of it (called the "normal" Zeeman effect).
The selection rules forbid electric dipole transitions between Zeeman components of the same level, since all these have the same parity. Such transitions occur as magnetic dipole transitions, for the same reason as was mentioned at the end of §50 in respect of transitions between hyperfine structure components of a level. Because of the selection rule for the number \( M \), the transitions occur only between adjacent components \((M' - M = \pm 1)\).

The splitting of atomic levels in a weak electric field (the Stark effect), unlike that in a magnetic field, does not cause complete removal of the degeneracy with respect to directions of the angular momentum. All the levels except those with \( M = 0 \) remain doubly degenerate, each corresponding to two states with angular momentum components \( M \) and \(-M\).

The calculation of the relative intensities of the Stark components of a spectral line is exactly similar to that given above for the Zeeman effect. It must be remembered that the intensity of the \( \pi \) components includes contributions from the transitions \( M \rightarrow M \) and \(-M \rightarrow -M \) (when \( M \neq 0 \)), and that of the \( \sigma \) components includes contributions from the transitions \( M \rightarrow M \pm 1 \) and \(-M \rightarrow -(M \pm 1)\). Hence, for example, in transverse observation the intensities of the \( \pi \) components are proportional to

\[
2\begin{pmatrix} J' & 1 & J \\ M & 0 & -M \end{pmatrix}^2,
\]

and those of the \( \sigma \) components are proportional to the sums

\[
\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} J' & 1 & J \\ M \pm 1 & \mp 1 & -M \end{pmatrix}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} J' & 1 & J \\ -M \mp 1 & \pm 1 & M \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} J' & 1 & J \\ M \pm 1 & \mp 1 & -M \end{pmatrix}^2
\]

(when all the numbers in the second row change sign, the \( 3j \)-symbols can at most change sign, so that their squares are unaltered).

In an external field, even if it is weak, the total angular momentum \( J \) is no longer strictly conserved; in a uniform field, only the angular momentum component \( M \) is exactly conserved. Thus, in radiative transitions in a weak field, the conservation of angular momentum need not be rigorously maintained, and the atomic spectra may contain lines which are forbidden by the usual selection rules.

The calculation of the intensities of these lines is equivalent to the calculation of the corrections in the dipole moment matrix, which in turn requires the determination of corrections to the wave functions of stationary states. In the first approximation of perturbation theory (with respect to the weak external field), the wave function includes "admixtures" of states which are connected to the initial state by non-zero matrix elements of the perturbation \((-E.d\mathbf{in} the\ electric\ field)\): the admixture of a state \( \psi_2 \) in a state \( \psi_1 \) is

\[
\frac{-E.d_{23}}{E_1-E_2} \psi_2.
\]

† The frequencies of these transitions are usually in the range corresponding to centimetre wavelengths, and are observed in absorption and induced emission (electron paramagnetic resonance): the absorbing atoms are in a strong constant magnetic field (which causes the Zeeman splitting) and a weak radio-frequency field of the resonance frequency.

‡ This refers to the quadratic Stark effect, which occurs in all atoms except hydrogen (see QM, §76). The field is assumed so weak that the level splitting which it causes is small even in comparison with the fine structure intervals.
Thus the matrix element of the "forbidden" transition contains a term

\[-\frac{(E \cdot d_{21})d_{32}}{E_1 - E_2}\]

which is not zero if transitions from the "intermediate" state 2 to the initial state 1 and final state 3 are allowed.

§52. Radiation from atoms: the hydrogen atom

The hydrogen atom is the only one for which the transition matrix elements can be completely calculated in an analytical form (W. Gordon, 1929).

The parity of a state of the hydrogen atom is \((-1)^l\), i.e. is uniquely determined by the orbital angular momentum of the electron (the number \(l\), which defines the parity of the state, retains its significance for the exact relativistic wave functions, i.e. when the spin–orbit interaction is taken into account). The parity selection rule therefore strictly forbids electric dipole transitions without change of \(l\); only transitions with \(l \rightarrow l \pm 1\) are possible. There is, however, no restriction on the change in the principal quantum number \(n\).

The dipole moment of the hydrogen atom is equivalent to the position vector of the electron: \(d = er\). Since the electron wave function in the hydrogen atom is the product of an angular part and the radial function \(R_{nl}\), the reduced matrix elements of the position vector can also be written as the product

\[ \langle n', l-1 \| r\| n l \rangle = \langle l-1 \| v\| l \rangle \int_0^\infty R_{n', l-1}^* R_{nl} r^2 dr, \]

where \(\langle l-1 \| v\| l \rangle\) are the reduced matrix elements of the unit vector \(v\) in the direction of \(r\). These are

\[ \langle l-1 \| v\| l \rangle = \langle l \| v\| l-1 \rangle^* = i\sqrt{l}; \]

see QM, §29, Problem 1. Thus

\[ \langle n', l-1 \| r\| n, l-1 \rangle = -i\sqrt{l} \int_0^\infty R_{n', l-1}^* R_{nl} r^3 dr. \]

The non-relativistic radial functions of the discrete spectrum of the hydrogen atom are given in QM, (36.13):†

\[ R_{nl} = \frac{2}{n^{l+2}(2l+1)!} \sqrt{\frac{(n+l)!}{(n-l-1)!}} (2r)^l e^{-r/n} F(-n+l+1, 2l+2, 2r/n). \]

The integral in (52.1), containing the product of two confluent hypergeometric functions, is calculated by means of the formulae in QM, §5.‡ The result is

† In the present section, atomic units are used. In ordinary units, the expressions given below for the matrix elements of the coordinate are multiplied by \(\hbar^2/16\pi e^2\) (or by \(\hbar^2/mZe^2\) for a hydrogen-like ion with atomic number \(Z\)).

‡ In the notation used there, we have to calculate the integral \(J_{2l+1}^2 (-n+l+1, -n'+l)\). This is done by means of formulae (f.12)–(f.16).
\[ \langle n', -1 | r | n l \rangle = i \sqrt{\frac{(n+l)!}{4(2l-1)!}} \frac{(4nn')^{l+1}(n-n')^{l+n-n'}-2l+1}{(n+l-1)!} \times \]
\[ \times \left\{ F(-n+l+1, -n'l, 2l, -4nn'/n-n') - \left( \frac{n-n'}{n+n'} \right)^2 F(-n+l-1, -n'+l, 2l, -4nn'/n-n') \right\}, \quad (52.3) \]

where the \( F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \) are hypergeometric functions. Since the parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) in this case are negative integers or zero, these functions reduce to polynomials.†

For reference, the following are the expressions obtained from (52.3) in some particular cases (the value of \( l \) being indicated by the spectroscopic symbols \( s, p, d, \ldots \)):

\[
\begin{align*}
|<1s||r||np>|^2 &= \frac{2^8n^7(n-1)^{2n-5}}{(n+1)^{2n+5}}, \\
|<2s||r||np>|^2 &= \frac{2^{14}n^7(n^2-1)(n-2)^{2n-6}}{(n+2)^{2n+6}}, \\
|<2p||r||nd>|^2 &= \frac{2^{18}n^9(n^2-1)(n-2)^{2n-7}}{3(n+2)^{2n+7}}, \\
|<2p||r||ns>|^2 &= \frac{2^{15}n^9(n-2)^{2n-6}}{3(n+2)^{2n+6}}.
\end{align*}
\]

Formula (52.3) is not applicable to transitions with no change in the principal quantum number \( n \) (transitions between the fine structure components of a level). In this case \((n=n')\), the integration is carried out by expressing the radial functions in terms of generalised Laguerre polynomials:

\[ R_{nl} = -\frac{2}{n^2} \sqrt{\frac{(n-l-1)!}{((n+l)!)^2}} e^{-r/n} \left( \frac{2r}{n} \right) L_{n+l+1}^{l+1} \left( \frac{2r}{n} \right). \]

(52.5)

In the integral

\[ \int_0^\infty R_{n,l-1} R_{nl} r^3 \, dr \sim \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho} \rho^{2l+2} L_{n+l+1}^{2l+1}(\rho) L_{n+l-1}^{2l-1}(\rho) \, d\rho, \]

we replace one of the polynomials by its expression in terms of a derivative (see QM, §d):

\[ L_{n+l+1}^{2l+1}(\rho) = -\frac{(n+l)!}{(n-l-1)!} \rho^{-2l-1} \left( \frac{d}{d\rho} \right)^{n-l-1} (e^{-\rho} \rho^{n+l}). \]

After \( n-l-1 \) integrations by parts, we obtain an integral of the form

\[ \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho} \rho^{n+l} \left( \frac{d}{d\rho} \right)^{n-l-1} (\rho L_{n+l-1}^{2l-1}(\rho)) \, d\rho, \]

in which we replace the Laguerre polynomial by its explicit form:

\[ L_n^m(\rho) = (-1)^m n! \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{n}{m+k} \frac{(-\rho)^k}{k!}. \]

After the differentiation in the sum, only three terms remain, and the integration is then elementary. The result is simply
\[
\langle n, l - 1 \| r \| n l \rangle = i \sqrt{J} \frac{3}{4} n \sqrt{(n^2 - 1^2)}.
\] (52.6)

The integral
\[
\int_0^\infty R_{n', l - 1} R_{nl} r^3 \, dr = \int_0^\infty \chi_{n', l - 1}(r) \, dr,
\]
where \( \chi_{nl} = r R_{nl} \) is the coefficient in the expansion of the function \( r x_{nl} \) in terms of the orthogonal functions \( \chi_{n', l - 1} \) \( (n' = 1, 2, \ldots) \). The sum of the squared moduli of these coefficients is equal to the integral of the square of the expanded function.† Hence
\[
\sum_n |\langle n', l - 1 \| r \| n l \rangle|^2 = l \int_0^\infty r^2 \chi_{nl}^2 \, dr. \tag{52.7}
\]

Using the known expression for the mean square of \( r \) in the state \( nl \) (see QM, (36.16)), we obtain the sum rule
\[
\sum_n |\langle n', l - 1 \| r \| n l \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2} n^2 [5n^2 + 1 - 3l(l + 1)]. \tag{52.8}
\]

For given \( n, l \) and large \( n' \), the matrix element of the transition \( nl \rightarrow n'l' \) decreases according to
\[
|\langle n'l' \| r \| n l \rangle|^2 \sim 3/n^2, \tag{52.9}
\]
as can be seen both from the particular expressions (52.4) and from the general formula (52.3). This result is to be expected: the Coulomb levels of energy \( E' = -1/2n^2 \) have an almost continuous distribution when \( n' \) is large, and the probability of a transition to a level in the interval \( dE' \) is proportional to the density of these levels, which in turn is proportional to \( n'^{-3} \).

The Stark effect in hydrogen has the peculiarity that the splitting is proportional to the first power of the electric field \((QM, §77)\). Here the field is assumed to be both weak enough for perturbation theory to be applicable and such that the level splitting is large compared with the fine structure of the levels. Under these conditions, the magnitude of the angular momentum is not conserved, and the levels have to be classified by the parabolic quantum numbers \( n_1, n_2, m \). The last of these, the magnetic quantum number \( m \), again determines the component of the orbital angular momentum along the \( z \)-axis (the direction of the field), which is conserved under the conditions stated (neglecting the spin–orbit interaction). It is therefore governed by the ordinary selection rule
\[
m' - m = 0, \pm 1. \tag{52.10}
\]

There is no restriction on the changes in \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \).

The matrix elements of the dipole moment in parabolic coordinates can also be calculated analytically. The resulting formulae, however, are very lengthy, and will not be given here.‡

PROBLEM

Find the Stark splitting of hydrogen levels when the splitting is small compared with the fine structure intervals (but large compared with the Lamb shift).

† The summation is over states of both the discrete spectrum and the continuous spectrum.
‡ These formulae and the corresponding numerical tabulations are to be found in the work by Bethe and Salpeter cited above.
§53. Solution. Under the conditions stated, there remains a twofold degeneracy of the unperturbed levels with \( l = j \pm \frac{1}{2} \), and the Stark splitting is therefore again linear in the field. The splitting \( \Delta \) is determined from the secular equation

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
-\Delta & -E(d)_{12} \\
-E(d)_{21} & -\Delta
\end{pmatrix}
= 0, \quad \Delta = \pm E(d)_{12};
\]

the suffixes 1 and 2 correspond to states with \( l = j \pm \frac{1}{2} \) and a given magnetic quantum number \( m \); the perturbation \( V = - Ed \) is diagonal in \( m \) and has no elements diagonal in \( l \). The matrix element of the orbital quantity \( d \), calculated by means of formulae (29.7) and (109.3) in QM:

\[
\langle j, l - 1, m|d|j m\rangle = \frac{m}{\sqrt{(l + 1)(2j + 1)}} \langle j, l - 1|d|j \rangle,
\]

\[
\langle j, l - 1|d|j \rangle = -(2j + 1) \frac{|l - 1, j, \frac{1}{2} \rangle}{j, l \rangle} \langle l - 1, j \rangle.
\]

where we must put \( l = j + \frac{1}{2} \); the quantity \( \langle l - 1|d|l \rangle \) is taken from (52.6), and the result is

\[
\Delta = \pm \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{n^2 - (j + \frac{1}{2})^2} \frac{nm}{j(j + 1)} E.
\]

§53. Radiation from diatomic molecules: electronic spectra

The specific features of molecular spectra are mainly due to the partition of the energy of the molecule into electronic, vibrational and rotational parts, each of the latter two being small compared with the previous one. The level structure of diatomic molecules has been described in detail in QM, Chapter XI. Here we shall consider the resulting pattern of the spectrum and the calculation of line strengths.†

Let us take first the general case, in which the electronic state of the molecule (and therefore also, in general, the vibrational and rotational states) changes in the transition. The frequencies of such transitions lie in the visible and ultra-violet regions of the spectrum. They are spoken of collectively as the electronic spectrum of the molecule. We shall always be considering electric dipole transitions; those of other types are of little importance in molecular spectroscopy.

As with dipole transitions in any system, the following selection rule applies to the total angular momentum \( J \) of the molecule:

\[
|J' - J| \leq 1 \leq J + J'. \tag{53.1}
\]

In the present case, the strict selection rule regarding the parity of the system corresponds to a selection rule regarding the sign of the level. (In the customary terminology of molecular spectroscopy, states having wave functions which do or do not change sign on inversion, i.e. when the coordinates of the electrons and the nuclei change sign, are called negative and positive states respectively.) Thus we have the rigorous rule

\[
+ \rightarrow - , \quad - \rightarrow + . \tag{53.2}
\]

If the molecule consists of identical atoms (with nuclei of the same isotope), the levels can be classified with respect to interchange of the coordinates of the nuclei: symmetric (s) levels, with wave functions which do not change sign under this transformation, and antisymmetric (a) levels, with functions which do change sign. Since the electron dipole moment operator is unaffected by this transformation, its matrix elements are non-zero only for transitions without change of this symmetry:‡

\[
s \rightarrow s, \quad a \rightarrow a. \tag{53.3}
\]

† The discussion below is based on QM, §§78 and 82–88. For brevity, we shall not make constant reference to those sections.
‡ This rule is clearly valid for transitions of any multipole order.
This rule is not absolutely rigorous, however, since the existence of a given symmetry property of a level depends on a certain definite value of the total spin \( I \) of the nuclei in the molecule. Owing to the extreme weakness of the interaction between the nuclear spins and the electrons, the spin \( I \) is very nearly conserved, but not exactly. When this interaction is taken into account, \( I \) does not have a definite value, the symmetry property (\( s \) or \( a \)) is not conserved, and the selection rule (53.3) no longer applies.

The electron terms of a molecule consisting of identical atoms are also described by their parity (\( g \) or \( u \)), i.e. the behaviour of the wave functions when the electron coordinates (measured from the centre of the molecule) change sign while the coordinates of the nuclei remain unchanged. This property is closely related to the nuclear symmetry and the sign of the rotational levels belonging to this term. The levels which belong to an even (\( g \)) electron term can be \( s^+ \) or \( a^- \), and those belonging to an odd (\( u \)) term can be \( s^- \) or \( a^+ \). The rules (53.2) and (53.3) therefore give the further rule

\[
g \rightarrow u, \quad u \rightarrow g. \tag{53.4}\]

The rule (53.4) remains approximately valid for molecules consisting of different isotopes of the same element. Since the nuclear charges are equal, we can consider the electron term with fixed nuclei, and thus have a system of electrons in an electric field which possesses a centre of symmetry at the midpoint of the line joining the nuclei. The symmetry of the electron wave function with respect to inversion in this point determines the parity of the term, and since the electric dipole moment vector changes sign under this transformation, we arrive at (53.4). The rule as derived in this way is only approximate, because the nuclei have been regarded as fixed, and it therefore ceases to be valid when the interaction between the electron state and the rotation of the molecule is taken into account.

Further selection rules depend on specific assumptions concerning the relative magnitude of the different interactions in the molecule (i.e. the type of coupling), and therefore can only be approximate.

The majority of the electron terms in diatomic molecules belong to coupling type a or b. Both these have the property that the coupling of the orbital angular momentum with the axis (the electric interaction between the two atoms in the molecule) is large compared with all other interactions. The quantum numbers \( \Lambda \) and \( S \) therefore exist, these being respectively the component of the orbital angular momentum of the electrons along the axis of the molecule and the total spin of the electrons. The operator of an orbital quantity, the electron orbital angular momentum, commutes with the spin operator, so that

\[
S' - S = 0 \quad \text{(cases a and b)}. \tag{53.5}\]

The change in \( \Lambda \) must satisfy the selection rule

\[
\Lambda' - \Lambda = 0, \pm 1 \quad \text{(cases a and b)}, \tag{53.6}\]

and for transitions between states with \( \Lambda = 0 \) (\( \Sigma \) terms) there is a further rule

\[
\Sigma^+ \rightarrow \Sigma^+, \quad \Sigma^- \rightarrow \Sigma^- \quad \text{(cases a and b)}. \tag{53.7}\]

(The states \( \Sigma^+ \) and \( \Sigma^- \) differ as regards behaviour under reflection in a plane through the axis of the molecule.) The rules (53.6), (53.7) are obtained by considering the molecule in a system of coordinates fixed to the nuclei (see \(QM\), §87; the rule (53.6) is analogous to the selection rule for the magnetic quantum number in atoms.)
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The coupling types a and b differ as regards the relation between the spin–axis interaction energy and the rotation energy (the intervals between rotational levels). In case a the former is greater, in case b it is much smaller. We shall now examine these cases separately.

Case a. Here the quantum number $\Sigma$ exists, which is the component of the total spin along the axis of the molecule (and therefore so does the number $\Omega = \Sigma + \Lambda$, the component of the total angular momentum). If both the initial state and the final state belong to case a, then we have the rule

$$\Sigma' - \Sigma = 0 \quad \text{(case a)},$$

(53.8)

which follows from the fact, already mentioned, that the dipole moment commutes with the spin. From (53.6) and (53.8) it follows that†

$$\Omega' - \Omega = 0, \pm 1.$$  

(53.9)

If $\Omega = \Omega' = 0$, then in addition to the general rule (53.1) the transitions with $J' = J$ are forbidden:‡

$$J' - J = \pm 1 \text{ when } \Omega = \Omega' = 0 \quad \text{(case a)}.$$  

(53.10)

Let us consider transitions between any two specified vibrational levels belonging to two different electron terms (of type a). When the fine structure of the electron term is taken into account, each of these levels splits into several components, the number of which, $2S + 1$, must be the same for both, according to the rule (53.5). According to the rule (53.8), each component of one level combines with only one component of the other level, having the same value of $\Sigma$.

Let us next take a pair of levels with the same $\Sigma$; the values of $\Omega$ and $\Omega'$ can differ (like $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda'$) by 0 or $\pm 1$. When rotation is taken into account, each level splits into a series of levels with different values of $J$ and $J'$ in the ranges $J \geq |\Omega|$, $J' \geq |\Omega'|$. The dependence of the transition probabilities on these numbers can be derived in a general form (H. Hönll and F. London, 1925).

The matrix element of the transition $n\Lambda\Omega J M_J \rightarrow n'\Lambda'\Omega' J' M'_J$ (where $n$ denotes the characteristics of the electron term other than $\Omega$ and $\Lambda$) is

$$\langle n'\Lambda'\Omega' J' M'_J | d_q | n\Lambda\Omega J M_J \rangle =$$

$$= \sqrt{[(2J + 1)(2J' + 1)]} \begin{pmatrix} J' & 1 & J \\ -\Omega' & q' & \Omega \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J' & 1 & J \\ -M'_J & q & M_J \end{pmatrix} \langle n'\Lambda'| d_q | n\Lambda \rangle,$$

(53.11)

where $d_q$ and $d_{q'}$ are respectively the spherical components of the dipole moment vector in the fixed coordinate system $xyz$ and in the “moving” system $\xi\eta\zeta$ with the $\zeta$-axis along the axis of the molecule. This formula is derived by means of (b.6) and is equivalent to $QM$ (87.3), (87.4). The matrix elements $\langle n'\Lambda'| d_q | n\Lambda \rangle$ are independent of the rotational quantum numbers $J, J'$, and depend only on the characteristics of the electron terms (and

† This rule remains valid in case c also (where the coupling of the orbital angular momentum with the axis is small compared with the spin-orbit coupling) although the numbers $\Lambda$ and $\Sigma$ do not separately exist.

‡ This rule is analogous to the prohibition of atomic transitions with $J = J'$ when $M = M' = 0$ (see the first footnote to §51), but that rule was of possible interest only in the presence of an external field. Here the rule follows immediately from formula (53.12) below; the $3j$-symbol $\begin{pmatrix} J' & 1 & J \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is zero if $J' = J$, since the sum $J' + J + 1$ is odd.
in this case are also independent† of the number \( \Sigma \); the numbers \( \Omega' = \Lambda' + \Sigma \) and \( \Omega = \Lambda + \Sigma \) are therefore omitted in the notation for the matrix element.

The probability of the transition \( n \lambda \omega J \rightarrow n' \lambda' \omega' J' \) is proportional to the square of the matrix element (53.11) after summation over \( M_J \).

Using the formula \( QM \) (106.12):

\[
\sum_{M_J} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J' & 1 & J \\ -M_J & q & M_J \end{array} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{2J+1},
\]

we obtain

\[
w(n \lambda \omega J \rightarrow n' \lambda' \omega' J') = (2J' + 1) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J' & 1 & J \\ -\Omega' & \Omega' - \Omega & \Omega \end{array} \right) B(n', n; \Lambda', \Lambda),
\]

(53.12)

where the coefficients \( B \) are independent of \( J \) and \( J' \) (we are, of course, neglecting the relatively very small difference in the frequencies of transitions with different \( J \) and \( J' \)).‡

If we sum (53.12) with respect to \( J' \), then (because of the orthogonality of the \( 3j \)-symbols, \( QM \) (106.13)) the result is simply \( B(n', n; \Lambda', \Lambda) \). Thus the total probability of transitions from a rotational level \( J \) of the state \( \Omega \) to all levels \( J' \) of the state \( \Omega' \) is independent of \( J \).

Case b. Here the quantum number \( K \) exists, which is the angular momentum of the molecule without regard to its spin, as well as the total angular momentum \( J \). The selection rules for \( K \) are the same as the general selection rules for any orbital vector quantity (such as the electric dipole moment):

\[
|K' - K| \leq 1 \leq K + K' \quad (\text{case b}),
\]

(53.13)

together with the prohibition of a transition with \( K = K' \) when \( \Lambda = \Lambda' = 0 \) (corresponding to (53.10)):

\[
K' - K = \pm 1 \quad \text{when} \quad \Lambda = \Lambda' = 0.
\]

(53.14)

Let us consider transitions between rotational components of specified vibrational levels of two electron states belonging to type b. The probabilities of such transitions are given by the same formula (53.12), with \( K \) and \( \Lambda \) instead of \( J \) and \( \Omega \). When the fine structure is taken into account (for \( S \neq 0 \)), each rotational level \( K \) splits into \( 2S + 1 \) components with \( J = |K - S|, \ldots, K + S \), and so a multiplet appears in place of the single line \( J \rightarrow J' \).

Since in this case we have addition of the angular momenta \( K \) and \( S \), which are free (i.e. not coupled to the axis of the molecule), the formulae for the relative transition probabilities for the various lines in the multiplet are the same as the corresponding formulae (49.15) for the fine structure components of atomic spectra, where the corresponding angular momenta (in the case of \( LS \) coupling) are \( L \) and \( S \).

Thus we have examined the selection rules governing the possible spectral lines in all the fundamental cases that can occur in diatomic molecules.

The group of lines arising from transitions between rotational components of two given electronic-vibrational levels forms what is called in spectroscopy a band; the lines in a band are very close together, because the rotational intervals are small. The frequencies of these

† This can be shown in the same way as was done for the scalar \( f \) in \( QM \), beginning of §24. In the present case the operator of the vector quantity \( d \) commutes with that of the vector \( S \), which is conserved (in the zero-order approximation), and \( \Sigma \) is the component of \( S \) along the \( \zeta \)-axis in the rotating coordinate system in which the condition of commutability of \( d \) and \( S \) has to be considered.

‡ Each of the rotational levels \( J \) considered splits into two when \( \Lambda \)-doubling is taken into account; one of the two is positive and the other negative. Thus, instead of one transition \( J \rightarrow J' \), we have, using the selection rule (53.2), two transitions: from the positive and negative components of the level \( J \) to the negative and positive components, respectively, of the level \( J' \). The probabilities of these transitions are equal.
 lines are given by the differences
\[ \hbar \omega_{J,J'} = \text{constant} + BJ(J + 1) - B'J'(J' + 1), \]  
(53.15)
where $B$ and $B'$ are the rotational constants in the two electronic states; in order to avoid unnecessary complications, the electron terms are assumed to be singlets. For $J' = J, J \pm 1$, formula (53.15) is represented graphically (Fig. 2) by three parabolic branches, whose points for integral $J$ give the values of the frequencies. (The arrangement of the branches in Fig. 2 corresponds to the case $B' < B$. If $B' > B$, their open ends are towards small values of $\omega$, and the branch with $J' = J - 1$ is the highest.)\(^\dagger\) The existence of a branch which passes through an apex is seen from the diagram to cause the lines to become increasingly dense towards a certain limiting position (the head of the band).

In connection with line strengths, mention should be made of the curious effect of alternating intensities in certain bands of the electronic spectra of molecules consisting of atoms of the same isotope (W. Heisenberg and F. Hund, 1927). The symmetry conditions pertaining to the nuclear spins have the result that, in the electron $\Sigma$ terms, the rotational components with even and odd $K$ have opposite symmetry with respect to the nuclei, and therefore different statistical weights $g_e$ and $g_o$ (see $QM$, §86). According to the rule (53.14), only $J' = J \pm 1$ is allowed in transitions between two different $\Sigma$ terms, and according to the rule (53.4), one of the $\Sigma$ terms must be even and the other odd. The result is that, for a given value of $J' - J$, transitions with successive values of $J$ take place alternately between pairs of symmetric levels and pairs of antisymmetric levels, as shown in Fig. 3 for the example of the states $\Sigma^+_e$ and $\Sigma^+_o$. The observed line strength is proportional to the number of molecules in the initial state concerned, and therefore to its statistical weight. Thus the intensities of successive lines ($J = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$) will be alternately greater and less, being alternately proportional to $g_e$ and $g_o$ (this behaviour being superimposed on the monotonic variation given by formula (53.12)).\(^\ddagger\)

\(^\dagger\) The series of lines corresponding to transitions with $J' = J + 1, J, J - 1$ are called the $P$, $Q$ and $R$ branches respectively.

\(^\ddagger\) Here we assume that all the states having different values of the total nuclear spin are uniformly occupied.
There are no exact selection rules concerning the change in the vibrational quantum number in transitions between two different electron terms. There is, however, a rule (Franck and Condon's principle) whereby the most probable change in the vibrational state may be predicted. It is based on the fact that the motion of the nuclei is quasi-classical, because of their large mass (cf. the discussion of pre-dissociation in QM, §90).
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Fig. 3.

In the integral which determines the matrix element of the transition between vibrational states \(E\) and \(E'\) of electron terms \(U(r)\) and \(U'(r)\), the most important range is the neighbourhood of the point \(r = r_0\) where

\[
U(r_0) - U'(r_0) = E - E',
\]

i.e. the momenta of the relative motion of the nuclei in the two states are the same, \(p = p'\). For a given value of \(E\), the transition probability as a function of the final energy \(E'\) increases as each of the differences \(E - U\) and \(E' - U'\) decreases, and is a maximum when

\[
E - U(r_0) = E' - U'(r_0) = 0,
\]

i.e. when the “transition point” \(r_0\) (the root of equation (53.16)) coincides with the classical turning point of the nuclei. (Fig. 4 illustrates graphically this relationship between \(E\) and the most probable \(E'\).) This can be intuitively expressed by saying that the transition is most probable near the turning point of the nuclei, where they spend a relatively large amount of time.‡

† Strictly speaking, it is also necessary that the vibrational quantum number should be sufficiently large.
‡ The problem of finding (in the quasi-classical approximation) the distribution of the probability of various transitions near the maximum is identical with that in QM, §90, Problem 3, except for changes in nomenclature.
§54. Radiation from diatomic molecules: vibrational and rotational spectra

The selection rules and formulae for transition probabilities given in §53 remain valid for transitions in which the electronic state of the molecule is unchanged.† Here we shall discuss only some particular features of these transitions.

First of all, the selection rule (53.4) prohibits all (dipole) transitions without change of electronic state in molecules consisting of like atoms, since in such a transition the parity of the electron term would remain unaltered. It follows from the discussion in §53 that such transitions can be allowed only when the interaction between the nuclear spins and the electrons is considered or, for molecules of different isotopes of the same element, because of the effect of the rotation on the electronic state.

The calculation of the dipole moment matrix elements is reduced (by the formulae in Q.M, §87) to a calculation in a coordinate system rotating with the molecule. The wave function of the molecule in these coordinates is the product of the wave function of the electrons for a given distance r between the nuclei and the wave function of the vibrational motion of the nuclei in the effective field $U(r)$ of the electrons and the nuclei. When the influence of the motion of the nuclei on the electronic state is entirely neglected, the initial and final electron wave functions for the transitions in question are the same. The integration over the electron coordinates therefore gives, in the matrix element, simply the mean dipole moment $\bar{d}$ of the molecule (which is obviously along its axis) as a function of the distance r. Owing to the smallness of the vibrations, the function $\bar{d}(r)$ can be expanded in powers of the vibrational coordinate $q = r - r_0$. For transitions which involve a change in the vibrational state, the zero-order term in the expansion does not occur in the matrix element, because the wave functions are orthogonal for vibrational motion in the same field $U(q)$, and this leaves the term which is proportional to $q$. If the vibrations are regarded as harmonic, it follows from the known properties of the linear oscillator (Q.M, §23) that the matrix elements are zero except for transitions between adjacent vibrational states; thus, for the vibrational quantum number $v$ we have the selection rule

$$v' - v = \pm 1. \quad (54.1)$$

This rule is not valid, however, when the vibrations are not harmonic and the subsequent terms in the expansion of the function $\bar{d}(q)$ are taken into account.

For a purely rotational transition (with no change in the vibrational state also), the matrix element of the dipole moment component along the moving $\zeta$-axis can simply be equated to the mean dipole moment of the molecule, $\bar{d} = \bar{d}(0)$.‡ The probability of the transition $J \rightarrow J - 1$ is then

$$w(nJ \rightarrow n, J - 1) = \frac{4\omega^3}{3h\varepsilon^3} d^2 \frac{J^2 - \Omega^2}{J(2J + 1)}, \quad (54.2)$$

and from this formula we can calculate not only the relative probabilities (as in (53.12)) but also the absolute probabilities. Formula (54.2) is for case a; in case b, $J$ and $\Omega$ are to be replaced by $K$ and $\Lambda$.

† Transitions in which the vibrational (and therefore the rotational) state changes form what is called the vibrational spectrum of the molecule; this lies in the near infrared (wavelengths < 20 μm). Transitions in which only the rotational state changes form the rotational spectrum in the far infrared (wavelengths > 20 μm).

‡ It is obvious from symmetry that $\bar{d} = 0$ in a molecule consisting of like atoms.
The frequencies of the purely rotational transitions are given by the differences of the rotational energies $BJ(J+1)$:

$$\hbar\omega_{J,J-1} = 2BJ.$$  \hspace{1cm} (54.3)

Successive lines are at equal distances $2B$.

§55. Radiation from nuclei

For $\gamma$ radiation from nuclei it is usually true that the dimensions of the system (the radius $R$ of the nucleus) are small compared with the wavelength of the photon. But the interval between the nuclear levels, and therefore the energy of the $\gamma$ quantum, are generally small compared with the energy per nucleon in the nucleus. The quantity $R/\lambda$ is thus not directly related to the velocity $v/c$ of the nucleons in the nucleus, and is in general considerably less than $v/c$. Accordingly, the probability of $MI$ radiation is usually greater than that of $E, l+1$ radiation (cf. the beginning of §50).

The general selection rules for the total angular momentum (the “spin”) of the nucleus and for the parity are the same as for radiation from any system. The characteristic feature of nuclear radiation is that transitions of high multipole order commonly occur. Unlike atoms, whose radiation is usually of the electric dipole type, nuclei undergo such transitions comparatively rarely at low energies, on account of the selection rules.

If a radiative transition of a nucleus can be regarded as a single-particle transition (a change of state of one nucleon while the state of the rest of the nucleus remains unchanged), then there are additional selection rules regarding the angular momentum of that nucleon, but in practice such “single-particle” selection rules are found to be only approximately obeyed.

The selection rules for the isotopic spin are peculiar to nuclei. The component $T_3$ of the isotopic spin is determined by the atomic weight and atomic number of the nucleus:

$$T_3 = \frac{1}{2}(Z-N) = Z - \frac{1}{2}A.$$  \hspace{1cm} (55.1)

When the value of $T_3$ is specified, the absolute magnitude of the isotopic spin can take any value $T \geq |T_3|$. The selection rule for the number $T$ in radiative transitions arises because the electric and magnetic moment operators of the nucleus, expressed in terms of the isotopic spin operators of the nucleons, are the sums of a scalar and the $x_3$-component of a vector in isotopic space; see $QM$, §115. Their matrix elements are therefore zero unless

$$T' - T = 0, \pm 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (55.2)

This rule itself, however, imposes no special restrictions on transitions in light nuclei (the only ones for which the isotopic spin can be said with reasonable accuracy to be conserved); the low levels of these nuclei in fact include none with $T > 1$.

For $E1$ transitions, however, there is a further rule which arises because there is no isotopic-scalar part for the electric dipole moment, and the operator of this moment is simply the $x_3$-component of the isotopic vector (see $QM$, §115). Hence, if $T_3 = 0$, transitions with $\Delta T = 0$ are also forbidden, and so, in nuclei having equal numbers of neutrons and protons ($N = Z, A = 2Z$), $E1$ transitions are possible only if

$$T' - T = \pm 1 \hspace{0.5cm} (T_3 = 0).$$  \hspace{1cm} (55.2)

The accuracy with which this rule is obeyed depends, of course, on the exactness of conservation of the isotopic spin of the nucleus.
The probability of $E1$ transitions in the nucleus is influenced also by the recoil of the rest of the nucleus when a particular nucleon moves. The result is that the protons contribute to the dipole moment with an effective charge $e(1-Z/A)$ instead of $e$, and the neutrons with a charge $-e(Z/A)$ instead of zero (see $QM$, §117). The decreased effective charge of the proton causes some reduction in the probability of $E1$ transitions.

The energy levels of non-spherical nuclei have a rotational structure, and therefore such nuclei show a characteristic rotational structure of the $\gamma$-ray spectrum.

The symmetry of the field in which the nucleons move in a "fixed" non-spherical (axial) nucleus is the same as the symmetry of the field in which electrons move in a "fixed" diatomic molecule consisting of like atoms (the point group $C_{\infty v}$). The symmetry properties of the levels of a non-spherical nucleus (and hence the selection rules for the matrix elements) are therefore analogous to those of the diatomic molecule (see $QM$, §118). In particular, electric dipole transitions within a single rotational band (i.e. without change in the internal structure of the nucleus) are forbidden, as in a diatomic molecule of like atoms; cf. §54. Such transitions therefore occur as $E2$ or $M1$ transitions. In the former the total angular momentum $J$ of the nucleus can change by 2 or 1, in the latter by 1.

According to (46.9), the probability of a quadrupole transition, summed over values of the component $M'$ of the total angular momentum of the nucleus in the final state, is

$$w_{E2} = \frac{\hbar}{15\hbar c^5} \sum_{M'} |\langle J'\Omega M'|Q_{2\lambda}^{(0)}_{-m}|J\Omega M\rangle|^2,$$

where $J$ is the total angular momentum of the nucleus, $\Omega$ its component along the axis of the nucleus, and $m = M - M'$. By means of (b.7) this sum can be expressed in terms of the squares of given quantities, the diagonal (with respect to the internal state of the nucleus) quadrupole transition moments $Q_{2\lambda}$, defined relative to coordinates $\xi\eta\zeta$ moving with the nucleus. Here $\lambda = \Omega - \Omega'$, so that in the case considered ($\Omega' = \Omega$) only the component $Q_{2\lambda}$ appears. The quantity

$$eQ_0 = e \int \rho_d(2\xi^2 - \xi^2 - \eta^2) \, d\xi \, d\eta \, d\zeta = -2e(Q_{2\lambda})_d$$

is called simply the quadrupole moment of the nucleus. Hence

$$w_{E2}(\Omega J \rightarrow \Omega J') = \frac{\hbar}{60\hbar c^5} Q_{2\lambda}^2 (2J' + 1) \left( \begin{array}{cc} J' & 2 \\ -\Omega & 0 \end{array} \right)^2.$$  

(55.3)

Explicitly, we have

$$w_{E2}(\Omega J \rightarrow \Omega, J-1) = \frac{\hbar}{20\hbar c^5} Q_{2\lambda}^2 \frac{\Omega^2(J^2 - \Omega^2)}{(J-1)J(J+1)(2J+1)},$$

$$w_{E2}(\Omega J \rightarrow \Omega, J-2) = \frac{\hbar}{40\hbar c^5} Q_{2\lambda}^2 \frac{(J^2 - \Omega^2)[(J-1)^2 - \Omega^2]}{(J-1)J(2J-1)(2J+1)}.$$

The following remark is necessary concerning these formulae. They include matrix elements calculated with wave functions of the form

$$\psi_{JAM} = \text{constant} \times \chi_\Omega D^{(j)}_{\lambda M}(\hat{n}),$$

where $\chi_\Omega$ is the wave function of the internal state of the nucleus. These functions correspond to values of the $\xi$-component of the angular momentum which are definite in both magnitude and sign. In nuclei, however, states have a definite parity and only a definite magnitude of the angular-momentum component (usually taken as $\Omega$). Hence, if $\Omega \neq 0$, the initial
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and final wave functions would have to be taken as combinations of the form

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_{J,\Omega M} \pm \psi_{J, -\Omega M}),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_{J',\Omega M'} \pm \psi_{J', -\Omega M'}).
\]

The products of the first terms and of the second terms will give the same value as above for the quadrupole moment matrix elements, but the cross-products will lead to non-vanishing integrals if \(2\Omega \leq 2\).† Hence formula (55.3) is not strictly valid if \(\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\) or \(1\). In these cases the transition probability contains an additional term which cannot be expressed in terms of the mean value of the quadrupole moment.‡

In a similar manner to the derivation of (55.3), we obtain for the \(M1\) transition probability

\[
w_{M1}(\Omega J \rightarrow \Omega', J - 1) = \frac{4\omega^3}{3\hbar c^3} \mu^2 (2J - 1) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J - 1 & 1 & J \\ -\Omega & 0 & \Omega \end{array} \right)^2
\]

\[
= \frac{4\omega^3}{3\hbar c^3} \mu^2 \frac{J^2 - \Omega^2}{J(2J + 1)}
\]

(55.4)

where \(\mu\) is the magnetic moment of the nucleus. This formula is not valid if \(\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\).

§56. The photoelectric effect: non-relativistic case

In §§49–52 we have discussed radiative transitions (with emission or absorption of a photon) between atomic levels of the discrete spectrum. The photoelectric effect differs from such a photon absorption process only in that the final state belongs to the continuous spectrum.

The cross-section for the photoelectric effect can be calculated in an exact analytical form for the hydrogen atom and for a hydrogen-like ion (with atomic number \(Z \ll 137\)).

In the initial state, the electron is at a discrete level \(\epsilon_i \equiv -I\) (where \(I\) is the ionisation potential of the atom) and the photon has a definite momentum \(k\). In the final state, the electron has momentum \(p\) (and energy \(\epsilon_f \equiv \epsilon\)). Since \(p\) takes a continuous series of values, the cross-section for the photoelectric effect is

\[
d\sigma = 2\pi|V_{fi}|^2 \delta(-I + \omega - \epsilon) \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3}
\]

(56.1)

(cf. (44.3)); the wave function of the final state of the electron is normalised to “one particle per unit volume”. The wave function of the photon is, as before, normalised in the same way; in order to obtain the cross-section \(d\sigma\), the probability \(dw\) then has to be divided by the photon flux density (which is \(c|V| = c\) when \(V = 1\), but when relativistic units are used this does not affect the form of (56.1).

† For the matrix elements of \(2\)-pole moments, the integrands will include products of the form

\[
D_{\Omega M}^{(2)} D_{\Omega M'}^{(2)}\]

The angle integral will not be zero if \(q' = -2\Omega\), and the range of values of \(q'\) is only from \(-I\) to \(+I\); thus we must have \(2\Omega \leq I\).

‡ This term in fact gives a significant correction only in the case \(\Omega = \frac{1}{2}\), when the coupling between the rotation and the internal state of the nucleus is especially large (see QM, §118).
As in (45.2), we choose the three-dimensionally transverse gauge for the photon. Then
\[ V_{f1} = -eA \cdot j_{f1} = -e\sqrt{4\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}} M_{f1}, \]
where
\[ M_{f1} = \int \psi^* (\alpha e) e^{ik \cdot r} \psi \, d^3x, \] (56.2)
with \( \psi \equiv \psi_i \) and \( \psi' \equiv \psi_f \) the initial and final wave functions of the electron. Putting in (56.1) \( d^3p \rightarrow p^2 \, d|p| \, do = e|p| \, de \, do \) and integrating to remove the delta function of \( e \), we can write this formula as
\[ d\sigma = e^2 \frac{|p|}{2\pi \omega} |M_{f1}|^2 \, do. \] (56.3)

The calculations will be given in two cases, which differ as regards the magnitude of the photon energy: \( \omega \gg I \) and \( \omega \ll m \). Since \( I \sim me^2Z^2 \ll m \), these two ranges partly overlap (when \( I \ll \omega \ll m \)), and so an examination of the two cases gives an essentially complete description of the photoelectric effect.

We shall take first the case
\[ \omega \ll m. \] (56.4)

The electron velocity is then small in both the initial and the final state, and the problem is therefore entirely non-relativistic as regards the electron. Accordingly, we replace \( \alpha \) in (56.2) by the non-relativistic velocity operator \( \mathbf{v} = -iv/l \) (cf. §45). We can also use the dipole approximation, putting \( e^{ik \cdot r} \approx 1 \), i.e. neglecting the momentum of the photon in comparison with that of the electron. Then
\[ d\sigma = e^2 \frac{|p|}{2\pi \omega} |e \cdot (\mathbf{v}_{f1})|^2 \, do, \] (56.5)

We shall consider the photoelectric effect from the ground level of the hydrogen atom (or of a hydrogen-like ion). Then
\[ \psi = \frac{(Ze^2m)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-Ze^2mr}; \] (56.6)
in ordinary units, \( me^2 \) becomes \( 1/a_0 \), where \( a_0 = \hbar^2/mme^2 \) is the Bohr radius.

The wave function \( \psi' \) must be taken such that its asymptotic form comprises a plane wave \( (e^{ip \cdot r}) \) together with an ingoing spherical wave; cf. QM, §134, where this function was denoted by \( \psi_p^- \). On account of the selection rule for \( l \), a transition from an \( s \) state can only be to a \( p \) state (in the dipole case). Thus, in the expansion\(^\dagger\)
\[ \psi_p^- = \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} i^l (2l+1) e^{-i\theta_l} R_{pl}(r)P_l(n \cdot \mathbf{n}), \] (56.7)
where \( n = p/p, \mathbf{n}_1 = r/r \), it is sufficient to retain the term with \( l = 1 \).\(^\ddagger\) Omitting unimportant phase factors, we therefore have
\[ \psi' = \frac{3}{p} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} (n \cdot \mathbf{n})R_{p1}(r). \] (56.8)
\n\(^\dagger\) In the rest of this section, \( p \) denotes \( |p| \).
\n\(^\ddagger\) The coefficient here differs by a factor \( 2\pi \) from that in QM, (134.5), because the plane wave is normalised to “one particle per unit volume”, and not by \( \delta(p) \).
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With the functions $\psi$ and $\psi'$ given by (56.6) and (56.8), we have

$$e \cdot v_{fi} = \frac{3(Ze^2m)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2mp}} \int \int (n \cdot n_1)(n_1 \cdot e) e^{-Ze^2mr} R_{p1}(r) \, do_1 \cdot r^2 \, dr$$

$$= \frac{2^{3/2} (Ze^2m)^{3/2}}{pm} (n \cdot e) \int_0^\infty r^2 e^{-Ze^2mr} R_{p1}(r) \, dr.$$

According to QM (36.18), (36.24), the radial function is (in the units employed here)

$$R_{p1} = \frac{2Ze^2m}{3} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \nu^2}{\gamma(1 - e^{-2\pi\nu})}} p e^{-i\nu} F(2 + i\nu, 4, 2i\nu),$$

with

$$\nu = Ze^2m/p \quad (=ZE^2/\hbar). \quad (56.9)$$

The integral can be calculated by means of the formula

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda z} z^{\nu-1} F(\alpha, \gamma, \kappa z) \, dz = \Gamma(\gamma)\lambda^\gamma \Gamma(\lambda - \kappa)^{-\gamma};$$

cf. QM, (f.3). Noticing also that

$$\left(\frac{\nu + i}{\nu - i}\right)^{iv} = e^{-2\nu \cot^{-1} \nu},$$

we obtain

$$e \cdot v_{fi} = \frac{2^{3/2} \pi \nu^3 (n \cdot e)}{\sqrt{pm(1 + \nu^2)^{3/2}} \sqrt{(1 - e^{-2\pi\nu})}} e^{-2\nu \cot^{-1} \nu}.$$  

The energy of ionisation from the ground level of the hydrogen atom (or a hydrogen-like ion) is $I = Z^2e^4m/2$. Hence

$$\omega = \frac{p^2}{2m} + I = \frac{p^2}{2m} (1 + \nu^2). \quad (56.10)$$

Using this relation, we obtain as the final expression for the cross-section for the photoelectric effect with emission of an electron into the solid-angle element $do$

$$d\sigma = 2^7 \pi \alpha a^2 \left(\frac{I}{\hbar\omega}\right)^4 \frac{e^{-4\nu \cot^{-1} \nu}}{1 - e^{-2\pi\nu}} (n \cdot e)^2 \, do,$$

where $a = \hbar^2/2mZe^2 \approx a_0/Z$ (ordinary units are used here and below). The angular distribution of the emitted electrons is governed by the factor $(n \cdot e)^2$. This has maxima in the directions parallel to the direction of polarisation of the incident photons, and is zero in directions perpendicular to $e$, including the direction of incidence. For unpolarised photons, formula (56.11) must be averaged over the directions of $e$, which is equivalent to substituting

$$(n \cdot e)^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(n_0 \times n)^2$$

with $n_0 = k/k$; see (45.4b).

Integration of formula (56.11) over all angles gives the total cross-section for the photoelectric effect:

$$\sigma = \frac{2^9 \pi^2}{3} \alpha a^2 \left(\frac{I}{\hbar\omega}\right)^4 \frac{e^{-4\nu \cot^{-1} \nu}}{1 - e^{-2\pi\nu}}$$

(56.12)

(M. Stobbe, 1930).
§56 \textit{The photoelectric effect: non-relativistic case}

The limiting value of $\sigma$ as $h\omega \to I$ (i.e. as $v \to \infty$) is

$$\sigma = \frac{2^9 \pi^2}{3e^4} \alpha a^2 = \frac{2^9 \pi^2}{3e^4} \alpha a^2,$$

(56.13)

where $e$ in the denominator is the base of natural logarithms. The cross-section for the photoelectric effect tends to a constant limit near the threshold, as it must for a reaction forming charged particles (see \textit{QM}, §144).

The case in which $h\omega \gg I$ (still with $h\omega \ll mc^2$) corresponds to the Born approximation ($v = Ze^2/h\omega \ll 1$). Formula (56.12) becomes

$$\sigma = \frac{2^8}{3} \alpha a^2 Z^5 \left(\frac{I_0}{h\omega}\right)^{7/2},$$

(56.14)

where $I_0 = e^4m^2/2\hbar^2$ is the ionisation energy of the hydrogen atom.

The process inverse to the photoelectric effect is the radiative recombination of an electron with an ion at rest. The cross-section $\sigma_{\text{rec}}$ for this process can be found from that for the photoelectric effect ($\sigma_{\text{ph}}$) by means of the principle of detailed balancing (\textit{QM}, §141). This principle states that the cross-sections for the processes $i \to f$ and $f \to i$ (with two particles in each of the states $i$ and $f$) are related by

$$g_i p_i^2 \sigma_{i \to f} = g_f p_f^2 \sigma_{f \to i},$$

where $p_i, p_f$ are the momenta of the relative motion of the particles, and $g_i, g_f$ the spin statistical weights of the states $i$ and $f$. Since $g = 2$ for the photon (which has two possible directions of polarisation), we find

$$\sigma_{\text{rec}} = \sigma_{\text{ph}} \cdot 2k^2/p^2,$$

(56.15)

where $p = mv$ is the momentum of the incident electron and $k$ that of the emitted photon.

\textbf{PROBLEM}

Determine the total cross-section for radiative recombination of a fast but non-relativistic electron ($I \ll m^2 \ll mc^2$) with a nucleus having charge $Z \ll 137$.

\textbf{SOLUTION.} The cross-section for capture to the $K$ shell (principal quantum number $n = 1$) is obtained by substituting (56.14) in (56.15):

$$\sigma_{K}^{\text{re}} = \frac{2^7 \pi}{3} Z^5 a^5 a_0^2 \left(\frac{I_0}{e}\right)^{5/2},$$

where $e = 1/2m^2$ is the energy of the incident electron, and $h\omega \approx e$. Among the other states of the resulting atom, only $s$ states are important: in the calculation of the matrix element in the Born approximation, the important values are those of the wave function of the bound state when $r$ is small (as will be seen in §57), and when $I > 0$ these values are small compared with those for $I = 0$. It is sufficient to take the first two terms in the expansion of $\psi$ in powers of $r$. For states with $I = 0$ and any $n$, these terms are

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}a^n} \left(1 - \frac{r}{a}\right),$$

i.e. they contain $n$ only as a common factor $n^{-3/2}$; this expression is obtained by expansion of \textit{QM} (36.13).

The total recombination cross-section is therefore

$$\sigma_{\text{re}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n^{\text{re}} = \sigma_1^{\text{re}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^3} = \zeta(3) \sigma_1^{\text{re}}.$$

The value of the zeta function is $\zeta(3) = 1.202$. 
§57. The photoelectric effect: relativistic case

Let us now consider the case

$$\omega \gg I.$$ (57.1)

Here we have also $\epsilon = \omega - I \gg I$, and the influence of the Coulomb field of the nucleus on the wave function $\psi'$ of the emitted electron can be taken into account by means of perturbation theory. We shall write

$$\psi' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\hbar)}} (u' e^{ip \cdot r} + \psi^{(1)})$$ (57.2)

The electron may be relativistic, and therefore the unperturbed function in (57.2) is written as a relativistic plane wave (23.1).

Although the electron is non-relativistic in the initial state, its wave function $\psi$ must nevertheless, for reasons to be explained below, include the relativistic correction ($\sim Ze^2$). This function is (cf. §39, Problem)

$$\psi = \left(1 - \frac{i}{2m} \gamma^0 \gamma \cdot \nabla \right) \frac{u}{\sqrt{(2m)}} \psi_{\text{non-r}},$$ (57.3)

where $\psi_{\text{non-r}}$ is the non-relativistic bound-state function (56.6), and $u$ is the bispinor amplitude of the electron at rest, normalised by the usual condition $\bar{u}u = 2m$.

We substitute the functions (57.2), (57.3) in the matrix element (56.2):†

$$M_{fi} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{me}} \int \left\{ \bar{u}'(\gamma \cdot e) \left[ \left(1 - \frac{i}{2m} \gamma^0 \gamma \cdot \nabla \right) u \psi_{\text{non-r}} \right] e^{-i(p-k) \cdot r} + \right.$$ (57.4)

$$+ \bar{\psi}^{(1)}(\gamma \cdot e) e^{i(k \cdot r)} u \psi_{\text{non-r}} \right\} d^3x.$$

In order to derive the first term of the expansion of this quantity in powers of $Ze^2$, we can replace $\psi_{\text{non-r}}$ in the second term in the braces by the constant $(Ze^2 m)^{3/2} / \sqrt{\pi}$ simply. The first term would vanish if treated in this way when $p-k \neq 0$, and it is for this reason that the first relativistic correction, proportional to $Ze^2$, has to be included in $\psi$. When $\nu \sim 1$, this correction gives a contribution to the cross-section that is of the same order as the contribution of the next term in the expansion of $\psi_{\text{non-r}}$ in powers of $Ze^2$.

In the first term in (57.4) we integrate by parts, transferring the action of the operator $\nabla$ from $\psi_{\text{non-r}}$ to the exponential factor. The result is

$$M_{fi} = \frac{(Ze^2 m)^{3/2}}{2(\pi m e)^{1/2}} \left\{ \bar{u}'(\gamma \cdot e) \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2m} \gamma^0 \gamma \cdot (p-k) \right] u(e^{iZe^2 mr})_{p-k} + \bar{\psi}^{(1)}(\gamma \cdot e) u \right\},$$ (57.5)

† The function (57.3) has been derived for distances $r \sim 1/mZe^2$, at which the relative order of magnitude of the correction term is $Ze^2$. But for the ground state (and for all s states) formula (57.3) is valid for any $r$, since the derivative of the purely exponential function (56.6), and therefore the correction term in (57.3), are always proportional to $Ze^2$. This enables us to use formula (57.3) in the present problem, where (as we shall see below) it is the small values of $r$ that are important.
The photoelectric effect: relativistic case

where the vector suffix denotes the spatial Fourier component. As far as the $Ze^2$ term we have\[\dagger\]
\[
(e^{-Ze^2m})_{p-k} = \frac{8\pi Ze^2m}{(p-k)^4}.
\]

To calculate the Fourier components $\psi^{(1)}_k$, we write down the equation satisfied by the function $\psi^{(1)}$:
\[
(y^0 e + i\gamma \cdot \nabla - m)\psi^{(1)} = e(y^\mu A_\mu)u'e^{ip\cdot r}
\]
\[
= -\frac{Ze^2}{r} y^0 u' e^{ip\cdot r},
\]
obtained by substituting (57.2) in (32.1). Applying the operator $y^0 e + i\gamma \cdot \nabla + m$ to both sides, we find
\[
(\Delta + p^2)\psi^{(1)} = -Ze^2(y^0 e + i\gamma \cdot \nabla + m)(y^0 u') \frac{1}{r} e^{ip\cdot r}.
\]

Multiplying this equation by $e^{-ik\cdot r}$ and integrating with respect to $d^3x$, with the usual integration by parts in the terms containing $\Delta$ and $\nabla$, gives
\[
(p^2 - k^2)\psi^{(1)} = -Ze^2(y^0 e - \gamma \cdot k + m)(y^0 u') \left( \frac{1}{r} \right)_{k-p}
\]
\[
= -Ze^2(2ey^0 - \gamma \cdot (k-p))(y^0 u') \frac{4\pi}{(k-p)^2}.
\]
In the last line we have used the fact that the amplitude $u'$ satisfies the equation
\[
(ey^0 - p\cdot \gamma - m)u' = 0, \quad \text{or} \quad (ey^0 + p\cdot \gamma - m)\gamma^0 u' = 0.
\]
Hence
\[
\bar{\psi}^{(1)}_k = \psi^{(1)}_k* y^0 = 4\pi Ze^2 \bar{u'} \frac{2ey^0 + \gamma \cdot (k-p)}{(k^2 - p^2)(k-p)^2} y^0.
\]

Substituting (57.6) and (57.7) in the matrix element (57.5), we can write it as
\[
M_{fi} = \frac{4\pi^{1/2}(Ze^2m)^{5/2}}{(em)^{1/2}(k-p)^2} \bar{u'} Au,
\]
where
\[
A = a(y\cdot e) + (y\cdot e)y^0(y\cdot b) + (\gamma\cdot c)\gamma^0(y\cdot e),
\]
\[
a = \frac{1}{(k-p)^2} + \frac{e}{m k^2 - p^2}, \quad b = -\frac{k-p}{2m(k-p)^2}, \quad c = \frac{k-p}{2m(k^2 - p^2)}.
\]
The cross-section is
\[
d\sigma = \frac{8e^2(Ze^2m)^3 |p|}{\omega(k-p)^4 m} (\bar{u'} Au)(\bar{u}\bar{A}u') \, do,
\]
\[\dagger\] Taking the Fourier component of each side of the equation
\[
(\Delta - \lambda^2) \frac{e^{-i\lambda r}}{r} = -4\pi \delta(r),
\]
we obtain
\[
\left( \frac{e^{-i\lambda r}}{r} \right)_q = \frac{4\pi}{q^2 + \lambda^2}
\]
and differentiation with respect to $\lambda$ gives
\[
(e^{-i\lambda r})_q = \frac{8\pi \lambda}{(q^2 + \lambda^2)^{3/2}}
\]
(57.6a)
(57.6b)
where $\vec{A} = \gamma^0 A^+ \gamma^0$; see §66. This expression has to be summed over final directions and averaged over initial directions of the electron spin, using the rules given in §66 below and the polarisation density matrices of the initial and final states:

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} m (\gamma^0 + 1), \quad \rho' = \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^0 \varepsilon - \gamma \cdot p + m);$$

in the initial state, $p = 0$ and $\varepsilon = m$. The resulting expression is

$$d\sigma = \frac{16 e^2 (Ze^2 m)^3 |p|}{m \omega (k-p)^4} \text{tr} \left( \rho' A \rho \vec{A} \right) d\omega.$$

The calculation of the trace is purely algebraic, and the result is $\dagger$

$$\text{tr} \left( \rho' A \rho \vec{A} \right) = \frac{m}{\varepsilon + m} \left[ a p - (b - e)(\varepsilon + m) \right]^2 + 4 (b \cdot e) [(\varepsilon + m)(c \cdot e) + a(p \cdot e)];$$

the vector $e$ is assumed real, i.e. the photon is assumed to be linearly polarised.

The formula for the photoelectric effect cross-section will be put in its final form by using the polar angle $\theta$ and the azimuth $\phi$ of the direction of $p$ when the direction of $k$ is the $z$-axis and the plane of $k$ and $e$ is the $xz$ plane (so that $p \cdot e = |p| \cos \phi \sin \theta$). When $\omega \gg I$, the conservation of energy may be written in the form $\varepsilon - m = \omega$ instead of $\varepsilon - m = \omega - I$. We then easily see that

$$k^2 - p^2 = -2m(\varepsilon - m), \quad (k-p)^2 = 2\varepsilon(\varepsilon - m)(1 - v \cos \theta),$$

where $v = p/|p|$ is the velocity of the photoelectron. A simple calculation gives finally

$$d\sigma = Z^5 \alpha^4 r_e^2 \frac{v^3(1-v^2)^2 \sin^2 \theta}{[1-\sqrt{(1-v^2)}]^5 (1-v \cos \theta)^4} \times$$

$$\left\{ \left[ \frac{1-\sqrt{(1-v^2)}}{2(1-v^2)^{3/2}} \right]^2 (1-v \cos \theta) + \left[ 2 - \left[ \frac{1-\sqrt{(1-v^2)}}{(1-v^2)^{3/2}} \right] \cos^2 \phi \right] \cos^2 \phi \right\} d\theta d\phi,$$

where $r_e = e^2/m$.

In the ultra-relativistic case ($\varepsilon \gg m$), the photoelectric effect cross-section has a sharp peak at small angles $\theta \sim \sqrt{(1-v^2)}$, i.e. the electrons are emitted predominantly in the direction of incidence of the photon. Near the maximum

$$1 - v \cos \theta \approx \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1-v^2) + \theta^2 \right],$$

and the leading terms in (57.8) give

$$d\sigma \approx 4Z^5 \alpha^4 r_e^2 \frac{(1-v^2)^{3/2} \theta^3}{(1-v^2 + \theta^2)^3} d\theta d\phi.$$

The integration of (57.8) over angles is elementary but lengthy, and leads to the following expression for the total cross-section (F. Sauter, 1931):

$$\sigma = 2\pi Z^5 \alpha^4 r_e^2 \frac{(\gamma^2 - 1)^{3/2}}{(\gamma - 1)^5} \left\{ \frac{4}{3} + \frac{\gamma(\gamma - 2)}{\gamma + 1} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2\gamma \sqrt{(\gamma^2 - 1)}} \log \frac{\gamma + \sqrt{(\gamma^2 - 1)}}{\gamma - \sqrt{(\gamma^2 - 1)}} \right) \right\},$$

(57.10)

$\dagger$ The three-dimensional trace-calculation formulae are similar to the four-dimensional formulae given in §22. The only non-zero traces are those of products containing an even number of factors $\gamma^0$ and $\gamma$; all the factors $\gamma^0$ become unity, and the traces of the products with two and four factors $\gamma$ are respectively

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{tr} (a \cdot \gamma)(b \cdot \gamma) = -a \cdot b,$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{tr} (a \cdot \gamma)(b \cdot \gamma)(c \cdot \gamma)(d \cdot \gamma) = (a \cdot b)(c \cdot d) - (a \cdot c)(b \cdot d) + (a \cdot d)(b \cdot c).$$
where the "Lorentz factor" \( \gamma \) is used for brevity:
\[
\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2}} = \frac{e}{m} \approx \frac{m+\omega}{m}. \tag{57.11}
\]
In the ultra-relativistic case, this formula reduces to the simple expression
\[
\sigma = 2\pi Z^2 \alpha^2 r^2 \gamma; \tag{57.12}
\]
in the case \( I \ll \omega \ll m \), the limit of small \( \gamma - 1 \) in (57.10) yields the already known result (56.14).

§58. Photodisintegration of the deuteron

A distinctive property of the deuteron is that its binding energy is small in comparison with the depth of the potential well. This enables reactions involving the deuteron to be described without a detailed knowledge of the behaviour of nuclear forces, using only the binding energy (see QM, §131). Here it is assumed that the wavelengths of the colliding particles are large compared with the radius \( a \) of the action of nuclear forces.

This applies to the disintegration of the deuteron by \( \gamma \) quanta having \( ka \ll 1 \). It will also be assumed that \( pa \ll 1 \), where \( p \) is the momentum of relative motion of the neutron and proton released; this is a stronger condition than \( ka \ll 1 \) \( \dagger \).

We start from the non-relativistic formula (56.5) for the photoelectric effect cross-section, integrating over all directions:
\[
\sigma = e^2 p M 4\pi \frac{2}{3} |(v_p)^{rf}|^2,
\]
where \( p \) is the momentum of relative motion of the proton and neutron, \( \ddagger \) and \( m \) in (56.5) has been replaced by their reduced mass \( M/2 \) (where \( M \) is the nucleon mass). The matrix element is that of the proton velocity \( v_p \), since only the proton interacts with the photon. Expressing \( v_p \) in terms of the momentum \( p \) (\( v_p = 1/2 \nu = p/M \), we have
\[
\sigma^{(e)} = \frac{e^2 p}{3M\omega} |p_{rf}|^2. \tag{58.1}
\]
The superscript \( (e) \) denotes that this formula corresponds to electric dipole transitions: \( ep/M = ev_p = d \), so that \( ep_{rf}/M = i\omega d_{rf} \).

The normalised wave function of the initial (ground) state of the deuteron is
\[
\psi = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}} \frac{e^{-kr}}{r}, \quad \kappa = (MD), \tag{58.2}
\]
where \( I = 2.23 \text{ MeV} \) is the binding energy (see QM, §131).§ The wave function of the final

\( \dagger \) The photon energy for which \( pa \approx 1 \) (with \( a = 1.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm} \) is 15 MeV.

\( \ddagger \) In this section, \( p \) denotes \( |p| \).

§ This function can be made more accurate by including a correction due to the finiteness of \( a \), the normalisation coefficient in (58.2) being replaced by
\[
\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi(1-\alpha^2)}}.
\]
see QM, §131, Problem 1. A factor \( 1/(1-\alpha^2) \) accordingly appears in the cross-section formulae. This correction is in fact quite large: for the ground state of the deuteron, \( a\kappa \approx 0.4 \).

The deuteron ground state is \( ^3S_1 \), with a small "admixture" of \( ^3D_1 \), due to the action of the tensor nuclear forces (see QM, §116). This admixture will be neglected, and therefore so will the tensor forces.

R.Q.T.
state can be taken to be that of free motion, i.e. the plane wave
\[ \psi' = e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}}. \]  
(58.3)

The reason is that, in the theory under consideration, the "size of the deuteron" 1/\(\kappa\) is assumed large in comparison with the effective interaction radius \(a\). The interaction between the proton and the neutron therefore has to be taken into account only in \(S\) states, and can be neglected in states with \(l \neq 0\), whose wave functions are small at small distances. According to the selection rules, electric dipole transitions between two \(S\) states (the ground state and an \(S\) state of the continuous spectrum) are forbidden, and it is therefore possible in this case to neglect the nucleon interaction in the final state.

Integration by parts gives the matrix element
\[ p_{fi} = -i \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}} \int e^{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \nabla \left( \frac{e^{-\kappa r}}{r} \right) d^3x \]
\[ = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}} \left( \frac{e^{-\kappa r}}{r} \right)_p \]
\[ = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}} \frac{4\pi p}{p^2 + \kappa^2}; \]
see the second footnote to §57.

Using also the equation
\[ \frac{1}{M} (\kappa^2 + p^2) = I + \frac{p^2}{M} = \omega, \]
which expresses the conservation of energy, we finally obtain the photodisintegration cross-section (in ordinary units) as
\[ \sigma^{(e)} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \alpha \frac{h^2}{M} \sqrt{\frac{I}{(h\omega - I)^{3/2}}}, \]
(58.4)

(H. A. Bethe and R. Peierls, 1935). It has a maximum at \(h\omega = 2I\), and tends to zero as \(h\omega \to I\) or \(h\omega \to \infty\).

The electric dipole absorption of the photon, described by formula (58.4), does not, however, give the main contribution to the cross-section near the photoelectric effect threshold (\(h\omega\) close to \(I\)). This is because, in this range, the principal effect must come from transitions to an \(S\) state, and these do not occur in electric dipole absorption. Nor do they occur in electric quadrupole absorption, since, although they do not then violate the parity selection rule, they are forbidden by the selection rule for orbital angular momentum (the tensor forces are here neglected, and \(L\) and \(S\) are therefore separately conserved).

To calculate the photodisintegration cross-section near the threshold, we have therefore to consider magnetic dipole absorption, for which the selection rules allow transitions between \(S\) states (E. Fermi, 1935).

Replacing the electric moment in (58.1) by the magnetic moment, we have
\[ \sigma^{(m)} = \frac{4}{5} \omega M p |\mathbf{p}_{fi}|^2. \]
(58.5)

The magnetic moment of the orbital motion makes no contribution to \(\mathbf{p}_{fi}\), since the orbital angular momentum \(L\) has no matrix elements for transitions between \(S\) states. The spin magnetic moment

\[ \mathbf{\mu} = 2\mu_p s_p + 2\mu_n s_n = 2(\mu_p - \mu_n) s_p + 2\mu_n S, \]
Photodisintegration of the deuteron

where $S = s_p + s_n$, and $\mu_p, \mu_n$ are the magnetic moments of the proton and the neutron. When the tensor nuclear forces are neglected, the total spin is conserved, and its operator therefore yields no transitions. Hence

$$\mu_{fi} = 2(s_p f_i (\mu_p - \mu_n)).$$

In the same approximation (neglecting the tensor forces), the spin and coordinate variables are separable. The matrix element, like the wave functions, becomes a product of a spin part and a coordinate part:

$$\mu_{fi} = 2(\mu_p - \mu_n) \langle s_p S'M'|s_p|s_p SM\rangle \int \psi^*(r)\psi(r) \, d^3x.$$  

But the presence of spin–spin nuclear forces has the result that the wave equation for the coordinate functions $\psi(r)$ includes the spin value $S$ as a parameter. If $S' = S$, then $\psi'(r)$ and $\psi(r)$ are eigenfunctions of the same operator, and are therefore orthogonal. Thus a photodisintegration from an initial $3S$ state can occur only to a $1S$ state of the continuous spectrum.

The square $|\mu_{fi}|^2$ in (58.5) must, of course, be averaged over components $M$ of the spin $S$ in the initial state. Thus the problem is to calculate the quantity

$$\frac{1}{2S+1} \sum_M |\langle s_p S'M'|s_p|s_p SM\rangle|^2,$$

where $s_p = s_n = \frac{1}{2}$, $S = 1$, $S' = 0$. The general rules for matrix elements in the addition of angular momenta give

$$\frac{1}{(2S+1)(2S'+1)} \langle s_p S'|s_p s_p S\rangle |^2 = \delta_{S'0} \delta_{S0} \langle s_p S' s_p S\rangle |^2$$

(see QM, (107.11), (109.3)). The reduced matrix element is

$$\langle s_p s_p|s_p\rangle = \sqrt{[s_p(s_p+1)(2s_p+1)]} = \sqrt{(3/2)}.$$  

Formula (58.5) then becomes

$$\alpha^{(m)} = \frac{1}{2} \omega M p(\mu_p - \mu_n)^2 \int \psi^*\psi \, d^3x |^2.$$  

The initial function $\psi$ is given by (58.2); the final function is

$$\psi' = \frac{1}{p} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} R_{p0}(r).$$

This is the first term ($l = 0$) in the expansion (56.7) of a function whose asymptotic form comprises a plane wave and an incoming spherical wave; an unimportant phase factor has been omitted. Since the integration is taken over the region outside the radius of action of the nuclear forces, the radial function is

$$R_{p0}(r) = \sqrt{\frac{2 \sin (pr + \delta)}{\pi r}}.$$  

The phase $\delta$ is related to the value of the virtual level ($I_1 = 0.067$ MeV) of the proton + neutron system when $S = 0$:

$$\cot \delta = \kappa_1/p, \quad \kappa_1 = \sqrt{MI_1};$$
see QM, §131. Then

$$\int \psi^* \psi \, d^3x = (2\pi)^{3/2} \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{p \pi} \int e^{-\kappa x + ipr} \, e^{i\beta} \, dr$$

$$= (2\pi)^{3/2} \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{p \pi} \frac{e^{i\beta}}{\kappa - ip}.$$ 

After a simple algebraic reduction, we obtain the following expression for the photodisintegration cross-section (in ordinary units):

$$\sigma^{(m)} = \frac{8\pi}{3\hbar c} (\mu_p - \mu_n)^2 \sqrt{[I(\hbar \omega - I)](\sqrt{1 + I} + \sqrt{I})^2} \frac{\hbar \omega (\hbar \omega - I + I)}{\hbar \omega (\hbar \omega - I)}.$$ 

(58.7)

When $\hbar \omega \rightarrow I$, the cross-section tends to zero as $\sqrt{\hbar \omega - I}$, in accordance with the general properties of cross-sections near the reaction threshold (QM, §144).

The inverse process to photodisintegration is radiative capture of a proton by a neutron. The capture cross-section ($\sigma_c$) is obtained from the photoelectric effect cross-section ($\sigma_{ph}$) by means of the principle of detailed balancing; cf. the derivation of (56.15). The spin statistical weights of the neutron and the proton are $2x2 = 4$; those of the deuteron (in a state with $S = 1$) and the photon are $3x2 = 6$. Hence

$$\sigma_c = \frac{3}{2} \frac{c^2 p}{\hbar^2} \sigma_{ph} = \frac{3(h\omega)^2}{2Mc^2(h\omega - I)} \sigma_{ph}.$$ 

(58.8)

§59. Synchrotron radiation

According to the classical theory (Fields, §74), an ultra-relativistic electron moving in a constant magnetic field $H$ emits a quasi-continuous spectrum with a maximum at the frequency

$$\omega \sim \omega_0 (\varepsilon/m)^3,$$ 

(59.1)

where

$$\omega_0 = veH/|p|$$

$$\approx eH/\varepsilon$$ 

(59.2)

is the frequency of revolution of an electron having energy $\varepsilon$ in a circular orbit (in a plane perpendicular to the field).‡

Quantum effects in synchrotron radiation originate in two ways: from the quantisation of the motion of the electron, and from the quantum recoil when a photon is emitted. The latter is determined by the ratio $\hbar \omega/\varepsilon$, and this must be small if the classical theory is applicable. It is therefore convenient to use the parameter

$$\chi = \frac{H}{H_0} \frac{|p|}{m} \approx \frac{H}{H_0 m} \approx \frac{\hbar \omega_0}{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{e}{m}\right)^3,$$ 

(59.3)

where $H_0 = m^2 e/h = m^2 c^3/eh = 4.4 \times 10^{13}$ G. In the classical case, $\chi \sim \hbar \omega/\varepsilon \ll 1$. In the opposite limit ($\chi \gg 1$), the energy of the emitted photon $\hbar \omega \sim \varepsilon$, and (as we shall see below) the significant region of the spectrum extends to frequencies at which the electron

† This section was written jointly with V. N. Baier.
‡ In this section we shall put $c = 1$ but retain factors of $\hbar$. 

energy after the emission is
\[ e' \sim mH_0/H. \]  
(59.4)

If the electron remains ultra-relativistic, the field must satisfy the condition
\[ H/H_0 \ll 1. \]  
(59.5)

The quantisation of the electron motion itself is expressed by the ratio \( \hbar \omega_0/\varepsilon \); \( \hbar \omega_0 \) is the interval between adjacent energy levels for motion in a magnetic field. Since
\[ \hbar \omega_0/\varepsilon = (H/H_0)(m/\varepsilon)^2, \]
it follows from (59.5) that \( \hbar \omega_0 \ll \varepsilon \), i.e. the motion of the electron is quasi-classical for all values of \( \chi \). That is, the non-commutativity between the operators of dynamical variables of the electron (quantities of order \( \hbar \omega_0/\varepsilon \)) may be neglected, while the non-commutativity of these operators with those of the photon field (quantities of order \( \hbar \omega/\varepsilon \)) is not neglected.†

The quasi-classical wave functions of stationary states of an electron in an external field can be put in the symbolic form
\[ \psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{3/2}}} u(p) e^{-iHt/\hbar} \phi(r), \]
(59.6)

where \( \phi(r) \sim \exp(iS/\hbar) \) are the quasi-classical wave functions of a spinless particle \( (S(r) \) being its classical action); \( u(p) \) is the operator bispinor
\[ u(p) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{(H+m)w} \\ 1 \\ \sqrt{(H+m)}(\sigma \cdot p)w \end{pmatrix}, \]

obtained from the bispinor plane-wave amplitude \( u(p) \) (23.9) on replacing \( p \) and \( \varepsilon \) by the operators‡
\[ p = P - eA = -i\hbar \nabla - eA, \quad H = \sqrt{(p^2 + m^2)}, \]

where \( P \) is the generalised momentum of the particle in a field with vector potential \( A(r) \).

The order of the operator factors in \( \psi \) is immaterial, since their non-commutativity is neglected, and the spin state of the electron is determined by the three-dimensional spinor \( w \).

In order to calculate the probability of photon emission in the quasi-classical case, it is more convenient to start not from the final formula (44.3) of perturbation theory but from a formula in which the integration with respect to time has not yet been carried out. For the total (over all time) differential probability we have
\[ dw = \sum_f |a_{f1}|^2 \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}, \quad a_{f1} = \int_{-\infty}^\infty V_{f1}(t) \, dt \]  
(59.7)

† The full solution of the quantum problem of synchrotron radiation was first given by N. P. Klepikov (1954), and the first quantum correction to the classical formula by A. A. Sokolov, N. P. Klepikov and I. M. Ternov (1952).

The derivation of formulae (59.23) and (59.30) given here, which explicitly makes use of the fact that the motion is quasi-classical, is due to V. N. Baier and V. M. Katkov (1967). A similar method had been used earlier by J. Schwinger (1954) to derive the first quantum correction in the radiation intensity.

‡ In this section, unlike Chapter IV, the generalised momentum is denoted by the capital letter \( P \), while \( p \) denotes the ordinary (kinetic) momentum.
(cf., for example, \(QM\), (41.2)); the summation is over final states of the electron.\(^{†}\)

Using (59.6), we can write the matrix element \(V_{fi}(t)\) for emission of a photon \(\omega, k\) in the operator form

\[
V_{fi}(t) = \frac{e^{i/(}\sqrt{(2\hbar\omega)} \int d^3x \left[ \phi_f^{\ast} e^{i\phi_H} \frac{u^*(p)}{\sqrt{(2\hbar)}} \right] e^{i(\omega t - kr)\phi_f},
\]

where the operators in the square brackets act to the left; the photon field is taken in the three-dimensionally transverse gauge. The factors \(\exp(\pm i\hbar t/\hbar)\) convert the Schrödinger operators between them into explicitly time-dependent operators of the Heisenberg representation. We can write \(V_{fi}(t)\) in the form

\[
V_{fi}(t) = \frac{e^{i/(2\pi)}\sqrt{\hbar\omega}} \langle f|Q(t)|i\rangle \ e^{i\omega t}, \quad (59.8)
\]

where \(Q(t)\) denotes the Heisenberg operator

\[
Q(t) = \frac{u^*_f(p)}{\sqrt{(2\hbar)}} \left[ \alpha \cdot \epsilon \right] e^{-i\cdot(\omega t)},
\]

and the matrix element \(\langle f|\ldots|i\rangle\) is taken with respect to the functions \(\phi_f, \phi_i\).

The summation in (59.7) is taken over all final wave functions \(\phi_f\), and is effected by means of the equation

\[
\sum_{\phi_f} \phi_f^{\ast}(r') \phi_f(r) = \delta(r' - r),
\]

which expresses the completeness of the set of functions \(\phi_f.\)\(^{‡}\) The result is

\[
dw = \frac{e^2}{\hbar\omega 4\pi^2} \int dt_1 \int dt_2 \cdot e^{i\omega(t_1 - t_2)} \langle i|Q^+ (t_2) Q(t_1)|i\rangle. \quad (59.9)
\]

If the integration is over a sufficiently long time interval, \(t_1\) and \(t_2\) can be replaced by new variables

\[
\tau = t_2 - t_1, \quad t = \frac{1}{2}(t_1 + t_2),
\]

and in the integral over \(t\) the integrand may be regarded as the probability of emission per unit time. Multiplying by \(\hbar\omega\), we obtain the intensity

\[
I = \frac{e^2}{4\pi^2} \int e^{-i\omega\epsilon} \langle \langle i|Q^+ (t_1 + i\tau)Q(t - i\tau)|i\rangle \ dt. \quad (59.10)
\]

An ultra-relativistic electron radiates into a narrow cone at angles \(\theta \sim m/e\) relative to its velocity \(v\). The emission in a given direction \(n = k/\omega\) therefore occurs over a section of the path in which \(v\) turns through an angle \(\sim m/e\). This section is traversed in a time \(\tau\) such that \(\tau|v| \approx \tau\omega_0 \sim m/e \ll 1\). This region gives the principal contribution to the integral over \(\tau\). In the subsequent calculations, we shall therefore expand all quantities in

\(^{†}\) Substituting

\[
V_{fi}(t) = V_{fi} e^{i\omega_0 t},
\]

we obtain \(\alpha_{fi} = 2\pi V_{fi} \delta(\omega_0)\). Since the square of the delta function must be interpreted according to \(\delta(\omega_0)^2 \rightarrow 2\pi\delta(\omega_0)\), where \(t\) is the total time of observation (see §65), we obtain from (59.7) the result (44.3) as the probability per unit time.

\(^{‡}\) The time integration, it will be remembered, has not yet been carried out in (59.7); the conservation of energy is therefore not expressed, and the summation over \(\phi_f\) is subject to no restriction.
powers of \( \omega_0 \tau \). It may, however, be necessary to retain more than just the leading term in the expansion, because of cancellations which occur since \( 1 - n \cdot v \sim \theta^2 \sim (m/s)^2 \).

If the operator \( Q^+Q \) is reduced to a product of operators which commute (to the necessary degree of accuracy), the taking of the diagonal matrix element \( \langle i | \ldots | i \rangle \) is equivalent to replacing these operators by the classical (time-dependent) values of the corresponding quantities. This is achieved in the following way.

According to the foregoing discussion, in the expression for \( Q(t) \) only the non-commutativity of the electron operators with the photon field operator \( \exp (-ik \cdot r(t)) \) need be taken into account. We have

\[
\begin{align*}
p \ e^{-ik \cdot r} &= e^{-ik \cdot r} (p - \hbar k), \\
H(p) \ e^{-ik \cdot r} &= e^{-ik \cdot r} H(p - \hbar k).
\end{align*}
\]

(59.11) (59.12)

These formulae follow because \( e^{-ik \cdot r} \) is the displacement operator in momentum space. Using (59.11) and (59.12), we can take the operator \( e^{-ik \cdot r(t)} \) out on the left in (59.8a), and write \( Q(t) \) in the form

\[
Q(t) = e^{-ik \cdot r(t)} R(t), \quad R(t) = \frac{u_+^+ (p')}{\sqrt{(2H')}} (\alpha \cdot e^t) \frac{u_0 (p)}{\sqrt{(2H)}},
\]

(59.13)

where \( H' = H(p - \hbar k) \), \( p' = p - \hbar k \).

Then

\[
Q_2^+ Q_1 = R_2 e^{ik \cdot r_2} e^{-ik \cdot r_1} R_1;
\]

(59.14)

here and henceforward, the suffixes 1 and 2 denote the values of quantities at the times \( t_1 = t - \frac{1}{2} \tau \) and \( t_2 = t + \frac{1}{2} \tau \). It remains to calculate the product of the two non-commuting operators \( e^{ik \cdot r_2} \) and \( e^{-ik \cdot r_1} \). This product itself may be regarded as commuting with the remaining factors.

We write

\[
L(\xi) = e^{-i \omega \tau (\xi - 1)} e^{ik \cdot r_2} e^{-ik \cdot r_1},
\]

(59.15)

where \( \xi \) is an auxiliary parameter. The operator of interest is \( L(1) \). Differentiating the definition (59.15) with respect to \( \xi \), we obtain the differential equation

\[
dL/d\xi = L(\xi) e^{ik \cdot r_1} b e^{-ik \cdot r_1},
\]

(59.16)

putting for brevity

\[
b = i k \cdot (r_2 - r_1) - i \omega \tau.
\]

The quantity \( b \) can be expressed in terms of \( p_1 = p(t_1) \) by means of the classical equation of motion in a plane perpendicular to \( H \):†

\[
r_2 - r_1 = \frac{p_1}{eH} \sin \frac{eH \tau}{\epsilon} + \frac{p_1 \times H}{eH^2} \left( 1 - \cos \frac{eH \tau}{\epsilon} \right)
\]

(see Fields, §21) or, expanding in powers of \( \tau \),

\[
r_2 - r_1 \approx \nu_1 \left( \tau - \frac{e^2 H^2}{6 \epsilon^2} \tau^3 \right) + \nu_1 \times H \frac{e}{2 \epsilon} \tau^2.
\]

(59.17)

Hence

\[
b(p_1) \approx i \omega \tau \left\{ (\nu_1 \cdot n - 1) + \tau \frac{en \cdot p_1 \times H}{2 \epsilon^2} - \tau^2 \frac{e^2 H^2}{6 \epsilon^2} \right\};
\]

(59.17a)

† This is possible, since the non-commutativity of the velocity components in the magnetic field leads to terms of relative order \( \hbar \omega_0 / \epsilon \), and is unimportant.
in the last term we have put \( n \cdot v_1 \approx 1 \). Again noticing that \( e^{-i k \cdot r_1} \) is a displacement operator in \( p \)-space, we find

\[
e^{i k \cdot r_1} b(p_1) e^{-i k \cdot r_1} = b(p_1 - \xi \hbar k) \equiv b_-
\]

the suffix minus here and henceforward indicates that a quantity is taken as a function of the argument \( p_1 - \xi \hbar k \). We can transform \( b_- \), taking the function \( b(p) \) from (59.17a). In the first term we write

\[
v_-. n - 1 \approx \frac{1}{2}(v_. n)^2 - 1\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2e_-^2} \{(p_1 \cdot n - \xi \hbar \omega)^2 - (p_1 - \xi \hbar k)^2 - m^2\}
\]

\[
= \frac{(p_1 \cdot n)^2 - e^2}{2e_-^2} \approx \frac{e^2}{e_-^2} (v_1 \cdot n - 1).
\]

The transformation of the remaining terms in (59.17a) merely involves replacing \( e \) in the denominator by \( e_- \). Thus \( b_- = (e/e_-)^2 b \). Now, using the facts that the directions of \( p \) and \( n \) are almost the same and that \( e \approx p \), we can put, with sufficient accuracy, \( e_- \approx e - \xi \hbar \omega \).

Equation (59.16) finally becomes

\[
\frac{dL}{d\xi} = L(\xi) \frac{e^2 b}{(\epsilon - \hbar \xi \omega)^2}.
\]

Here the order of factors is unimportant, and all the quantities may be taken as classical. Solving this equation, with the condition \( L(0) = e^{i \omega t} \), we find

\[
L(\xi) = \exp \left\{ b \frac{\xi e}{\epsilon - \hbar \omega \xi} + i \omega t \right\},
\]

so that

\[
L(1) = \exp \left\{ i \omega t + i \frac{\xi}{\epsilon} (k \cdot r_2 - k \cdot r_1 - \omega t) \right\}, \quad (59.18)
\]

where \( e' = \epsilon - \hbar \omega \).

The remaining factors in (59.14) may be transformed as follows. Direct expansion of the product in \( R(t) \), using the matrix \( \alpha \) from (21.20), gives

\[
R(t) = w_i^* e^*. (A + iB \times \sigma) w_i,
\]

\[
A = \frac{i}{2} p \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \frac{1}{\epsilon'} \right) = \frac{\epsilon + \epsilon'}{2\epsilon'} v,
\]

\[
B = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p}{\epsilon + m} - \frac{p'}{\epsilon' + m} \right) \approx \frac{\hbar \omega}{2\epsilon} \left( n - v + v \frac{m}{\epsilon} \right),
\]

where \( \epsilon' = \epsilon - \hbar \omega \), \( p'(t) = p(t) - \hbar k \), \( n = k/\omega \), and terms of higher order in \( m/\epsilon \) are omitted. Thus we have finally

\[
\langle i | Q_1^+ Q_1 | i \rangle = R_2^* R_1 \exp \left\{ i \omega t + i \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon'} (k \cdot r_2 - k \cdot r_1 - \omega t) \right\}, \quad (59.20)
\]

\[
R_2^* R_1 = \text{tr} \left[ 1 + \frac{\zeta \cdot \sigma}{2} \right] [(A_2 - iB_2 \times \sigma) e \frac{1 + \zeta \cdot \sigma}{2} [(A_1 + iB_1 \times \sigma) e^*].
\]

The factors \( \frac{1}{2}(1 + \zeta \cdot \sigma) \) are the two-rowed polarisation density matrices of the initial and final electron.
Let us consider the radiation intensity summed over the polarisations of the photon and of the final electron, and averaged over the polarisations of the initial electron. These operations give, after a simple calculation,\textsuperscript{†}

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{polar.}} R_2^* R_1 = \frac{\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2}{2 \varepsilon^2} (v_1 \cdot v_2 - 1) + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\hbar \omega}{\varepsilon'} \right)^2 \left( \frac{m}{\varepsilon} \right)^2.
\]

With sufficient accuracy we can put

\[
v_1 \cdot v_2 = v^2 - \frac{1}{4} \tau^2 v^2 + \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 v \cdot \bar{v} = 1 - \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon^2} - \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 \tau^2.
\]

Substitution of these expressions in (59.20) and thence in (59.10), using (59.17), gives

\[
dI = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi^2} \omega^2 d\omega d\omega_n \times
\]

\[
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon' \omega^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2}{4 \varepsilon' \omega^2} \omega_0^2 \tau^2 \right) \exp \left\{ -\frac{i \omega e}{\varepsilon'} \left( 1 - n \cdot v + \frac{\tau^2}{24} \omega_0^2 \right) \right\} d\tau. \quad (59.21)
\]

This formula shows the spectral and angular distribution of the radiation intensity.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the angle between $n$ and the plane of the electron orbit, and $\psi$ the angle between the vector $v$ and the projection of $n$ on the orbit plane. Since the main contribution to the integral comes from small angles, we can put

\[
n \cdot v \approx v \cos \mathcal{O} \cos \psi \approx v \left( 1 - \frac{g^2 + \psi^2}{2} \right).
\]

For the integration in (59.21) it is convenient to replace $\tau$ and $\psi$ by new variables $x, y$:

\[
\omega_0 \tau = \left( \frac{2\omega_0 \varepsilon'}{\varepsilon \omega} \right)^{1/2} (x + y), \quad \psi = \left( \frac{2\omega_0 \varepsilon'}{\varepsilon \omega} \right)^{1/2} \frac{x - y}{2}.
\]

Then the exponent in (59.21) becomes

\[
-i(x \eta + \frac{1}{3} x^3 + y \eta + \frac{1}{3} y^3),
\]

with the notation

\[
\eta = \left( \frac{u}{2 \lambda} \right)^{1/2} (1 + \delta^2), \quad u = \frac{\hbar \omega}{\varepsilon'}, \quad \delta = \frac{\delta \varepsilon}{m}.
\]

The integrals over $x$ and $y$ can be expressed in terms of the Airy function and its derivative.\textsuperscript{‡}

\[\text{†}\] This calculation makes use also of the following result. In the summation over $e,$

\[
\sum_e (v_1 \cdot e)(v_2 \cdot e^*) = v_1 \cdot v_2 - (v_1 \cdot n)(v_2 \cdot n).
\]

On substituting (59.20) in (59.9), we can integrate by parts, noting that

\[
(v_1 \cdot n) \exp \left( -\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon'} k \cdot r_1 \right) = \frac{i \varepsilon'}{\varepsilon \omega} \frac{d}{dt_1} \exp \left( - \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon'} k \cdot r_1 \right),
\]

and similarly for $v_2 \cdot n.$ Consequently, in the remaining integration $v_1 \cdot n$ and $v_2 \cdot n$ can be replaced by unity.

\[\text{‡}\] The Airy function is defined and related to the Macdonald functions in $QM, \S$; $Fields, \S$59, Problem, and $\S$74.
The spectral distribution is then obtained as the integral
\[
\frac{dI}{d\omega} = \frac{2e^2m^2}{\pi \hbar c} \frac{u}{1+u} \left( \frac{u}{2\chi} \right)^4 \times \\
\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ -\Phi^2(\eta) + (1+\delta^2) \left( 1 + \frac{u^2}{2(1+u)} \right) \left[ \Phi^2(\eta) + \frac{1}{\eta} \Phi'^2(\eta) \right] \right\} d\delta. \quad (59.23)
\]

The main contribution to the integral comes from angles \( \delta \sim m/\hbar c (\delta \sim 1) \). The peak of the distribution, however, is at frequencies such that \( \eta \sim (\hbar \omega/\delta' \chi)^4 \sim 1 \). When \( \chi \ll 1 \) we then have (59.1), and when \( \chi \gg 1 \) we have (59.4).

Figure 5 shows diagrams of the spectral distribution for various values of \( \chi \).† The quantity
\[
\frac{1}{3I_{cl}/2} \frac{dI}{d(\omega/\omega_c)}
\]
is plotted against \( \omega/\omega_c \), where
\[
\frac{\hbar \omega_c}{\frac{\epsilon \chi}{3+\chi}}, \quad I_{cl} = \frac{2e^2m^2\chi^2}{3\hbar^2} = \frac{2e^4H^2e^2}{3m^4}.
\]
The quantity \( I_{cl} \) is the classical value of the total radiation intensity; cf. Fields, (74.2).
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Using the relation
\[
\Phi^2(\eta) + \frac{1}{\eta} \Phi'^2(\eta) = \frac{1}{2\eta} \frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} \Phi^2(\eta)
\]
and replacing \( \delta \) by means of \( t = (u/2\chi)^4 \delta^2 \), we can rewrite (59.23) as
\[
\frac{dI}{d\omega} = \frac{2e^2m^2}{\pi \hbar c} \frac{u}{1+u} \left\{ -1 + \frac{2}{x} \left( 1 + \frac{u^2}{2(1+u)} \right) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \right\} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \Phi^2(2^{-\frac{4}{3}}x + t),
\]
† The graphs in Figs. 5 and 6 are based on calculations by N. P. Klepikov.
where $x = (u/\chi)^{\dagger}$. Using also the formula\dagger
\begin{equation}
\int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}} \Phi^2(2^{-\frac{3}{2}}x + t) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \int_x^\infty \Phi(x) \, dx,
\end{equation}
we can put the spectral distribution in the form
\begin{equation}
\frac{dI}{d\omega} = -\frac{e^2m^2}{\sqrt{\pi \hbar \varepsilon}} \frac{u}{1 + u} \left\{ \int_x^\infty \Phi(x) \, dx + \frac{2}{x} \left( 1 + \frac{u^2}{2(1 + u)} \right) \Phi'(x) \right\}.
\end{equation}
(59.24)

In the classical limit we have $\hbar \omega \ll \varepsilon$, $u \approx \hbar \omega/\varepsilon$, $x \approx (\omega/\omega_0)^{\dagger}(m/\varepsilon)^2$ and (59.24) becomes the classical formula (Fields, (74.13)).

[Diagram of the function $I(x)/I_{cl}$]

Putting
\begin{equation}
\frac{dI}{d\omega} \, d\omega = \frac{dI}{du} \frac{\varepsilon}{(1 + u)^2 \hbar} \, du
\end{equation}
and integrating over $u$ from 0 to $\infty$ (integrating twice in the first term in (59.24)), we obtain
\begin{equation}
I = -\frac{e^2m^2}{2\sqrt{\pi \hbar^2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{4 + 5\chi x^{3/2} + 4\chi^2 x^3}{(1 + \chi x^{3/2})^4} \Phi'(x)x \, dx.
\end{equation}
(59.25)

Figure 6 shows a graph of the function $I(\chi)/I_{cl}$.

\dagger A derivation of this formula is given by D. E. Aspnes, Physical Review 147, 554, 1966.
When $\chi \ll 1$, the important region in the integral is $x \sim 1$. Expanding the integrand in powers of $\chi$ and integrating by means of the formula

$$\int_0^\infty x^\nu \Phi(x) \, dx = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{3^{(4\nu-1)/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\nu)} x^{\nu},$$

we obtain

$$I = I_{el} \left(1 - \frac{55}{16} \chi + 48\chi^2 - \ldots\right). \quad (59.26)$$

When $\chi \gg 1$, the important region is that in which $\chi x^{3/2} \sim 1$, i.e. $x \ll 1$. In the first approximation, we can therefore replace $\Phi(x)$ by $\Phi(0) = -3^{1/6}(\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}$, and the integration then leads to the result

$$I \approx \frac{32\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}) e^2 m^2}{243 h^2} (3\chi)^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$= 0.82 \frac{e^2 m^2}{h^2} \left(\frac{H\epsilon}{H_0 m}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (59.27)$$

Synchrotron emission causes the occurrence of a polarisation of electrons moving in the field (A. A. Sokolov and I. M. Ternov, 1963). To discuss this, we have to find the probability of a radiative transition with spin reversal.

Putting in (59.20) $\xi_i = -\xi_f \equiv \xi$, $|\xi| = 1$, we have

$$R_2^* R_1 = B_1 \cdot B_2 - (e^* \cdot B_1)(e \cdot B_2) - (e^* \cdot B_1 \times \xi)(e \cdot B_2 \times \xi) - i(\xi \cdot e^*)(e \cdot B_1 \times B_2).$$

Summation over polarisations of the photon gives, after a simple calculation,

$$\sum_\epsilon R_2^* R_1 = (B_1 \cdot B_2)(1 - (\xi \cdot n)^2) + (\xi \cdot n)(n \cdot B_1)(\xi \cdot B_2) +$$

$$+ (\xi \cdot n)(n \cdot B_2)(\xi \cdot B_1) - i(\xi - n(n \cdot \xi)). B_1 \times B_2. \quad (59.28)$$

We shall assume that $\chi \ll 1$ and seek only the principal term in the expansion of the probability in powers of $\hbar$. Since the expression (59.28) (with $B$ given by (59.19)) already contains $\hbar^2$, all the remaining quantities $e^*$, including those in the exponent in (59.20), can be replaced by $\epsilon$.

With the expansions

$$B_1 = \frac{\omega}{2\epsilon} \left( n - v + \frac{1}{2} \theta + \frac{m}{\epsilon} \right),$$

$$B_2 = \frac{\omega}{2\epsilon} \left( n - v - \frac{1}{2} \theta + \frac{m}{\epsilon} \right),$$

$$r_2 - r_1 = \tau v + \frac{\tau^3}{24} \vec{v},$$

and substituting (59.28) in (59.20) and thence in (59.10), we find the differential transition probability per unit time ($dw = dl/\hbar \omega$). The integration over $d^3k$ is carried out by means of the formula

$$\int f(k) e^{-ikx} \, d^3k = -f(i\partial_x) \frac{4\pi}{(x_0 - i0)^2 - x^2}, \quad (59.29)$$
where in this case
\[ x_0 = \tau, \quad x = r_2 - r_1, \quad x^2 = x_0^2 - x_2 = \tau^2 \left( \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\tau^2 \omega_0^2}{12} \right). \]

The result of the calculation is
\[ w = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{m} \right)^5 \omega_0^3 \int \frac{dz}{(1 + z^2/12)^3} \left[ \frac{3}{z^4} - \frac{5}{12z^2} + \left( \frac{1}{z^4} + \frac{5}{12z^2} \right) (\xi \cdot v)^2 - \frac{2i}{z^3 \omega_0} \xi \cdot \hat{v} \right], \]
where \( z = \tau \omega_0 \epsilon/m \) and the contour of integration passes below the real axis and is closed in the lower half-plane. After this integration we finally obtain for the total probability of a radiative transition with spin reversal
\[ w = \frac{5 \sqrt{3} \alpha}{16} \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2} \left( \frac{\epsilon}{m} \right)^5 \omega_0^3 \left( 1 - \frac{8}{3} \zeta_\|^2 - \frac{8 \sqrt{3} \epsilon}{15} \left| \xi_\parallel \right| \right), \]
where \( \zeta_\| = \xi \cdot v, \quad \zeta_\perp = \xi \cdot H/H. \) This formula is valid for both electrons \((\epsilon < 0)\) and positrons \((\epsilon > 0)\).

The probability (59.30) is independent of the sign of the longitudinal polarisation \( \zeta_\| \) but depends on that of \( \zeta_\perp \). The polarisation resulting from the emission is therefore transverse.† For electrons, the probability of a transition from a state with the spin parallel to the field \((\zeta_\perp = 1)\) to a state with the spin antiparallel to the field is greater than that of the opposite transition. The radiative polarisation of the electrons is therefore antiparallel to the field, and the degree of polarisation in a stationary state is (when \( \zeta_\| = 0) \)
\[ \frac{w(\zeta_\perp = -1) - w(\zeta_\perp = 1)}{w(\zeta_\perp = -1) + w(\zeta_\perp = 1)} = \frac{8 \sqrt{3}}{15} = 0.93. \]

Positrons are polarised, to the same degree, parallel to the field.

† This is also evident from the fact that the axial vector of the resultant polarisation must be along \( H, \) which is the only axial vector occurring in the problem.
CHAPTER VI

SCATTERING OF RADIATION

§60. The scattering tensor

The scattering of a photon by a system of electrons (which will be referred to below as an atom) consists of the absorption of the initial photon \( k \) and the simultaneous emission of another photon \( k' \). The atom may be left either at its initial energy level or at some other discrete energy level. In the former case the photon frequency is unchanged (Rayleigh scattering); in the latter case the frequency changes by

\[
\omega' - \omega = E_1 - E_2,
\]

where \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) are the initial and final energies of the atom (Raman scattering).†

Since the electromagnetic perturbation operator has no matrix elements for transitions in which two photon occupation numbers simultaneously change, the scattering effect appears only in the second approximation of perturbation theory. It must be regarded as taking place via certain intermediate states, which may be of one of two types:

(I) The photon \( k \) is absorbed and the atom enters one of its possible states \( E_n \); in the subsequent transition to the final state, the photon \( k' \) is emitted;

(II) The photon \( k' \) is emitted and the atom enters the state \( E_n \); in the transition to the final state, the photon \( k \) is absorbed.

In this process, the matrix element is represented by the sum

\[
V_{21} = \sum_n \left( \frac{V'_{2n}V_{n1}}{\delta_1 - \delta^i_n} + \frac{V_{2n}V'_{n1}}{\delta_1 - \delta^i_n} \right)
\]

(60.2)

(see QM (43.6)), where the initial energy of the atom + photons system is \( \delta_1 = E_1 + \omega \), and the energies of the intermediate states are

\[
\delta^i_n = E_n, \quad \delta^i_n = E_n + \omega + \omega'.
\]

The \( V' \) are the matrix elements for the absorption of the photon \( k \), and the \( V' \) are those for the emission of the photon \( k' \); the initial state is excluded from the summation over \( n \),

† In this chapter, the suffixes 1 and 2 will denote quantities pertaining respectively to the initial and final states of a scattering system.
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this being indicated by the prime to the summation sign. The scattering cross-section is

\[ d\sigma = 2\pi |V'_{21}| \frac{\omega'^2 \, do'}{(2\pi)^3}, \]  

(60.3)

where \( do' \) is a solid-angle element for the directions \( k' \).†

We shall assume that the wavelengths of the initial and final photons are large compared with the dimensions \( a \) of the scattering system. All transitions will therefore be considered in the dipole approximation. If the photon states are described by plane waves, this approximation is equivalent to replacing the factors \( e^{ik \cdot r} \) by unity. Then the wave functions of the photons are (in the three-dimensionally transverse gauge)

\[ A_{\omega \omega} = \sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{e}{\sqrt{(2\omega)}} \, e^{-i\omega t}, \quad A_{\omega' \omega'} = \sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{e'}{\sqrt{(2\omega')}} \, e^{-i\omega' t}. \]

Under the conditions considered, the electromagnetic interaction operator may be written as

\[ V = -d \cdot E, \]  

(60.4)

where \( E = -\hat{A} \) is the field strength operator and \( d \) the dipole moment operator of the atom (similarly to the classical expression for the energy of a small system in an electric field; Fields, §42). The matrix elements are

\[ V_{n1} = -i\sqrt{(2\pi\omega)}(e \cdot d_{n1}), \quad V'_{n} = i\sqrt{(2\pi\omega')}(e'^* \cdot d_{2n}). \]

Substituting these expressions in (60.2), (60.3), we find as the scattering cross-section (written in ordinary units)‡

\[ d\sigma = \sum_n \left[ \frac{(d_{2n} \cdot e')(d_{n1} \cdot e)}{\omega_n - \omega} + \frac{(d_{2n} \cdot e)(d_{n1} \cdot e'^*)}{\omega_n + \omega} \right] \frac{\omega \omega'^3}{\hbar^2 c^5} \, do', \]

(60.5)

\[ \hbar \omega_n = E_n - E_1, \quad \hbar \omega_n = E_n - E_2. \]

The summation is over all possible states of the atom, including those of the continuous spectrum (states 1 and 2 cannot appear in the sum, since the diagonal matrix elements \( d_{11} \) and \( d_{22} \) are zero).

We shall use the notation§

\[ (c_{ik})_{21} = \sum_n \left[ \frac{(d_{ik})_{2n}(d_{k1})_{n1}}{\omega_n - \omega} + \frac{(d_{ik})_{2n}(d_{k1})_{n1}}{\omega_n + \omega} \right], \]

(60.6)

where \( i, k = x, y, z \) are three-dimensional vector indices. Then formula (60.5) can be written as

\[ d\sigma = \omega(\omega + \omega_1)^3 |(c_{ik})_{21} e_i^* e_k|^2 \, do'. \]  

(60.7)

The notation (60.6) is justifiable in that this sum can in fact be represented as the matrix element of a certain tensor. This is most easily seen by defining a vector quantity \( b \) whose operator satisfies the equation

\[ \left( i \frac{d}{dt} + \omega \right) b = d. \]

† The radiation energy \( dI' \) scattered into the solid angle \( do' \) per unit time is expressed in terms of the intensity (energy flux density) \( I \) of the incident radiation by

\[ dI' = I(\omega' / \omega) \, d\sigma. \]

‡ This formula was first derived by H. A. Kramers and W. Heisenberg (1925).

§ Most of the results derived in §§60–62 below are due to G. Placzek (1931–1933).
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Its matrix elements are

\[ b_{n1} = \frac{d_{n1}}{\omega - \omega_{n1}} , \quad b_{2n} = \frac{d_{2n}}{\omega + \omega_{n2}} , \]

so that

\[ (c_{ik})_{21} = (b_k d_i - d_i b_k)_{21} . \]  \hspace{1cm} (60.8)

The matrix elements \((c_{ik})_{21}\) will be called the radiation scattering tensor.

It follows from the above that the selection rules for scattering are the same as the selection rules for the matrix elements of an arbitrary tensor of rank two. We can see immediately that, if the system has a centre of symmetry (so that its states can be classified by parity), transitions are possible only between states of the same parity (including transitions without change of state). This rule is the opposite of the parity selection rule for (electric dipole) emission, and so there is an alternate prohibition: transitions allowed in emission are forbidden in scattering, and vice versa.

We can resolve the tensor \(c_{ik}\) into irreducible parts:

\[ c_{ik} = c^0 \delta_{ik} + c^s_{ik} + c^a_{ik} , \]  \hspace{1cm} (60.9)

where

\[
\begin{align*}
  c^0 &= \frac{1}{2} c_{ik} , \\
  c^s_{ik} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (c_{ik} + c_{ki}) - c^0 \delta_{ik} \right\} , \\
  c^a_{ik} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (c_{ik} - c_{ki}) \right\}
\end{align*}
\]

(60.10)

are respectively a scalar, a symmetric tensor (with zero trace) and an antisymmetric tensor. Their matrix elements are

\[
\begin{align*}
  (c^0)_{21} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \frac{\omega_{n1} + \omega_{n2}}{(\omega_{n1} - \omega)(\omega_{n2} + \omega)} (d_i)_{2a} (d_i)_{n1} , \\
  (c^s_{ik})_{21} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \frac{\omega_{n1} + \omega_{n2}}{(\omega_{n1} - \omega)(\omega_{n2} + \omega)} [(d_i)_{2a} (d_k)_{n1} + (d_k)_{2a} (d_i)_{n1}] - (c^0)_{21} \delta_{ik} , \\
  (c^a_{ik})_{21} &= \frac{2\omega + \omega_{12}}{2} \sum_n \frac{(d_i)_{2a} (d_k)_{n1} - (d_k)_{2a} (d_i)_{n1}}{(\omega_{n1} - \omega)(\omega_{n2} + \omega)} .
\end{align*}
\]

(60.11) \hspace{1cm} (60.12) \hspace{1cm} (60.13)

Let us consider some properties of the scattering tensor in the limiting cases of low and high photon frequencies.†

For Rayleigh scattering \((\omega_{12} = 0)\), the antisymmetric part of the tensor vanishes as \(\omega \to 0\), because of the factor \(\omega\) in front of the sum in (60.13). The scalar and symmetric parts of the scattering tensor, however, tend to finite limits as \(\omega \to 0\). The cross-section is therefore proportional to \(\omega^4\) when \(\omega\) is small.

In the opposite case, when the frequency \(\omega\) is large compared with all the frequencies \(\omega_{n1}, \omega_{n2}\) which are important in (60.6) (but of course the wavelength is still much greater than \(\lambda\)), we must arrive at the formulae of the classical theory. The first term in the expansion of the scattering tensor in powers of \(1/\omega\) is

\[
\frac{1}{\omega} \sum_n [(d_k)_{2a} (d_i)_{n1} - (d_i)_{2a} (d_k)_{n1}] = \frac{1}{\omega} (d_k d_i - d_i d_k)_{21} ,
\]

† The case of resonance (when \(\omega\) is close to one of the frequencies \(\omega_{n1}\) and \(\omega_{n2}\)) will be discussed in §64.
and is zero, since the operators $d_i$ and $d_k$ commute. The next term in the expansion is

$$(c_{ik})_{21} = \frac{1}{\omega^2} \sum_n \left[ \omega_2 n (d_k)_{2n}(d_i)_{n1} - (d_i)_{2n}(d_k)_{n1} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{i\omega^2} (d_k d_i - d_i d_k)_{21}.$$ 

Using the definition $d = \sum e_r$ (with the summation over all the electrons in the atom) and the commutation rules for momenta and coordinates, we obtain

$$(c_{ik})_{11} = -\frac{Z e^2}{m \omega^2} \delta_{ik}, \quad (c_{ik})_{21} = 0,$$

(60.14)

where $Z$ is the total number of electrons in the system. Thus, in the limit of high frequencies, there remains in the scattering tensor only the scalar part, and scattering takes place without change in the state of the system, i.e. the scattering is entirely coherent (see below). The scattering cross-section in this case is

$$d\sigma = r_e^2 Z^2 |e^{\ast} \cdot e|^2 \, do',$$

(60.15)

where $r_e = e^2/m$. After summing over polarisations of the final photon, we have

$$d\sigma = r_e^2 Z^2 \{1 - (e \cdot n)^2 \} \, do'$$

$$= r_e^2 Z^2 \sin^2 \theta \, do',$$

(60.16)

which is in fact the same as the classical Thomson’s formula (Fields, (80.7)); $\theta$ is the angle between the direction of scattering and the polarisation vector of the incident photon.

Let us consider the scattering of radiation by an assembly of $N$ identical atoms situated in a region small compared with the wavelength. The corresponding scattering tensor is equal to the sum of the tensors for scattering by each atom. It must, however, be remembered that the wave functions (which are used to calculate the dipole moment matrix elements) for several identical atoms taken together are not simply equal functions. The wave functions are essentially defined only to within an arbitrary phase factor, which is different for each atom. The scattering cross-section has to be averaged over the phase factor of each atom separately.

The scattering tensor $(c_{ik})_{21}$ of each atom includes a factor $e^{i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)}$, where $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ are the phases of the wave functions of the initial and final states. For Raman scattering, the states 1 and 2 are different, and this factor is not equal to unity. In the squared modulus

$$|e^{\ast} \cdot e| \sum (c_{ik})_{21}^2,$$

where the sum is over all $N$ atoms, the products of terms pertaining to different atoms will include phase factors which vanish on independent averaging over the phases of the atoms, and only the squared modulus of each term remains. This means that the total cross-section for scattering by $N$ atoms is found by taking $N$ times the cross-section for scattering by one atom; the scattering is incoherent.

If, however, the initial and final states of the atom are the same, then the factors $e^{i(\phi_1 - \phi_2)} = 1$. The amplitude for scattering by the assembly of atoms is $N$ times that for scattering by one atom, and the scattering cross-section consequently differs by a factor $N^2$; the scattering is coherent. If the atomic energy level is not degenerate, Rayleigh scattering is therefore entirely coherent. But if the energy level is degenerate, there will also be incoherent Rayleigh scattering arising from the transitions of the atom between various...
mutually degenerate states. This is a purely quantum effect; in the classical theory, any scattering without change of frequency is coherent.

The coherent scattering tensor is given by the diagonal matrix element \((c_{ik})_{11}\), and will be denoted by \(\alpha_{ik}\), omitting for brevity the index which shows the state of the atom. According to (60.6),

\[
\alpha_{ik}(\omega) \equiv (c_{ik})_{11} = \sum_n \left[ \frac{(d_{i1})_{1n}(d_{k1})_{n1}}{\omega_{n1} - \omega} + \frac{(d_{i1})_{1n}(d_{k1})_{n1}}{\omega_{n1} + \omega} \right].
\]

(60.17)

Since \((d_{i1})_{1n} = (d_{i1})^{*}\), this tensor is easily seen to be Hermitian†:

\[
\alpha_{ik} = \alpha_{ki}^{*}.
\]

(60.18)

This means that its scalar and symmetric parts are real, and its antisymmetric part is imaginary. The latter is certainly zero if the atom is in a non-degenerate state; the wave function of such a state is real,‡ and therefore the diagonal matrix elements are also real.

The tensor \(\alpha_{ik}\) is related to the polarisability of the atom in an external electric field. To show this relation, let us calculate the correction to the mean value of the dipole moment of the system when the latter is placed in an external electric field

\[
\frac{1}{2}(E e^{-i\omega t} + E^{*} e^{i\omega t}).
\]

(60.19)

This can be done by using a well-known formula of perturbation theory \((QM, \S 40)\). If the system is subjected to a perturbation

\[ V = F e^{-i\omega t} + F^{*} e^{i\omega t}, \]

then the first-order correction to the diagonal matrix elements of a quantity \(f\) is

\[
f_{11}^{(1)}(t) = -\sum_n \left\{ \left[ \frac{f_{1n}^{(0)} F_{n1}}{\omega_{n1} - \omega} + \frac{f_{1n}^{(0)} F_{1n}^{*}}{\omega_{n1} + \omega} \right] e^{-i\omega t} + \left[ \frac{f_{1n}^{(0)} F_{1n}^{*}}{\omega_{n1} + \omega} + \frac{f_{1n}^{(0)} F_{n1}}{\omega_{n1} - \omega} \right] e^{i\omega t} \right\}.
\]

In the present case

\[ F = -\frac{1}{2}d\cdot E, \]

and the correction to the diagonal matrix element of the dipole moment is found to be

\[
d_{11}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2}(d e^{-i\omega t} + d^{*} e^{i\omega t}),
\]

(60.20)

where \(d\) is a vector whose components are

\[ d_{i} = \alpha_{ik} E_{k}. \]

(60.21)

The last formula shows that the coherent Rayleigh scattering tensor \(\alpha_{ik}(\omega)\) is also the polarisability tensor of the atom in a field of frequency \(\omega\). When \(\omega = 0\), formula (60.21) becomes \(QM\) (76.6), with the static polarisability tensor \(\alpha_{ik}(0)\) in the form given by the usual perturbation theory in a constant field.

† This result depends on the neglect of the natural line width, and therefore of the possible absorption of the incident radiation; see §64.

‡ This fact is related to the symmetry under time reversal (there is assumed to be no external magnetic field). When \(t\) is replaced by \(-t\), the wave function \(\psi\) of a stationary state becomes \(\psi^{*}\), i.e. \(\psi\) and \(\psi^{*}\) both describe possible states having the same energy. Hence it follows that, if the level is not degenerate, \(\psi\) and \(\psi^{*}\) must be the same (apart from an unimportant phase factor), so that \(\psi\) can always be defined as a real function. If the level is degenerate, the wave functions belonging to that level are transformed into combinations of one another by complex conjugation, and are therefore not necessarily real.
The scattering tensor

PROBLEMS†

Problem 1. Calculate the probability of simultaneous emission of two photons by an atom (M. Göppert-Mayer, 1931).‡

Solution. The emission of two quanta is, like scattering, a second-approximation effect in perturbation theory. The required probability differs from (60.5) in only two ways: (1) \( \omega \) becomes \(-\omega \) and \( e \) becomes \( e^* \) (emission of the photon \( \omega \), instead of absorption), (2) there is an extra factor

\[
d^2k/(2\pi)^3 = \omega^3 \, \text{d} \omega \, \text{d} \omega \, \text{d}^2k.
\]

The emission probability per unit time is therefore

\[
dw = \frac{8}{9\pi} \sum_n \left[ \frac{(d_{2n} \cdot e^*)(d_{n1} \cdot e^*)}{\omega_{1n} - \omega} + \frac{(d_{2n} \cdot e^*)(d_{n1} \cdot e^*)}{\omega_{1n} - \omega'} \right]^2 \frac{\omega^3 \omega'^3}{(2\pi)^3 \hbar^2 c^5} \, \text{d} \omega \, \text{d} \omega'.
\]

(1)

The sum of the frequencies \( \omega + \omega' = \omega_{12} \). Summing this expression over the polarisations of the photons and integrating over their directions of emission, we obtain

\[
dw = \frac{8}{9\pi} \sum_n \left[ \frac{(d_{2n} \cdot d_{n1})}{\omega_{1n} - \omega} + \frac{(d_{2n} \cdot d_{n1})}{\omega_{1n} - \omega'} \right]^2 \frac{\omega^3 \omega'^3}{\hbar^2 c^5} \, \text{d} \omega.
\]

Problem 2. Calculate the cross-section for “induced scattering”, in which the incident photon \( k \) is left unchanged but causes the atom to emit two photons: another similar photon \( k' \) and a “scattered” photon \( \omega' \).

Solution. The probability of this process differs from that derived in Problem 1, equation (1), for the simultaneous emission of two photons, by a factor \( 2N_{ke} \), where \( N_{ke} \) is the number of incident photons having given values of \( k \) and \( e \); the factor 2 occurs because either of the two photons concerned in formula (1) can be the result of induced emission. The incident photon flux density is

\[
dl = cN_{ke} \, \text{d}^2k/(2\pi)^3 = 2N_{ke}(\omega^2/8\pi c^3) \, \text{d} \omega.
\]

Hence, expressing \( 2N_{ke} \) in terms of \( dl \) and dividing the probability of the process by \( dl \), we obtain the cross-section

\[
d\sigma = \frac{2\omega \omega'^2}{\hbar^2 c^5} \, \text{d} \omega'.
\]

Here \( \omega \) is the frequency of the incident and “induced” photons, and \( \omega' \) is that of the scattered photon \( (\omega + \omega' = \omega_{12}) \).

Problem 3. Calculate the probability of elastic scattering of a (non-relativistic) electron by an almost monochromatic standing light wave (P. L. Kapitza and P. A. M. Dirac, 1933).

Solution. The standing wave may be regarded as a combination of photons with momenta \( k \) and \(-k \) (and equal polarisations). The scattering of the electron may be regarded as the absorption of a photon \( k \) and induced emission of a photon \(-k \), so that the electron momentum \( p \) is changed by \( 2\hbar k \) and rotated (without change of magnitude) through an angle \( \theta \) such that \( p \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta = \hbar \omega/c \). The probability of this process can be obtained from the Thomson scattering cross-section (60.15),

\[
d\sigma = r^2_{e*} |e^* \cdot e|^2 \, \text{d} \omega'
\]

by multiplying by the flux density of photons with momentum \( k \) and the number of photons with momentum \(-k \).

The flux density of photons having frequencies in the range \( d\omega \) is \( cU_\omega d\omega /2\hbar \omega \), where \( U_\omega d\omega \) is the energy density in the standing wave in the spectrum interval \( d\omega \); the factor \( 1/2 \) appears because the energy of the wave is equally divided between the photons moving in opposite directions. The momenta \( k \) of all the photons forming the standing wave are parallel to a certain direction \( n \) (the “direction” of the standing

† In the Problems, ordinary units are used.

‡ The probability of the emission of two photons \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) is usually very small compared with that of the emission of a single photon with frequency \( \omega + \omega' \). An exception occurs in cases where the selection rules forbid the latter process but allow the former, such as transitions between two states with \( J = 0 \), where all processes of single-photon emission are strictly forbidden. Another example is the transition from the first excited state of the hydrogen atom (2s1) to the ground state (1s1). For E1 radiation, this transition is strictly forbidden by parity. It is also forbidden for M1 radiation (if the very weak spin–orbit interaction is neglected): the magnetic moment in this case (\( f = 0 \)) is a purely spin quantity, and its matrix element is zero because the orbital functions with different principal quantum numbers are orthogonal. The lifetime of the 2s1 level due to two-photon emission is about seven seconds.
Scattering of radiation

§61. Scattering by freely oriented systems

If an atomic energy level is not degenerate, the polarisability and intensity of coherent scattering are determined by the same tensor \( \alpha_{ik} \equiv (c_{ik})_{11} \). If the level is degenerate, however, the observed values of these quantities are averaged over all states belonging to the level in question. The polarisability must be defined as the mean value\(^\dagger\)

\[
\alpha_{ik} = (c_{ik})_{11}.
\]

The observed scattering intensity is determined by the products

\[
(c_{ik})_{11}(c_{im})_{11}.
\]

The relation between the polarisability and the scattering is therefore more indirect.

For free atoms or molecules (not in an external field), the degeneracy of levels is usually due to an angular momentum which is freely oriented in space. Let the initial state in scattering have angular momentum \( J_1 \), and the final state \( J_2 \). As usual, the scattering cross-section must be averaged over all values of the component \( M_1 \), and summed over the values of \( M_2 \). After the averaging, the cross-section is independent of \( M_2 \), and the summation is therefore equivalent to multiplying by \( 2J_2 + 1 \). Thus the averaged scattering cross-section is

\[
d\sigma = \omega \omega'^3 c^{(21)}_{klm} e_i^* e_k^* e_m^* d\omega',
\]

where

\[
c^{(21)}_{klm} = \frac{1}{2J_1 + 1} \sum_{M_1,M_2} (c_{ik})_{21}(c_{im})_{21}^* = (2J_2 + 1)(c_{ik})_{21}(c_{im})_{21}^*;
\]

the bar with index 1 signifies averaging over \( M_1 \).

For Rayleigh scattering, states 1 and 2 belong to the same energy level (\( \omega_{12} = 0 \)). If only coherent scattering is considered, then states 1 and 2 must coincide completely, so that \( M_1 = M_2 \). In that case the summation over \( M_2 \), and hence the factor \( 2J_2 + 1 \) in (61.2), no longer appear:

\[
c^{coh}_{iklm} = (c_{ik})_{11}(c_{im})_{11}^*.
\]

The result of the averaging can be written down without further calculation by using the fact that averaging over \( M_1 \) is equivalent to averaging over all orientations of the system.

\(^\dagger\) Although each of the quantities \( (c_{ik})_{11} \) may be complex, their mean value (for a system not in an external magnetic field) is real, since on averaging we can choose arbitrarily the set of independent wave functions (corresponding to a given degenerate level), and we can always ensure that all the functions are real.
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after which the mean value can only be expressed in terms of the unit tensor $\delta_{ik}$, and the only non-zero mean values are those of products of components of either the scalar, the symmetric or the antisymmetric part of the scattering tensor; it is clear that the unit tensor cannot yield expressions with the symmetry properties of cross-products. Thus

$$c_{iklm}^{(21)} = G_{21}^0 \delta_{ik} \delta_{lm} + c_{iklm}^{(21)s} + c_{iklm}^{(21)a},$$

(61.4)

where

$$G_{21}^0 = (2J_2 + 1) \langle c_{21}^0 \rangle^2,$$

$$c_{iklm}^{(21)s} = (2J_2 + 1) \langle c_{ik}^s \rangle_{21} \langle c_{lm}^s \rangle_{21}^{-1},$$

$$c_{iklm}^{(21)a} = (2J_2 + 1) \langle c_{ik}^a \rangle_{21} \langle c_{lm}^a \rangle_{21}^{-1},$$

(61.5)

The scattering cross-section (and therefore the scattering intensity) for a freely oriented system is therefore a sum of three independent parts, which will be referred to as scalar, symmetric and antisymmetric scattering.

Each of the three terms in (61.4) can be expressed in terms of one independent quantity: the scalar scattering is expressed in terms of $G_{21}^s$, and for the symmetric and antisymmetric scattering we have

$$c_{iklm}^{(21)s} = \frac{1}{10} G_{21}^s (\delta_{ik} \delta_{lm} + \delta_{im} \delta_{kl} - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ik} \delta_{lm}),$$

$$G_{21}^s = (2J_2 + 1) \langle c_{ik}^s \rangle_{21} \langle c_{lm}^s \rangle_{21}^{-1};$$

$$c_{iklm}^{(21)a} = \frac{1}{6} G_{21}^a (\delta_{ik} \delta_{lm} - \delta_{im} \delta_{kl}),$$

$$G_{21}^a = (2J_2 + 1) \langle c_{ik}^a \rangle_{21} \langle c_{lm}^a \rangle_{21}^{-1};$$

(61.6)

the combinations of unit tensors are derived from the symmetry properties, and the common factor is then found by contracting with respect to the pairs of indices $i, l$ and $k, m$.

On substituting (61.4)–(61.6) in (61.1), we obtain for the scattering cross-section

$$d\bar{\sigma} = \omega \omega^3 \left\{ G_{21}^0 | e^* \cdot e |^2 + \frac{1}{10} G_{21}^s (1 + |e^* \cdot e|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |e^* \cdot e|^2) + \frac{1}{6} G_{21}^a (1 - |e^* \cdot e|^2) \right\} \, d\omega'.$$

(61.7)

This formula shows explicitly the angular dependences and polarisation properties of the scattering.

The total cross-section for scattering in any direction, summed over the polarisation of the final photon and averaged over the polarisation and direction of incidence of the initial photon, is easily obtained directly from (61.1) by noting that

$$e^*_i e_k = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ik}$$

if the averaging is over both the polarisation and the direction of propagation of the photon; summation over these would give a corresponding result larger by a factor $2 \times 4\pi$. The result is

$$\bar{\sigma} = \frac{8\pi}{9} \omega \omega^3 c_{ikik}^{(21)}$$

$$= \frac{8\pi}{9} \omega \omega^3 (3G_{21}^0 + G_{21}^s + G_{21}^a).$$

(61.8)

It has already been mentioned that the selection rules for scattering are the same as those for the matrix elements of an arbitrary tensor of rank two. Because of the separation of the scattering intensity into three independent parts, it is convenient to state the rules for each part separately.
The selection rules for symmetric scattering are the same as those for electric quadrupole radiation, since the latter is likewise determined by an irreducible symmetric tensor (the quadrupole moment tensor). For antisymmetric scattering, the selection rules are the same as those for magnetic dipole radiation, since both are determined by an axial vector (an antisymmetric tensor is equivalent, or dual, to an axial vector).† The diagonal matrix elements relate to coherent scattering.

For scalar scattering the selection rules are the same as those for the matrix elements of a scalar. This means that only transitions between states of the same symmetry are possible. In particular, the values of the total angular momentum \( J \) and its component \( M \) must be the same, and the matrix elements diagonal in \( M \) are independent of \( M \); see \( QM \), (29.2). For Rayleigh scattering, therefore, states 1 and 2 must coincide completely (as regards \( M \) as well as energy), and so scalar Rayleigh scattering is entirely coherent. Conversely, since in scalar scattering all states always combine with themselves, it follows that in coherent scattering there is always a scalar part.

For a system freely oriented in space, the polarisability tensor must be averaged over the directions of the angular momentum \( J_1 \), in the same way as the scattering cross-section has been averaged above. The averaging is very simply carried out: we evidently have

\[
\alpha_{ik} = \frac{1}{(c_{ik})_{11}} = (c^0)_{11}^{-1} \delta_{ik}.
\]

The symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the scattering tensor vanish on averaging, since \( \delta_{ik} \) is the only isotropic tensor of rank two.

It has been mentioned that the diagonal matrix elements of a scalar are independent of \( M_1 \). The mark of averaging of \((c^0)_{11}\) may therefore be omitted, and this quantity calculated for any \( M_1 \), so that the polarisability is

\[
\alpha_{ik} = (c^0)_{11} \delta_{ik}.
\]

For the same reason, the averaging sign may be omitted in the quantity \( G^0_{11} \), which determines the scalar part of the coherent scattering:

\[
G^0_{11} = [(c^0)_{11}]^2 = (c^0)_{11}^2;
\]

the factor \( 2J_2 + 1 \) is omitted in accordance with (61.3). Thus there is a simple relation between the mean polarisability and the scalar part of the coherent scattering: both are determined by the quantity

\[
(c^0)_{11} = \frac{2}{3} \sum_n \frac{\omega_{n1}}{\omega_{n1}^2 + \omega_2^2} |d_{n1}|^2.
\]

PROBLEMS

**Problem 1.** Find the angular distribution and the degree of depolarisation in the scattering of linearly polarised radiation.

**Solution.** Let \( \theta \) be the angle between the direction of scattering \( n' \) and the direction of polarisation \( e \) of the incident radiation. The scattered radiation has two independent components, polarised one in the plane of \( n' \) and \( e \) (intensity \( I_1 \)) and one perpendicularly to this plane (intensity \( I_2 \)); the degree of depolarisation is \( I_2/I_1 \). The intensities \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) are given by (61.7) with the appropriate directions of \( e' \).

† This refers, of course, to the selection rules based on symmetry, and not due to the specific form of the axial vector in the case of emission; the magnetic moment vector includes a spin part, whereas in scattering we have the matrix elements of purely orbital (coordinate) quantities.
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In scalar scattering, the radiation remains completely polarised in the same plane ($I_z = 0$), and the angular distribution of intensity is

$$I = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \theta.$$ 

Here and below, the expressions for $I = I_1 + I_2$ are normalised so as to give unity on averaging over directions.

In symmetric scattering

$$I = \frac{1}{2}(6 + \sin^2 \theta), \quad I_1/I_2 = 3/(3 + \sin^2 \theta).$$

In antisymmetric scattering

$$I = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos^2 \theta), \quad I_1/I_2 = 1/\cos^2 \theta.$$ 

Problem 2. The same as Problem 1, but for the scattering of natural light.

Solution. Formula (61.7) can be applied to natural (unpolarised) incident light by the substitution

$$e_i e^*_e \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ie} - n_in_3,$$

which corresponds to averaging over the direction of polarisation $e$ with a given direction of incidence $n_1.$ The scattered light will be partly polarised, and from considerations of symmetry it is evident that its two independent components will be linearly polarised in the scattering plane of $n$ and $n'$ (intensity $I_0$) and perpendicularly to this plane (intensity $I_1$). The scattering angle between $n$ and $n'$ will be denoted by $\theta.$

For scalar scattering

$$I = I_0 + I_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos^2 \theta), \quad I_1/I_0 = \cos^2 \theta.$$

For symmetric scattering

$$I = \frac{1}{2}(13 + \cos^2 \theta), \quad I_1/I_0 = (6 + \cos^2 \theta)/7.$$ 

For antisymmetric scattering

$$I = \frac{1}{2}(2 + \sin^2 \theta), \quad I_1/I_0 = 1 + \sin^2 \theta.$$ 

Problem 3. For scattering of circularly polarised radiation, determine the reversal factor (the ratio of the intensity of the component circularly polarised in the "reverse" direction to that of the component polarised in the original direction).

Solution. For circularly polarised incident radiation, the angular distribution and the degree of depolarisation ($I_1/I_0$) are the same as in the scattering of natural light.

Let the vector $e$ of the incident radiation have components $(1/\sqrt{2})(1, i, 0)$ in coordinates such that the $xz$-plane is the scattering plane and the $z$-axis is along $n.$ Then the polarisation vectors for the reverse and original circularly polarised components of the scattered radiation are

$$e' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\cos \theta, -l, -\sin \theta) \quad \text{and} \quad e'' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\cos \theta, l, -\sin \theta).$$

Calculation of the intensity by means of (61.7) gives the reversal factors $P$ for the three types of scattering:

$$P^0 = \tan^4 \frac{1}{2} \theta, \quad P^1 = \frac{13 + \cos^2 \theta + 10 \cos \theta}{13 + \cos^2 \theta - 10 \cos \theta}, \quad P^2 = \frac{1 - \cos^4 \frac{1}{2} \theta}{1 - \sin^4 \frac{1}{2} \theta},$$

where $\theta$ is the scattering angle.

Problem 4. Calculate the cross-section for elastic scattering of $\gamma$ rays by a deuteron (H. A. Bethe and R. Peierls, 1935).

Solution. The wave functions of the deuteron ground state and of its continuous-spectrum states (the dissociated deuteron) are

$$\psi_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}} e^{-\kappa r}, \quad \psi_p = e^{ip\cdot r}, \quad \kappa = \sqrt{(2\pi)};$$

see (58.2), (58.3). The dipole moment $d = \frac{1}{2}e\kappa r$ (only the proton has a charge, and its position vector is $\frac{1}{2}r$).

The matrix element

$$d_{po} = \int \psi_p^* d\psi_0 \, d^3x$$

$$= e \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p} \int \frac{d^3x}{r} e^{-\kappa r + ip\cdot r}$$

$$= 8\pi e \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi (\kappa^2 + p^2)^2}},$$

the integral being calculated by means of (57.6a).
The polarisability tensor is

\[ a_{ik} = \int \frac{2\omega_{p\omega}}{\omega_{p\omega} - \omega^2} (d_{i\alpha} d_{k\beta}) \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} - \frac{e^2}{2M\omega^2} \delta_{ik}. \]

The first term is due to the virtual excitation of the internal degrees of freedom of the deuteron, and is written in the form (61.11), with frequencies \( \omega_{p\omega} = (p^2 + k^2)/M \). The second term is due to the action of the wave field on the translational motion of the deuteron as a whole. Since this motion is quasi-classical, the corresponding part of the scattering tensor is given by (60.14), with \( m \) replaced by the deuteron mass \( 2M \).

The calculation of \( a_{ik} \) depends on that of the integral

\[ J = \int \frac{z^4 dz}{(z^2 + 1)^{(z^2 + 1)^2 - \gamma^2}}. \]

We have

\[ J = \frac{1}{8} \int \left[ \frac{d}{dz} \left( \frac{1}{z} \frac{dz}{d\gamma} \right) \right]_{\gamma=1}, \]

where

\[ J_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{z^4 dz}{(z^2 + \lambda^2)(z^2 + 1)^2 - \gamma^2}. \]

When \( \gamma < 1 \), the integrand has poles at the points \( \pm i\sqrt{(1 - \gamma)} \), \( i\sqrt{(1 + \gamma)} \), \( i\sqrt{(1 - \gamma)} \) in the upper half-plane of the complex variable \( z \); the integral \( J_0 \) can be calculated from the residues at these poles. The result is

\[ J = \pi \left\{ \frac{(1 + \gamma)^{3/2}}{2\gamma^2} + \frac{(1 - \gamma)^{3/2}}{2\gamma^2} - \frac{3}{8\gamma^2} \right\}. \]

The total scattering cross-section is expressed in terms of \( a_{ik} \) by (61.8), and is (in ordinary units)

\[ \sigma = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left( \frac{e^2}{M^2c^2} \right)^2 \left| 1 - \frac{4}{3\gamma^2} + \frac{2}{3\gamma^2} (1 + \gamma)^{\frac{3}{2}} + (1 - \gamma)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right|^2 \]

for \( \gamma = \hbar\omega/\nu < 1 \).

For \( \gamma > 1 \) the scattering amplitude (above the deuteron dissociation threshold) is found from that for \( \gamma < 1 \) by analytical continuation; it has an imaginary part, which must be positive:

\[ \sigma = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left( \frac{e^2}{M^2c^2} \right)^2 \left| 1 - \frac{4}{3\gamma^2} + \frac{2}{3\gamma^2} (1 + \gamma)^{\frac{3}{2}} + i \frac{2}{3\gamma^2} (1 - \gamma)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right|^2 \]

for \( \gamma > 1 \).

When \( \gamma \gg 1 \) we have \( \sigma = (8\pi/3)(e^2/Mc^2)^2 \), which agrees, as it should, with (non-relativistic) scattering by a free proton.

The angular distribution of radiation is

\[ d\sigma = \sigma \cdot \frac{1}{4}(1 + \cos^2 \theta) \, d\omega/4\pi, \]

where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle. If the scattering amplitude is defined so that \( d\sigma = |J|^2 \, d\omega \), we have

\[ \text{im} \, f(\omega) = \frac{2e^2}{3Mc^2} \frac{(\gamma - 1)^{3/2}}{\gamma^4} \]

for \( \gamma > 1 \).

According to the optical theorem, this quantity must equal \( \omega \sigma_{\text{inel}}/4\pi \), where \( \sigma_{\text{inel}} \) is the total cross-section for inelastic (photodissociation) and elastic scattering. In the present case, however, the elastic scattering cross-section is of a higher order (~ \( e^4 \)) than the dissociation cross-section (~ \( e^2 \); see (58.4)), and therefore \( \text{im} \, f(\omega) \approx \omega \sigma_{\text{inel}}/4\pi \). For the same reason, in the approximation considered, the scattering amplitude was found to be real for \( \gamma < 1 \) (i.e. below the dissociation threshold).

§62. Scattering by molecules

The specific properties of molecular scattering are due to the same properties of molecules as form the basis of the theory of molecular spectra, namely the possibility of treating separately the state of the electrons with the nuclei fixed and the motion of the nuclei in a given effective field of the electrons.

Let the frequency \( \omega \) of the incident radiation be less than the energy \( \omega_e \) of the first electron excitation. Then the electron terms will not be excited in the scattering process.
The scattering will be either Rayleigh scattering, or Raman scattering due to the excitation of rotational or vibrational levels.

Let us further assume that the electron ground term of the molecule is not degenerate (and has no fine structure). That is, we assume that the total spin of the electrons and the component of their total orbital angular momentum along the axis of the molecule (for molecules of the symmetrical-top type) are both zero. For diatomic molecules this means that the electron ground term must be $^1\Sigma$. These conditions are known to be satisfied for the ground states of most molecules.†

Finally, we shall assume the frequency $\omega$ large compared with the intervals in the nuclear (rotational and vibrational) structure of the ground term, and the difference $\omega_e - \omega$ to be in a similar relation to the nuclear structure of the excited term. Thus the frequency of the incident radiation must be sufficiently far from resonances. These conditions make it possible, in calculating the scattering tensor, to ignore at first the motion of the nuclei and to discuss the problem with a given configuration of the nuclei.

In such a problem, the scattering tensor is the same as the polarisability tensor, $\alpha_{ik}(q) \equiv (c_{ik})_{11}$, and can in principle be calculated from the general formula (60.17), in which the summation is over all excited electron terms. The quantities $\alpha_{ik}$ thus obtained will be functions of the coordinates $q$ of the nuclear configuration (the energies and wave functions of the electron terms depend on these coordinates as parameters). Since the state is not degenerate, the tensor $\alpha_{ik}(q)$ is real, and therefore symmetrical.

The tensor $\alpha_{ik}(q)$ is the electronic polarisability of a given nuclear configuration in the molecule. To solve an actual problem of scattering, we have also to take into account the motion of the nuclei in the initial and final states. Let $\psi_{s_1}(q)$ and $\psi_{s_2}(q)$ be the nuclear wave functions of these states, $s_1$ and $s_2$ being the set of vibrational and rotational quantum numbers. The required scattering tensor is the matrix element of the tensor $\alpha_{ik}(q)$ with respect to these functions:

$$\langle s_2 | \alpha_{ik} | s_1 \rangle = \int \psi_{s_2}^*(q) \alpha_{ik}(q) \psi_{s_1}(q) \, dq. \quad (62.1)$$

Because the tensor $\alpha_{ik}(q)$ is symmetrical, so is the tensor (62.1) (whether $s_1$ and $s_2$ are the same or not). Thus we conclude that, under the conditions stated, there will be no antisymmetric part in either Rayleigh or Raman scattering. The scattering will include only scalar and symmetric parts.

The scalar part $\alpha^0(q)$ of the polarisability is independent of the orientation of the molecule, and depends only on the internal configuration of the atoms within it. Let $v$ denote the set of vibrational quantum numbers of the molecule, and $r$ the set of rotational numbers other than the magnetic number $m$. Then the matrix elements are

$$\langle v_2 r_2 m_2 | \alpha^0 | v_1 r_1 m_1 \rangle = \langle v_2 | \alpha^0 | v_1 \rangle \delta_{r_1 r_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2}. \quad (62.2)$$

The diagonality with respect to the numbers $r$ and $m$ is true of any scalar. The particular property of (62.2) is that here the elements do not depend on these numbers at all. Thus the scalar scattering occurs only for purely vibrational transitions and does not depend on the rotational state.

† The results given below are, however, valid (to a certain approximation) also for cases where degeneracy of the electron ground term is due to a non-zero spin, the spin–orbit interaction being small (so that the resulting fine structure may be neglected). In this approximation, states with different spin directions do not combine, and in this sense they behave as if they were not degenerate. The molecule $O_2$, with ground term $^3\Sigma$, is of this type.
Scattering of radiation

The symmetric scattering is determined by the matrix elements of the tensor $\alpha_{ik}$. Its components in a fixed coordinate system $xyz$ are expressed in terms of the components $\tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'}$, in a system $\xi\eta\zeta$ moving with the molecule by

$$\alpha_{ik} = \sum_{i'k'} \tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'} D_{i'i} D_{k'k},$$

(62.3)

where the $D_{i'i}$ are the direction cosines of the new axes relative to the old. The quantities $\tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'}$ do not depend on the orientation of the molecule, and the $D_{i'i}$ do not depend on the internal coordinates. Hence

$$\langle v_2 r_2 m_2 | \alpha_{ik} | v_1 r_1 m_1 \rangle = \sum_{i'k'} \langle v_2 | \tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'} | v_1 \rangle \langle r_2 m_2 | D_{i'i} D_{k'k} | r_1 m_1 \rangle.$$ 

The sum of the squared moduli of these quantities over $r_2, m_2$ is easily seen to be

$$\sum_{r_2, m_2} \sum_{i'k'} | \langle v_2 r_2 m_2 | \alpha_{ik} \rangle |^2 = \sum_{i'k'} \langle v_2 | \tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'} | v_1 \rangle ^2.$$ 

(62.4)

This means that the total intensity of scattering with transitions from a given vibrational-rotational level $v_1, r_1$ to all rotational levels of the vibrational state $v_2$ is independent of $r_1$.

For molecules of the symmetrical-top type, we can go further and derive a relation between the scattering intensity and the rotational quantum numbers for every transition $v_1 r_1 \rightarrow v_2 r_2$. In this case the numbers $r$ are the angular momentum $J$ and its component $k$ along the axis of the molecule. We replace the Cartesian components of $\alpha_{ik}$ by the corresponding spherical tensor of rank two, denoting its components by $\alpha_{i'k'} (\lambda = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2)$. According to (b.6), the squared moduli of its matrix elements are

$$| \langle v_2 J_2 K_2 m_2 | \alpha_{i'k'} | v_1 J_1 K_1 m_1 \rangle |^2 = (2J_1 + 1)(2J_2 + 1) \left( \begin{array}{cc} J_1 & 2 \\ \lambda' & k_1 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{cc} J_2 & 2 \\ -m_2 & \lambda \end{array} \right) \langle v_2 | \tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'} | v_1 \rangle ^2,$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'}(q)$ is the spherical polarisation tensor relative to axes fixed in the molecule, and $\lambda' = k_2 - k_1$. Summing over $m_2$ and $\lambda = m_2 - m_1$ (with $m$ fixed), we obtain (cf. (b.7))

$$\sum_{m_2, \lambda} | \langle v_2 J_2 K_2 m_2 | \alpha_{i'k'} | v_1 J_1 K_1 m_1 \rangle |^2 = (2J_2 + 1) \left( \begin{array}{cc} J_2 & 2 \\ \lambda' & k_1 \end{array} \right) \langle v_2 | \tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'} | v_1 \rangle ^2.$$ 

(62.5)

This quantity determines the intensity of scattering with the vibrational-rotational transition $v_1 J_1 K_1 \rightarrow v_2 J_2 K_2$. Since the matrix elements $\langle v_2 | \tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'} | v_1 \rangle$ do not depend on the rotation of the molecule, this also defines the dependence of the intensity on $J_1, J_2$ and on $k_1, k_2$. The right-hand side of (62.5), it may be noted, involves only one spherical component of the polarisability tensor.

Summation of (62.5) over $J_2$ and $K_2$ gives

$$\sum_{J_2, K_2, m_2} | \langle v_2 J_2 K_2 m_2 | \alpha_{i'k'} | v_1 J_1 K_1 m_1 \rangle |^2 = \sum_{\lambda' \lambda} | \langle v_2 | \tilde{\alpha}_{i'k'} | v_1 \rangle |^2,$$

and we return to the sum rule (62.4).

† In transforming the sum we use the equation

$$\sum_{r_1, m_1} \sum_{i'k'} \langle r_1 m_1 | D_{i'i} r_2 m_2 \rangle \langle r_2 m_2 | D_{i'i} | r_1 m_1 \rangle = \langle r_1 m_1 | D_{i'i} | r_1 m_1 \rangle = \delta_{i'i}.$$ 

‡ In the summation over $J_2$ with given $k_1, \lambda'$ (and $k_2 = k_1 + \lambda$), we have

$$\sum_{J_2} (2J_2 + 1) \left( \begin{array}{cc} J_2 & 2 \\ \lambda' & k_1 \end{array} \right) = 1$$

according to QM, (106.13). The summation over $k_2$ (or, equivalently, over $\lambda' = k_2 - k_1$) is then effected for given $k_1$. 
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§63

A special case of the symmetrical top is the rotator, a linear molecule (or, as a particular instance, a diatomic molecule). The angular momentum component along the axis of such a molecule is zero (in a non-degenerate electronic state with zero electronic orbital angular momentum).† In this case, therefore, we must put \( k_1 = k_2 = 0 \) in (62.5).

Finally, let us consider the question of the selection rules in vibrational Raman scattering, together with the cognate question of vibrational emission (or absorption) spectra of molecules.‡

For scattering, the problem is simply to find the conditions under which there are non-zero matrix elements of the tensor \( \alpha_{ik}(q) \) with respect to the vibrational wave functions \( \psi_i(q) \); the scalar \( \alpha_0 \) (for scalar scattering) and the irreducible symmetrical tensor \( \alpha_\alpha \) (for symmetric scattering) have to be considered separately. A corresponding role in emission (or absorption) is played by the matrix elements of the vector \( d(q) \), the dipole moment of the molecule averaged over the electronic state with a given position of the nuclei. This has already been stated in §54 for diatomic molecules.

The vibrations of a polyatomic molecule are classified according to types of symmetry, the irreducible representations \( D_a \) of the corresponding point group, where \( a \) numbers the representation (see QM, §100). These representations also define the symmetry of wave functions of vibrational states of the molecule (see QM, §101). The symmetry of the wave functions of the first vibrational state (quantum number \( v_\alpha = 1 \)) is the same as the symmetry \( D_a \) of the vibration type; the symmetry of the higher states (\( v_\alpha > 1 \)) is given by the representations \( [D_\alpha^a] \), which are symmetric products of \( v_\alpha \) representations \( D_a \). Finally, the symmetry of states in which different vibrations \( a \) and \( b \) are simultaneously excited is given by the direct product \( [D_\alpha^a] \times [D_\beta^b] \).§ The selection rules for the various quantities (scalar, vector, tensor) with respect to types of symmetry are found as described in QM, §97.

The selection rules resulting from the symmetry properties of the molecule are rigorous. There are also approximate rules based on the assumption that the vibrations are harmonic and that the functions \( \alpha_{ik}(q) \) or \( d(q) \) can be expanded in powers of the vibrational coordinates \( q \). These are a consequence of the known selection rule for a harmonic oscillator, according to which the matrix elements of the oscillator coordinate \( q \) are zero except for transitions in which the change in the vibrational quantum number \( \Delta v = \pm 1 \).¶

§63. Natural width of spectral lines

So far, in the study of emission and scattering of radiation, we have regarded all the levels of the system (an atom, say), as being strictly discrete. But in fact excited levels have a

† Here we do not include effects due to the interaction between the vibrations and the rotation of the molecule (see QM, §104).
‡ These spectra lie in the infrared, and are usually observed as absorption spectra.
§ The symmetry properties of the vibrational wave functions are, of course, independent of the specific form of the vibrational potential energy, and in particular independent of the assumption made in QM, §101, that the vibrations are harmonic.
certain probability of emission, and therefore a finite lifetime. According to the general principles of quantum mechanics, this has the result that the levels become quasi-discrete, with a certain small but finite width (see *QM*, §132); they can be written in the form $E - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma$, where $\Gamma (= \Gamma/\hbar)$ is the total probability (per unit time) of all possible processes of "decay" of the state concerned.

Let us consider how this situation affects the process of emission (V. Weisskopf and E. Wigner, 1930). It is evident that, because of the finite width of the levels, the emitted radiation will not be strictly monochromatic: its frequencies will be spread over a range $\Delta \omega \sim 1/\Gamma (= \hbar/\Gamma)$. But, in order to measure the frequency distribution of the photons with this accuracy, the time needed is $T \gg 1/\Delta \omega \sim \Gamma$. During this time the level will almost certainly decay by emission. We therefore have to deal with the determination of the total probability of emission of a photon of a given frequency, not with the probability per unit time. We shall calculate this total probability, first of all, for a transition of an atom from some excited level $E_1 - \frac{i}{2} \Gamma_1$ to the ground level $E_2$, which has an infinite lifetime and is therefore strictly discrete.

Let $\Psi$ be the wave function of the atom and the photon field, and $H = H^{(0)} + V$ the Hamiltonian of the system, where $V$ is the atom–field interaction operator. We shall seek a solution of Schrödinger's equation

$$i \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = (H^{(0)} + V)\Psi$$

(63.1)

in the form of an expansion in terms of the unperturbed states of the system:

$$\Psi = \sum_v a_v(t) \psi_v^{(0)} = \sum_v a_v(t) e^{-iE_v t} \psi_v^{(0)}.$$  

(63.2)

For the coefficients $a_v(t)$ we obtain the equations

$$i \frac{\partial a_v}{\partial t} = \sum_v \langle v | V | v' \rangle a_v \exp \{i(E_v - E_v')t\}.$$  

(63.3)

Let $|v\rangle$ be a state with energy $E_v = E_2 + \omega$, in which the atom is at the ground level $E_2$ and there is one quantum with a definite frequency $\omega$; this state will be symbolised by $|\omega 2\rangle$. At the initial instant, the system is in the state $|1\rangle$, the atom being excited to the level $E_1$, with no photons present. Thus, for $t = 0$ we must have

$$a_1 = 1, \quad a_{\nu} = 0 \quad \text{for } |\nu\rangle \neq |1\rangle.$$  

(63.4)

The solution of equation (63.3) with this initial condition will give (with the appropriate normalisation of the wave functions) the probability that at time $t$ there has been a transition $1 \rightarrow 2$ of the atom with emission of a photon in the frequency range $d\omega$: it is $|a_{\omega 2}(t)|^2 d\omega$. We are interested in the ultimate probability as $t \to \infty$:

$$dw = |a_{\omega 2}(\infty)|^2 d\omega.$$  

(63.5)

In order to clarify the problem, it may be recalled that, in finding the ordinary emission probability (per unit time) with a transition $1 \rightarrow 2$ (neglecting the level width), equation (63.3) has to be solved with all the $a_{\nu}(t)$ on the right-hand side replaced, to a first approximation, by the values (63.4). The solution thus obtained is then examined for large $t$; cf. *QM*, §42. We can now describe this procedure more precisely; it relates to times short
in comparison with the lifetime of the excited level, and the large values of \( t \) concerned are large compared with \( 1/(E_1 - E_2) \) but small compared with \( 1/\Gamma_1 \).

In our present case, where times comparable with \( 1/\Gamma_1 \) are considered, the function \( a_1(t) \) decreases in time according to
\[
a_1(t) = e^{-\Gamma_1 t}.
\] (63.6)
The functions \( a_{\nu}(t) \) for states \(|\nu\rangle\) which can result from emission by the atom increase in time, however. If the transition from a given level \( E_1 \) can occur to various atomic levels (as well as to \( E_2 \)), there will be many increasing functions \( a_{\nu}(t) \), each corresponding to a state in which the atom is at a certain level and there is one photon with the appropriate energy. Nevertheless, there still remains on the right of (63.3) only the term with \(|\nu\rangle = |1\rangle\): since the matrix elements are zero except for transitions in which the number of photons with some one energy changes by 1, they are certainly zero for transitions between states containing one photon each, with different energies.

Thus we have for \( a_{\omega 2}(t) \) the equation
\[
i \frac{da_{\omega 2}}{dt} = \langle \omega 2 | V | 1 \rangle e^{i(E_1 - \omega - E_1) t} a_1
\]
\[
= \langle \omega 2 | V | 1 \rangle \exp \{i(\omega - \omega_{12})t - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_1 t\},
\] (63.7)
where \( \omega_{12} = E_1 - E_2 \). Integration, with the condition \( a_{\omega 2}(0) = 0 \), gives
\[
a_{\omega 2} = \langle \omega 2 | V | 1 \rangle \frac{1 - \exp \{i(\omega - \omega_{12})t - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_1 t\}}{\omega - \omega_{12} + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_1}.
\] (63.8)
Hence the probability \( dw \) (63.5) is
\[
dw = |\langle \omega 2 | V | 1 \rangle|^2 \frac{d\omega}{(\omega - \omega_{12})^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_1^2}.
\]
Since the width \( \Gamma_1 \ll \omega \), we can put \( \omega = \omega_{12} \) in the factor \(|\langle \omega 2 | V | 1 \rangle|^2\). Then the quantity \( 2\pi|\langle \omega 2 | V | 1 \rangle|^2\) is the ordinary probability (per unit time) for the emission of a photon with frequency \( \omega_{12} \) and other properties besides the frequency, such as the direction of motion and the polarisation, whose existence has so far been ignored in order to simplify the notation. The dependence of the probability on these characteristics is entirely determined by the factor \(|\langle \omega 2 | V | 1 \rangle|^2\). Thus the allowance for the level width does not affect the polarisation properties or the angular distribution of the radiation.

The sum
\[
\Gamma_{1\rightarrow 2} = 2\pi \sum |\langle \omega 2 | V | 1 \rangle|^2,
\] (63.9)
taken over the polarisations and directions of motion of the photon, is the usual total probability of emission. It is also the part of the width of the level \( E_1 \) (the partial width) which is due to the transition \( 1 \rightarrow 2 \), as distinct from the total width \( \Gamma_1 \), which is made up of contributions from all possible modes of "decay" of the quasi-stationary state considered.†

By a similar summation of the probability \( dw \), we obtain the following final formula

† We may note that transitions to states of the continuous spectrum, causing a finite level width, do not necessarily involve the emission of photons. Highly excited (X-ray) levels can decay with emission of an electron and formation of a positive ion in the ground state (the Auger effect).
for the frequency distribution of the emitted radiation:

\[ dw = \frac{\Gamma_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{(\omega_{12} - \omega)^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma_1^2}, \]  

(63.10)

where \( \Gamma_{1-2}/\Gamma_1 \) is the total relative probability of the transition \( 1 \to 2 \). This is a dispersion-type distribution. The shape of the spectral line that is given by formula (63.10) is that which occurs for an isolated atom at rest, and is called the natural shape.†

Now let the level \( E_2 \) of the atom be also an excited level with a finite width \( \Gamma_2 \). This fact will be taken into account by supposing that in equation (63.1) the “unperturbed” Hamiltonian \( H^{(0)} \) includes all the terms (i.e. matrix elements) which lead to the decay of state 2. Then the energy \( E_2 \) on the right-hand side of (63.7) is replaced by \( E_2 - \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_2 \), but the change in \( H^{(0)} \), which is small (because \( \Gamma_2 \) is small), does not affect the matrix element \( \langle \omega_2 | V | 1 \rangle \) in the approximation concerned. Thus we have, instead of (63.8),

\[ a_{\omega_2}(t) = \langle \omega_2 | V | 1 \rangle \frac{1 - \exp \left\{ i(\omega - \omega_{12})t - \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2)t \right\}}{\omega - \omega_{12} + \frac{1}{2}i(\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2)}. \]  

(63.11)

State 2, which has a finite lifetime, itself decays with the emission of a photon with some frequency \( \omega' \), and the atom ultimately reaches the ground state \( E_0 \) (the probability that the atom is in state 2 is \( |a_{\omega_2}(t)\exp(-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_2 t)|^2 \), and tends to zero as \( t \to \infty \)).‡ Thus, in this final state of the system, the atom is at the ground level \( E_0 \) and there is one photon \( \omega \) and one \( \omega' \). The amplitude \( a_{\omega\omega'}(t) \) of this state satisfies an equation of the same form as (63.7):

\[ i \frac{da_{\omega\omega'}}{dt} = a_{\omega'} \langle \omega\omega' | V | \omega_2 \rangle \exp \left\{ i(E_0 + \omega + \omega')t - i(E_2 + \omega)t - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_2 t \right\} 
= a_{\omega}\langle \omega\omega' | V | \omega_2 \rangle \exp \left\{ i(\omega - \omega_{20})t - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_2 t \right\}. \]

Substituting on the right-hand side \( a_{\omega_2}(t) \) from (63.11), integrating (with the initial condition \( a_{\omega_2}(0) = 0 \)), and then taking the limit as \( t \to \infty \), we obtain

\[ a_{\omega\omega'}(\infty) = \frac{\langle \omega_2 | V | 1 \rangle \langle \omega\omega' | V | \omega_2 \rangle \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega - \omega_{12} + \frac{1}{2}i(\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2)} - \frac{1}{\omega + \omega' - \omega_{10} + \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_1} \right\}}{\langle \omega\omega' | V | \omega_2 \rangle \langle \omega_2 | V | 1 \rangle} 
= \frac{(\omega - \omega_{20} + \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_2)(\omega + \omega' - \omega_{10} + \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_1)}{(\omega' - \omega_{20} + \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_2)(\omega + \omega' - \omega_{10} + \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_1)}. \]

The probability of emission of the photons \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) is

\[ dw = |a_{\omega\omega'}(\infty)|^2 d\omega d\omega' \]

\[ = \frac{\Gamma_{1-2}}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma_{2-0}}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{d\omega d\omega'}{[(\omega - \omega_{20})^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma_2^2] [(\omega + \omega' - \omega_{10})^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma_1^2]} \right\} \]  

(63.12)

This expression has sharp peaks at \( \omega' \approx \omega_{20} \) and at \( \omega \approx \omega_{12} \), as it should.

The shape of the spectral line corresponding to the transition \( 1 \to 2 \) is obtained by integrating (63.12) with respect to \( \omega' \); the range of integration can extend from \(-\infty \) to \(+\infty \).

† As distinct from the broadening caused by the interaction of the atom with other atoms (collision broadening) or by the presence of atoms in the source which move with various velocities (Doppler broadening).

‡ We assume for simplicity that the transition \( 2 \to 0 \) occurs directly, not through intermediate levels. This assumption is not important and does not affect the final result (63.13).
The integral is most simply calculated by the theory of residues; the result is†

\[ dw = \frac{w}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}{(\omega - \omega_{12})^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)^2} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \]  

(63.13)

where \( w = \Gamma_{1 \rightarrow 2} \Gamma_{2 \rightarrow 0} / \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \) is the total probability of the double transition \( 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 0 \).‡

The line shape (63.13) differs from (63.10) only in that \( \Gamma_1 \) is replaced by \( \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 \); the line width is equal to the sum of the widths of the initial and final states.

The line width is not, in general, equal to the probability \( \Gamma_{1 \rightarrow 2} \) of the transition \( 1 \rightarrow 2 \) itself, i.e. is not proportional to the line intensity as in the classical theory. Since \( \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 > \Gamma_{1 \rightarrow 2} \), the line can have a large width with a relatively small intensity.

### §64. Resonance fluorescence

The allowance for the finite width of the levels in problems of radiation scattering is important when the frequency \( \omega \) of the incident radiation is close to one of the "intermediate" frequencies \( \omega_{n1} \) or \( \omega_{2n} \); this is called resonance fluorescence.§

Let us consider Rayleigh scattering by a system (an atom, say) in the ground state, so that the initial and final levels are the same and are strictly discrete. Let the frequency of the radiation be close to a certain frequency \( \omega_{n1} \), where the level \( n \) is an excited level and is therefore quasi-discrete.

This problem could be solved by the method shown in §63, but there is no need to do so, since it is exactly analogous to the problem of non-relativistic resonance scattering at a quasi-discrete level \((QM, \S132)\). According to the results derived there, the scattering amplitude must contain a pole factor

\[ \frac{1}{\omega - (E_n - i\Gamma_n - E_f)} \]

When \( |\omega - \omega_{n1}| \gg \Gamma_n \), on the other hand, the result must tend to the non-resonance formula (60.5). It is therefore clear that the required scattering cross-section is obtained by simply replacing \( E_n \) by \( E_n - i\Gamma_n \) in (60.5); the sum over \( n \) can be restricted to the resonance terms:

\[ d\sigma = \frac{|\sum_{M_n} (d_{2n} \cdot e^* e) (d_{n1} \cdot e)|^2}{(\omega_{n1} - \omega)^2 + \frac{1}{4}(\Gamma_n^2)} \cdot \omega^4 \ d\omega'. \]

(64.1)

The summation is over all states (having different angular-momentum components \( M_n \)) corresponding to the resonance level \( E_n \); the states 1 and 2 belong to the same level (the ground level), but may differ in the values \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \).

† The integration is taken along a contour consisting of the real \( \omega' \)-axis and an infinite semicircle in the upper half-plane. In this half-plane the integrand has two poles, \( \omega' = \omega_{20} + \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_2 \) and \( \omega' = \omega_{10} - \omega + \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_1 \), the residues at which are \[ \frac{1}{i\Gamma_2} [ (\omega - \omega_{12} + \frac{1}{3}(\Gamma_2^2 + \Gamma_1^2))^2 \] and \[ \frac{1}{i\Gamma_1} [ (\omega - \omega_{21} - \frac{1}{3}(\Gamma_1^2 + \Gamma_2^2))^2 \] .

‡ In more complex cases (see the last footnote but one), \( w \) is the total probability of all cascades which begin with the transition \( 1 \rightarrow 2 \) and finish at the level 0.

§ This topic was first discussed by V. Weisskopf (1931).
The cross-section (64.1) has its maximum value when \( \omega = \omega_{n1} \), and this value is, in order of magnitude, \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \sim \omega^4 d^4/|\Gamma_n^2| \). Since the probability of the spontaneous transition \( n \rightarrow 1 \), and hence the width \( \Gamma_n \sim \omega^3 d^2 \), this value is

\[
\sigma_{\text{max}} \sim 1/\omega^2 \sim \lambda^2,
\]

(64.2)
of the order of the square of the wavelength and independent of the fine structure constant.

It must be emphasised that, since the atom is at a strictly discrete level (the ground level) before and after the scattering, the frequencies of the primary and secondary photons are exactly the same. If the incident radiation is monochromatic, the scattered line will therefore be monochromatic also. If the incident radiation has a spectral intensity distribution \( I(\omega) \), which varies only slightly over the width \( \Gamma_n \), the intensity of scattered radiation will be proportional to

\[
\frac{I(\omega_{n1}) d\omega}{(\omega - \omega_{n1})^2 + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma_n^2}.
\]

(64.3)

Thus the shape of the scattered line will be the same as the natural shape for spontaneous emission from the level \( E_n \).

The cross-section (64.1) corresponds to the scattering tensor

\[
(c_{ik})_{21} = \sum_{M_n} (d_i)_{2n}(d_k)_{n1} \omega_{n1} - \omega - \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_n.
\]

(64.4)

In particular, the polarisability tensor is

\[
\alpha_{ik} = (e_{ik})_{11} = \sum_{M_n} (d_i)_{1n}(d_k)_{n1} \omega_{n1} - \omega - \frac{1}{2}i\Gamma_n.
\]

(64.5)

It can be seen immediately that the addition of an imaginary part to the energy levels of the intermediate excited states makes the polarisability tensor no longer Hermitian. It contains an anti-Hermitian part which is, as we shall now show, directly related to the absorption of radiation.

After absorbing a photon, the atom will sooner or later return to the ground state, emitting one or more photons. The absorption cross-section, viewed in this way, is just the total cross-section \( \sigma_\gamma \) for all possible scattering processes. On the other hand, according to the optical theorem (§72), the cross-section can be expressed in terms of the imaginary part of the zero-angle elastic scattering amplitude \( f(0) \):

\[
\sigma_\gamma = (4\pi/\omega) \text{Im} f(0).
\]

The photon elastic scattering amplitude is, as we see from (60.7),

\[
f = \omega^2 \alpha_{ik} e_i^* e_k.
\]

The "zero-angle" scattering in this case signifies scattering without change of the photon momentum and polarisation, i.e. with \( e' = e \). Thus the photon absorption cross-section is

\[
\sigma_a = 4\pi\omega \text{Im} (\alpha_{ik} e_i^* e_k)
\]

\[
= 4\pi\omega e_i^* e_k \frac{\alpha_{ik} - \alpha_{ki}^*}{2i}
\]

(64.6)

and this determines its relationship to the anti-Hermitian part of the polarisability tensor.

† This discussion, it must be emphasised, refers to absorption by a system in its stable ground state. The problem would have to be stated differently for an excited state, because of the finite duration of the experiment.
Formula (64.6) has a simple classical significance. In unit time the electric field $E$ does work $\Sigma e \cdot E = E \cdot d$ on the system of charges. Writing the field in the form (60.19) and the dipole moment in the form (60.20), (60.21), and taking the time average of the value of this work, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \omega |E|^2 e_k^* e_k \frac{\sigma_{ik} - \sigma_{ik}^*}{2i},$$

where $E = e E$. If $E$ is the field of the incident radiation, then the mean energy flux density in this field is $|E|^2/8\pi$, and the energy absorbed by the atom in unit time is $|E|^2 \sigma_\omega/8\pi$. Equating these two expressions, we arrive at formula (64.6).

Substituting in (64.6) the polarisability tensor (64.5), we find the following formula for the cross-section for absorption of a photon with frequency $\omega$ close to $\omega_{n1}$:

$$\sigma_\omega = 4\pi^2 \sum_{Mn} |d_{n1} \cdot e|^2 \frac{2 \Gamma_n}{\pi[(\omega - \omega_{n1})^2 + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_n^2]}$$

(64.7)

In the limit as $\Gamma_n \to 0$, the last factor tends to the delta function $\delta(\omega - \omega_{n1})$, in accordance with the fact that in this case only a photon having one particular frequency can be absorbed. Let radiation with a spectral and angular energy flux density $I_{ke}$ (cf. (44.7)) be incident on the atom. Then the flux density of number of photons is $I_{ke}/\omega \, d\omega \, d\omega$, and the probability of absorption is

$$d\omega = \sigma_\omega(I_{ke}/\omega) \, d\omega \, d\omega.$$  
(64.8)

If the function $I_{ke}(\omega)$ varies only slightly over the width $\Gamma_n$, then we have after the integration over frequencies

$$d\omega = 4\pi^2 \sum_{Mn} |d_{n1} \cdot e|^2 I_{ke}(\omega_{n1}) \, d\omega.$$  

According to (45.5),

$$d\omega_{sp} = \frac{\omega^3}{2\pi} \sum_{Mn} |d_{n1} \cdot e|^2 \, d\omega$$

$$= \frac{\omega^3}{2\pi} \sum_{Mn} |d_{n1} \cdot e|^2 \, d\omega$$

is the probability of spontaneous emission of a photon having the frequency $\omega_{n1}$; thus we return to formula (44.9).

PROBLEM

Find the total cross-section for resonance scattering, summed over all final polarisations and directions of the photon and final values of the momentum component $M_2$ of the atom (and averaged over polarisations of the initial photon and over initial values of $M_1$).

SOLUTION. According to (61.8), (61.2) and (64.4), the required cross-section is

$$\sigma = \frac{8\pi \omega^4}{9} \frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_{n1})^3 + \frac{1}{4} \Gamma_n^2} \{2J_1 + 1 \sum_{Mn} (d_{n1} \cdot d_{n1})^2 \}.$$  
(1)

$J_z = J_1$, since states 1 and 2 differ only in the values of $M_1$ and $M_2$. The expression in the braces can be written as

$$\{ \ldots \} = \frac{1}{2J_1 + 1} \sum_{M_1,M_2} \sum_{M_1',M_2'} (d_{n1} \cdot d_{n1'}) (d_{n1'} \cdot d_{n1'});$$

the squared sum over $M_n$ is expressed as a double sum over $M_n$ and $M_n'$. The sums

$$\sum_{M_2} (d_{n1} \cdot d_{n1'}) = \sum_{M_1} (d_{n1} \cdot d_{n1'})$$

are zero except when $M_n = M_n'$; they are then equal to $3 \Gamma_n^2/4\omega^3$, where $\Gamma_{n-1}$ is the probability of the
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transition \( n \rightarrow 1 \), and is also the partial width of the level \( E_n \). Hence

\[
\{ \ldots \} = \frac{1}{2J_n + 1} (2J_n + 1) \left( \frac{3}{4\omega^3} \Gamma_n \right)^2,
\]

and the total cross-section is

\[
\sigma = g \frac{\pi}{\omega^2} \left( \frac{\omega - \omega_n}{\omega} \right)^2 + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_n^2
\]

(2)

\[ g = \frac{2J_n + 1}{2(2J_n + 1)} \]

If we are concerned only with the coherent part of the scattering (states 1 and 2 are the same, i.e. \( M_1 = M_2 \)), the factor in the braces in (1) must be replaced by

\[ \frac{1}{2J_n + 1} \sum_{M_n} \sum_{M_n'} \left( \frac{d_{1n} \cdot d_{n'}^{*}}{d_{1n} \cdot d_{n'}^{*}} \right) \left( d_{1n} \cdot d_{n'}^{*} \right) \]

cf. (61.3). In spherical vector components, the scalar product

\[ d_{1n} \cdot d_{n'}^{*} = \sum \lambda (-1)^{\lambda} (d_{1n} \cdot d_{n'}^{*}) \]

it is zero except when \( M_n = M_n' \). Expressing the matrix elements in terms of reduced elements and again using the partial widths

\[ \Gamma_n = \frac{4\omega^2}{3} \frac{1}{2J_n + 1} |\langle n || d || 1 \rangle|^2, \]

we obtain for \( \sigma_{coh} \) formula (2) with

\[ g = \frac{(2J_n + 1)^2}{2(2J_n + 1)} \sum_{M_n, M_n'} \left( \frac{J_n \quad 1 \quad J_1}{-M_n \quad \lambda \quad M_1} \right)^4 \]

For the three possible cases \( J_n = J_1 \pm 1 \), a direct calculation of the sum gives

\[ g = \frac{7J_n(J_n + 1) + 1}{30J_n(J_n + 1)}, \quad J_n = J_1 \neq 0; \]

\[ = \frac{(2J_1 + 3)(16J_1 + 1)^2 - 1}{30(2J_1 + 1)^2(J_1 + 1)}, \quad J_n = J_1 + 1; \]

\[ = \frac{(2J_1 - 1)(16J_1^2 - 1)}{30J_1(2J_1 + 1)^2}, \quad J_n = J_1 - 1, J_1 \geq 1. \]

† This formula coincides, as we should expect from the formal similarity of the two problems, with the Breit-Wigner formula for elastic resonance scattering of slow neutrons by a nucleus; see *QM* (142.16), (142.18). The factor \( g \) is the probability of obtaining a given value of \( J_n \) by adding in an arbitrary manner the angular momenta of the initial atom and photon.
CHAPTER VII

THE SCATTERING MATRIX

§65. The scattering amplitude

The general problem concerning collisions is to find, for a given initial state of the system (an assembly of free particles), the probabilities of various possible final states (other assemblies of free particles). If |i⟩ denotes the initial state, the result of the collision can be represented as the superposition

\[ \sum_f |f⟩ ⟨f|S|i⟩, \]  \hspace{1cm} (65.1)

in which the summation is taken over the various possible final states |f⟩. The coefficients in this expansion, ⟨f|S|i⟩ (or, more concisely, S_{fi}), form the scattering matrix or S-matrix. The squares |S_{fi}|^2 give the probabilities of transitions to particular states |f⟩.

If there were no interaction between the particles, the state of the system would be unchanged, corresponding to a unit S-matrix (absence of scattering). It is convenient to separate this unit matrix in all cases, writing the scattering matrix in the form

\[ S_{fi} = \delta_{fi} + i(2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i)T_{fi}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (65.2)

where T_{fi} is another matrix. In the second term we have written separately the four-dimensional delta function which expresses the law of conservation of the 4-momentum (P_i and P_f being the sums of the 4-momenta of all the particles in the initial and final states); the other factors are included for subsequent convenience. In the non-diagonal matrix elements, the first term in (65.2) does not appear, and so, for the transition i → f, the elements of the matrices S and T are related by

\[ S_{fi} = i(2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i)T_{fi}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (65.3)

The matrix elements T_{fi} which remain after separation of the delta function will be called the scattering amplitudes.

When the moduli |S_{fi}| are squared, the square of the delta function appears, and is to be interpreted as follows. The delta function comes from the integral

\[ \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int e^{i(P_f - P_i)\cdot x} \, d^4x. \]  \hspace{1cm} (65.4)

If another such integral is calculated with P_f = P_i (since one delta function is already present), and if the integration is taken over some large but finite volume V and a time
interval \( t \), the result is \( Vt/(2\pi)^4 \).† Thus we can write
\[
|S_{ji}|^2 = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i) |T_{fi}|^2 Vt.
\]

Dividing by \( t \), we obtain the transition probability per unit time:
\[
w_{j\to i} = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i) |T_{fi}|^2 V. \tag{65.5}
\]

Each of the free particles, initial and final, is described by its own wave function—a plane wave having some amplitude \( u \) (a bispinor for an electron, a 4-vector for a photon, and so on). The structure of the scattering amplitude \( T_{fi} \) is of the form
\[
T_{fi} = u^* u_s \ldots Q u_1 u_2 \ldots, \tag{65.6}
\]
where on the left we have the amplitudes of wave functions of final particles, and on the right those of initial particles; \( Q \) is some matrix relating to the indices of the wave amplitude components of all the particles.

The most important cases are those where the initial state comprises only one or two particles. Then we have respectively the decay of one particle or the collision of two particles.

Let us first consider the decay of a particle into any number of other particles having momenta \( p'_a \) in an element \( \prod d^3 p'_a \) of momentum space; the suffix \( a \) labels the particles in the final state, so that \( \sum p'_a = p_f \). The number of states in this element and in the normalisation volume‡ \( V \) is
\[
\prod_a V d^3 p'_a/(2\pi)^3.
\]

The expression (65.5) must be multiplied by this quantity:
\[
dw = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i) |T_{fi}|^2 V \prod_a V d^3 p'_a/(2\pi)^3. \tag{65.7}
\]

The wave functions used in calculating the matrix element must be normalised to “one particle in the volume \( V \”).§ For an electron, e.g., the wave function is the plane wave (23.1); for a particle with spin one it is (14.12); for a photon it is (4.3). All these functions include the factor \( 1/\sqrt{(2eV)} \), where \( e \) is the energy of the particle. Henceforth, however, it will be convenient to omit such factors in the wave functions, and include them in the expression for the probability. Thus the electron plane wave will be
\[
\psi = ue^{-i px}, \quad \bar{u}u = 2m, \tag{65.8}
\]
and the photon wave
\[
A = \sqrt{(4\pi)} ee^{-ikx}, \quad ee^* = -1, \quad ek = 0. \tag{65.9}
\]

The scattering amplitude calculated with these functions will be denoted by \( M_{fi} \) to distinguish it from \( T_{fi} \). Evidently
\[
T_{fi} = \frac{M_{fi}}{(2e_1 V \ldots 2e'_1 V \ldots)^{1/2}}; \tag{65.10}
\]
the denominator contains one factor \( \sqrt{(2eV)} \) for each initial or final particle.

† This can be shown in a different way by first calculating the integral over each coordinate in (65.4) for a finite range and then making the limits tend to infinity by means of \( QM \) (42.4):
\[
\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \frac{\sin^2 \alpha_p}{\xi^2} = \pi \delta(\alpha).
\]

‡ For greater clarity, in the calculations in this section, we shall not take \( V \) to be unity.

§ This method of normalisation is equivalent (see the second footnote to §44) to one in which the wave functions of the final particles are normalised by \( \delta(p) \) and the probability relates to \( d^3 p' \ldots \).
In particular, the decay probability is, instead of (65.7),
\[ dw = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_f^* - p_i)|M_{fi}|^2 \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \prod_a \frac{d^3 p_a'}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_a'} \]  
(65.11)
where \( \epsilon \) is the energy of the decaying particle; as we should expect, the normalisation volume does not appear in this formula.†

Formula (65.11) can be given a more definite form by eliminating the delta functions, if the decay produces two particles (with momenta \( p_1', p_2' \) and energies \( \epsilon_1', \epsilon_2' \)). In the rest frame of the decaying particle \( p_1' = -p_2' \equiv p' \), \( \epsilon_1' + \epsilon_2' = m \). We have
\[ dw = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} |M_{fi}|^2 \frac{1}{2m} \frac{1}{\epsilon_1' \epsilon_2'} \delta(p_1' + p_2') \delta(\epsilon_1' + \epsilon_2' - m) d^3 p_1' d^3 p_2'. \]
The first delta function is eliminated by integration over \( d^3 p_2' \); the differential \( d^3 p_1' \) is written as
\[ d^3 p' = p'^2 \, d|p'| \, do \]
\[ = |p'| \, do \frac{\epsilon_1' \epsilon_2' \delta(\epsilon_1' + \epsilon_2')}{\epsilon_1' + \epsilon_2'}. \]
(65.12)
The validity of this is easily seen by noting that \( \epsilon_1'^2 - m_1'^2 = \epsilon_2'^2 - m_2'^2 = p'^2 \). The integration over \( \epsilon_1' + \epsilon_2' \) eliminates the second delta function, and the result is
\[ dw = \frac{1}{32\pi^2 m^2} |M_{fi}|^2 |p'| \, do'. \]
(65.13)

Let us now consider a collision of two particles (having momenta \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) and energies \( \epsilon_1 \) and \( \epsilon_2 \)), in which they are transformed into any number of particles having momenta \( p_a' \). Instead of (65.11) we now have
\[ dw = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_f - p_i)|M_{fi}|^2 \frac{1}{4\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 V} \prod_a \frac{d^3 p_a'}{(2\pi)^3 2\epsilon_a'}. \]
The quantity that is of interest in this case is, however, not the probability but the cross-section \( d\sigma \). The cross-section invariant under the Lorentz transformations is obtained from \( dw \) on dividing by
\[ j = |f|/V \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2, \]
(65.14)
where \( I \) denotes the 4-scalar
\[ I = \sqrt{[(p_1 p_2)^2 - m_1^2 m_2^2]}; \]
(65.15)
see Fields, §12.‡ In the centre-of-mass system \( (p_1 = -p_2 \equiv p) \)
\[ I = |p| (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2), \]
(65.16)
so that
\[ j = \frac{|p|}{V} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} + \frac{1}{\epsilon_2} \right) = \frac{v_1 + v_2}{V}, \]
(65.17)

† If the final particles include \( N \) which are identical, a factor \( 1/N! \) must be inserted when integrating over their momenta to obtain the total probability; this factor takes into account the identity of states which differ only by an interchange of the particles.

‡ For future reference, another form of \( I \) is
\[ I^2 = \frac{4}{3} [s - (m_1 + m_2)^2][s - (m_1 - m_2)^2], \]
(65.15a)
where \( s = (p_1 + p_2)^2 \).
which is the same as the usual definition of the flux density of colliding particles, $v_1$ and $v_2$ being their velocities.† Thus the cross-section is

$$d\sigma = (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i) |M_{fi}|^2 \frac{1}{4I} \prod_a \frac{d^3 p'_a}{(2\pi)^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (65.18)

This formula can be put into its final form by eliminating the delta function for the case where in the final state also there are only two particles. Let us consider the process in the centre-of-mass system, and let $\epsilon = \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon'_1 + \epsilon'_2$ be the total energy; $p_1 = -p_2 \equiv p$ and $p'_1 = -p'_2 \equiv p'$ be the initial and final momenta. The delta function is eliminated in the same way as in the derivation of (65.13), and the result is

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} |M_{fi}|^2 \frac{|p'|}{|p|^2} d\phi' d\epsilon$$ \hspace{1cm} (65.19)

in the particular case of elastic scattering, where the nature of the particles is unchanged in the collision, $|p'| = |p|$.

This formula can be written in yet another form by using the invariant quantity

$$t = (p_1 - p_1')^2 = m_1^2 + m_i^2 - 2(p_1 p_1')$$

$$= m_1^2 + m_i^2 - 2\epsilon_1 \epsilon'_1 + 2|p_1||p'_1| \cos \theta,$$ \hspace{1cm} (65.20)

where $\theta$ is the angle between $p_1$ and $p_1'$. In the centre-of-mass system the momenta $|p_1| \equiv |p|$ and $|p'_1| \equiv |p'|$ are determined only by the total energy $\epsilon$, and when $\epsilon$ is given we have

$$dt = 2|p||p'||d\cos \theta.$$ \hspace{1cm} (65.21)

Hence, in (65.19),

$$d\epsilon' = -d\phi' d\cos \theta = \frac{d\phi'}{2|p||p'|},$$

where $\phi$ is the azimuth of $p'_1$ relative to $p_1$.‡ Thus

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{64\pi} |M_{fi}|^2 \frac{dt d\phi}{I^2 \pi^2},$$ \hspace{1cm} (65.22)

where $I$ is again the invariant (65.16). The azimuth $\phi$, and therefore the cross-section in the form (65.22), are invariant under those Lorentz transformations which do not change the direction of relative motion of the particles. If the cross-section is independent of the azimuth, formula (65.22) takes the particularly simple form

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{64\pi} |M_{fi}|^2 \frac{dt}{I^2}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (65.23)

If one of the colliding particles is sufficiently heavy (and its state is unaltered by the collision), it acts only as a fixed source of a constant field in which the other particle is scattered. Since the energy (though not the momentum) of the system is conserved in a constant field, in this treatment of the collision process we can write the $S$-matrix elements in the form

$$S_{fi} = i \cdot 2\pi\delta(E_f - E_i) T_{fi}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (65.24)

† In an arbitrary frame of reference,

$$J = \frac{1}{V} \sqrt{[(\epsilon_1 - v_1)^2 - (v_1 \times v_2)^2]}.$$

This expression is the same as the ordinary flux density whenever $v_1$ is parallel to $v_2$; then $J = |v_1 - v_2|/V$.

‡ Since the correct sign of the differential in such cases is obvious, we shall henceforward write simply $dt$ for $d(-i)$, and so on.
Reactions involving polarised particles

In the expression \(|S_{fi}|^2\), the square of the one-dimensional delta function must be interpreted as

\[ [\delta(E_f - E_i)]^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi} \delta(E_f - E_i)i. \]

Now, as in the derivation of (65.11), we change to the amplitude \(M_{fi}\) instead of \(T_{fi}\) and obtain the following expression for the probability of a process in which one particle is scattered in a constant field and produces in the final state a certain number of other particles:

\[ dw = 2\pi\delta(E_f - \varepsilon)|M_{fi}|^2 \frac{1}{2\varepsilon V} \prod_a \frac{d^3p_a'}{(2\pi)^32\epsilon_a'^{e}}. \]

Here \(\varepsilon (= E_i)\) is again the energy of the initial particle, \(p_a\) and \(\epsilon_a\) the momenta and energies of the final particles. The scattering cross-section is found by dividing \(dw\) by the flux density \(J = \nu/V\), where \(\nu = |p|/\varepsilon\) is the velocity of the particle that undergoes scattering. The normalisation volume then disappears, as it should, and the result is

\[ d\sigma = 2\pi\delta(E_f - \varepsilon)|M_{fi}|^2 \frac{1}{2|p|} \prod_a \frac{d^3p_a'}{(2\pi)^32\epsilon_a'^{e}}. \]

In the particular case of elastic scattering, there is only one particle in the final state, with the same energy and the same momentum (in absolute value). Writing

\[ d^3p' \rightarrow p'^2d|p'| \, d\epsilon' = |p'|\epsilon' \, d\epsilon' \, d\epsilon' \]

and eliminating \(\delta(\epsilon' - \epsilon)\) by integrating with respect to \(\epsilon'\), we find the cross-section in the form

\[ d\sigma = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} |M_{fi}|^2 \, d\epsilon'. \]

Finally, if the external field is time-dependent, such as the field of a system of heavy particles executing a given motion, the S-matrix also lacks the delta function of energy. Then \(S_{fi} = iT_{fi}\) and, after the change from \(T_{fi}\) to \(M_{fi}\) by (65.10), the probability of (e.g.) a process in which the field creates a given set of particles is

\[ dw = |M_{fi}|^2 \prod_a \frac{d^3p_a'}{(2\pi)^32\epsilon_a'^{e}}. \]

§66. Reactions involving polarised particles

In this section we shall show by means of simple examples how the state of polarisation of the particles concerned in the reaction is taken into account when calculating the scattering cross-section.

Let there be one electron in the initial state and one in the final state. Then the form of the scattering amplitude is

\[ M_{fi} = \bar{u}'Au \quad (\equiv \bar{u}_iA_{ik}u_k), \]

where \(u\) and \(u'\) are the bispinor amplitudes of the initial and final electrons, and \(A\) is some matrix, which depends on the momenta and polarisations of the other particles (if any) which take part in the reaction.
The scattering matrix

The scattering cross-section is proportional to $|M_{fi}|^2$, and

$$\begin{align*}
(\bar{u}'Au)^* &= u'\gamma^0 A u^* \\
 &= u^* A^+ \gamma^0 u' \\
 &= \bar{u}A u',
\end{align*}$$

where\(^\dagger\)

$$\bar{A} = \gamma^0 A^+ \gamma^0.$$

Thus

$$|M_{fi}|^2 = (\bar{u}'Au)(\bar{u}A u')$$

$$= u'_i \bar{u}_k A_{kl} u_l \bar{u}_m A_{ml}. \tag{66.3}$$

If the initial electron is in a mixed (partially polarised) state with density matrix $\rho$, and if we wish to find the cross-section for a process in which the final electron is in a specified polarisation state $\rho'$, the products of bispinor amplitude components must be changed as follows: $u'_i \bar{u}_k \rightarrow \rho'_{ik}$, $u_l \bar{u}_m \rightarrow \rho_{lm}$. Then

$$|M_{fi}|^2 = \text{tr} (\rho' A \bar{A}). \tag{66.4}$$

The density matrices are given by formula (29.13):

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p} + m)(1 - \gamma^1 \hat{a})$$

and similarly for $\rho'$.

If the initial electron is unpolarised, then

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p} + m). \tag{66.6}$$

Substituting this expression is equivalent to averaging over the polarisations of the electron. If it is desired to determine the cross-section for scattering with any polarisation of the final electron, we must also put $\rho' = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p}' + m)$, and double the result; this operation is equivalent to summation over the polarisations of the electron. Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\text{polar.}} |M_{fi}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \{ (\hat{p}' + m) A (\hat{p} + m) \bar{A} \}, \tag{66.7}$$

where the sum is taken over initial and final polarisations, and the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ converts one summation into an averaging.

The density matrix $\rho'$ in (66.4) is a secondary quantity which essentially represents the properties of the detector as selecting one or the other polarisation of the final electron, not the properties of the scattering process as such. There is the question of the polarisation state of the electron resulting from the scattering process itself. If $\rho^{(f)}$ is the density matrix of this state, then the probability of detecting an electron in the state $\rho'$ obtained by projecting $\rho^{(f)}$ on $\rho'$, i.e. by taking the trace $\text{tr} (\rho^{(f)} \rho')$. This will be proportional to the corresponding cross-section, i.e. to $|M_{fi}|^2$. A comparison with (66.4) shows that

$$\rho^{(f)} \sim A \rho \bar{A}. \tag{66.8}$$

Since we know that $\rho^{(f)}$ must have the form (66.5) with some 4-vector $a^{(f)}$, we need only determine the latter. This could be done by means of formula (29.14), but it is even simpler to proceed as follows.

\(^\dagger\) Since the matrix $\bar{A}$ has to be constructed, we shall note here, for future reference, the following easily verified equations:

$$\begin{align*}
\gamma^r &= \gamma^r, \gamma^r \gamma^r \ldots \gamma^r = \gamma^r \ldots \gamma^r \gamma^r, \\
\gamma^r &= - \gamma^r, \gamma^r \gamma^r = \gamma^r \gamma^r.
\end{align*} \tag{66.2a}$$
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We have seen in §29 that the components of the 4-vector \( \alpha \) can be expressed in terms of those of the 3-vector \( \zeta \) which is (twice) the mean value of the electron spin in its rest frame. The polarisation states of the electrons are entirely determined by these vectors, and it is convenient to express the scattering cross-section also in terms of them. The square \( |M_{fi}|^2 \) will clearly be linear in each of the vectors \( \zeta \) and \( \zeta' \) which relate to the initial and final electrons, and its form as a function of \( \zeta' \) will be

\[
|M_{fi}|^2 = \alpha + \beta \cdot \zeta',
\]

(66.9)

where \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are themselves linear functions of \( \zeta \).

The vector \( \zeta' \) in (66.9) is the particular polarisation of the final electron that is selected by the detector. The vector \( \zeta'^{(f)} \), corresponding to the density matrix \( \rho'^{(f)} \), is easily found as follows. According to the above argument,

\[
|M_{fi}|^2 \sim \text{tr} (\rho' \rho'^{(f)}).
\]

Since this quantity is relativistically invariant, it may be calculated in any frame of reference. In the rest frame of the final electron we have, by (29.20),

\[
\rho' \rho'^{(f)} \sim (1 + \sigma \cdot \zeta')(1 + \sigma \cdot \zeta'^{(f)}).
\]

Hence

\[
|M_{fi}|^2 \sim 1 + \zeta' \cdot \zeta'^{(f)},
\]

and from a comparison with (66.9)

\[
\zeta'^{(f)} = \beta/\alpha.
\]

(66.10)

Thus the calculation of the cross-section as a function of the parameter \( \zeta' \) also gives the polarisation \( \zeta'^{(f)} \).

In more complex cases, when there is more than one initial or final electron, the calculations are similar to the foregoing.

For instance, if there are two electrons both initially and finally, the form of the scattering amplitude is

\[
M_{fi} = (\bar{u}'_1 A u_1)(\bar{u}'_2 B u_2) + (\bar{u}'_2 C u_1)(\bar{u}'_1 D u_2),
\]

where \( u_1, u_2 \) are the bispinor amplitudes of the initial electrons, and \( u'_1, u'_2 \) those of the final electrons. The square \( |M_{fi}|^2 \) includes terms of the forms

\[
|\bar{u}'_1 A u_1|^2 |\bar{u}'_2 B u_2|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{u}'_1 A u_1)(\bar{u}'_2 B u_2)(\bar{u}'_2 C u_1)(\bar{u}'_1 D u_2)^*.
\]

The former reduce to products of two traces like (66.4); the latter reduce to traces having the form

\[
\text{tr} (\rho'_1 A \rho'_C \bar{C} \rho'_2 B \rho_2 D).
\]

Positrons are described by amplitudes with "negative frequency" \( u(-p) \). For reactions involving positrons, the only difference from the preceding analysis is that the expressions to be used for the density matrices differ from (66.5), (66.6) as regards the sign of \( m \); cf. (29.16), (29.17).

Let us now consider the polarisation states of photons participating in the reaction.

The polarisation of each initial photon appears linearly in the scattering amplitude in the form of a 4-vector \( e \), and that of each final photon as \( e^* \). In each case the 4-tensor \( e_\mu e^*_\nu \) occurs in the cross-section (i.e. in the square \( |M_{fi}|^2 \)). To obtain an arbitrary partially polarised state, this tensor must be replaced by the four-dimensional density matrix, the 4-tensor \( \rho_{\mu\nu} \):

\[
e_\mu e^*_\nu \to \rho_{\mu\nu}.
\]

(66.11)
In particular, for an unpolarised photon, according to (8.15),
\[ \rho_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu}. \] (66.12)
Thus averaging over polarisations of the photon is equivalent to contracting in \( |M_f|^2 \) with respect to the corresponding two tensor indices \( \mu, \nu. \uparrow 

If summation over the photon polarisations is desired, not averaging, then we must replace \( e^\mu e^\nu_\ast \) by a quantity twice as large:
\[ e^\mu e^\nu_\ast \rightarrow - g_{\mu\nu}. \]

The density matrix of the polarised photon is given by formula (8.17). The choice of the 4-vectors \( e^{(1)}, e^{(2)} \) which appear in this expression is usually governed by the particular conditions of the problem. In some cases they may be related to certain spatial directions in a given frame of reference; in other cases, it is more convenient to relate them to the 4-vectors which characterise the problem, namely the 4-momenta of the particles.

In (8.17) the polarisation of the photon is described by the Stokes parameters, which form the "vector" \( \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \). As with the electron, it is necessary to distinguish the polarisation \( \xi^{(f)} \) of the final photon as such from the polarisation \( \xi' \) that is selected by the detector. If the square of the scattering amplitude is known as a function of the parameter \( \xi' \):
\[ |M_f|^2 = \alpha + \beta \cdot \xi', \]
then the polarisation \( \xi^{(f)} = \beta/\alpha \), exactly as in (66.10).

\section*{§67. Kinematic invariants}
Let us consider some kinematic relations for scattering processes in which there are only two particles, both in the initial state and in the final state. The relations in question are deduced from the general conservation laws alone, and are therefore valid for all particles and all laws of interaction.

The law of conservation of 4-momentum, in a general form that does not specify which are the initial and which the final particles, is
\[ q_1 + q_2 + q_3 + q_4 = 0. \] (67.1)
Here \( \pm q_a \) are the momentum 4-vectors; two of them pertain to the incident particles and two to the scattered particles, the momenta for the latter being \( -q_a \). Thus for two of the \( q_a \) the time component \( q^0_a > 0 \), and for two \( q^0_a < 0 \).

The law of charge conservation must be satisfied as well as that of 4-momentum conservation. Here the charge may be interpreted not only as the electric charge but as any other conserved quantity whose sign is opposite for particles and antiparticles.

For given types of particles concerned in the process, the squares of the 4-vectors \( q_a \) are the squares of the particle masses, which are fixed (\( q_a^2 = m_a^2 \)). Three different reactions occur, according to the values taken by the time components \( q^0_a \) and the values of the charges. These reactions may be written
\[ \begin{align*}
(\text{I}) & \quad 1 + 2 \rightarrow 3 + 4, \\
(\text{II}) & \quad 1 + 3 \rightarrow 2 + 4, \\
(\text{III}) & \quad 1 + 4 \rightarrow 2 + 3.
\end{align*} \] (67.2)

\footnote{The expression (66.12) as it were reduces the averaging over the two actually possible polarisations of the photon to one over the four independent directions of the four-vector \( e \).}
Here the numbers refer to the particles, and the bar over a number denotes the corresponding antiparticle. The change from one reaction to another, i.e. the transfer of a particle to the opposite side of the formula, corresponds to a change in sign of the corresponding time component $q^0$ and in the sign of the charge (i.e. a replacement of the particle by its antiparticle). The reactions inverse to (67.2) are also possible, of course.

The three processes (67.2) are referred to as three cross-channels of a single general reaction.

The following are some examples. If particles 1 and 3 are electrons, and 2 and 4 are photons, then channel I represents the scattering of a photon by an electron; channel III is the same as channel I, since the photon is strictly neutral. Channel II is the conversion of an electron–positron pair into two photons. If all four particles are electrons, then channel I is the scattering of an electron by an electron, and channels II and III the scattering of a positron by an electron. If particles 1 and 3 are electrons, and 2 and 4 are muons, then channel I is the scattering of e by $\mu$, channel III the scattering of $e$ by $\bar{\mu}$, and channel II the conversion of a pair $e\bar{e}$ into a pair $\mu\bar{\mu}$.

In the discussion of scattering processes, the invariant quantities which can be constructed from the 4-momenta are particularly important. The invariant scattering amplitudes are functions of these quantities (§71).

Two independent invariants can be constructed from four 4-momenta, since, according to (67.1), only three of the 4-vectors $q_i$ are independent. Let these be $q_1, q_2, q_3$. From them, six invariants can be constructed: the three squares $q_1^2, q_2^2, q_3^2$ and the three products $q_1q_2, q_1q_3, q_2q_3$. But the first three are the given squares of the masses, and the second three satisfy one relation which follows from the equation†

$$(q_1 + q_2 + q_3)^2 = q_4^2 = m_4^2.$$  

In order to increase the symmetry it is, however, convenient to consider not two but three invariants, which may be taken as

$$s = (q_1 + q_2)^2 = (q_3 + q_4)^2,$$
$$t = (q_1 + q_3)^2 = (q_2 + q_4)^2,$$
$$u = (q_1 + q_4)^2 = (q_2 + q_3)^2.$$  

These are easily seen to be related by

$$s + t + u = h, \quad (67.4)$$

where

$$h = m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_3^2 + m_4^2. \quad (67.5)$$

In the principal channel (I), the invariant $s$ has a simple physical significance. It is the square of the total energy of the colliding particles (1 and 2) in their centre-of-mass system (for $p_1 + p_2 = 0, s = (e_1 + e_2)^2$). In channel II, the invariant $t$ has a similar significance, and in channel III the invariant $u$. The three channels are therefore often called $s$, $t$ and $u$ channels.

† In the general case of a reaction involving $n$ ($> 4$) particles, the number of functionally independent invariant quantities is $3n - 10$. There are altogether $4n$ quantities, the components of the $n$ 4-momenta $q_i$, between which there are $n$ functional relations $q_i^2 = m_i^2$ and four given by the conservation law $\sum q_i = 0$. Arbitrary values can be assigned to six quantities, in accordance with the number of parameters which define the general Lorentz transformation (a general four-dimensional rotation). The number of independent invariants is therefore $4n - n - 4 - 6 = 3n - 10$. 
It is easy to express each of the invariants $s, t$ and $u$ in terms of the energies and momenta of the colliding particles in each channel. Let us consider the $s$ channel. In the centre-of-mass system of particles 1 and 2, the time and space components of the $4$-vectors $q_a$ are

\[
\begin{align*}
q_1 &= p_1 = (\epsilon_1, \mathbf{p}_1), \\
q_2 &= p_2 = (\epsilon_2, -\mathbf{p}_2), \\
q_3 &= -p_3 = (-\epsilon_3, -\mathbf{p}_3), \\
q_4 &= -p_4 = (-\epsilon_4, \mathbf{p}_4);
\end{align*}
\tag{67.6}
\]

the suffix $s$ in $p_s$ and $p_s'$ indicates that these momenta refer to the reaction in the $s$ channel. Then

\[
\begin{align*}
s &= \epsilon_s^2, \\
\epsilon_s &= \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4; \\
4s p_s^2 &= [s-(m_1 + m_2)^2][s-(m_1 - m_2)^2], \\
4s p_s'^2 &= [s-(m_3 + m_4)^2][s-(m_3 - m_4)^2]; \\
2t &= h - s + 4p_s \cdot p_s' - \frac{1}{s} (m_1^2 - m_2^2)(m_3^2 - m_4^2), \\
2u &= h - s - 4p_s \cdot p_s' + \frac{1}{s} (m_1^2 - m_2^2)(m_3^2 - m_4^2).
\end{align*}
\tag{67.9}
\]

For elastic scattering ($m_1 = m_3, m_2 = m_4$), we have $|p_s| = |p_s'|$, and hence $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_3, \epsilon_2 = \epsilon_4$. Instead of (67.9), the simpler formulae

\[
\begin{align*}
t &= -(p_s - p_s')^2 = -2p_s^2(1 - \cos \theta_s), \\
u &= -2p_s^2(1 + \cos \theta_s) + (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2
\end{align*}
\tag{67.10}
\]

are then obtained, where $\theta_s$ is the angle between $\mathbf{p}_s$ and $\mathbf{p}_s'$. The invariant $-t$ is here the square of the (three-dimensional) momentum transfer in the collision.

Similar formulae for the other channels are found by a straightforward change of notation. For the $t$ channel we must interchange $s$ and $t$, and 2 and 3, in (67.6)–(67.10); for the $u$ channel, we interchange $s$ and $u$, and 2 and 4.

§68. Physical regions

When considering the scattering amplitudes as functions of the independent variables $s, t, u$ (which are related only by $s + t + u = h$), we encounter the need to distinguish regions in which their values are physically permissible from those in which they are not. Values which can correspond to a physical process of scattering must satisfy certain conditions which follow from the law of conservation of 4-momentum and the fact that the square of each of the 4-vectors $q_a$ is a given quantity $m_a^2$.

The product of two 4-momenta

\[
p_a p_b \geq m_a m_b.
\tag{68.1}
\]

Hence

\[
(q_a + q_b)^2 = (p_a + p_b)^2 \geq (m_a + m_b)^2,
\]

if $q_a = p_a, q_b = p_b$ (or $q_a = -p_a, q_b = -p_b$); or

\[
(q_a + q_b)^2 = (p_a - p_b)^2 \leq (m_a - m_b)^2,
\]

### References

For more detailed information on scattering processes and expressions, refer to advanced textbooks or research papers in particle physics. The formulas presented here are fundamental in the study of particle interactions and are used extensively in theoretical and experimental physics. For a deeper understanding, consult standard texts on quantum mechanics and particle physics.
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**Physical regions**

if \( q_a = p_a, q_b = -p_b \). Hence, for a reaction in the \( s \) channel,

\[
\begin{align*}
(m_1 + m_2)^2 &\leq s \leq (m_3 + m_4)^2, \\
(m_1 - m_3)^2 &\geq t \leq (m_2 - m_4)^2, \\
(m_1 - m_4)^2 &\geq u \leq (m_2 - m_3)^2,
\end{align*}
\]

and similarly in the \( t \) and \( u \) channels.

To determine the remaining conditions, we form a 4-vector \( L \) which is dual to the product of any three of the 4-vectors \( q_a \), say

\[
L_\lambda = e_{\lambda\mu
\nu\rho} q^\mu_1 q^\nu_2 q^\rho_3.
\]

In the rest frame of particle 1, say, we have \( q_1 = (q_1^0, 0) \). Then \( L \) has only the spatial components \( L = e_{\lambda\mu
\nu\rho} q_1^\mu q_2^\nu q_3^\rho \). Thus \( L \) is a space-like vector, and \( L^2 \leq 0 \) in every frame of reference. Expanding \( L^2 \), we obtain the condition

\[
\begin{vmatrix}
q_1^2 & q_1 q_2 & q_1 q_3 \\
q_2 q_1 & q_2^2 & q_2 q_3 \\
q_3 q_1 & q_3 q_2 & q_3^2
\end{vmatrix} \geq 0.
\]

This can be expressed in terms of the invariants \( s, t, u \) in a form which is the same for all channels:

\[
stu \geq as + bt + cu,
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
ah &= (m_1^2 m_2^2 - m_3^2 m_4^2)(m_1^2 + m_2^2 - m_3^2 - m_4^2), \\
bh &= (m_1^2 m_3^2 - m_2^2 m_4^2)(m_1^2 + m_3^2 - m_2^2 - m_4^2), \\
ch &= (m_1^2 m_4^2 - m_2^2 m_3^2)(m_1^2 + m_4^2 - m_2^2 - m_3^2)
\end{align*}
\]

(T. W. B. Kibble, 1960).

For a graphical representation of the regions of variation of \( s, t \) and \( u \), it is convenient to use triangular coordinates in a plane, called the *Mandelstam plane* (S. Mandelstam, 1958). The coordinate axes are three straight lines which intersect to form an equilateral triangle. The coordinates \( s, t, u \) are measured along directions perpendicular to these three lines; the directions towards the interior of the triangle are reckoned positive, as shown by the arrows in Fig. 7. Thus each point in the plane has corresponding values of
$s$, $t$ and $u$ which are represented (with the appropriate signs) by the lengths of the perpendiculars to the three axes. The condition $s + t + u = h$ is satisfied on account of a known theorem of geometry, $h$ being equal to the altitude of the triangle.†

Let us consider the important case where the principal channel ($s$) corresponds to elastic scattering. Then the masses of the particles are equal in pairs:

$$m_1 = m_3 \equiv m, \quad m_2 = m_4 \equiv \mu.$$  

(68.7)

Let $m > \mu$. The condition (68.5) has

$$h = 2(m^2 + \mu^2), \quad a = c = 0, \quad b = (m^2 - \mu^2)^2,$$

so that

$$su \geq (m^2 - \mu^2)^2 t.$$  

(68.8)

The boundary of the region defined by this inequality comprises the straight line $t = 0$ and the hyperbola

$$su = (m^2 - \mu^2)^2,$$  

(68.9)

whose two branches lie in the sectors $u < 0$, $s < 0$ and $s > 0$, $u > 0$; the axes $s = 0$ and $u = 0$ are the asymptotes of the hyperbola. Instead of (68.8) we can write

$$t > 0, \quad su > (m^2 - \mu^2)^2$$

or

$$t < 0, \quad su < (m^2 - \mu^2)^2.$$  

Moreover, according to the conditions (68.2) we must apply the inequality $s > (m + \mu)^2$ in the $s$ channel and $u > (m + \mu)^2$ in the $u$ channel; the remaining inequalities are then necessarily satisfied. We thus find that channels I, II, III ($s$, $t$, $u$) correspond to the shaded regions in Fig. 8, which are called physical regions.

![Fig. 8.](image)

![Fig. 9.](image)

If $\mu = 0$ (particles 2 and 4 are photons), the lower branch of the hyperbola touches the axis $t = 0$, and the physical regions are as shown in Fig. 9.

If $m = \mu$, the boundaries of the region (68.8) degenerate to the coordinate axes, and the physical regions are the three sectors shown in Fig. 10.

† For example, if the point $P$ in Fig. 7 is joined to the three vertices $A$, $B$, $C$ of the triangle, the latter is divided into three triangles with altitudes $s$, $t$ and $u$; equating the sum of their areas to that of the triangle $ABC$, we obtain the required relation. The proof is similar when $P$ lies outside the triangle $ABC$. 
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In the general case of four different masses, the equation

\[ stu = as + bt + cu \]  

(68.10)
defines a third-order curve whose branches are the boundaries of the physical regions of the three channels, as shown in Fig. 11. Let \( m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3 \geq m_4 \). Then \( a \geq b \geq c \), \( a > 0 \), \( b > 0 \). The curve (68.10) meets the coordinate axes at points on the line

\[ as + bt + cu = 0. \]

This line is as shown in Fig. 11a and 11b, depending on the sign of \( c \). If \( c < 0 \), the physical region of the \( u \) channel includes part of the area of the coordinate triangle. In this case, therefore, the quantities \( s \), \( t \) and \( u \) may all be positive at the same time. All three branches of the boundary curve have the appropriate coordinate axes as asymptotes; this may be seen by eliminating one of the variables from (68.10) by means of the relation \( s + t + u = h \), and then making one of the other variables tend to infinity. In general, the conditions (68.2) yield nothing in addition to the limits defined by equation (68.10). The straight lines which correspond to the equality signs in (68.2) do not intersect the physical regions shown by the shaded areas in Fig. 11a, b; some of them touch the boundaries of these regions, corresponding to extreme values of the variable \( s \), \( t \) or \( u \) in the corresponding channel.
When the mass of one of the particles exceeds the sum of the masses of the other three (\(m_1 > m_2 + m_3 + m_4\)), a fourth reaction channel is possible, corresponding to the disintegration

\[
\text{(IV) } 1 \rightarrow 2 + 3 + 4.
\]  

(68.11)

For this channel, in the rest frame of the disintegrating particle,

\[
\begin{align*}
q_1 &= (m_1, 0), & q_2 &= (-E_2, p_2), & q_3 &= (-E_3, -p_3), \\
q_4 &= (-E_4, -p_4), & E_2 + E_3 + E_4 &= m_1, & p_2 + p_3 + p_4 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The invariants are

\[
\begin{align*}
s &= m_1^2 + m_2^2 - 2m_1 E_2, \\
t &= m_1^2 + m_3^2 - 2m_1 E_3, \\
u &= m_1^2 + m_4^2 - 2m_1 E_4.
\end{align*}
\]  

(68.12)

We then have from (68.1)

\[
\begin{align*}
(m_3 + m_4)^2 &\leq s \leq (m_1 - m_2)^2, \\
(m_2 + m_4)^2 &\leq t \leq (m_1 - m_3)^2, \\
(m_2 + m_3)^2 &\leq u \leq (m_1 - m_4)^2.
\end{align*}
\]  

(68.13)

Thus all three invariants are positive, and the physical region of the disintegration channel is within the coordinate triangle. It is bounded by a closed curve given by (68.10).

**Problems**

**Problem 1.** Find the physical regions for the case of three equal masses: \(m_1 = m, m_2 = m_3 = m_4 = \mu\) (for example, the reaction \(K + \pi \rightarrow \pi + \pi\)).

**Solution.** Equation (68.10) becomes

\[
stu = \mu^2 (m^2 - \mu^2)^2, 
\]

with

\[
s + t + u = 3\mu^2 + m^2.
\]

Regions I, II and III are bounded by curves of the same shape, with \(s > 0, t < 0, u < 0\) for region I, and so on. If \(m > 3\mu\), equation (1) also has a branch in the form of a closed curve with \(s > 0, t > 0, u > 0\), which bounds the region of channel IV (Fig. 12).

**Problem 2.** The same as Problem 1, but for the case \(m_1 = m, m_2 = \mu, m_3 = m_4 = 0, m > \mu\) (for example, the reaction \(\mu + v \rightarrow e + v\)).

**Solution.** The condition (68.5) becomes

\[
stu \geq m^2 \mu^2 s,
\]
with \( s + t + u = m^2 + \mu^2 \). The physical regions are bounded by the axis \( s = 0 \) and the two branches of the hyperbola \( tu = m^2 \mu^2 \) (Fig. 13).

**Problem 3.** The same as Problem 1, but for the case \( m_1 = m_3 = m, m_2 = 0, m_4 = \mu, \) with \( m > 2\mu \) for example, the reaction \( p + \gamma \rightarrow p + \pi^0 \).

**Solution.** The boundary equation \((68.10)\) becomes

\[
stu = a(s + u) + bt, \\
ah = m^2 \mu^4, \\
bh = m^4(2m^2 - \mu^2), \\
h = 2m^2 + \mu^2.
\]

Elimination of \( u \) gives

\[
t^2 + \left( \frac{b - a}{s} + s - h \right) t + \frac{ah}{s} = 0.
\]

For a given value of \( s \), this is a quadratic in \( t \). If \( s > (m + \mu)^2 \) (the region of the \( s \) channel), there are two negative values of \( t \) for each value of \( s \). If \( s = (m + \mu)^2 \), these two roots of the quadratic coincide at \( t = -m\mu^2/(m + \mu) \). The boundary of the \( s \) channel region is then as shown in Fig. 14. The lower branch of the boundary tends asymptotically to the axis \( u = 0 \), and the upper branch crosses this axis at the point \( t = \mu^4/(\mu^2 - m^2) \).

The \( u \) channel region is symmetrical with the \( s \) channel region; the \( t \) channel region is situated as shown in Fig. 14.
§69. Expansion in partial amplitudes

An important step in the analysis of a reaction of the form

$$ a + b \rightarrow c + d $$

(69.1)

is the expansion of the scattering amplitude in partial amplitudes, each of which corresponds (for a given total energy $\varepsilon$) to a definite value of the total angular momentum of the particles $J$ in their centre-of-mass system.†

These partial amplitudes are, therefore, elements of the $S$-matrix in the angular momentum representation:

$$ \langle \varepsilon J'M'|S|\varepsilon JM \rangle. $$

Since the angular momentum $J$ and its component $M$ along a specified $z$-axis are conserved, the $S$-matrix is diagonal with respect to these numbers (and also with respect to the energy $\varepsilon$). Because of the isotropy of space, the diagonal elements are independent of the value of $M$. For given $J$, $M$ and $\varepsilon$, the scattering matrix is still a matrix with respect to the spin quantum numbers; the elements of this matrix will be written in a more concise form:

$$ \langle \varepsilon JM\lambda'|S|\varepsilon JM\lambda \rangle \equiv \langle \lambda'|S'(\varepsilon)|\lambda \rangle, $$

(69.2)

where $\lambda$ and $\lambda'$ are the sets of spin quantum numbers. These can most naturally be taken to be the helicities of the particles. The helicity, unlike the spin component along an arbitrary axis in space, is conserved for a free particle, and it commutes with both the momentum and the angular momentum of the particle ($§16$). The helicities may therefore be used in both the momentum and the angular momentum representation of the scattering matrix.

The elements of the $S$-matrix with respect to the helicity indices will be called the helicity scattering amplitudes, and $\lambda$, $\lambda'$ will be taken to include the helicities of the initial and final particles respectively:

$$ \lambda = (\lambda_a, \lambda_b), \quad \lambda' = (\lambda_c, \lambda_d). $$

In the momentum representation, the scattering matrix elements are defined with respect to the states $|\varepsilon n\lambda\rangle$ (where $n = p/|p|$ is the direction of the momentum of relative motion in the centre-of-mass system); in the angular momentum representation, they are defined with respect to the states $|\varepsilon JM\lambda\rangle$. They can be related by means of the expansions

$$ |JM\lambda\rangle = \int |n\lambda\rangle \langle n\lambda|JM\lambda\rangle \, dn_n, $$

(69.3)

where the integration is over the directions $n$; the energy $\varepsilon$ is, for brevity, omitted from the state symbols. Since this transformation is unitary (see $QM$, §12), the coefficients of the inverse transformation are

$$ \langle JM\lambda|n\lambda\rangle = \langle n\lambda|JM\lambda\rangle^*. $$

(69.4)

By the general rule of matrix transformation, the same coefficients give the relation between the $S$-matrix elements in the two representations:

$$ \langle n'\lambda'|S|n\lambda\rangle = \sum J'M\lambda' \langle n'\lambda'|JM\lambda'|S|JM\lambda\rangle \langle JM\lambda|n\lambda\rangle. $$

(69.5)

The coefficients in the expansion (69.3) are easily found by means of the results of $§16$. Let the wave functions of all states be expressed in the momentum representation, i.e. as

† Most of the results in §§69 and 70 are due to M. Jacob and G. C. Wick (1959).
functions of the direction of the momentum (for a given energy); this direction, as an
independent variable, will be denoted by \( v \) to distinguish it from the direction \( n \) as a
quantum number of the state. In this representation, the wave function has the form (16.2):
\[
\psi_{\alpha}(v) = u^{(\alpha)}(v - n).
\]
(69.6)
When (69.6) is substituted in the expansion (69.3), the latter reduces to a single term:
\[
\psi_{JM\lambda} = \langle v|JM\lambda \rangle u^{(\lambda)}.
\]
(69.7)

The helicities \( \lambda_a \) and \( \lambda_b \) of the two particles are defined as the components of their spins
in the directions of their respective momenta. If the momenta are \( p_a \equiv p, \ p_b = -p \), then
these directions are \( n \) for the first particle and \(-n\) for the second particle. If now the
system is regarded as a single particle with helicity \( \Lambda \) in the direction \( n \), then \( \Lambda = \lambda_a - \lambda_b \).

Its wave function (in the momentum representation) can be written, according to (16.4),
in the form
\[
\psi_{JM\lambda}(v) = u^{(\lambda)} D_{\lambda M}^{(\Lambda)}(v) \sqrt{\frac{2J + 1}{4\pi}}.
\]
(69.8)

Comparison of (69.7) and (69.8), with the variable \( v \) replaced by \( n \), gives the required
coefficients:
\[
\langle n|JM\lambda \rangle = \sqrt{\frac{2J + 1}{4\pi}} D_{\lambda M}^{(\Lambda)}(n).
\]
(69.9)

Substituting these coefficients in (69.5), we have
\[
\langle n' \lambda'|S|n\lambda \rangle = \sum_{J,M} \frac{2J + 1}{4\pi} D^{(J)}_{\Lambda M}(n) D_{\lambda M}^{(\Lambda)}(n') \langle \lambda'|S'|\lambda \rangle,
\]
with the abbreviated notation (69.2).

If the direction \( n \) is taken as that of the \( z \)-axis, then
\[
D_{\lambda M}^{(\Lambda)}(n) = \delta_{\lambda M},
\]
and (69.10) becomes
\[
\langle n' \lambda'|S|n\lambda \rangle = \sum_{J} \frac{2J + 1}{4\pi} D^{(J)}_{\lambda M}(n) \langle \lambda'|S'|\lambda \rangle.
\]
(69.11)

We see that the expansion in partial amplitudes has the functions \( D_{\lambda M}^{(\Lambda)} \) as coefficients.

For a reaction of the form (69.1), it is convenient to define the scattering amplitude \( f \) in
such a way that the cross-section (in the centre-of-mass system) is
\[
d\sigma = |\langle n' \lambda'|f|n\lambda \rangle|^2 \, d\sigma';
\]
(69.12)
by comparison with (65.19), we can relate this amplitude to the matrix element \( M_{f'} \).

The expansion of the amplitude in partial amplitudes may be written
\[
\langle n' \lambda'|f|n\lambda \rangle = \sum_{J,M} (2J + 1) D^{(J)}_{\lambda M}(n') D_{\lambda M}^{(\Lambda)}(n) \langle \lambda'|f'|\lambda \rangle,
\]
or, taking the \( z \)-axis in the direction \( n \),
\[
\langle n' \lambda'|f|n\lambda \rangle = \sum_{J} (2J + 1) D^{(J)}_{\lambda M}(n') \langle \lambda'|f'|\lambda \rangle.
\]
(69.14)

This is a generalisation of the usual expansion in partial amplitudes for the scattering of
spinless particles; see *QM*, (122.13). Since \( D_{00}^{(L)} = P_L(\cos \theta) \), (69.14) reduces in the case of zero spins to an expansion in Legendre polynomials
\[
f(\theta) = \sum_L (2L+1)P_L(\cos \theta).
\]

The cross-section (69.12) is valid when all the particles have definite helicities. If they are in mixed polarisation states, the cross-section is found by averaging the product
\[
\langle \lambda_c \lambda_d | f | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle \langle \lambda_c' \lambda_d' | f' | \lambda_a' \lambda_b' \rangle^* 
\]
over the polarisation density matrices of the particles,
\[
\langle \lambda_a | \rho(a) | \lambda_a \rangle \langle \lambda_b | \rho(b) | \lambda_b \rangle \langle \lambda_c | \rho(c) | \lambda_c \rangle \langle \lambda_d | \rho(d) | \lambda_d \rangle; 
\]
see the first footnote to §48. For example, in a reaction between unpolarised particles \( a, b \) to form unpolarised particles \( c, d \), we have
\[
d\sigma = \frac{d\sigma}{(2s_a+1)(2s_b+1)} \sum_{J,J'} (2J + 1)(2J' + 1) \times \langle \lambda_c \lambda_d | f' | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle \langle \lambda_c \lambda_d | f' | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle^* D_{\lambda_a \lambda_b}^{(J)}(n') D_{\lambda_a \lambda_b}^{(J')}*(n');
\]
(69.15)
the \( z \)-axis is along \( n \), and the first summation is over \( \lambda_a, \lambda_b, \lambda_c, \lambda_d \). Using (a.12) for the function \( D_{\lambda_a \lambda_b}^{(J)} \) and then the expansion (b.2), we have finally
\[
d\sigma = \frac{d\sigma}{(2s_a+1)(2s_b+1)} \sum_{J,J'} (-1)^{\lambda - \lambda'} (2J + 1)(2J' + 1) \times \langle \lambda_c \lambda_d | f' | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle \langle \lambda_c \lambda_d | f' | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle^* \times \sum_L (2L+1) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J & J' & L \\ \lambda & -\lambda & 0 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J & J' & L \\ \lambda' & -\lambda' & 0 \end{array} \right) P_L(\cos \theta),
\]
(69.16)
where \( \theta \) is the angle between \( n' \) and the \( z \)-axis; the summation with respect to \( L \) is over all integers which can occur when \( J \) and \( J' \) are added vectorially. The expansion of the scattering amplitude in partial amplitudes gives a full expression of all properties of the angular distribution of scattering that are due to the symmetry with respect to spatial rotations. But it does not explicitly reveal the properties that are due to the symmetry with respect to spatial inversion. The \( P \) invariance (if possessed by the interaction) leads to certain relations between the various helicity amplitudes (see §70).

§70. Symmetry of helicity scattering amplitudes

The conditions imposed by the symmetry with respect to the transformations \( P, T, C \) (if, of course, the particle interaction process in question in fact possesses such symmetry) lead to certain relations between the helicity scattering amplitudes, and therefore reduce the number of independent amplitudes.†

To establish these relations, we shall first determine the symmetry properties of the helicity states of a system of two particles.

Let us consider the particles in their centre-of-mass system. One particle has momentum \( p_1 \equiv p \) and helicity \( \lambda_1 \) with respect to the direction of \( p \); the other has momentum \( p_2 = -p \) and helicity \( \lambda_2 \) with respect to the direction of \( -p \). If the helicity is defined with respect

† This number does not, of course, depend on the specific representation of the matrix \( S' \), and is the same for any choice of the spin variables.
to the same direction, that of \( p \), its values are \( \lambda_1 \) and \( -\lambda_2 \), and the particles will thus be described by plane waves with amplitudes \( u_p^{(\lambda_1)} \) and \( u_p^{(-\lambda_2)} \). The two-particle system is described by a (multi-component) function \( u_p^{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} \) formed from the products of the amplitudes \( u_p^{(\lambda_1)} \) and \( u_p^{(-\lambda_2)} \).

Let us next regard the system as a single particle with helicity \( \Lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \) in the direction \( n = p/|p| \); we can then write the wave function (in the momentum representation, i.e. as a function of \( n \)) for a state with definite values of \( J, M, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) (and of the total energy \( e \)):

\[
\psi_{JM\lambda_1\lambda_2} = u_p^{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)} D_{JM}^{(\lambda_2)}(n) \sqrt{\frac{2J+1}{4\pi}}, \quad \Lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2; \tag{70.1}
\]

cf. (69.8). Since \( \Lambda \) is the component of the total angular momentum in the direction of \( p \), we must have

\[
|\Lambda| \leq J. \tag{70.2}
\]

According to (16.14), under inversion

\[
P_u^{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}(n) = \eta_1 \eta_2 u^{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)}(-n) = \eta_1 \eta_2 (-1)^{s_1+s_2-\lambda_1+\lambda_2} u^{(-\lambda_1-\lambda_2)}(n), \tag{70.3}
\]

where \( \eta_1 \) and \( \eta_2 \) are the internal parities of the particles. Using also (16.10), we find the transformation law for the functions (70.1):

\[
P \psi_{JM\lambda_1\lambda_2} = \eta_1 \eta_2 (-1)^{s_1+s_2-J} \psi_{JM,-\lambda_1,-\lambda_2}. \tag{70.4}
\]

If the two particles are identical, the question arises of the symmetry with respect to their interchange. This interchange implies interchanging their momenta and their spins. To show the significance of this operation as applied to the function (70.1), we note that its definition contains an asymmetry, in that the angular momenta of the two particles are projected on the direction of the same vector \( p_1 \equiv p \), the momentum of the first particle. After the interchange, this vector is replaced by \( p_2 \equiv -p \), and the components of the angular momenta \( j_1 \) and \( j_2 \) along this vector are \( -\lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) (instead of \( \lambda_1 \) and \( -\lambda_2 \) along \( p \)). The result of applying the particle interchange operator \( P_{12} \) to the function (70.1) may therefore be written

\[
P_{12} \psi_{JM\lambda_1\lambda_2} = u^{(-\lambda_2-\lambda_1)}(-n) D_{JM}^{(\lambda_2)}(-n) \sqrt{\frac{2J+1}{4\pi}}, \tag{70.5}
\]

where again \( \Lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \). Then, using (70.3) and (16.10), we find that

\[
P_{12} \psi_{JM\lambda_1\lambda_2} = (-1)^{2s_1} \psi_{JM\lambda_2\lambda_1}, \tag{70.5}
\]

where \( s_1 = s_2 \equiv s \).

For identical particles, the permissible states must be either symmetric (for bosons) or antisymmetric (for fermions) with respect to interchange. Since the former case occurs when the particle spin \( s \) is integral and the latter case when it is half-integral, in either case the permissible helicity states of the two-particle system can be written as linear combinations

\[
[1 + (-1)^2 P_{12}] \psi_{JM\lambda_1\lambda_2},
\]

or, according to (70.5),

\[
\psi_{JM\lambda_1\lambda_2} + (-1)^J \psi_{JM\lambda_2\lambda_1}. \tag{70.6}
\]

It is noteworthy that this combination is the same for both bosons and fermions.

For a particle–antiparticle system, the result of the interchange is expressed by the same formula (70.5), but, unlike the case of identical particles, states of either symmetry under
interchange are here permissible, i.e. both combinations

\[ \psi^\pm = \psi_{JM;\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \pm (-1)^{J} \psi_{JM;\lambda_1 \lambda_1}, \]  

(70.7)
can occur. These states have certain charge parities \( C \). The operation of charge conjugation may be regarded as the result of a total interchange of all variables (spin and charge) of the two particles, followed by reverse interchange of the spin variables (helicities). The result of the first operation must be the same as that of interchange in a system of two identical particles. Hence it is clear that, with the upper sign in (70.7) (which is the same as the sign in the state (70.6) permissible for identical particles), the system will be charge-even, and with the lower sign charge-odd:

\[ C\psi^\pm = \pm \psi^\pm. \]

Finally, let us consider the operation of time reversal. The wave function of a particle at rest with spin \( s \) and component thereof \( \sigma \) is transformed according to

\[ T\psi_{s\sigma} = (-1)^{s-\sigma} \psi_{s,-\sigma}; \]

see \( QM \), (60.2). The wave function of two particles in their centre-of-mass system may also be regarded (in respect of its transformation properties) as that of a “particle” at rest, with angular momentum \( J \) and component thereof \( M \). The helicities \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \) are unchanged: time reversal changes the sign of the momentum and angular momentum vectors, and the products \( j \cdot p \) are therefore unaffected. Hence

\[ T\psi_{JM;\lambda_1 \lambda_2} = (-1)^J \psi_{JM;\lambda_1 \lambda_2}. \]  

(70.8)

We can now write down immediately the symmetry relations for the helicity amplitudes.

If the interaction is \( P \)-invariant, then for the reaction \( a+b \rightarrow c+d \) the amplitudes of the transitions

\[ |\lambda_a \lambda_b\rangle \rightarrow |\lambda_c \lambda_d\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad P|\lambda_a \lambda_b\rangle \rightarrow P|\lambda_c \lambda_d\rangle \]

must be the same (for given \( J \) and \( \sigma \)). Hence, using (70.4), we find

\[ \langle \lambda_c \lambda_d | S^I | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle = \eta_c \eta_d (-1)^{s_c+s_d-s_a-s_b} \langle -\lambda_c, -\lambda_d | S^I | -\lambda_a, -\lambda_b \rangle. \]  

(70.9)

If states with definite parities, i.e. the combinations

\[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\psi_{JM;\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \pm \psi_{JM;\lambda_1 \lambda_2}), \]

where \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \lambda_a, \lambda_b \) or \( \lambda_c, \lambda_d \), are chosen instead of those with definite helicities, then the amplitudes of transitions in which parity is not conserved are zero.

Time reversal transforms each state in accordance with (70.8), and also interchanges initial and final states. Thus \( T \) invariance leads to the relations

\[ \langle \lambda_c \lambda_d | S^I (e) | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle = \langle \lambda_a \lambda_b | S^I (e) | \lambda_c \lambda_d \rangle. \]  

(70.10)

These two amplitudes, however, pertain to different processes, the forward and reverse reactions. These two processes are essentially equivalent only in the case of elastic scattering, and (70.10) is then a relation between helicity amplitudes for the same reaction.

In elastic scattering of two identical particles, the number of different amplitudes is further reduced because of the symmetry with respect to interchange. We have seen that, for a given \( J \), the states which occur are either all symmetric or all antisymmetric in \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \). The conservation of angular momentum therefore implies that of the symmetry with respect to interchange of helicities.
A similar situation occurs in the elastic scattering of a particle by its antiparticle, or the conversion of one particle–antiparticle pair into another, i.e. a reaction \(a + \bar{a} \rightarrow b + \bar{b}\). For given \(J\), there are both symmetric and antisymmetric states with regard to \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2\), but they correspond to different values of the charge parity of the system. Hence it follows that, if the interaction of the particles is \(C\)-invariant, so that the charge parity is conserved, transitions between states of different symmetry with regard to \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2\) are forbidden.\(^\dagger\) It must be emphasised, however, that there is a difference from the case of identical particles, in which states of one symmetry are entirely absent for any given \(J\). In the "particle–antiparticle" case, only transitions between states of different symmetry are forbidden; the states themselves exist for every \(J\).

Because of the universal \(CPT\) invariance, the existence of \(T\) invariance implies that of \(CP\) invariance. The latter brings about the equality of amplitudes for two reactions, one obtained from the other by replacing all particles by antiparticles (and changing the sign of the helicities):

\[
\langle \lambda_a \lambda_d | S' | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle = \langle \lambda_a \lambda_d | S' | \lambda_a \lambda_b \rangle, \tag{70.11}
\]

where \(\lambda_a = -\lambda_a\) and so on.\(^\ddagger\)

The number of independent amplitudes is the same for all the cross-channels of one generalised reaction, and therefore this number can be determined from any channel. For example, the elastic scattering \(a + b \rightarrow a + b\) and the annihilation \(a + \bar{a} \rightarrow b + \bar{b}\) are described by the same number of independent amplitudes. The restrictions imposed by \(T\) invariance in the first case are equivalent to those imposed by \(C\) invariance in the second case.

Let us also consider a reaction in which one particle disintegrates into two: \(a \rightarrow b + c\). In the centre-of-mass system (the rest frame for particle \(a\)), we have \(p_b = -p_c\). Scalar multiplication of the equation \(j_a = j_b + j_c\) by \(p_b\) gives

\[
\lambda_a = \lambda_b - \lambda_c \tag{70.12}
\]

(the helicity \(\lambda_a\) of particle \(a\) is defined as the component of its spin in the direction of the momentum of one of the secondary particles). This relation can be regarded as a consequence of the additional symmetry present in the process considered, namely the axial symmetry about the directions of \(p_b\) and \(p_c\). If the spin \(s_a\) of particle \(a\) is less than \(s_b + s_c\), the relation (70.12) reduces the number of possible sets of values of \(\lambda_a, \lambda_b, \lambda_c\) and therefore the number of independent helicity amplitudes of the disintegration. The total angular momentum \(J\) is then equal to the spin \(s_a\) of the primary particle, and is consequently fixed.

The \(P\) invariance in the disintegration is expressed by the relation

\[
\langle \lambda_b \lambda_c | S' | \lambda_a \rangle = \frac{\eta_b \eta_c}{\eta_a} (-1)^{s_a - s_b - s_c} \langle -\lambda_b, -\lambda_c | S' | -\lambda_a \rangle, \tag{70.13}
\]

where we have used (70.4) and also the transformation law (16.16) for the wave function of a single particle.

If the primary particle is strictly neutral, further limitations arise if \(C\) parity is conserved. Three cases are to be distinguished here. If the disintegration products are also strictly

\(^\dagger\) A similar prohibition can also arise from isotopic invariance of the interaction of non-identical particles. For instance, transitions between states of different symmetry with regard to \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2\) are forbidden, to the extent that this invariance holds, in the scattering of a neutron by a proton.

\(^\ddagger\) Since these two amplitudes relate to different reactions, interference between which is not possible, the phase factor in (70.11) would have no significance, and can be taken as unity. Only the equality of cross-sections which follows from (70.11) is actually meaningful.
neutral, we must have $C_a = C_b C_c$; this condition either prohibits the disintegration altogether, or is satisfied and causes no further restriction. If the particles $b$ and $c$ are different, then $C$ invariance implies a relation between the amplitudes of the different processes $a \rightarrow b + c$ and $a \rightarrow b + c$. Finally, for the disintegration $a \rightarrow b + c$, there is a restriction because, for a given charge parity $C$ and a given total angular momentum $J = s_a$ the system may be in states either symmetric or antisymmetric with respect to the helicities, depending on the parity of the number $J$ and on the sign of $C$.

$CP$ invariance implies the equality of amplitudes for the disintegrations $a \rightarrow b + c$ and $a \rightarrow b + c$:

$$\langle \lambda_a \lambda_c | S^j | \lambda_a \rangle = \langle \lambda_0 \lambda_c | S^j | \lambda_a \rangle,$$

where $\lambda_a = -\lambda_a$ and so on; i.e. it implies equal probabilities of disintegration for the particle and the antiparticle. If the particle can disintegrate in more than one way (through various channels), this equality applies to each channel. This conclusion, it must be emphasised, is based on the existence of $CP$ invariance, which is not a universal property of Nature. Only $CPT$ invariance is universal, and this by itself would lead only to the equation

$$\langle \lambda_a \lambda_c | S^j | \lambda_a \rangle = \langle \lambda_c | S^j | \lambda_a \lambda_c \rangle,$$

in which the right-hand side refers to the process inverse to disintegration. We shall see later (§72) that the condition of $CPT$ invariance, together with unitarity requirements, does lead to a relation, although a more restricted one, between the disintegration probabilities for the particle and the antiparticle.

### PROBLEMS

**Problem 1.** Using (70.6), obtain a classification of the possible states of a two-photon system.

**Solution.** In this case $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \pm 1$. For even $J > 0$, according to (70.6), three states symmetric in $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ are allowed:

(a) $\psi_{JM11}$, (b) $\psi_{JM, -1, -1}$, (c) $\psi_{JM, -1} + \psi_{JM, 1, -1}$.

For odd $J > 1$, one antisymmetric state is allowed:

(d) $\psi_{JM, -1} - \psi_{JM, 1, -1}$.

States (c) and (d) also have a definite parity (+ 1): according to (70.4),

$$P(\psi_{JM, -1, -1} \pm \psi_{JM, 1, -1}) = \pm (-1)^{J}(\psi_{JM, -1} \pm \psi_{JM, 1, -1});$$

the factor $\pm (-1)^{J} = 1$, since the upper sign refers to even values of $J$ and the lower sign to odd values. States (a) and (b) themselves have no definite parity, but even and odd states are obtained by taking the combinations

(a') $\psi_{JM11} + \psi_{JM, -1, -1}$, (b') $\psi_{JM11} - \psi_{JM, -1, -1}$.

When $J = 0$, only $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ is allowed by the condition $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2| = 0$, so that state (c) does not occur, leaving one even and one odd state, (a') and (b'). Finally, if $J = 1$, state (d), which is the only possible state for odd $J$, is forbidden because it has $\lambda = 2 > J$. Thus we arrive at the table (9.5) for the permissible states.

**Problem 2.** In the non-relativistic approximation, the total angular momentum $J$ of the system is found by adding the spin $S$ and the orbital angular momentum $L$. For a system of two particles, find the relation between the states $|JLSM\rangle$ and $|JML_1, L_2\rangle$.

**Solution.** According to the rule for constructing wave functions when adding angular momenta, we have

$$\psi_{JLSM} = \sum \{ \psi_{s_1, s_2}^{s} C_{s_1 s_2}^{s} \} \psi_{LM} C_{LM}^{JM},$$

(1)

where $\psi_{s_0}$ are the eigenfunctions of the spin $s$ with component $\sigma$ along a fixed $z$-axis, $\psi_{LM}$ those of the orbital angular momentum $L$ with component $M_L$; the expression in the braces corresponds to the addition of $s_1$ and $s_2$ to give $S$, after which $S$ is added to $L$ to give $J$; the summation is over all $m$-type indices. Let all functions be expressed in the momentum representation, as functions of the direction $n$ of the momentum.
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$p = p_1$, and let the functions $\psi_{n_2}$ be expressed in terms of the functions $\psi_{n_1}$ of the helicity states by means of (a.1):

$$
\psi_{n_{12}} = \sum_{A_1} D^{(n_1)}_{A_{12}}(n) \psi_{n_{12}}
$$

$$
\psi_{n_{12}} = \sum_{A_2} D^{(n_2)}_{A_{12}}(n) \psi_{n_{12}}.
$$

For the function $\psi_{LMl}$, we have

$$
\psi_{LMl} = Y_{LMl}(n)
$$

$$
= i^2 \sqrt{\frac{2L+1}{4\pi}} D^{(l)}_{0LMl}(n),
$$

using (a.18) and the definition (16.5). Substituting these functions in equation (1), and twice using the expansion (b.1), together with the orthogonality of the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients ($QM$, (106.13)), we obtain the expansion

$$
\psi_{JLSM} = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \psi_{JM_{12}, \lambda_0} \langle JML, \lambda_0 | JLSM \rangle,
$$

where

$$
\psi_{JM_{12}, \lambda_0} = \psi_{n_{12}} \psi_{n_{2}, -\lambda \lambda} D^{(J)}_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}(n) \sqrt{\frac{2J + 1}{4\pi}}, \quad \Lambda = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2,
$$

and the coefficients are

$$
\langle JML, \lambda_0 | JLSM \rangle = (-i)^n (-1)^{s_1 + s_2} \sqrt{[(2L + 1)(2S + 1)]} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & s_2 & S \\ \lambda_1 & -\lambda_2 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} L & S & J \end{pmatrix}.
$$

(3)

Since the transformation (2) is unitary, we have

$$
\langle JLSM | JML, \lambda_0 \rangle = \langle JML, \lambda_0 | JLSM \rangle^*.
$$

**Problem 3.** Determine the number of independent partial amplitudes for the following scattering processes:

(a) $\pi + N \rightarrow \pi + N$,  
(b) $\gamma + \pi \rightarrow \gamma + \pi$,  
(c) $\gamma + N \rightarrow \gamma + N$,  
(d) $e + N \rightarrow e + N$,  
(e) $v + e \rightarrow v + e$,  
(f) $p + \gamma \rightarrow n + \pi^+$, 

(g) $N + N \rightarrow N + N$.

**Solution.** (a), (b): the total number of elements of the matrix $S'$ (i.e. the number of different sets $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1', \lambda_2'$) is $N = 4$. When $P$ invariance is taken into account the number of independent elements becomes $N_p = 2$; with $T$ invariance, $N_T = 3$; and with both invariances, $N_{PT} = 2$.

(c), (d): $N = 16, N_p = 8, N_T = 10, N_{PT} = 6$.

(e): $N = 4, N_p = 3$.

(f): $N = 8, N_p = 4$.

(g): here it is convenient to start from linear combinations of the helicity states:

$$
\psi_{1g} = \psi^{++} + \psi^{--}, \quad \psi_{2g} = \psi^{++} - \psi^{--},
$$

$$
\psi_{3g} = \psi^{+-} - \psi^{-+}, \quad \psi_u = \psi^- + \psi^+,
$$

where the suffixes $\pm$ indicate the values of the helicities ($\pm \frac{1}{2}$) of the two particles. The states $1g, 2g$ and $3g$ are even, and the state $u$ odd, with respect to interchange of the particles. The transitions $g \leftrightarrow u$ are therefore forbidden, and the interchange symmetry leaves $N = 16 - 6 = 10$. With respect to the inversion $P$, the functions $\psi_{1g}, \psi_{2g}$ and $\psi_{3g}, \psi_u$ have opposite parities, $(-1)^{J+1}$ and $(-1)^J$ respectively, and the prohibition of transitions between these pairs reduces the number of independent amplitudes to $N_p = 6$. Finally, $T$ invariance makes the amplitudes $1g \rightarrow 3g$ and $3g \rightarrow 1g$ equal, so that $N_{PT} = 5$.

**§71. Invariant amplitudes**

In the helicity amplitudes, a particular frame of reference is used, namely the centre-of-mass system. But, in order to calculate the scattering amplitudes by means of invariant perturbation theory (and also to examine their general analytical properties), it is convenient to write them in an explicitly invariant form.

† In these examples, of course, only the spins of the particles and the symmetry of their interaction are important.
If the particles concerned in the reaction have no spin, the scattering amplitude depends only on the invariant products of the 4-momenta of the particles. For a reaction of the form
\[ a + b \rightarrow c + d, \]
these invariants may be taken as any two of the quantities \( s, t, u \) defined in §67. Then the scattering amplitude reduces to a single function \( M_{fi} = f(s, t) \).

If the particles have spins, then, besides the kinematic invariants \( s, t, u \), there are also invariants which can be constructed from the wave amplitudes of the particles (bispinors, 4-tensors, etc.). The scattering amplitudes must then have the form
\[ M_{fi} = \sum_n f_n(s, t)F_n, \]
where the \( F_n \) are invariants which depend linearly on the wave amplitudes of all the particles concerned (and also on their 4-momenta). The coefficients \( f_n(s, t) \) are called invariant amplitudes.

By choosing the wave amplitudes in such a way as to correspond to particles with definite helicities, we obtain definite values of the invariants \( F_n = F_n(\lambda_i, \lambda_f) \). Then the helicity scattering amplitudes are linear homogeneous combinations of the invariant amplitudes \( f_n \). Hence we see that the number of independent functions \( f_n(s, t) \) is equal to the number of independent helicity amplitudes. Since the latter number is easily determined, as shown in §70, this makes easier the construction of the invariants \( F_n \), their number being known in advance.

Let us consider some examples, assuming in every case that the interaction is \( T \)-invariant and \( P \)-invariant. The latter property implies that the invariants \( F_n \) must be true scalars, not pseudoscalars.

1. Scattering of a particle with spin 0 by one with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \). The number of invariants is 2 (§70, Problem 3), and they may be taken as
\[ F_1 = \bar{u}'u, \quad F_2 = \bar{u}'\bar{K}u, \]
where \( u = u(p) \), \( u' = u(p') \) are the bispinor amplitudes of the initial and final fermions; \( K = k + k' \), where \( k \) and \( k' \) are the 4-momenta of the initial and final bosons.†

The \( T \) invariance of the quantities (71.3) is easily verified directly. Time reversal interchanges the initial and final states, and replaces the amplitudes \( u(p) \) by the “time-reversed” amplitudes
\[ u^T = U_T \bar{u}, \quad \bar{u}^T = -U_T^+ \bar{u}; \]
see (28.5). Hence
\[ \bar{u}'u \rightarrow \bar{u}'^Tu'^T = -(U_T^+u)(U_T^+\bar{u}') = -\bar{u}'U_TU_T^+u = \bar{u}'U_T^+u = \bar{u}'u, \]
and this proves that \( F_1 \) is \( T \)-invariant. Similarly
\[ \bar{u}'\gamma^u u \rightarrow \bar{u}'\gamma^u u'^T = -(U_T^+u)\gamma^u(U_T^+\bar{u}') = \bar{u}'U_T^+\gamma^u U_T^+\bar{u}', \]

† At first sight, there might appear to be another invariant of the form \( \bar{u}'\sigma_\kappa k^\kappa u \), but this is easily seen to reduce to the invariants (71.3) by means of the conservation law \( k' = p + k - p' \) and the equations \( \rho u = mu, \bar{u}'\rho' = m\bar{u}' \) satisfied by the bispinor amplitudes.
or, by (26.12),

\[ \bar{u}' \gamma^0 u \rightarrow \bar{u}' \gamma^0 u, \quad \bar{u}' \gamma u \rightarrow -\bar{u}' \gamma u. \]

The 4-momenta are transformed similarly: \((K^0, K) \rightarrow (K^0, -K)\), and the scalar product \(F_2 = K_0(\bar{u}' \gamma^0 u)\) is therefore invariant.

(2) Elastic scattering of two identical particles with spin \( \frac{1}{2} \). There are five independent invariants, which may be taken as

\[
\begin{align*}
F_1 &= (\bar{u}_1 u_1)(\bar{u}_2 u_2), & F_2 &= (\bar{u}_1 \gamma^5 u_1)(\bar{u}_2 \gamma^5 u_2), \\
F_3 &= (\bar{u}_1 \gamma^\mu u_1)(\bar{u}_2 \gamma_\mu u_2), & F_4 &= (\bar{u}_1 \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 u_1)(\bar{u}_2 \gamma_\mu \gamma^5 u_2), \\
F_5 &= (\bar{u}_1 \sigma^\mu u_1)(\bar{u}_2 \sigma_\mu u_2),
\end{align*}
\]

(71.4)

where \(u_1, u_2\) are the bispinor amplitudes of the initial particles and \(u_1', u_2'\) those of the final particles. Interchange of the initial (or of the final) particles gives no new invariants: the invariants obtained can be expressed in terms of the previous ones (§28, Problem 1). But the expression (71.2), with the \(F_\alpha\) given by (71.4), does not explicitly take account of the requirement that interchange of two identical fermions must change the sign of the scattering amplitude. An expression which satisfies this condition may be written

\[
M_{fi} = [((\bar{u}_1' u_1)(\bar{u}_2' u_2)f_1(t, u) - (\bar{u}_2' u_2)(\bar{u}_1' u_1)f_1(u, t)] + \ldots \quad (71.5)
\]

When \(p'_1\) and \(p'_2\) (or \(p_1\) and \(p_2\)) are interchanged, the kinematic invariants \(s \rightarrow s, t \rightarrow u, u \rightarrow t\), so that the condition is necessarily satisfied.

Let us consider two further examples: the elastic scattering of a photon by particles with spin 0 or \( \frac{1}{2} \). The amplitude of this process is conveniently expressed by means of the space-like unit 4-vectors \(e^{(1)}, e^{(2)}\) which satisfy the conditions

\[
e^{(1)2} = e^{(2)2} = -1, \quad e^{(1)}e^{(2)} = 0, \\
e^{(1)k} = e^{(2)k} = 0, \quad e^{(1)k'} = e^{(2)k'} = 0;
\]

(71.6)

for each of the two photons, these 4-vectors can be the unit 4-vectors by means of which an invariant description of their polarisation properties is obtained (§8).

Let \(k\) and \(k'\) be the initial and final 4-momenta of the photon; \(p\) and \(p'\) those of the scattering particle. The 4-vectors

\[
P_\lambda = p^\lambda + p'^\lambda - K^\lambda \frac{pK + p'K}{K^2},
\]

\[
N_\lambda = e^{\mu \nu \rho} p_\mu q_\nu K_\rho,
\]

(71.7)

where

\[
K = k + k', \quad q = p - p' = k' - k,
\]

are evidently orthogonal to one another and also to the 4-vectors \(K\) and \(q\), and therefore to \(k\) and \(k'\). Being orthogonal to the time-like 4-vector \(K\) \((K^2 = 2kk' > 0)\), they must themselves be space-like: in a frame of reference for which \(K = 0\), it follows from \(KP = 0\) that \(P_0 = 0\) and hence \(P^2 < 0\). Normalising \(P\) and \(N\) by putting

\[
e^{(1)\lambda} = \frac{rN_\lambda}{\sqrt{(-N^2)}}, \quad e^{(2)\lambda} = \frac{P_\lambda}{\sqrt{(-P^2)}},
\]

(71.8)

we obtain a pair of 4-vectors which have all the required properties. It may be noted that \(e^{(2)}\) is a true vector and \(e^{(1)}\) a pseudovector.

† These transformation laws also follow, of course, from the behaviour under time reversal (§28) of the operators \(\bar{\psi} \psi, \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi\), whose matrix elements are the products \(\bar{u}' u, \bar{u}' \gamma^\mu u\).
The photon scattering amplitude may be written

$$M_{fi} = F^\lambda\mu e_\lambda^* e_\mu,$$

(71.9)
in terms of the polarisation 4-vectors $e$ and $e'$ of the initial and final photons.

(3) Scattering of a photon by a particle with spin 0. The tensor $F^\lambda\mu$ in (71.9) has to be constructed from the particle 4-momenta only. It can be written

$$F^\lambda\mu = f_1 e^{(1)\lambda} e^{(1)\mu} + f_2 e^{(2)\lambda} e^{(2)\mu},$$

(71.10)

where $f_1$ and $f_2$ are invariant amplitudes, in this case two in number. It should be noted that no term containing a product $e^{(1)\lambda} e^{(2)\mu}$ can appear in $F^\lambda\mu$, since this product is a pseudotensor and would give a pseudoscalar on substitution in (71.9).

(4) Scattering of a photon by a particle with spin $\frac{1}{2}$. We write the tensor $F^\lambda\mu$ in the form

$$F^\lambda\mu = G_0(e^{(1)\lambda} e^{(1)\mu} + e^{(2)\lambda} e^{(2)\mu}) + G_1(e^{(1)\lambda} e^{(2)\mu} + e^{(2)\lambda} e^{(1)\mu}) +$$

$$+ G_2(e^{(1)\lambda} e^{(2)\mu} - e^{(2)\lambda} e^{(1)\mu}) + G_3(e^{(1)} e^{(1)} e^{(1)} - e^{(2)} e^{(2)} e^{(2)}),$$

(71.11)

where $G_0$ and $G_3$ are true scalars, $G_1$ and $G_2$ are pseudoscalars, and all four are bilinear in the bispinor fermion amplitudes $\bar{u}(p')$ and $u(p)$, being of the form

$$G_n = \bar{u}(p') Q_n u(p).$$

(71.12)

The general form of the matrices (with respect to the bispinor indices) $Q_n$ is

$$Q_0 = f_1 + f_2 \tilde{K}, \quad Q_1 = \gamma^5(f_3 + f_4 \tilde{K}),$$

$$Q_2 = \gamma^5(f_5 + f_6 \tilde{K}), \quad Q_3 = f_7 + f_8 \tilde{K},$$

(71.13)

where $K = k + k'$. The coefficients $f_1, \ldots, f_8$ are invariant amplitudes, in this case eight in number (instead of the correct value of six), because the condition of $T$ invariance has not yet been imposed.

Time reversal interchanges the initial and final 4-momenta of the particles, and also changes the sign of their space components:

$$(k_0, k) \leftrightarrow (k'_0, -k'), \quad (p_0, p) \leftrightarrow (p'_0, -p').$$

(71.14)

The photon polarisation 4-vectors are transformed according to

$$(e_0, e) \leftrightarrow (e_0^*, -e^*).$$

(71.15)

(cf. (8.11a)); hence

$$(e_0^* e_0, \ e_1^* e_0, \ e_1^* e_k) \rightarrow (e_0^* e_0, \ -e_0^* e_1, \ e_k^* e_1).$$

By virtue of the last transformation, the condition of invariance of the scattering amplitude (71.9) is equivalent to

$$(F_{00}, F_{10}, F_{11}) \rightarrow (F_{00}, -F_{01}, F_{11}).$$

On the other hand, the changes (71.14) imply

$$(K_0, K) \rightarrow (K_0, -K), \quad (q_0, q) \rightarrow (-q_0, q),$$

$$(P_0, P) \rightarrow (P_0, -P), \quad (N_0, N) \rightarrow (N_0, -N),$$

so that

$$(e_0^{(1,2)}, e^{(1,2)}) \rightarrow (e_0^{(1,2)}, -e^{(1,2)}).$$

(71.16)

Hence, from (71.11), we must have

$$G_{0,1,3} \rightarrow G_{0,1,3}, \quad G_2 \rightarrow -G_2.$$
§72  

The unitarity condition

Similarly, we find that

\[ \bar{u}' \gamma^5 u \rightarrow -\bar{u}' \gamma^5 u, \quad \bar{u}' \gamma^5 \not{K} u \rightarrow \bar{u}' \gamma^5 \not{K} u. \]  

(71.18)

From (71.12), (71.13) it is now evident that, because of the \( T \) invariance of the scattering amplitude,

\[ f_3 = f_6 = 0. \]  

(71.19)

§72. The unitarity condition

The scattering matrix must be unitary: \( SS^+ = 1 \), or, in terms of matrix elements,

\[ (SS^+)_{ij} = \sum_n S_{jn} S_{in}^* = \delta_{ji}, \]  

(72.1)

where the suffix \( n \) labels the possible intermediate states.† This is the most general property of the \( S \)-matrix, which ensures that the orthonormality of the states is preserved in the reaction; cf. \( QM \), §§124 and 141. In particular, the diagonal elements of equation (72.1) simply express the fact that the sum of the transition probabilities from a given initial state to all final states is unity:

\[ \sum_n |S_{ni}|^2 = 1. \]

Substituting in (72.1) the matrix elements in the form (65.2), we obtain‡

\[ T_{fi} - T_{if}^* = i(2\pi)^4 \sum_n \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i) T_{fn} T_{in}^*. \]  

(72.2)

It should be noticed that the left-hand side of this equation is linear in the matrix elements of \( T \), but the right-hand side is quadratic. If the interaction contains a small parameter (e.g. the electromagnetic interaction), the left-hand side is therefore of the first order of smallness and the right-hand side is of the second order. The latter may consequently be neglected in a first approximation; then

\[ T_{fi} = T_{if}^*. \]  

(72.3)

i.e. the matrix \( T \) is Hermitian.

In order to make the unitarity condition (72.2) more specific, we must understand precisely what is meant by the summation over \( n \). Let us do this for a two-particle collision, assuming that the conservation laws allow only elastic scattering. Then all the intermediate states in (72.2) are likewise “two-particle” states. Summation over these signifies integration over the intermediate momenta \( p_1^\prime, p_2^\prime \), and summation over the spin quantum numbers (for example, the helicities) of the two particles, which we denote by \( \lambda^\prime \):

\[ \sum_n = \int \frac{V^2 d^3 p_1^\prime d^3 p_2^\prime}{(2\pi)^6} \sum_{\lambda^\prime}. \]

Eliminating the delta functions in the same way as in §65, we obtain the “two-particle”

† The actual meaning of \( \delta_{ij} \) in (72.1) depends, of course, on the specific choice of quantum numbers and on the normalisation of the wave functions of the system. It must be defined so that \( \sum_i \delta_{ij} = 1 \).

‡ If the unitarity condition is written in the form \( S^+ S = 1 \) (with the factors \( S^+ \) and \( S \) in the opposite order), (72.2) takes the equivalent form

\[ T_{fi} - T_{if}^* = i(2\pi)^4 \sum_n \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i) T_{fn} T_{in}^*. \]  

(72.2a)
The scattering matrix §72

unitarity condition in the form

\[ T_{fi} - T_{if}^* = iV^2 \left( \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \right)^2 \sum_{\lambda'} \frac{|p|}{\hbar} \int T_{fn} T_{in}^* \langle \epsilon'_1 \epsilon'_2 \rangle \,d\omega', \]

where \( p \) is the momentum and \( \epsilon \) the total energy in the centre-of-mass system. The normalisation volume does not appear after changing from the amplitudes \( T_{fi} \) to \( M_{fi} \) in accordance with (65.10):

\[ M_{fi} - M_{if}^* = \frac{i}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{|p|}{\hbar} \int M_{fn} M_{in}^* \,d\omega'. \tag{72.4} \]

Let the elastic scattering amplitude be defined so that

\[ d\sigma = \langle n'\lambda' | f | n\lambda \rangle \langle n\lambda | f | n'\lambda' \rangle^* \,d\omega', \tag{72.5} \]

where \( n \) and \( n' \) are the directions of the initial and final momenta, \( \lambda \) and \( \lambda' \) the initial and final spin quantum numbers. Comparison with (65.19) shows that

\[ \langle n'\lambda' | f | n\lambda \rangle = \frac{1}{8\pi\hbar} M_{fi}, \tag{72.6} \]

and the unitarity condition (72.4) becomes

\[ \langle n'\lambda' | f | n\lambda \rangle - \langle n\lambda | f | n'\lambda' \rangle^* = \frac{i|p|}{2\pi} \sum_{\lambda} \langle n'\lambda' | f | n''\lambda'' \rangle \langle n\lambda | f | n''\lambda'' \rangle^* \,d\omega', \tag{72.7} \]

which generalises the familiar formula of the non-relativistic theory, \( QM \) (124.8).

The “amplitude of zero-angle elastic scattering” is the diagonal matrix element \( T_{ii} \) in which the final states of the particles are the same as their initial states.† For this amplitude, the unitarity condition (72.2) becomes

\[ 2 \text{Im} T_{ii} = (2\pi)^4 \sum_n |T_{in}|^2 \delta^{(4)}(P_i - P_n). \tag{72.8} \]

The right-hand side of this equation differs only by a factor from the total cross-section for all possible processes of scattering from the given initial state \( i \). For let this cross-section be denoted by \( \sigma_i \); then summation of the probability (65.5) over states \( f \) and division by the flux density \( J \) gives

\[ \sigma_i = \frac{(2\pi)^4 V}{J} \sum_n |T_{in}|^2 \delta^{(4)}(P_i - P_n), \]

whence

\[ (2V/J) \text{Im} T_{ii} = \sigma_i. \]

The normalisation volume is eliminated by putting \( T_{ii} = M_{ii}/(2\varepsilon_1 V \varepsilon_2 V) \) (where \( \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_2 \) are the energies of the particles in the centre-of-mass system) and substituting \( J \) from (65.17):

\[ \text{Im} M_{ii} = 2|p|\varepsilon_i \sigma_i. \tag{72.9} \]

This formula expresses the optical theorem. If the elastic scattering amplitude (72.6) is used, the theorem takes the customary form

\[ \text{Im} \langle n\lambda | f | n\lambda \rangle = |p|\sigma_i/4\pi; \tag{72.10} \]

cf. \( QM \), (139.10).

† It must be stressed that the matrix elements of \( T \) are concerned, not those of \( S \); that is, the diagonal element is taken after subtracting the unit matrix from \( S \).
The unitarity condition

If the $S$-matrix is given in the angular-momentum representation (partial amplitudes), it is diagonal with respect to $J$, and the unitarity condition can therefore be written separately for each value of $J$.

For example, if only elastic scattering is possible, the unitarity condition is

$$\sum_{\lambda'} \langle \lambda' | S_{J} | \lambda'' \rangle \langle \lambda | S_{J} | \lambda'' \rangle^* = \delta_{\lambda \lambda'}.$$  
(72.11)

Because of the $T$ invariance, the elastic scattering matrix is symmetric (cf. (70.10)), and hence can be reduced to diagonal form. The unitarity condition then requires that the diagonal elements should be of unit modulus, and they are customarily written in the form

$$S_{J} = \exp (2i\delta_{jn}),$$
(72.12)

where the $\delta_{jn}$ are real constants, depending on the energy (the suffix $n$ labelling the diagonal elements for a given $J$). In the general case, when the number $N$ of independent amplitudes exceeds the order of the (square) matrix $S_{J}$, the coefficients of the transformation which diagonalises $S_{J}$ depend on $J$ and $E$ (these coefficients then comprise not only the principal values of the matrix but also independent quantities equivalent to the original $N$ quantities). If, however, the number $N$ is equal to the order of the matrix $S_{J}$ (and therefore to the number of its principal values), the diagonalisation coefficients are universal constants, and the diagonalising states have definite parities (but not, of course, definite helicities).

The condition (72.11), expressed in terms of the partial amplitudes $\langle \lambda' | f^{J} | \lambda \rangle$, is

$$\langle \lambda' | f^{J} | \lambda \rangle - \langle \lambda | f^{J} | \lambda' \rangle^* = 2i |p| \sum_{\lambda''} \langle \lambda' | f^{J} | \lambda'' \rangle \langle \lambda | f^{J} | \lambda'' \rangle^*,$$
(72.13)

as is easily seen by substituting the expansion (69.13) in (72.7) and using the orthonormality of the $D$ functions. If there is $T$ invariance, the matrix $\langle \lambda' | f^{J} | \lambda \rangle$ is symmetric, and (72.13) becomes

$$\text{Im} \langle \lambda' | f^{J} | \lambda \rangle = |p| \langle \lambda' | f^{J} f^{J} | \lambda \rangle.$$

(72.14)

If the matrix is diagonalised, the diagonal elements are

$$f_{n}^{J} = \frac{1}{2i |p|} (e^{2i\delta_{jn}} - 1) = \frac{1}{|p|} e^{i\delta_{jn}} \sin \delta_{jn}.$$  
(72.15)

Finally, we may mention some consequences which follow from the unitarity condition together with the requirement of $CPT$ invariance. The latter shows that

$$T_{fi} = T_{fi},$$
(72.16)

where $i$ and $j$ are states which differ from $i$ and $j$ in that all the particles are replaced by antiparticles (and helicities are reversed, and also angular momentum components if spherical waves are used). In particular, for the diagonal elements,

$$T_{ii} = T_{ii}.$$

It therefore follows from (72.8) and (72.9) that the total cross-section for all possible processes (with a given initial state) is the same for reactions of particles and of antiparticles.

In particular, the total disintegration probabilities (i.e. the lifetimes) of the particle and the antiparticle are equal. These results, together with the equality of particle and antiparticle masses (§11), are most important consequences of the $CPT$ invariance of the interactions. A similar statement for each possible disintegration channel separately would require $CP$ invariance also (see the end of §70).
PROBLEM

From the unitarity condition, find the relation between the phases of the partial amplitudes for photo-production of pions from nucleons \((\gamma + N \rightarrow \pi + N)\) and elastic scattering of pions by nucleons \((\pi + N \rightarrow \pi + N)\), using the fact that \(\pi N\) scattering depends on strong interactions but photoproduction and \(\gamma N\) scattering depend on an electromagnetic interaction.

**Solution.** Let the partial amplitudes be denoted by
\[
\langle \pi N | S | \gamma N \rangle = S_{\gamma \gamma}, \quad \langle \gamma N | S | \gamma N \rangle = S_{\gamma \gamma}, \quad \langle \pi N | S | \pi N \rangle = S_{nn},
\]
the suffix \(J\) and the helicity suffixes being omitted. Photoproduction is a first-order process with respect to the charge \(e\), and \(\gamma N\) scattering a second-order process; hence \(S_{\gamma \gamma} \sim e, S_{\gamma \gamma} - 1 \sim e^2\). The amplitude \(S_{nn}\) is not small. The conditions (72.1) give, as far as terms in \(e\),
\[
S_{\gamma \gamma} S^*_{\gamma \gamma} + s_{\gamma \gamma} s^*_{\gamma \gamma} \approx s_{\gamma \gamma} + s_{\gamma \gamma} s^*_{\gamma \gamma} = 0,
\]
\[
S_{\gamma \gamma} S^*_{\gamma \gamma} + s_{\gamma \gamma} s^*_{\gamma \gamma} \approx s_{\gamma \gamma} s^*_{\gamma \gamma} = 1;
\]
on the right-hand side of (2), 1 denotes a unit matrix in the spin variables. Because of \(T\) invariance the matrix \(S_{nn}\) is symmetric, and \(S_{\gamma \gamma} = S_{\gamma \gamma}\). Let us take the matrix \(S_{nn}\) in diagonal form, i.e. with respect to pion states having definite parities; then it follows from (2) that the diagonal elements have the form \(e^{2i\delta_n}\) with various constants \(\delta_n\). Then (1) gives for each element of the matrix \(S_{\gamma \gamma}\)
\[
S_{\gamma \gamma}/S^*_{\gamma \gamma} = -e^{2i\delta_n},
\]
whence
\[
S_{\gamma \gamma} = \pm |S_{\gamma \gamma}| e^{i\delta_n}.
\]
Thus the phase of the partial amplitude for photoproduction (in a state having a definite parity) is determined by the phase of elastic \(\pi N\) scattering.
§73. The chronological product

The probabilities of various processes in collisions between particles whose interaction may be regarded as small are calculated by means of perturbation theory. In its ordinary form (in non-relativistic quantum mechanics), however, the formalism of this theory has the defect of not exhibiting explicitly the conditions of relativistic invariance. Although, when this formalism is applied to relativistic problems, the final result will satisfy these conditions, the calculations are considerably complicated by the non-invariant form of the intermediate expressions. The present chapter will deal with the development of a consistent relativistic perturbation theory free from this defect, first established by R. P. Feynman (1948–1949).

With a view to a second-quantisation description of the system, let \( \Phi \) denote its wave function in the "space" of occupation numbers for the various states of free particles. The Hamiltonian of the system is \( H = H_0 + V \), where \( V \) is the interaction operator. Let \( \Phi_n \) be the eigenfunctions of the unperturbed Hamiltonian, each corresponding to certain definite values of all the occupation numbers. Any function \( \Phi \) can be expanded as \( \Phi = \sum C_n \Phi_n \). Then the exact wave equation

\[
i \partial \Psi / \partial t = (H_0 + V)\Psi
\]

becomes a set of equations for the coefficients \( C_n \):

\[
i \dot{C}_n = \sum_m V_{nm} e^{i(E_n - E_m)t} C_m,
\]

where \( V_{nm} \) are the time-independent matrix elements of the operator \( V \), and \( E_n \) the energy levels of the unperturbed system (cf. \( QM \), §40).

By definition, the operator \( V \) does not depend explicitly on the time. The quantities

\[
V_{nm}(t) = V_{nm} e^{i(E_n - E_m)t},
\]

on the other hand, may be regarded as matrix elements of the time-dependent operator

\[
V(t) = e^{iH_0 t} V e^{-iH_0 t}.
\]

This is said to be an operator in the \emph{interaction representation}, as opposed to the original time-independent Schrödinger operator \( V \).† Now denoting the wave function in this new

\[†\] It must be emphasised that the definition (73.4) makes use of the unperturbed Hamiltonian \( H_0 \). In this it differs from the \emph{Heisenberg representation} of operators, where

\[
V^H(t) = e^{iH_0 t} V e^{-iH_0 t}.
\]

see \( QM \), §13.
representation by the same letter $\Phi$, we can write equations (73.2) symbolically as
\[ i\Phi = V(t)\Phi. \] (73.5)

The change in the wave function in this representation is due entirely to the action of the perturbation, i.e., it corresponds to processes which result from the interaction of the particles.

If $\Phi(t)$ and $\Phi(t + \delta t)$ are the values of $\Phi$ at two successive instants, (73.5) shows that
\[ \Phi(t + \delta t) = [1 - i\delta t \cdot V(t)]\Phi(t) = e^{-i\delta t \cdot V(t)} \Phi(t). \]

Accordingly the value of $\Phi$ at any instant $t_f$ can be expressed in terms of its value at some initial instant $t_i (< t_f)$ by
\[ \Phi(t_f) = \left( \prod \left[ e^{-i\delta t \cdot V(t)} \right] \right) \Phi(t_i), \] (73.6)
where the product $\prod$ is the limit of the product over all the infinitesimal intervals $\delta t$ between $t_i$ and $t_f$. If $V(t)$ were an ordinary function, this limit would reduce simply to
\[ \exp \left( -i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} V(t) \, dt \right), \]
but this result depends on the commutativity of the factors pertaining to different instants, which is assumed in changing from the product in (73.6) to the summation in the exponent. For the operator $V(t)$ there is no such commutativity, and the reduction to an ordinary integral is not possible.

We can write (73.6) in the symbolic form
\[ \Phi(t_f) = T \exp \left\{ -i \int_{t_i}^{t_f} V(t) \, dt \right\} \Phi(t_i), \] (73.7)
where $T$ is the chronological operator, implying a certain "chronological" sequence of time instants in the successive factors of the product (73.6). In particular, putting $t_i \to -\infty$, $t_f \to +\infty$, we have
\[ \Phi(+\infty) = S\Phi(-\infty), \] (73.8)
where
\[ S = T \exp \left\{ -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V(t) \, dt \right\}. \] (73.9)

The significance of writing the formally exact solution of the wave equation in the form (73.7)–(73.9) is that it easily leads to the series in powers of the perturbation
\[ S = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^k}{k!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_2 \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_k \cdot T\{V(t_1)V(t_2)\ldots V(t_k)\}. \] (73.10)
Here, in each term, the $k$th power of the integral is written as a $k$-fold integral, and the operator $T$ signifies that in each range of values of the variables $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_k$ the

\[ \dagger \text{Not to be confused with the time-reversal operator.} \]
corresponding operators must be put in chronological order, with the value of \( t \) increasing from right to left.\(^\dagger\)

It is evident from the definition (73.8) that, if the system was in a state \( \Phi_t \) (an assembly of free particles) before the collision, the probability amplitude for a transition to a state \( \Phi_f \) (another assembly of free particles) is the matrix element \( S_{fi} \). Thus these form the \( S \)-matrix.

The electromagnetic interaction operator has already been given in §43:

\[
V = e \int (jA) \, d^3x. 
\]  
(73.11)

Substitution of this in (73.9) gives

\[
S = T \exp \left\{ -ie \int (jA) \, d^4x \right\}. 
\]  
(73.12)

It is important to note that the operator (73.12) is relativistically invariant. This is seen from the facts that the integrand \((jA)\) is a scalar, the integration over \( d^4x \) is invariant, and the time-ordering operation is invariant. The last point, however, needs further explanation.

The order of two time instants \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), i.e. the sign of \( t_2 - t_1 \), is independent of the frame of reference chosen if these instants relate to world points \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) separated by a time-like interval: \((x_2 - x_1)^2 > 0\). In such a case the invariance of time-ordering necessarily follows. But if \((x_2 - x_1)^2 < 0\) (a space-like interval), we may have both \( t_2 > t_1 \) and \( t_2 < t_1 \) in different frames of reference.\(^\ddagger\) Now two such points correspond to events between which there can be no causal connection. It is therefore evident that the operators of two physical quantities relating to such points must commute, since the non-commutativity of operators signifies, physically, that the corresponding quantities cannot be measured simultaneously, and this presupposes a physical connection between the two measurements. Thus the time-ordering of the product remains invariant in this case also: though a Lorentz transformation may reverse the sequence of time instants, the factors commute and can therefore be restored to their chronological order.\(^\S\)

It is easy to see that the definition of the \( S \)-matrix given in this section necessarily satisfies the unitarity condition. Writing \( S \) as the chronological product in (73.6) and using the fact that \( V \) is Hermitian, we find that \( S^+ \) is given by the product of similar factors, \( \exp \{i\partial_2 \cdot V(t_2)\} \) (with the opposite sign of the exponent), in the reverse of the chronological order. Thus all the factors cancel in pairs when \( S \) is multiplied by \( S^+ \).

It should be noted that the unitarity of the operator \( S \) is ensured in this case because the Hamiltonian is Hermitian. The unitarity condition is actually more general than the assumptions on which the theory given here is based. It must be satisfied even in a quantum-mechanical description which makes no use of the concepts of the Hamiltonian and the wave functions.

\(^\dagger\) The derivation of the rules of relativistic perturbation theory by means of the expansion (73.10) is due to F. J. Dyson (1949).

\(^\ddagger\) Instead of using the terms “time-like” and “space-like”, we often refer briefly to regions respectively inside and outside the light cone: all points \( x \) separated from a point \( x' \) by an interval such that \((x - x')^2 > 0\) lie within a double cone having its vertex at \( x' \); points for which \((x - x')^2 < 0\) lie outside this cone.

\(^\S\) This statement needs refinement to avoid misunderstanding in its application to the product \( V(t_1)V(t_2) \ldots \). Since the operator \( V \) itself is not gauge-invariant (it varies with \( A \)), the factors \( V(t_1), V(t_2), \ldots \), though commuting in one gauge of the potential, may be non-commutative in some other gauge. The statements made above must therefore be formulated as asserting the possibility of choosing a gauge for the potential in which \( V(t_1) \) and \( V(t_2) \) commute outside the light cone. This reservation clearly has no effect on the invariance of the \( S \)-matrix: the scattering amplitudes, which are actual physical quantities, cannot depend on the gauge of the potential, a result which formally follows from the gauge invariance of the action integral (§43).
§74. Feynman diagrams for electron scattering

We shall show by means of specific examples how the scattering matrix elements are calculated. These examples will facilitate the subsequent formulation of the general rules of invariant perturbation theory.

The current operator \( J \) contains the product of two electron \( \psi \)-operators. Hence processes might occur in the first order of perturbation theory which involve (in the initial and final states) only three particles: two electrons (the operator \( J \)) and one photon (the operator \( A \)). It is easily seen, however, that such processes cannot occur between free particles, being forbidden by the laws of conservation of energy and momentum. If \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) are the 4-momenta of the electrons, and \( k \) that of the photon, the conservation of 4-momentum would be represented by \( k = p_2 - p_1 \) or \( k = p_2 + p_1 \). But such equations are impossible, since for a photon \( k^2 = 0 \), whereas the square \( (p_2 \pm p_1)^2 \) is certainly not zero: if we calculate this invariant in the rest frame of one of the electrons, we have

\[
(p_2 \pm p_1)^2 = 2(m^2 \pm p_1 p_2)
\]

\[
= 2(m^2 \pm \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \mp p_1 \cdot p_2)
\]

\[
= 2m(m \mp \epsilon_2),
\]

and, since \( \epsilon_2 > m \), it follows that

\[
(p_2 + p_1)^2 > 0, \quad (p_2 - p_1)^2 < 0. \tag{74.1}
\]

Thus the first non-vanishing (non-diagonal) elements of the \( S \)-matrix can appear only in the second order of perturbation theory. All the relevant processes are comprised in the second-order operator obtained by expanding the expression (73.12):

\[
S^{(2)} = - \frac{e^2}{2} \int \int d^4x \ d^4x' \cdot T(\bar{\psi}(x)A_\mu(x)\psi(y)(x')A_\nu(x')).
\]

Since the electron and photon operators commute, the \( T \) product can be resolved into two:

\[
S^{(2)} = - \frac{e^2}{2} \int \int d^4x \ d^4x' \cdot T(\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(y))(x')T(A_\mu(x)A_\nu(x')). \tag{74.2}
\]

As a first example, let us consider elastic scattering of two electrons. In the initial state there are two electrons with 4-momenta \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \), in the final state two electrons with other 4-momenta \( p_3 \) and \( p_4 \). It is also assumed that all the electrons are in definite spin states; the spin variable indices will be everywhere omitted, for brevity.

Since there are no photons in either state, the required matrix element of the \( T \) product of the photon operators is the diagonal element \( \langle 0| \ldots |0 \rangle \), where \( |0\rangle \) denotes the photon vacuum state. This value of the \( T \) product averaged over the vacuum is (for each pair of indices \( \mu, \nu \)) a definite function of the coordinates of the two points \( x \) and \( x' \). Since 4-space is homogeneous, the coordinates can appear only as the difference \( x - x' \). The tensor

\[
D_{\mu\nu}(x - x') = i\langle 0|TA_\mu(x)A_\nu(x')|0\rangle \tag{74.3}
\]

is called the photon propagation function or photon propagator. It will be calculated in §77.

For the \( T \) product of the electron operators, we have to calculate the matrix element

\[
\langle 34|T\bar{\psi}(x)\psi(y')|12\rangle, \tag{74.4}
\]

where the symbols \( |12\rangle \), \( |34\rangle \) denote states in which pairs of electrons have the corresponding momenta. This element also can be represented as a vacuum expectation value,
by using the obvious relation
\[
\langle 2|F|1 \rangle = \langle 0|a_2 F a_1^+|0 \rangle,
\]
where F is any operator, \(a_1^+\) the creation operator for the first electron and \(a_2\) the annihilation operator for the second electron. Hence, instead of (74.4), we can calculate the quantity
\[
\langle 0|a_3 a_4 T(j^\gamma(x)j^\gamma(x'))a_3^+ a_4^+|0 \rangle, \tag{74.5}
\]
the indices 1, 2, \ldots being abbreviations for \(p_1, p_2, \ldots\).

Each of the two current operators is a product, \(j = \psi^\gamma \psi\), and each of the \(\psi\)-operators is a sum:
\[
\psi = \sum_p (a_p \psi_p + b_p^* \psi_{-p}), \quad \bar{\psi} = \sum_p (a_p^* \bar{\psi}_p + b_p \bar{\psi}_{-p}); \tag{74.6}
\]
the second term in each expression contains the positron operators, which in the present case “do not act”. Hence the product \(j^\gamma(x)j^\gamma(x')\) is a sum of terms, each containing the product of two operators \(a_p\) and two \(a_p^+\). These operators must annihilate electrons 1 and 2, and create electrons 3 and 4. They must therefore be the operators \(a_1, a_2, a_3^+, a_4^+\), which are said to contract with the “external” operators \(a_1^+, a_2^+, a_3, a_4\) in (74.5) and cancel according to the equations
\[
\langle 0|a_p a_p^+|0 \rangle = 1. \tag{74.7}
\]

Four terms result, according to the \(\psi\)-operators from which \(a_1, a_2, a_3^+, a_4^+\) in (74.5) are taken:
\[
(74.5) = a_3 a_4 (\bar{\psi}^\gamma \psi) (\bar{\psi}^\gamma \psi) a_3^+ a_4^+ + a_3 a_4 (\bar{\psi}^\gamma \psi) (\bar{\psi}^\gamma \psi) a_3^+ a_4^+ +
\]
\[
+ a_3 a_4 (\bar{\psi}^\gamma \psi) (\bar{\psi}^\gamma \psi) a_3^+ a_4^+ + a_3 a_4 (\bar{\psi}^\gamma \psi) (\bar{\psi}^\gamma \psi) a_3^+ a_4^+. \tag{74.8}
\]

where \(\psi = \psi(x), \bar{\psi} = \psi(x')\), and the brackets join operators which contract, i.e. those from which a pair of operators \(a, a^+\) is taken for the cancellation according to (74.7). In each term we can bring the conjugate operators together in pairs \((a_1, a_1^+, \text{etc.})\) by successive interchanges of \(a_1, a_2, \ldots\), and the mean value of their product is then equal to the product of the mean values (74.7). Since all these operators anticommute (1, 2, 3, 4 being different states),† we find that the matrix element (74.4) is
\[
\langle 34|T j^\gamma(x)j^\gamma(x')|12 \rangle = (\bar{\psi}_4 \gamma^\mu \psi_2)(\bar{\psi}_3 \gamma^\nu \psi_1) + (\bar{\psi}_3 \gamma^\nu \psi_1)(\bar{\psi}_4 \gamma^\mu \psi_2) -
\]
\[
- (\bar{\psi}_5 \gamma^\mu \psi_2)(\bar{\psi}_4 \gamma^\nu \psi_1) - (\bar{\psi}_4 \gamma^\mu \psi_1)(\bar{\psi}_5 \gamma^\nu \psi_2). \tag{74.9}
\]

The sign of the entire sum is arbitrary, and depends on the order of the “external” electron operators in (74.5). This is in accordance with the fact that the sign of the matrix element for scattering of identical fermions is itself arbitrary. The relative sign of the various terms in (74.9), of course, does not depend on the order of the external operators.

The two terms in each line of (74.9) differ only by a simultaneous interchange of the indices \(\mu, \nu\) and the arguments \(x, x'\). This interchange clearly does not affect the matrix element (74.3), in which the order of factors is still established by the symbol T. Hence, when (74.3) is multiplied by (74.9) and integrated over \(d^4x\ d^4x'\), the four terms in (74.9)

† Because of this anticommutativity, the operators \(j(x)\) and \(j(x')\) may here be considered to commute (in the calculation of the matrix element), and the T product symbol may therefore be omitted.
give two pairs of equal results, and the matrix element is therefore
\[ S_{fi} = i e^2 \int d^4x \, d^4x' \, D_{\mu}(x-x') \left\{ (\overline{\psi}_4 \gamma^\mu \psi_2) (\overline{\psi}_3 \gamma^\nu \psi_1) - (\overline{\psi}_4 \gamma^\mu \psi_1) (\overline{\psi}_3 \gamma^\nu \psi_2) \right\}; \]
(74.10)
the factor \( \frac{1}{2} \) has now disappeared.

The electron wave functions are the plane waves (65.8). The expression in the braces is therefore
\[
\ldots = (\overline{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_2)(\overline{u}_3 \gamma^\nu u_1) \, e^{i(p_1-p_4)x-i(p_1-p_3)x'} - (\overline{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_1)(\overline{u}_3 \gamma^\nu u_2) \, e^{i(p_4-p_1)x-i(p_2-p_3)x'}
\]
\[ = (\overline{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_2)(\overline{u}_3 \gamma^\nu u_1) \, e^{-i((p_2-p_4)+(p_3-p_1))x/2} - (\overline{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_1)(\overline{u}_3 \gamma^\nu u_2) \, e^{-i((p_1-p_2)+(p_3-p_4))x/2} e^{i(p_1+p_2-p_3-p_4)x}, \]
where \( X = \frac{1}{2}(x+x') \), \( \xi = x-x' \). The integration over \( d^4x \, d^4x' \) is replaced by one over \( d^4\xi \, d^4X \). The integral over \( d^4X \) gives a delta function, so that \( p_1 + p_2 = p_3 + p_4 \). Then, changing from the matrix \( S \) to the matrix \( M \) (§65), we have finally for the scattering amplitude
\[ M_{fi} = e^2 \{(\overline{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_2)D_{\mu}(p_4-p_2)(\overline{u}_3 \gamma^\nu u_1) - (\overline{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_1)(\overline{u}_3 \gamma^\nu u_2)D_{\mu}(p_4-p_1)(\overline{u}_3 \gamma^\nu u_2)\}. \]
(74.11)
Here we have used the photon propagation function in the momentum representation:
\[ D_{\mu\nu}(k) = \int D_{\mu\nu}(\xi) \, e^{ik\xi} \, d^4\xi. \]
(74.12)
Each of the two terms in the amplitude (74.11) can be symbolically represented by means of a Feynman diagram:

Each point of intersection of lines (a vertex of the diagram) has a corresponding factor \( \gamma \). The “incoming” continuous lines towards a vertex represent the initial electrons, which are associated with the factors \( u \), the bispinor amplitudes of the corresponding electron states. The “outgoing” continuous lines leaving a vertex are the final electrons, and correspond to the factors \( \overline{u} \). When the diagram is “read”, these factors are written from left to right in the order of movement along the continuous lines against the direction of the arrows. The two vertices are joined by a broken line which represents a virtual (intermediate) photon “emitted” at one vertex and “absorbed” at the other, and corresponds to the factor \( -iD_{\mu\nu}(k) \). The 4-momentum of the virtual photon \( k \) is determined by the “conservation of 4-momentum” at the vertex: the total momenta of the incoming and outgoing lines are equal. In this case \( k = p_1-p_3 = p_4-p_2 \). As well as the factors mentioned, the whole diagram is also assigned a factor \( (-ie)^2 \) (the exponent being the number of vertices in the diagram), and then represents a term in \( iM_{fi} \). Similarly, the second term

† It does not matter whether the diagram is read from the end of \( p_3 \) or from that of \( p_4 \). The resulting expressions are equal, because the tensor \( D_{\mu\nu} \) is symmetrical. The choice of direction for the virtual photon line is also immaterial: a change in its direction simply reverses the sign of \( k \), which does not matter, since the functions \( D_{\mu\nu}(k) \) are even (see §77).
in (74.11) is represented by the diagram

\[ e^2(\bar{u}_4 \gamma^\mu u_1) D_{\mu\nu}(k') (\bar{u}_3 \gamma^\nu u_2) \]

(74.14)

with \( k' = p_1 - p_4 = p_3 - p_2 \).

The lines corresponding to the initial and final particles are called the external lines or free ends of the diagram. The diagrams (74.13) and (74.14) differ by the interchange of two electron free ends \((p_3\text{ and } -p_4)\). This interchange of two fermions reverses the sign of the diagram, in accordance with the fact that the two terms appear with opposite signs in the amplitude (74.11).

Let us now consider the mutual scattering of an electron and a positron; their initial momenta will be denoted by \( p_-\) and \( p_+\) respectively, and their final momenta by \( p'_-\) and \( p'_+\).

The positron creation and annihilation operators appear in the \( \psi\)-operators (74.6) together with the electron annihilation and creation operators respectively. Whereas in the previous case the operator \( \bar{\psi} \) annihilated the two initial particles and \( \bar{\psi} \) created the two final particles, here these operators act oppositely with regard to electrons and positrons. The conjugate function \( \bar{\psi}(-p'_+)\) will therefore now describe the initial positron, and \( \psi(-p'_-)\) the final positron, both being functions of the 4-momentum with reversed sign.

Taking account of this difference, we obtain the scattering amplitude\(\dagger\)

\[ M_{fi} = -e^2(\bar{u}(p'_-)\gamma^\mu u(p_-)) D_{\mu\nu}(p_- - p'_-)(\bar{u}(-p'_+)\gamma^\nu u(-p'_+)) + e^2(\bar{u}(-p_+)\gamma^\mu u(p_-)) D_{\mu\nu}(p_- + p_+)(\bar{u}(p'_-)\gamma^\nu u(-p'_+)). \] (74.15)

The two terms in this expression are represented by the following diagrams:

\[\text{(74.16)}\]

The rules for constructing the diagrams are altered only as regards the positrons. The incoming and outgoing continuous lines are again associated with factors \( u \) and \( \bar{u} \) respectively.

Now, however, the incoming lines correspond to final positrons and the outgoing lines to initial positrons, the momenta of all the positrons being taken with reversed sign.

\(\dagger\) Feynman diagrams can be associated with the terms in the scattering amplitude, not only in the momentum representation, but also in the original coordinate representation (the integrals (74.10)). Here the electron amplitudes are replaced by the corresponding coordinate wave functions, and the propagators are in the coordinate representation. Each vertex corresponds to one of the variables of integration \( x \) or \( x' \) in (74.10); the factors assigned to the lines that meet at a vertex are taken as functions of the corresponding variable.

\(\dagger\) The sign of the whole amplitude is definite in the scattering of non-identical particles, being determined by the fact that in (74.5) the \"external\" operators must be arranged so that the two electron operators are both at the ends: \( \langle 0|a b' \ldots b a^*|0 \rangle \) (or both in the middle); this condition ensures the \"same sign\" of the initial and final vacuum states. The sign of the amplitude can also be verified from the non-relativistic limit: we shall see later (§82) that, in this limit, the second term in (74.15) tends to zero, and the first term tends to the Born amplitude of Rutherford scattering.
The difference between the two diagrams (74.16) should be noted. In the first diagram, lines of the initial and final electrons meet at one vertex, and those of the two positrons at the other. In the second diagram, initial electron and positron lines meet at one vertex, and final lines at the other. The upper vertex represents annihilation of a pair with emission of a virtual photon; the lower vertex represents the creation of a pair from this photon.

This difference affects the properties of the virtual photons in the two diagrams. In the first diagram ("scattering" type), the 4-momentum of the virtual photon is the difference between those of the two electrons (or positrons); hence \(k^2 < 0\) (cf. (74.1)). In the second diagram ("annihilation" type), \(k' = p_- + p_+\), and hence \(k'^2 > 0\). Here it should be noted that for a virtual photon we always have \(k^2 \neq 0\), unlike a real photon, for which \(k^2 = 0\).

If the colliding particles are not identical and also not a particle and its antiparticle (for instance, an electron and a muon), then the scattering amplitude is represented by a single diagram:

\[ S_{1}(x) = -ie \int j(x) \bar{\psi}(x) A(x) d^4x \] (74.18)

for such transitions correspond to the creation or annihilation of three real particles (two electrons and one photon) at "the same point \(x\)". They occur by the contraction of the operators \(\bar{\psi}(x)\) and \(\psi(x)\) at the same point, and are expressed, for example in the case of photon emission, by integrals of the form

\[ S_{fi} = -ie \int \bar{\psi}_2(x) \psi_1(x) \hat{A}(x) d^4x, \]

which vanish because the integrand includes the factor \(\exp[-i(p_1 - p_2 - k)x]\) with a non-zero exponent. In the language of Feynman diagrams, this means that diagrams with three free ends such as

\[ (74.19) \]

are zero.
For the same reason, second-order processes involving six particles in the initial state
(or in the final state) are impossible. In the matrix element $S_{fr}$ for such a transition, the
integral over $d^4x' d^4x''$ would separate into a product of two vanishing integrals over $d^4x$
and $d^4x'$ of products of three wave functions taken at the same point. In other words, the
corresponding diagram would separate into two independent diagrams of the type (74.19).

§75. Feynman diagrams for photon scattering

Let us now consider another second-order effect: the scattering of a photon by an
electron (the Compton effect). In the initial state let the photon and the electron have
4-momenta $k_1$ and $p_1$, and in the final state $k_2$ and $p_2$ (and also definite polarisations, which
will be omitted for brevity).

The photon matrix element is

$$\langle 2 | T(A_\mu(x)A_\nu(x')) | 1 \rangle = \langle 0 | c_2 T(A_\mu(x)A_\nu(x')) c_1^+ | 0 \rangle,$$

(75.1)

where

$$A = \sum_k (c_k A_k + c_k^+ A_k^*).$$

Contraction of the external and internal operators gives

$$(75.1) = c_2 A_{k\nu} A_{l\mu} c_1^+ + c_2 A_{k\nu} A_{l\mu}^* c_1^+$$

$$= A_{l\nu}^* A_{k\nu}^+ + A_{k\mu} A_{l\mu}^+,$$

(75.2)

where we have used the commutativity of the operators $c_1$ and $c_2$; for the same reason,
the symbol $T$ can here be omitted.

The electron matrix element is

$$\langle 2 | T(j^\mu(x)j^\nu(x')) | 1 \rangle = \langle 0 | a_2 T[\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu \psi](\bar{\psi}^\nu \gamma^\nu \psi) a_1^+ | 0 \rangle.$$

(75.3)

This involves four $\psi$-operators. Only two are concerned with the annihilation of electron 1
and the creation of electron 2, and will be contracted with the operators $a_1^+$ and $a_2$. These
may be $\bar{\psi}$, $\psi$ or $\bar{\psi}'$, $\psi'$ (but not $\psi$, $\bar{\psi}$ or $\psi$, $\bar{\psi}'$: the creation and annihilation of two real
electrons and one real photon at the same point $x$ or $x'$ would give an expression equal to
two). By carrying out the two possible ways of contraction, we obtain two terms in the
matrix element (75.3). These will first be written on the assumption that $t > t'$:

$$(75.3) = a_2 \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi (\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\nu \psi') a_1^+ + a_2 \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi (\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\nu \psi') a_1^+.$$

(75.4)

In the first term the contracted operators are $a_2 \bar{\psi} \rightarrow a_2 a_2^+ \bar{\psi}$, $\psi a_1^+ \rightarrow a_1 a_1^+ \psi$. Since the
operators $a_2 a_2^+$ and $a_1 a_1^+$ are diagonal and appear at the end of the products, they can be
replaced by the vacuum expectation value, i.e. unity. To make a similar transformation in the
second term of (75.4), the operator $a_2^+$ must first be "pulled" to the left, and $a_1$ to the
right. This is done by means of the commutation rules for the operators $a_\mu$, $a_\mu^+$:

$$\{a_\mu, \psi\}_+ = \{a_\mu^+, \bar{\psi}\}_+ = 0,$$

$$\{a_\mu, \overline{\psi}\}_+ = \bar{\psi}_\mu, \quad \{a_\mu^+, \psi\}_+ = \psi\mu.$$

(75.5)

Then (75.4) becomes

$$\langle 0 | (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi)(\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\nu \psi') - (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi')(\bar{\psi}' \gamma^\nu \psi) | 0 \rangle, \quad t > t';$$

(75.6)
only the operator factors are averaged, of course. Similarly, for \( t < t' \), we obtain an expression differing by the interchange of \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) and of the primed and unprimed symbols:

\[
\langle 0 | - (\bar{\psi}_2^\nu \gamma_1^\nu \psi_1^\nu) (\bar{\psi}_2^\nu \gamma_1^\nu \psi_1^\nu) + (\bar{\psi}_2^\nu \gamma_1^\nu \psi_1^\nu)(\bar{\psi}_2^\nu \gamma_1^\nu \psi_1^\nu) | 0 \rangle, \quad t < t'.
\] (75.7)

The two expressions (75.6) and (75.7) can be written as one by using the chronological product of the \( \psi \)-operators:

\[
T \psi_i(x) \bar{\psi}_k(x') = \psi_i(x) \bar{\psi}_k(x'), \quad t' < t;
\]
\[
= - \bar{\psi}_k(x') \psi_i(x), \quad t' > t,
\] (75.8)

where \( i \) and \( k \) are bispinor indices. Then the first and second terms in (75.6), (75.7) can be combined in the form

\[
\bar{\psi}_2^\nu \gamma^\mu_2 \langle 0 | T \psi_i \bar{\psi}_j | 0 \rangle \gamma^\mu_1 \psi_1^\nu + \bar{\psi}_2^\nu \gamma^\mu_2 \langle 0 | T \psi_i \bar{\psi}_j | 0 \rangle \gamma^\mu_1 \psi_1^\nu,
\] (75.9)

where \( \psi \cdot \bar{\psi} \) denotes the matrix \( \psi_i \bar{\psi}_k \).

It should be noted that, in the natural definition (75.8), the operator products are taken with opposite signs for \( t < t' \) and \( t > t' \). In this respect it differs from the definition of the \( T \) product which has been used for the operators \( A \) and \( j \). This difference arises because the fermion operators \( \psi \) and \( \bar{\psi} \) anticommute outside the light cone, unlike the commuting boson operators \( A \) and the bilinear operators \( j = \bar{\psi} \gamma \psi. \) This procedure ensures the relativistic invariance of the definition (75.8). A formal proof of the commutation rules for the \( \psi \)-operators will be given in §76.\(^\dagger\)

We shall define the electron propagation function or electron propagator, a bispinor of rank two, as

\[
G_{ik}(x-x') = -i \langle 0 | T \psi_i \bar{\psi}_k(x') | 0 \rangle.
\] (75.10)

Then the electron matrix element becomes

\[
\langle 2 | T j^\mu(x) \gamma_\mu(x') | 1 \rangle = i \bar{\psi}_2^\nu \gamma^\mu_2 G^\mu \psi_1^\nu + i \bar{\psi}_2^\nu \gamma^\mu_2 G^\mu \psi_1^\nu.
\] (75.11)

On multiplication by the photon matrix element (75.1) and integration over \( d^4x \, d^4x' \), the two terms in (75.11) give the same result, and so we have

\[
S_{fi} = -ie^2 \int d^4x \, d^4x' \bar{\psi}_2^\nu \gamma^\mu_2 G(x-x') \gamma^\mu_1 \psi_1^\nu(x') \times \{ A_{2\mu}(x) A_{1\nu}(x') + A_{2\nu}(x') A_{1\mu}(x) \}.
\] (75.12)

Substituting the plane waves (65.8), (65.9) for the electron and photon wave functions and separating the delta function as in (74.10), we obtain finally the scattering amplitude

\[
M_{fi} = -4\pi e^2 \bar{u}_2 e^*_2 G(p_1 + k_1) \hat{e}_1 + \hat{e}_1 G(p_1 - k_2) e^*_2 u_1,
\] (75.13)

where \( e_1, e_2 \) are the photon polarisation 4-vectors and \( G(p) \) the electron propagator in the momentum representation.

\(^\dagger\) The \( \psi \)-operators, it will be recalled, correspond to no measurable physical quantities, and therefore need not commute outside the light cone.

\(^\dagger\) The \( T \) product of any number of \( \psi \)-operators may be defined similarly. It is equal to the product of all the operators arranged in order of increasing time from right to left, the sign being determined by the parity of the interchange needed to obtain this order from the order shown under the \( T \) product symbol. Accordingly, this sign changes when any two \( \psi \)-operators are interchanged; for example,

\[
T \psi_i(x) \bar{\psi}_k(x') = - T \bar{\psi}_k(x') \psi_i(x).
\]
The two terms in this expression are represented by the following Feynman diagrams:

\[
4\pi e^2 \bar{\psi}_2 \gamma^2 G(t) \psi_1 =
\]

\[
4\pi e^2 \bar{\psi}_2 \gamma^2 G(t') \psi_1 =
\]

The broken-line free ends of the diagrams correspond to real photons; the incoming lines (initial photon) are associated with a factor \(\sqrt{(4\pi)e}\), and the outgoing lines (final photon) with a factor \(\sqrt{(4\pi)e^*}\), where \(e\) is the polarisation 4-vector. In the first diagram, the initial photon is absorbed together with the initial electron, and the final photon is emitted together with the final electron. In the second diagram, the final photon is emitted together with the annihilation of the initial electron, and the initial photon is absorbed together with the creation of the final electron.

The continuous internal line joining the two vertices represents a virtual electron whose 4-momentum is determined by the conservation of the 4-momentum at the vertices. This line is associated with a factor \(i\tilde{G}(f)\). Unlike the 4-momentum of a real particle, that of the virtual electron has a square which is not equal to \(m^2\). If the invariant \(f^2\) is considered, for example, in the rest frame of the electron, we easily find that

\[
f^2 = (p_1 + k_1)^2 > m^2, \quad f'^2 = (p_1 - k_2)^2 < m^2.\tag{75.15}
\]

### §76. The electron propagator

The propagation functions or propagators defined in §§74 and 75 are of fundamental importance in the formalism of quantum electrodynamics. The photon propagator \(D_{\mu\nu}\) is a basic characteristic of the interaction of two electrons, as is shown by its position in the electron scattering amplitude, in which it is multiplied by the transition currents of the two particles. The electron propagator plays a similar part in the electron–photon interaction.

Let us now calculate the actual values of the propagators, taking first the electron propagator. Let the operator \(\hat{p} - m\), where \(p_\mu = i\partial_\mu\), act on the function

\[
G_{ik}(x-x') = -i\langle 0 | T \bar{\psi}_i(x) \psi_k(x') | 0 \rangle,\tag{76.1}
\]

\(i\) and \(k\) being bispinor indices. Since \(\psi(x)\) satisfies Dirac's equation \((\hat{p} - m)\psi(x) = 0\), we find that the result is zero at all points \(x\), except those for which \(t = t'\). The reason is that \(G(x-x')\) tends to different limits as \(t \to t' + 0\) and \(t \to t' - 0\): according to the definition (75.8) these limits are respectively

\[
-i\langle 0 | \bar{\psi}(r, t) \psi_k(r', t) | 0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad +i\langle 0 | \bar{\psi}_k(r', t) \psi_i(r, t) | 0 \rangle,
\]
and, as we shall see, they are not the same on the light cone. This causes an additional delta-function term to appear in the derivative $\partial G/\partial t$:

$$
\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} = -i \left\langle 0 \left[ T \frac{\partial \psi_i(x)}{\partial t} \bar{\psi}_i(x') \right] 0 \right\rangle + \delta(t-t')(G_{t-t'+0}-G_{t-t'-0}).
$$

(76.2)

Since the derivative with respect to $t$ appears in the operator $\hat{p} - m$ in the form $i\gamma^0 \partial / \partial t$, we therefore have

$$
(\hat{p} - m)_{ik} G_{kl}(x-x') = \delta(t-t')\gamma^0_{ik} \langle 0 | \{ \psi_i(r, t), \bar{\psi}_j(r', t) \} + 0 \rangle.
$$

(76.3)

The anticommutator is calculated as follows. On multiplying the operators $\psi(r, t)$ and $\bar{\psi}(r', t)$ (see (74.6)) and using the rules for interchange of the fermion operators $a_p, b_p$, we find

$$
\{ \psi_i(r, t), \bar{\psi}_k(r', t) \} = \sum_p [\psi_{pk}(r)\bar{\psi}_{pk}(r') + \psi_{-pk}(r)\bar{\psi}_{-pk}(r')] = \delta_{ik} \delta(r-r').
$$

(76.4)

where $\psi_{\pm}(r)$ are wave functions without the time factor; as in §§74 and 75, the polarisation indices are omitted for brevity. The set of all functions $\psi_{\pm}(r)$, which are eigenfunctions of the electron Hamiltonian, forms a complete set of normalised functions, and according to the general properties of these (cf. QM (5.12)) we have

$$
\sum_p [\psi_{pk}(r)\psi_{pk}^*(r') + \psi_{-pk}(r)\psi_{-pk}^*(r')] = \gamma^0_{ik} \delta(r-r').
$$

(76.5)

The sum on the right-hand side of (76.4) differs from that in (76.5) in that $\psi_{\pm}^*$ is replaced by $(\psi_{\pm}^*\gamma^0)_{ik}$, and its value is $\gamma^0_{ik} \delta(r-r')$. Thus

$$
\{ \psi_i(r, t), \bar{\psi}_k(r', t) \} = \delta(r-r')\gamma^0_{ik}.
$$

(76.6)

From this formula it follows, in particular, that the operators $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$ anticommute outside the light cone, as stated in §75. When $(x-x')^2 < 0$ there is always a frame of reference in which $t = t'$; if then $r \neq r'$, the anticommutator (76.6) is in fact zero.

Substituting (76.6) in (76.3) (and omitting the bispinor indices), we have finally†

$$
(\hat{p} - m)G(x-x') = \delta^{(4)}(x-x').
$$

(76.7)

Thus the electron propagator satisfies Dirac's equation with a delta function on the right-hand side. Mathematically speaking, therefore, it is the Green's function for Dirac's equation.

We shall later be concerned not with the function $G(\xi)$ itself ($\xi = x-x'$), but with its Fourier components:

$$
G(p) = \int G(\xi) e^{i\xi \cdot \vec{p}} d^4 \xi
$$

(76.8)

(the propagator in the momentum representation). Taking the Fourier component of each side of (76.7), we find that $G(p)$ satisfies the algebraic equations

$$
(\hat{p} - m)G(p) = 1,
$$

(76.9)

the solution of which is

$$
G(p) = \frac{\beta + m}{p^2 - m^2}.
$$

(76.10)

The four components of the 4-vector $p$ in $G(p)$ are independent variables, not related by $p^2 = p_0^2 - \vec{p}^2 = m^2$. Writing the denominator in (76.10) as $p_0^2 - (\vec{p}^2 + m^2)$, we see that

† The explicit form, including the bispinor indices, is

$$
(\hat{p} - m)_{ik} G_{ik}(x-x') = \delta^{(4)}(x-x')\delta_{ik}.
$$

(76.7a)
The electron propagator $G(p)$, as a function of $p_0$ for given $p^2$, has two poles at $p_0 = \pm \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon = \sqrt{(p^2 + m^2)}$. Thus, in the integration with respect to $p_0$ in the integral

$$G(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int e^{-ip\xi} G(p) \, d^4p$$

$$= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^3p \, e^{ip \cdot r} \int d^4p_0 \, e^{-ip_0t} G(p)$$  \hspace{1cm} (76.11)$$

(where $\tau = t - t'$), the question of avoiding the poles arises; until this is decided, the expression (76.10) remains essentially indeterminate.

To settle this question, we go back to the original definition (76.1), and substitute in it the $\psi$-operators as the sums (74.6), noting that the only non-zero vacuum expectation values are those of the following products of creation and annihilation operators:

$$\langle 0 | a_p a_p^+ | 0 \rangle = 1, \quad \langle 0 | b_p b_p^+ | 0 \rangle = 1.$$  \hspace{1cm} (Since in the vacuum state there are no particles, a particle has to be “created’’ by the operator $a_p^+$ or $b_p^+$ before it can be “annihilated’’ by $a_p$ or $b_p$.)

The result is

$$G_{ik}(x-x') = \begin{cases} -i \sum_p \psi_p(r, t) \overline{\psi}_{p,k}(r', t') & \text{for } t - t' > 0; \\ -i \sum_p e^{-i\varepsilon(x-t')} \psi_p(r) \overline{\psi}_{p,k}(r') & \text{for } t - t' < 0. \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (76.12)$$

For $t > t'$ only the electron terms contribute to $G$, and for $t < t'$ only the positron terms.

If the summation over $p$ is replaced by an integration over $d^3p$, a comparison of (76.12) and (76.11) shows that the integral

$$\int e^{-ip_0t} G(p) \, dp_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (76.13)$$

must have a phase factor $e^{-i\varepsilon t}$ for $\tau > 0$ and $e^{i\varepsilon t}$ for $\tau < 0$. This can be achieved by passing above the pole $p_0 = \varepsilon$ and below $p_0 = -\varepsilon$ in the plane of the complex variable $p_0$:

![Diagram](image)

(76.14)

For $\tau > 0$, the path of integration is closed by an infinite semicircle in the lower half-plane, so that the value of the integral (76.13) is given by the residue at the pole $p_0 = \varepsilon$; when $\tau < 0$, the path is closed in the upper half-plane, and the integral is given by the residue at the pole $p_0 = -\varepsilon$. The desired result is thus obtained in each case.

This rule for avoiding the poles (Feynman’s rule) can be differently stated as follows: the integration is everywhere along the real axis, but the mass $m$ of the particle is given an infinitesimal negative imaginary part:

$$m \rightarrow m - i0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (76.15)$$

We then have

$$\varepsilon \rightarrow \sqrt{[p^2 + (m - 0)^2]}$$

$$= \sqrt{[p^2 + m^2 - i0]}$$

$$= \varepsilon - i0.$$
The poles $p_0 = \pm \varepsilon$ are therefore moved off the real axis:

\[ -\varepsilon + i0 \quad 0 \quad +\varepsilon - i0 \]

and the integration along this axis is equivalent to integration along the path (76.14).†

Using the rule (76.15), we can write the propagator (76.10) in the form

\[ G(p) = \frac{\hat{p} + m}{p^2 - m^2 + i0} \]

The rule of integration with displaced poles can be proved by means of the relation

\[ \frac{1}{x + i0} = P \frac{1}{x} - i\pi \delta(x), \]

which is to be taken in the sense that multiplication by any function $f(x)$ and integration gives

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x + i0} \, dx = P \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} \, dx - i\pi f(0) \]

(the symbol $P$ denoting the principal value).

The Green's function (76.10) is the product of the bispinor factor $\hat{p} + m$ and a scalar,

\[ G^{(0)}(p) = 1/(p^2 - m^2). \]

The corresponding coordinate function $G^{(0)}(\xi)$ is evidently a solution of the equation

\[ (p^2 - m^2)G^{(0)}(x-x') = \delta^{(4)}(x-x'), \]

i.e. it is the Green's function of the equation $(p^2 - m^2)\psi = 0$. In this sense we can say that

$G^{(0)}(x-x')$ is the scalar-particle propagator. It is easily seen by calculation, in the same manner as above, that the scalar field propagation function can be expressed in terms of the $\psi$-operators (11.2) by

\[ G^{(0)}(x-x') = -i \langle 0 \vert T\psi(x)\psi^+(x') \vert 0 \rangle, \]

which is analogous to the definition (76.1). The chronological product is defined (as for all boson operators) by

\[ T\psi(x)\psi^+(x') = \psi(x)\psi^+(x'), \quad t > t'; \]

\[ = \psi^+(x')\psi(x), \quad t < t', \]

with the same sign for both $t > t'$ and $t < t'$.

§77. The photon propagator

Hitherto we have been concerned (in §§43 and 75) with the explicit form of the electromagnetic field operator $A$ only in finding the matrix elements with respect to a change in the number of real photons. For this purpose it was sufficient to use the representation (§2) of the free field potentials in terms of transverse plane waves.

† It is useful to note that the rule for moving the poles corresponds to an infinitesimal damping of $G(x - x')$ with respect to $|t - t'|$. 
This representation, however, does not give a complete description of every field, as is clear from the corresponding theorem in classical electrodynamics: an arbitrary field (in the presence of charges) cannot be expanded in terms of transverse waves, since the field contains not only a transverse part described by a vector potential satisfying the condition $\text{div } A = 0$, but also a static Coulomb interaction described by a scalar potential $\Phi$.†

Thus we have as yet essentially no complete definition of the operators $A$, and without this it is impossible to carry out a direct calculation of the photon propagator by means of the formula

$$D_{\mu\nu}(x-x') = i\langle 0|TA_{\mu}(x)A_{\nu}(x')|0\rangle.$$ (77.1)

On the other hand, the fact that the potentials are not gauge-invariant deprives of much of their physical meaning the operators which would be needed for a complete quantisation of the electromagnetic field.

These difficulties, however, are purely formal, not physical, and can be avoided by using certain general properties of the propagator, which are evident from the requirements of relativistic invariance and gauge invariance.

The most general 4-tensor of rank two which depends only on the 4-vector $\xi = x-x'$ is

$$D_{\mu\nu}(\xi) = g_{\mu\nu}D(\xi^2) - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu D^{(0)}(\xi^2),$$ (77.2)

where $D$ and $D^{(0)}$ are scalar functions of the invariant $\xi^2$.‡ This tensor is necessarily symmetrical.

In the momentum representation, we correspondingly have

$$D_{\mu\nu}(k) = D(k^2)g_{\mu\nu} + k_\mu k_\nu D^{(0)}(k^2),$$ (77.3)

where $D(k^2)$, $D^{(0)}(k^2)$ are the Fourier components of the functions $D(\xi^2)$, $D^{(0)}(\xi^2)$.

The photon propagation function, in physical quantities (scattering amplitudes), is multiplied by the transition currents of two electrons, i.e. it appears in combinations of the form $(j^0)_{21}D_{\mu
u}(j^\nu)_{43}$; see, for instance, (74.13). But, because of the conservation of current ($\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$), the matrix elements $j_{21} = \vec{\nu}_2 \gamma \psi_1$ satisfy the condition of 4-transversality,

$$k_\mu j^\mu_{21} = 0,$$ (77.4)

where $k = p_2 - p_1$; cf. (43.13). It is therefore clear that all physical results are unchanged by the substitution

$$D_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow D_{\mu\nu} + \chi_\mu k_\nu + \chi_\nu k_\mu,$$ (77.5)

where the $\chi_\mu$ are any functions of $k$ and $k_0$. This arbitrariness in the choice of $D_{\mu\nu}$ corresponds to that in the field potential gauge.

The arbitrary gauge transformation (77.5) can violate the relativistically invariant form $D_{\mu\nu}$ assumed in (77.3) if the quantities $\chi_\mu$ do not make up a 4-vector. But, even considering only relativistically invariant forms of the propagator, we see that the choice of the function $D^{(0)}(k^2)$ in (77.3) is entirely arbitrary; it does not affect any physical results,

† With the condition $\text{div } A = 0$, Maxwell's equations lead to the following equations for $A$ and $\Phi$:

$$\Box A = -4\pi j + \nabla^2 \Phi, \quad \Delta \Phi = -4\pi \rho.$$ Here $A$ can be expanded in transverse waves (solutions of the homogeneous equation $\Box A = 0$), but the potential $\Phi$ satisfies the static Poisson's equation.

‡ These functions are different in the three ranges of values of the argument which are not mutually interchanged by Lorentz transformations: the regions outside the light cone ($\xi^2 < 0$), and within its two parts ($\xi^2 > 0$; $\xi_0 > 0$, $\xi_0 < 0$).
and can be made in any convenient manner, a fact pointed out by L. D. Landau, A. A. Abrikosov and I. M. Khalatnikov (1954).

Thus the determination of the propagation function amounts to that of a single gauge-invariant function \( D(k^2) \). If we take a given value of \( k^2 \), and the \( z \)-axis in the direction of \( k \), the transformations (77.5) will not affect the components \( D_{xx} = D_{yy} = -D(k^2) \). It is therefore sufficient to calculate the component \( D_{xx} \) using any gauge for the potentials.

We shall use a gauge in which \( \text{div} \ A = 0 \) and the operator \( A \) is given by the expansion (2.17), (2.18):

\[
A = \sum_{k,\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\omega}} \left( c_{k\alpha} e^{(\alpha)} e^{-ikx} + c_{k\alpha}^+ e^{(\alpha)*} e^{ikx} \right), \quad \omega = |k|; \tag{77.6}
\]

the index \( \alpha = 1, 2 \) labels the polarisations. The only non-zero vacuum expectation values of products of the operators \( c, c^* \) are \( \langle 0 | c_{k\alpha} c_{k\alpha}^+ |0 \rangle = 1 \). Then, by the definition (77.1), we have

\[
D_{ik}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{2\pi i}{\omega} \frac{d^3 k}{\omega} \left( \sum_{\alpha} e^{(\alpha)} e^{(\alpha)*} \right) e^{-i\omega|\xi| + ik \cdot \xi}, \tag{77.7}
\]

where \( i, k = x, y, z \) are three-dimensional vector indices; the summation over \( k \) has been replaced by an integration over \( d^3 k/(2\pi)^3 \).

It is evident from (77.7) that the integrand without the factor \( e^{ik \cdot \xi} \) is the component of the three-dimensional Fourier expansion of the function \( D_{ik}(r, t) \). For \( D_{xx} = -D \), it is

\[
(2\pi i/\omega) e^{-i\omega|\xi|};
\]

the sum over polarisations \( \sum |e^{(\alpha)}|^2 = 1 \). To find \( D_{xx}(k^2) \) we now have to represent this function as a Fourier integral over time. The appropriate formula is

\[
\frac{2\pi i}{\omega} e^{-i\omega|\xi|} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi}{k_0^2 - k^2 + i0} e^{-i\omega \tau} d\tau.
\]

As explained in §76, this integration is understood to be taken along a contour passing below the pole \( k_0 = |k| = \omega \) and above the pole \( k_0 = -|k| = -\omega \); for \( \tau > 0 \) the value of the integral is determined by the residue at the pole \( k_0 = +\omega \), and for \( \tau < 0 \) by that at \( k_0 = -\omega \).

Thus we have finally

\[
D(k^2) = 4\pi/(k^2 + i0). \tag{77.8}
\]

The term \(+i0\) in the denominator which results from this proof is in accordance with the rule (76.15), \( i0 \) being subtracted from the (zero) mass of the photon. It is evident from (77.8) that the corresponding coordinate function \( D(\xi^2) \) satisfies the equation

\[
-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu D(x-x') = 4\pi \delta(x-x'), \tag{77.9}
\]

i.e. it is the Green's function of the wave equation.

We shall generally take \( D^{(i)} = 0 \), i.e. use the propagation function

\[
D_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} D(k^2) = \frac{4\pi}{k^2 + i0} g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{77.10}
\]

There are also other gauges which may be advantageous in certain applications. Putting \( D^{(i)} = -D/k^2 \), we obtain the propagator in the form

\[
D_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} \left( g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right). \tag{77.11}
\]
§78. General rules of the diagram technique

The calculation of the scattering matrix elements that has been given for some simple cases in §§74 and 75 contains all the fundamental features of the general method. There is no particular difficulty in deriving the corresponding general rules for calculating the matrix elements in any order of perturbation theory.

As has already been mentioned, the matrix element of the scattering operator $S$ for the transition between any initial and final states is equal to the vacuum expectation value of the operator obtained by multiplying $S$ on the right by the creation operators of all the initial particles and on the left by the annihilation operators of all the final particles.

† An analogous formula,

$$D_{uv} = \frac{1}{k^2 - m^2} \left( g_{uv} - \frac{k_u k_v}{m^2} \right),$$

(77.11a)

gives the propagator for particles with spin one and non-zero mass. The arbitrariness of the gauge is then eliminated, and the choice of the propagator is unambiguous. The tensor structure of the propagator (77.11a) is then, as it should be, the same as that of the density matrix (14.15) for unpolarised vector particles.
This treatment puts the $S$-matrix element in the following form in the $n$th order of perturbation theory:

$$\langle f | S^{(n)} | i \rangle = \frac{(-i\hbar)^n}{n!} \langle 0 | \ldots b_{2f} b_{1f} \ldots a_{1f} \ldots c_{1f} \times$$

$$\times \int d^4 x_1 \ldots d^4 x_n T \{ \bar{\Psi}_1 \bar{\Lambda}_1 \psi_1 \} \ldots \{ \bar{\Psi}_n \bar{\Lambda}_n \psi_n \} c_{1i} \ldots a_{1i} \ldots b_{1i} \ldots | 0 \rangle; \quad (78.1)$$

the suffixes $1i, 2i, \ldots$ label the initial particles (positrons, electrons and photons separately), and the suffixes $1f, 2f, \ldots$ label the final particles. The suffixes $1, 2, \ldots$ to the operators $\psi$ and $\Lambda$ signify that $\psi_1 = \psi(x_1)$ and so on. The operators $\psi$ and $\Lambda$ which appear here are linear combinations of the creation and annihilation operators of the corresponding particles in various states. Thus we obtain expressions for the matrix elements which are the vacuum expectation values of the products of the particle creation and annihilation operators and of their linear combinations. The calculation of such expectation values is effected by means of the following results, which constitute Wick's theorem (G. C. Wick, 1950).

(1) The vacuum expectation value of the product of any number of boson operators $c^+$ and $c$ is equal to the sum of the products of all possible expectation values of these operators taken in pairs (contraction). In each pair, the factors must be placed in the same order as in the original product.

(2) For the fermion operators $a^+, a, b^+, b$ (of the same or different particles), the rule is the same except that each term appears in the sum with positive or negative sign according to the parity of the number of interchanges of fermion operators needed to bring together all the operators that are averaged in pairs.

The expectation value must obviously be zero unless the product contains a factor $a^+, b^+, c^+$ for each operator $a, b, c$. Then only pairs of operators $(a, a^+), \ldots$ pertaining to the same states are to be contracted, and moreover only those pairs in which $a^+$, etc. is to the right of $a$, etc.: the particle is first created and then annihilated (whereas $\langle 0 | a^+ a | 0 \rangle = 0$, etc.).

If each pair $(a, a^+)$, etc. appears only once in the product, Wick's theorem is obviously true, the expectation value then reducing to a single product of pairwise expectation values. Its validity is also evident when all the annihilation operators $a, b, c$ in the product are to the right of the creation operators $a^+, b^+, c^+$; this is called a normal product. The expectation value is then zero. Wick's theorem is now easily proved by induction for the general case where one pair of operators appears $k$ times in the product, as follows.

Let us consider the expectation value $\langle 0 | \ldots c^+ \ldots | 0 \rangle$, in which the pair of boson operators $c, c^+$ appears $k$ times; the argument is entirely similar for fermion operators. If we interchange the factors $c$ and $c^+$ in one pair, the commutation rules give

$$\langle 0 | \ldots c^+ \ldots | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \ldots c^+ c \ldots | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \ldots 1 \ldots | 0 \rangle. \quad (78.2)$$

The expectation value $\langle 0 | \ldots 1 \ldots | 0 \rangle$ contains $k-1$ pairs, and Wick's theorem is assumed to be valid for it. If the expectation value $\langle 0 | \ldots c^+ \ldots | 0 \rangle$ is expanded by Wick's theorem, it differs from $\langle 0 | \ldots c^+ c \ldots | 0 \rangle$ by just the term

$$\langle 0 | \ldots 1 \ldots | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | c^+ | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \ldots 1 \ldots | 0 \rangle;$$

in the expansion of $\langle 0 | \ldots c^+ c \ldots | 0 \rangle$, the corresponding term $\langle 0 | \ldots 1 \ldots | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | c^+ | 0 \rangle$ is zero. Hence it follows from (78.2) that, if Wick's theorem is valid for a matrix element
\[ \langle 0 | c^+ c | 0 \rangle, \] it is still valid when \( c \) and \( c^+ \) are interchanged. Since the theorem is known to be valid for one particular order of factors (the normal order), it is therefore true in every case.\(^\dagger\)

Since Wick's theorem is valid for products of operators \( a, b, \ldots \), it is also true for all products which contain the linear combinations \( \psi, \overline{\psi}, A \) of \( a, b, \ldots \), as well as the latter operators themselves. On applying this theorem to the matrix element (78.1), we bring it to the form of a sum of terms, each term being the product of a number of pairwise expectation values. The latter will include contractions of the operators \( \psi, \overline{\psi}, A \) with "external" operators—those which create the initial particles or annihilate the final particles. These contractions are expressed in terms of the wave functions of the initial and final particles by the formulae

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle 0 | Ac^+_p | 0 \rangle &= A_p, \\
\langle 0 | c_p A | 0 \rangle &= A^*_p, \\
\langle 0 | \psi a^+_p | 0 \rangle &= \psi_p, \\
\langle 0 | a_p \overline{\psi} | 0 \rangle &= \overline{\psi}_p, \\
\langle 0 | b_p \psi | 0 \rangle &= \psi_{-p}, \\
\langle 0 | \overline{\psi} b^+_p | 0 \rangle &= \overline{\psi}_{-p},
\end{align*}
\]

(78.3)

where \( A_p \) and \( \psi_p \) are the photon and electron wave functions with momentum \( p \) (the polarisation indices are omitted for brevity, as in §§74 and 75). Contractions of the "internal" operators in the \( T \) product will also occur. Since the sequence of factors in each contracted pair is preserved when applying Wick's theorem, the chronological sequence of operators is preserved in these contractions, and they are therefore replaced by the corresponding propagators.\(^\ddagger\)

Each term of the sum obtained from the matrix element by applying Wick's theorem is represented by a particular Feynman diagram. In the \( n \)th-order diagram there are \( n \) vertices, each corresponding to one of the variables of integration (the 4-vectors \( x_1, x_2, \ldots \)). Three lines meet at each vertex, two being continuous (electron lines) and one broken (photon line); these correspond to the electron operators \( \psi \) and \( \overline{\psi} \) and the photon operator \( A \) as functions of the same variable \( x \). The operator \( \psi \) corresponds to the incoming line and \( \overline{\psi} \) to the outgoing line.

\(^\dagger\) As an example, let us write down the vacuum expectation values of products of four operators (two identical pairs). For boson operators,

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle 0 | c c^+ c c^+ | 0 \rangle &= c c^+ c c^+ = 1, \\
\langle 0 | c c^+ c^+ c | 0 \rangle &= \overline{c} c^+ c c^+ = 2,
\end{align*}
\]

where the brackets denote contractions. It is easily verified that the same result would be obtained by direct calculation from the matrix elements. For example,

\[
\langle 0 | c c^+ c^+ c^+ | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | c | 1 \rangle \langle 1 | c c^+ | 1 \rangle \langle 1 | c^+ | 0 \rangle = 1 \times 2 \times 1.
\]

For the fermion operators, a similar contraction gives

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle 0 | a a^+ a^+ a^+ | 0 \rangle &= 0, \\
\langle 0 | a a^+ a^+ a^+ | 0 \rangle &= 1 - 1 = 0;
\end{align*}
\]

the latter result is, of course, obvious from the Pauli principle, since the creation of two fermions in the same state is not possible.

\(^\ddagger\) The following comment must be made regarding this last statement. In proving Wick's theorem, we have made use of the commutation rules for the operators \( c \) and \( c^+ \), which are meaningful only for real ("transverse") photons. The "external" operators \( c^+, c^- \) do in fact, of course, correspond to such (initial and final) photons, but the operators \( A \) (which appear within the \( T \) product) describe, as shown in §77, not only transverse electromagnetic fields. The situation here is similar to that in the calculation of \( D_{\mu} \) (§77). Owing to the relativistic and gauge invariance, it is sufficient to prove the theorem for those products (i.e., components of the tensors \( \langle 0 | T A_{a} A \ldots | 0 \rangle \)) which are determined by the transverse parts of the potentials. The theorem is then valid for all products.
By way of illustration, we shall give some examples of the correlation between the terms of the matrix element in the third approximation and the diagrams. Omitting the integral sign and the symbol \( T \), and also the arguments of the operators, we can symbolically write these terms as

\[
\begin{align*}
(a) & \quad \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle = \\
(b) & \quad \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle = \\
(c) & \quad \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle = \\
(d) & \quad \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle =
\end{align*}
\]  

(78.4)

For clarity, the electron and photon contractions are shown by continuous and broken lines as in the diagrams. The direction of the arrows from \( \bar{\psi} \) to \( \psi \) for the electron contractions is the same as in the diagrams. For the internal photon contractions the direction is immaterial (the photon propagator is an even function of \( x-x' \)).

The terms thus obtained include equivalent terms which differ only in that the vertices are renumbered, i.e. that the correlation between the vertices and the variables is changed, or simply that the variables of integration are renamed. The number of such interchanges is \( n! \); this cancels the factor \( 1/n! \) in (78.1), and there is then no need to consider diagrams differing only by interchange of vertices. This has already been noted in §§74 and 75. For example, there are two equivalent diagrams in the second approximation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle = \\
\langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} \hat{A} \psi \rangle =
\end{align*}
\]

(78.5)

In (78.4) and (78.5) only internal contractions which correspond to internal diagram lines are shown (virtual electrons and photons). The operators still free are contracted with external operators, and this establishes a correlation between the free ends of the diagrams and certain initial and final particles. Then \( \bar{\psi} \) (contracting with operators \( a_f \) or \( b_f^+ \)) gives the final electron line or the initial positron line, and \( \psi \) (contracting with \( a_i^+ \) or \( b_j \)) gives the initial electron line or the final positron line. The free operator \( A \) (contracting with \( c_i^+ \) or \( c_f \)) can correspond to either an initial or a final photon. Thus we obtain sets of several topologically identical diagrams (i.e. diagrams having the same
number of lines arranged in the same way), differing only by interchanges of initial and final particles between incoming and outgoing free ends.

Each such interchange is clearly equivalent to a certain interchange of the external operators $a, b, \ldots$ in (78.1). It is therefore evident that, if the initial particles or the final particles include identical fermions, diagrams which differ by an odd number of interchanges of free ends must have opposite signs.

An uninterrupted sequence of continuous arrows in the diagrams constitutes an electron line along which the arrows maintain a constant direction. Such a line may have two free ends or form a closed loop. For example, the diagram

$$\langle \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\Lambda} \psi \rangle = \quad \text{loop}$$

has a loop with two vertices. The maintenance of direction along the electron line is the graphical expression of the conservation of charge: the "incoming" charge at each vertex is equal to the "outgoing" charge.

The arrangement of the bispinor indices along the continuous electron line corresponds to writing the matrices from left to right in motion contrary to the arrows. The bispinor indices of different electron lines can never become confused. Along an open line, the sequence of indices terminates at the free ends with electron (or positron) wave functions; in a closed loop, the sequence of indices is itself closed, and the loop corresponds to the trace of the product of the matrices found on it. This trace must be taken with negative sign, as is easily seen. A loop with $k$ vertices corresponds to a set of $k$ contractions:

$$\langle \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\Lambda} \psi \rangle \langle \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\Lambda} \psi \rangle \ldots \langle \tilde{\psi} \tilde{\Lambda} \psi \rangle$$

(or to another which is equivalent, differing only in an interchange of the vertices). In the $(k-1)$th contraction the operators $\psi$ and $\tilde{\psi}$ are together in the order $\tilde{\psi}$ to the right of $\psi$ in which they must appear in the electron propagator. The operators at the ends are brought together by an even number of interchanges with other $\psi$-operators, and are then in the order $\tilde{\psi}\psi$.

Since

$$\langle 0| T \tilde{\psi} \psi |0 \rangle = -\langle 0| T \psi \tilde{\psi} |0 \rangle$$

(see the second footnote to §75), the replacement of this contraction by the corresponding propagator means a change in the sign of the whole expression.

In general, the change to the momentum representation is made in an exactly similar manner to that in §§74 and 75. As well as the general law of conservation of 4-momentum, "conservation laws" must also be satisfied at each vertex. But all these laws may not suffice to determine uniquely the momenta of all the internal lines in the diagram. In such cases, there remain integrations over $d^4p/(2\pi)^4$ for all the undetermined internal momenta; these integrations extend throughout $p$-space, including $p_0$ from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$.

In the above discussion it has been assumed that the perturbation is represented by the interaction between those particles which are "actively" concerned in the reaction (i.e. between particles whose state is altered as a result of the process). A similar treatment
can be given for the case where there is an external electromagnetic field, i.e. a field generated by “passive” particles, whose state is not altered in the process.

Let $A^{(e)}(x)$ be the 4-potential of the external field. It appears in the Lagrangian of the interaction together with the photon operator $A$, as the sum $A + A^{(e)}$ (which is multiplied by the current operator $j$). Since $A^{(e)}$ does not involve any operators, it cannot contract with other operators. Thus only external lines in Feynman diagrams can correspond to an external field.

If $A^{(e)}$ is expressed as a Fourier integral:

$$A^{(e)}(x) = \int A^{(e)}(q) \, e^{-ixq} \, d^4q/(2\pi)^4,$$

$$A^{(e)}(q) = \int A^{(e)}(x) \, e^{ixq} \, d^4x,$$  \hspace{1cm} (78.6)

the expressions for the matrix elements in the momentum representation will contain the 4-vector $q$ together with the 4-momenta of other external lines corresponding to real particles. Each such external-field line can be correlated with a factor $A^{(e)}(q)$, and the line is to be regarded as “incoming” (in accordance with the sign of the exponent in the factor $e^{-ixq}$ which accompanies $A^{(e)}(q)$ in the Fourier integral; an “outgoing line” would be correlated with a factor $A^{(e)*}(q)$). If the 4-momenta of all the external-field lines are not uniquely defined (for given 4-momenta of all the real particles) by the law of conservation of 4-momentum, then there remain integrations over $d^4q/(2\pi)^4$ for all the “free” $q$ and over all the other undetermined 4-momenta of the diagram lines.

If the external field is independent of time, then

$$A^{(e)}(q) = 2\pi\delta(q^0)A^{(e)}(q),$$  \hspace{1cm} (78.7)

where $A^{(e)}(q)$ is the three-dimensional Fourier component:

$$A^{(e)}(q) = \int A^{(e)}(r) \, e^{-iq.r} \, d^3r.$$  \hspace{1cm} (78.8)

In this case the external-field line is correlated with $A^{(e)}(q)$ and assigned a 4-momentum $q^a = (0, q)$; the energies of the electron lines which (together with the field line) meet at a vertex will be equal by virtue of the conservation law. Integration over $d^3p/(2\pi)^3$ is necessary for the other “free” three-dimensional momenta $p$ of the internal lines. The amplitude $M_{fi}$ thus calculated determines, for example, the scattering cross-section by (65.25).

We may give a list of final rules for the *diagram technique* whereby an expression may be obtained for the scattering amplitude in the momentum representation.

1. The $n$th approximation of perturbation theory corresponds to diagrams with $n$ vertices, each of which is the meeting point of one incoming and one outgoing electron line (continuous) and one photon line (broken). The amplitude of the scattering process involves all the diagrams having free ends (external lines) equal in number to the initial and final particles.

2. Each incoming continuous external line is associated with the amplitude $u(p)$ of an initial electron or $u(-p)$ of a final positron (where $p$ is the 4-momentum of the particle). Each outgoing continuous line is associated with the amplitude $\bar{u}(p)$ of a final electron or $\bar{u}(-p)$ of an initial positron.

3. Each vertex is associated with a 4-vector $-iy^a$. 
Crossing invariance

(4) Each incoming broken external line is associated with the amplitude $\sqrt{(4\pi)e_\mu}$ of an initial photon, and each such outgoing line with the amplitude $\sqrt{(4\pi)e_\mu^*}$ of a final photon, where $e$ is the polarisation 4-vector. The vector index $\mu$ is the same as the index of the matrix $\gamma^\mu$ at the corresponding vertex, so that the scalar product $\hat{e} \equiv e_\gamma$, or $\hat{e}^*$, is obtained.

(5) Each continuous internal line is associated with a factor $iG(p)$, and each broken internal line with a factor $-iD_\mu(p)$. The tensor indices $\mu, \nu$ are the same as the indices of the matrices $\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu$ at the vertices joined by the broken line.

(6) The arrows have a constant direction along any continuous sequence of electron lines, and the arrangement of the bispinor indices along them corresponds to writing the matrices from left to right in motion contrary to the arrows. A closed electron loop corresponds to the trace of the product of the matrices found on it.

(7) At each vertex, the 4-momenta of the lines which meet there satisfy a conservation law, i.e. the sum of the momenta of the incoming lines is equal to the sum of the momenta of the outgoing lines. The momenta of the free ends are given quantities (subject to the general conservation law), with momentum $-p$ assigned to the positron line. Integration over $d^4p/(2\pi)^4$ is carried out for the momenta of internal lines which remain undetermined after application of the conservation laws at every vertex.

(8) An incoming free end corresponding to an external field is associated with a factor $A^{(e)}(q)$; the 4-vector $q$ is related to the 4-momenta of the other lines by the conservation law at the vertex. If the field is constant, the line is associated with a factor $A^{(e)}(q)$, and integration over $d^4p/(2\pi)^3$ is carried out for the three-dimensional momenta of internal lines which remain undetermined.

(9) The coefficient of the diagram in $iM_{fi}$ is $e^\alpha$. An additional factor $-1$ is included for each closed electron loop in the diagram and for each pair of positron external lines if these are the beginning and end of a single sequence of continuous lines. If the initial particles or the final particles include more than one electron or positron, the diagrams differing by an odd number of interchanges of identical particles (i.e. of the corresponding external lines) must have opposite signs.†

§79. Crossing invariance

The representation of the scattering amplitudes $M_{fi}$ by Feynman integrals reveals the following noteworthy symmetry property of these amplitudes.

Any of the incoming external lines in a Feynman diagram may be regarded (without changing the direction of its arrow) as either an initial particle or a final antiparticle, and any outgoing line as either a final particle or an initial antiparticle. When the change is made from particle to antiparticle, the significance of the 4-momentum $p$ assigned to the line also changes: $p = p_e$ for the electron (say), and $p = -p_p$ for the positron. For the photon, a strictly neutral particle, this is simply a change from emission to absorption or vice versa: an external photon line with momentum $k$ corresponds either to the absorption of a photon with momentum $k_e = k$, or to the emission of a photon with momentum $k_e = -k$.

† To clarify the last rule, it may be added that diagrams having the same continuous lines, i.e. diagrams which would be identical after removal of all photon lines, must always have the same sign. When identical fermions are present, the sign of the amplitude as a whole is arbitrary.
This change in the significance of the external lines is equivalent to a change from one cross-channel of the reaction to others. Hence it follows that the same amplitude, as a function of the momenta of the free ends of the diagrams, describes every channel of the reaction.\(^\dagger\) Only the meaning of the arguments of the function varies with the channel: the change from particle to antiparticle implies \(p_i \rightarrow -p_f\), where \(p_i\) is the 4-momentum of the initial particle (in one channel) and \(p_f\) the 4-momentum of the final particle (in the other channel). This property of the scattering amplitude is called crossing symmetry or crossing invariance.

In terms of the invariant amplitudes defined in §71 as functions of the kinematic invariants, we can say that these functions will be the same for all channels, but for each channel their arguments will take values in the corresponding physical region. Thus the Feynman integrals determine the invariant amplitudes as analytic functions; their values in the various physical regions are the analytical continuation of a function specified in one region. Since the integrands in the Feynman integrals have singularities, so do the invariant amplitudes, and their singularities can be determined from the expressions for the integrals, using the rule of pole avoidance. If the invariant amplitudes are calculated for any one channel from the Feynman integrals, their analytical continuation to the other channels will necessarily take account of these singularities.

It should be emphasised that crossing invariance goes beyond the properties of the scattering matrix which follow from the general requirements of space–time symmetry. The latter imply the equality of amplitudes for processes which differ by the interchange of initial and final states and the replacement of all particles by antiparticles (with the momenta \(p\) of all particles unchanged and the signs of their angular momentum components reversed). This is the condition of CPT invariance.\(^\ddagger\) Crossing invariance, however, allows this transformation not only for all the particles at once but also for any one particle.

\section*{§80. Virtual particles}

The internal lines in the Feynman diagrams play a role in invariant perturbation theory analogous to that of the intermediate states in the “ordinary” theory, but the nature of these states is different in the two theories. In the ordinary theory the (three-dimensional) momentum is conserved in the intermediate states, but the energy is not, and for this reason they are said to be \textit{virtual states}. In the invariant theory, the momentum and the energy appear on an equal footing: in the intermediate states, the whole 4-momentum is conserved (this results from the fact that the integration in the \(S\)-matrix elements is over both coordinates and time, thus ensuring the invariance of the theory). But the relation between energy and momentum which holds for real particles and is expressed by the equation \(p^2 = m^2\) is no longer satisfied in the intermediate states, which are therefore spoken of as intermediate \textit{virtual particles}. The relation between the momentum and energy of a virtual particle may be anything required by the conservation of 4-momentum at the vertices.

Let us consider a diagram consisting of two parts I and II, joined by a single line. Ignoring

\(^\dagger\) If a particular channel is forbidden by the conservation of 4-momentum, the transition probability is necessarily zero because of the delta function which appears as a factor in (65.5).

\(^\ddagger\) The formal description of the change from one of these reactions to the other by reversing the signs of all the 4-momenta in the Feynman diagrams corresponds to the significance of the operation CPT as 4-inversion.
Virtual particles

The internal structure of these parts, we can represent the diagram in the schematic form

(80.1)

(the lines shown may be either continuous or broken lines). By the general conservation law, the sums of the 4-momenta of the external lines for parts I and II are equal. Because of the conservation at each vertex, they are also equal to the 4-momentum \( p \) of the internal line joining parts I and II. Thus this momentum is uniquely defined, and there is therefore no integration with respect to it in the matrix element.

The quantity \( p^2 \) may be either positive or negative, depending on the reaction channel. There is always a channel in which \( p^2 > 0 \). Then the virtual particle is entirely analogous, as regards its formal properties, to a real particle with real mass \( M = \sqrt{p^2} \). Its rest frame can be defined, its spin determined, and so on.

The tensor structure of the photon propagator (77.11) is the same as that of the density matrix of an unpolarised particle with spin 1 and non-zero mass:

\[
\rho_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{i}{4}(g_{\mu\nu} - p_\mu p_\nu/m^2)
\]

(see (14.15)). For a virtual particle the propagator (a quantity obtained from a quadratic combination of the field operators) plays a role analogous to that of the density matrix for a real particle. Thus a virtual photon, like a real photon, must be assigned spin 1. But, unlike the two independent polarisations of the real photon, all three polarisations are possible for the virtual photon, which is a "particle" with finite mass.

The electron propagation function is

\[
G \sim \beta + m,
\]

where \( m \) is the mass of the real electron, the "mass" of the virtual particle being \( M = \sqrt{p^2} \). Putting

\[
\beta + m = \frac{M + m}{2M} (\beta + M) + \frac{M - m}{2M} (\beta - M),
\]

(80.2) we see that the first term corresponds to the density matrix of a particle with mass \( M \) and spin \( \frac{1}{2} \), and the second term to that of a similar "antiparticle"; cf. (29.10) and (29.17). Since the particle and the antiparticle have different internal parities (§27), we conclude that the same spin \( \frac{1}{2} \) must be assigned to the virtual electron, but that no definite parity can be assigned to it.

A characteristic feature of the diagram (80.1) is that it can be cut into two unconnected parts by dividing only one internal line. This line corresponds, in such cases, to a one-particle intermediate state, i.e. a state having only one virtual particle. The scattering amplitude corresponding to such a diagram contains the characteristic factor (which does not undergo integration)

\[
\frac{1}{p^2 - m^2 + i0'}
\]

† For example, the channel (if it is allowable on energy grounds) in which all the free ends of part I correspond to initial particles and those of part II to final particles. Then \( p = P_i \) (the sum of the 4-momenta of all the initial particles), and in the centre-of-mass system \( p = (P_i^0, 0) \), so that \( p^2 > 0 \).

‡ This property occurs for the diagrams of almost all processes in the first non-vanishing approximation.
arising from the internal line \( p \); \( m \) is the electron mass for an electron line and zero for a photon line. Thus the scattering amplitude has poles at the values of \( p \) for which the virtual particle would become a physical one \( (p^2 = m^2) \). This situation is similar to the one in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, where the scattering amplitude has poles for energy values corresponding to bound states of the system of colliding particles (QM, §128).

Let us consider the diagram (80.1) for the reaction channel in which all the free ends on the right correspond to initial particles, and all those on the left to final particles; then \( p^2 > 0 \). Then we can say that, in the intermediate state, all the initial particles are converted into one virtual particle. This is possible only if such a conversion would not contradict the necessary conservation laws (not including the conservation of 4-momentum), namely the conservation of angular momentum, charge, charge parity, etc. This is the necessary condition for the occurrence of what are called pole diagrams. If these exist for one reaction channel, they exist also for the remaining channels, because of crossing invariance.

For example, the conservation laws mentioned do not preclude the formation of a virtual electron by \( e + \gamma \rightarrow e \). This corresponds to a pole of the Compton effect amplitude (and therefore to a pole of the other channel of this reaction, namely two-photon annihilation of an electron–positron pair). The formation of a virtual photon by \( e^- + e^+ \rightarrow \gamma \) corresponds to a pole of the amplitude for the scattering of an electron by a positron, and therefore that of an electron by an electron. Two photons can give neither a virtual electron nor a virtual photon: the conversion \( \gamma + \gamma \rightarrow e \) is forbidden by the conservation of charge or angular momentum, and \( \gamma + \gamma \rightarrow \gamma \) by that of charge parity. Accordingly, the photon–photon scattering amplitude cannot involve pole diagrams.

The origin of the pole singularities of the scattering amplitudes, which has been discussed above on the basis of Feynman integrals, is really more general and is not dependent on perturbation theory. We shall show that such singularities arise simply as a consequence of the unitarity condition (72.2).

Let us assume that the intermediate states \( n \) which appear in (72.2) include a one-particle state. The contribution of this state is

\[
(T_{f1} - T_{f1}^*)^{(one-p)} = i(2\pi)^4 \sum_\lambda \int \delta^{(4)}(P_f - p) T_{f1} T_{in}^* \frac{V d^3p}{(2\pi)^3},
\]

where \( p \) and \( \lambda \) are the 4-momentum and helicity of the intermediate particle. The integration over \( d^3p \) is replaced by one over \( d^4p \) (in the range \( p_0 = \epsilon > 0 \)):

\[
d^3p \rightarrow 2\epsilon \delta(p^2 - M^2) d^4p,
\]

where \( M \) is the mass of the intermediate particle. The integration eliminates the delta function \( \delta^{(4)}(P_f - p) \); we then change from the amplitudes \( T_{f1} \) to \( M_{f1} \) by (65.10), obtaining

\[
(M_{f1} - M_{f1}^*)^{(one-p)} = 2\pi i \delta(p^2 - M^2) \sum_\lambda M_{fn} M_{in}^*.
\]

Assuming \( T \) and \( P \) invariance, we have (apart from a phase factor) \( M_{if} = M_{f'i'} \), where the states \( i', f' \) differ from \( i, f \) only in the sign of the particle helicities (with the same momenta). Taking the sum of equation (80.3) and the corresponding equation for \( M_{f'i'} - M_{f'i'}^* \), we have

\[
im \overline{M}_{f1}^{(one-p)} = -\pi \delta(p^2 - M^2) R,
\]

where

\[
\overline{M}_{f1} = M_{f1} + M_{f1}^*,
\]

\[
R = -\sum_\lambda (M_{fn} M_{in}^* + M_{fn}^* M_{fn}^*).
\]
Hence it follows that $\bar{M}_{fp}$, as an analytic function of $p^2 = P_i^2 = P_f^2$, has a pole at $p^2 = M^2$. According to (76.18) the pole part is

$$\bar{M}^{\text{one-p}}_{fi} = \frac{R}{p^2 - M^2 + i0}. \tag{80.5}$$

Real transitions to a single-particle state are possible only for one value of $P_i^2 = P_f^2$, namely $M^2$. Thus we in fact obtain the scattering amplitude structure corresponding to a diagram of the form (80.1).

Finally, let us consider an important property of diagrams containing closed electron loops. This property is easily derived by applying the concept of charge parity to a virtual photon: a virtual photon, like a real photon, must be assigned a definite (negative) charge parity.$\dagger$

If a diagram contains a closed loop (with number of vertices $N > 2$), the amplitude for the process concerned must include not only that diagram but also another which differs only in the direction of traversal of the loop (if $N = 2$, there is evidently no distinguishable "direction of traversal"). If these loops are "cut out" along the broken lines which come to them, we obtain two loops, $\Pi_1$ and $\Pi_\Pi$:

$$\tag{80.6}$$

which may be regarded as diagrams determining the amplitude for the process of conversion of one set of photons (real or virtual) into another; the number $N$ is the sum of the numbers of initial and final photons. But the conservation of charge parity forbids the conversion of an even number of photons into an odd number. When $N$ is odd, therefore, the sum of the expressions corresponding to the loops (80.6) must be zero. The total contribution to the scattering amplitude from two diagrams containing these loops as constituent parts is consequently zero also, a result known as Furry's theorem (W. H. Furry, 1937).

Thus, in constructing the amplitude for a given process, we can ignore diagrams containing loops with an odd number of vertices.

This cancellation of diagrams occurs for the following reason. A closed electron loop corresponds to an expression (with given momenta $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_N$ of the photon lines)

$$\int d^4 p \cdot \text{tr} [\hat{\epsilon}_1 G(p) \hat{\epsilon}_2 G(p+k_1) \ldots], \tag{80.7}$$

where $p, p+k_1, \ldots$ are the momenta of the electron lines (which are not completely determined after the conservation laws have been applied at the vertices). Let the operation of charge conjugation be applied to all matrices $\gamma^\mu$ and $G$, replacing them by $U^{-1}_C \gamma^\mu U_C$ and $U^{-1}_C G U_C$. The expression (80.7) is then unchanged, since the trace of a product of matrices is unaffected by such a transformation. According to (26.3),

$$U^{-1}_C \gamma^\mu U_C = -\gamma^\mu, \tag{80.8}$$

and hence

$$U^{-1}_C G(p) U_C = \frac{-p \gamma + m}{p^2 - m^2} = \bar{G}(-p). \tag{80.9}$$

$\dagger$ This follows from the same arguments as were given at the end of §13 for a real photon, concerning the electromagnetic interaction operator acting at each vertex.
But the replacement of \( G(p) \) by the transposed matrix with the sign of \( p \) changed is clearly equivalent to a change in the direction of traversal of the loop, all the arrows being reversed. Thus this transformation changes one loop into the other, and there is a factor \((-1)^N\) from the change (80.8) at each vertex. Hence

\[
\Pi_I = (-1)^N \Pi_{II},
\]

(80.10)
i.e. the contributions from the two loops are the same when the number of vertices is even, but equal and opposite when this number is odd.
CHAPTER IX

INTERACTION OF ELECTRONS

§81. Scattering of an electron in an external field

Elastic scattering of an electron in a constant external field is a simple process which occurs even in the first approximation of perturbation theory (the first Born approximation). It corresponds to a diagram with one vertex:

\[ \text{(81.1)} \]

where \( p \) and \( p' \) are the initial and final 4-momenta of the electron, and \( q = p' - p \). Since the electron energy is conserved in scattering in a constant field (\( \epsilon = \epsilon' \)), we have \( q = (0, q) \).

The corresponding scattering amplitude is

\[ M_{fi} = -e\bar{u}(p')A^{(\epsilon)}(q)u(p), \text{ (81.2)} \]

where \( A^{(\epsilon)}(q) \) is the component of the spatial Fourier resolution of the external field. The scattering cross-section is, according to (65.26),

\[ d\sigma = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} |M_{fi}|^2 \, do'. \text{ (81.3)} \]

For an electrostatic field, \( A^{(\epsilon)} = (A_0^{(\epsilon)}, 0) \), and hence

\[ M_{fi} = -e\bar{u}(p')y^0u(p)A_0^{(\epsilon)}(q) = -eu^*(p')u(p)A_0^{(\epsilon)}(q). \text{ (81.4)} \]

In the non-relativistic case, the bispinor amplitudes \( u(p) \) of the plane waves reduce to the non-relativistic (two-component) amplitudes. For scattering without change of polarisation, \( u' = u \), and \( u^*u = 2m \) by the normalisation condition chosen. Thus

\[ d\sigma = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \frac{m}{2\pi} U(q) \right|^2 \, do', \]

where \( U(q) = eA_0^{(\epsilon)}(q) \) is the Fourier component of the potential energy of the electron in the field; this expression is the same as the familiar Born's formula (QM, (125.4)).

\† When there is an external field, such a diagram is, of course, not forbidden by the law of conservation of 4-momentum, as the diagram (74.19) with a real photon was: \( q^2 \), unlike the square of the 4-momentum of a real photon, need not be zero, and the component with the necessary \( q \) is automatically taken from the Fourier integral which represents the external field.
In the general relativistic case, the cross-section for scattering of unpolarised electrons is obtained by averaging $|M_{fi}|^2$ over initial polarisations and summing over final polarisations, i.e. by taking the quantity

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{polar.}} |M_{fi}|^2,$$

where the summation is over the spin directions of the initial and final electrons; the factor $\frac{1}{4}$ changes one of these summations into an averaging. According to the rules given in §66, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{polar.}} |M_{fi}|^2 = 2 \text{ tr } \rho \hat{A}^{(e)*} \rho' \hat{A}^{(e)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} |A_0^{(e)}(q)|^2 \text{ tr } (m + \hat{p})\gamma^0(m + \hat{p}')(\gamma^0.$$

To calculate the trace, we note that $\gamma^0 \hat{p}'\gamma^0 = \hat{p}'$, where $\hat{p}' = (e', -p')$, and therefore

$$\frac{1}{4} \text{ tr } (m + \hat{p})\gamma^0(m + \hat{p}')(\gamma^0 = \frac{1}{4} \text{ tr } (m + \hat{p})(m + \hat{p}')$$

$$= m^2 + pp'$$

$$= e^2 + m^2 + p \cdot p'$$

$$= 2e^2 - \frac{1}{2} q^2.$$ Hence the cross-section is

$$d\sigma = \frac{e^2 |A_0^{(e)}(q)|^2}{4\pi^2} \varepsilon^2 \left(1 - \frac{q^2}{4e^2}\right) do'.$$ (81.5)

For a field due to a static distribution of charge with density $\rho(r)$, we have

$$A_0^{(e)}(q) = 4\pi \rho(q)/q^2,$$ (81.6)

where $\rho(q)$ is the Fourier transform of the distribution $\rho(r)$ (the form factor). In particular, for the Coulomb field of a point charge $Ze$ we have $\rho(q) = Ze$. The cross-section is then

$$d\sigma = do' \frac{4(Ze)^2 e^2}{q^4} \left(1 - \frac{q^2}{4e^2}\right)$$ (81.7)

(N. F. Mott, 1929). The quantity $q^2 = 4p^2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \theta$, where $\theta$ is the scattering angle. The angular dependence of the quantity preceding the parenthesis is therefore that of a Rutherford cross-section:

$$d\sigma_{Ru} = do \frac{4(Ze)^2 e^2}{q^4}$$

$$= do \frac{(Ze)^2 e^2}{4p^4} \sin^{-4} \frac{1}{2} \theta;$$ (81.8)

in the non-relativistic limit, $e^2/p^4 \rightarrow 1/m^2 e^4$. Thus†

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_{Ru}(1 - v^2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \theta).$$ (81.9)

In the ultra-relativistic case, the angular distribution differs from the non-relativistic case in that there is much less backward scattering: as $\theta \rightarrow \pi$, $d\sigma/d\sigma_{Ru} \rightarrow m^2/e^2$.

† The difference between $d\sigma$ and $d\sigma_{Ru}$ shown by this formula is specific to particles with spin $\frac{1}{2}$. In the scattering of particles with spin 0, if their motion in the electromagnetic field is described by the wave equation, the result is $d\sigma = d\sigma_{Ru}$. At first sight it might appear puzzling that the factor expressing this purely quantum effect does not contain $\hbar$. However, it must be remembered that the condition for the Born approximation to be valid ($e^2/\hbar c \ll 1$) is contrary to the condition for quasi-classical motion in a Coulomb field, and therefore formula (81.9) cannot be taken to the classical limit.
In the ultra-relativistic case, formula (81.7) gives for small-angle scattering
\[ d\sigma = \frac{4(Ze^2)^2}{e^2\theta^4} \, d\theta. \] (81.10)

Although this formula has been derived in the Born approximation (i.e. on the assumption that \( Ze^2 \ll 1 \)), it remains valid (for angles \( \theta \lesssim m/e \)) even if \( Ze^2 \sim 1 \). This can be seen by using the ultra-relativistic wave function \( \psi_{\text{sp}}(t) \) (39.10), which is exact as regards \( Ze^2 \). This solution, which is valid in the range (39.2), of course remains valid in the asymptotic range \( r \to \infty \). Here
\[ F \sim 1 + \text{constant} \times e^{i(p're'p''r)}, \quad \frac{\alpha \cdot \nabla F}{e} \sim 1 - \cos \theta \sim \theta^2 \ll 1, \]
so that the correction term remains small, as it should. The wave function of the form \( e^{i(p're'p''r)} F \), which has the same form as the non-relativistic function (with an obvious change of parameters), has the same asymptotic expression, and therefore the cross-section is given by the Rutherford formula.

To calculate the scattering cross-section for electrons with any polarisation, we could use the density matrix (29.13), following the general procedure. In this case, however, the result can be more readily obtained by expressing the bispinor amplitudes \( u(p') \) and \( u(p) \) in the form (23.9). Multiplication gives
\[ u^*(p')u(p) = w^*[\varepsilon + m + (\varepsilon - m)(n' \cdot \sigma)(n \cdot \sigma)]w, \]
or, using (33.5),
\[ u^*(p')u(p) = w^*[w, \text{ where}^\dagger
\begin{align*}
f &= A + B \mathbf{v} \cdot \sigma, \\
A &= (\varepsilon + m) + (\varepsilon - m) \cos \theta, \\
B &= -i(\varepsilon - m) \sin \theta, \\
\mathbf{v} &= n \times n'/\sin \theta.
\end{align*} (81.12)
\]
The two-component quantity (three-dimensional spinor) \( w \) is the non-relativistic spin wave function of the electron. The change to the partially polarised states is therefore made by replacing the products \( w_\alpha w^*_\beta \) (where \( \alpha, \beta \) are spinor indices) by the non-relativistic two-rowed density matrix \( \rho_{\alpha\beta} \). Thus we must put
\[ |M_{\rho}|^2 \to e^2|A^0_\rho(q_\rho)|^2 \text{ tr } \rho(A - B\mathbf{v} \cdot \sigma)\rho'(A + B\mathbf{v} \cdot \sigma), \]
where
\[ \rho = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma \cdot \zeta), \quad \rho' = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sigma \cdot \zeta'), \]
and \( \zeta, \zeta' \) are the vectors of the initial polarisation and the final polarisation selected by the detector. The result of calculating the trace is
\[ d\sigma = d\sigma_0 \left\{ 1 + \frac{(A^2 - |B|^2 )\zeta \cdot \zeta' + 2B^2(\mathbf{v} \cdot \zeta)(\mathbf{v} \cdot \zeta') + 2A|B|\mathbf{v} \cdot \zeta \times \zeta'}{A^2 + |B|^2} \right\}, \] (81.13)
where \( d\sigma_0 \) is the scattering cross-section for unpolarised electrons.

\dagger The definition of \( f \) used here differs by a factor from that in §38.
Expressing the quantity in the braces in (81.13) in the form \(1 + \zeta^{(f)} \cdot \zeta'\), we find the polarisation \(\zeta^{(f)}\) of the final electron itself, as opposed to the detected polarisation \(\zeta'\) (see §66):†

\[
\zeta^{(f)} = \frac{(A^2 - |B|^2)\zeta + 2|B|^2(v \cdot \zeta)v + 2A |B| v \times \zeta}{A^2 + |B|^2}.
\] (81.14)

We see that the scattered electrons are polarised only if the incident electrons are polarised. This is a general property of the first Born approximation; cf. QM, §138.

In the non-relativistic case \((\varepsilon \to m)\), (81.14) gives \(\zeta^{(f)} = \zeta\), i.e. the electron retains its polarisation on scattering, a natural consequence of the neglect of spin–orbit interaction.

In the opposite (ultra-relativistic) case, we have

\[
A = \varepsilon (1 + \cos \theta), \quad B = -i \varepsilon \sin \theta,
\]
in accordance with the general formula (38.2).

If the incident electron has a definite helicity \((\zeta = 2\lambda n, \lambda = \pm \frac{1}{2})\), (81.14) gives after a simple calculation

\[
\zeta^{(f)} = 2\lambda n'.
\]

Thus the electron remains helical after scattering, with the same value \((\lambda)\) of the helicity.

This property occurs because, as already mentioned in §38, when the mass is neglected Dirac's equation in the spinor representation separates into two independent equations for the functions \(\xi\) and \(\eta\). The result has also a more general significance, since the current

\[
\mathbf{J}(\xi, \eta) = (\xi \ast \xi + \eta \ast \eta), \quad \xi \ast \sigma \xi - \eta \ast \sigma \eta,
\]

and therefore the electromagnetic perturbation operator \(V = e \mathbf{A}\), do not contain mixed terms in \(\xi\) and \(\eta\), and thus have no matrix elements for transitions between \(\xi\) states and \(\eta\) states. Hence it follows that, if an ultra-relativistic electron has a definite helicity (i.e. if either \(\eta\) or \(\xi\) is zero), this helicity is conserved in interaction processes in an approximation corresponding to completely neglecting the electron mass.

### §82. Scattering of electrons and positrons by an electron

Let us consider the scattering of an electron by an electron, in which two electrons with 4-momenta \(p_1, p_2\) collide and emerge with 4-momenta \(p'_1, p'_2\). The conservation of 4-momentum is expressed by

\[
p_1 + p_2 = p'_1 + p'_2.
\] (82.1)

We shall use the kinematic invariants of §67, defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
s &= (p_1 + p_2)^2 = 2(m^2 + p_1 p_2), \\
t &= (p_1 - p'_1)^2 = 2(m^2 - p_1 p'_1), \\
u &= (p_1 - p'_2)^2 = 2(m^2 - p_1 p'_2), \\
s + t + u &= 4m^2.
\end{align*}
\] (82.2)

† Formula (81.4) corresponds to that derived in QM, §138, Problem 1, and is obtained from it by taking \(A\) real and \(B\) imaginary.
§82 Scattering of electrons and positrons by an electron

The process in question is represented by the two Feynman diagrams (74.13), (74.14), and its amplitude is†

\[ M_{fi} = 4\pi e^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{t} (\bar{u}_2 \gamma^\mu u_2) (\bar{u}_1 \gamma_\mu u_1) - \frac{1}{u} (\bar{u}_1 \gamma^\nu u_2) (\bar{u}_2 \gamma_\nu u_1) \right\}. \tag{82.3} \]

According to the rules given in §66 for the states of initial and final particles described by polarisation density matrices \( \rho_1, \rho'_1, \ldots \), we make the change

\[ |M_{fi}|^2 \rightarrow 16\pi e^4 \left\{ \frac{1}{t^2} \text{tr} (\rho'_2 \gamma^\mu \rho_2 \gamma^\nu) \text{tr} (\rho'_1 \gamma_\mu \rho_1 \gamma_\nu) + \frac{1}{u^2} \text{tr} (\rho'_1 \gamma^\nu \rho_2 \gamma^\mu) \text{tr} (\rho'_2 \gamma_\nu \rho_1 \gamma_\mu) - \frac{1}{tu} \text{tr} (\rho'_2 \gamma^\mu \rho_2 \gamma^\nu \rho'_1 \gamma_\mu \rho_1 \gamma_\nu) - \frac{1}{tu} \text{tr} (\rho'_1 \gamma^\nu \rho_2 \gamma^\mu \rho'_2 \gamma_\nu \rho_1 \gamma_\mu) \right\}. \tag{82.4} \]

For the scattering of unpolarised electrons (without regard to their polarisation after scattering), we must put for all the density matrices \( \rho = \frac{1}{2}(\rho + m) \), and multiply the result by \( 2 \times 2 = 4 \) (averaging over the polarisations of the two initial electrons, and summation over the polarisations of the two final electrons). The scattering cross-section is given by formula (65.23), in which, by (65.15a), \( I^2 = \frac{4}{s-4m^2} \). It may be written

\[
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma}{dt} &= \frac{4\pi e^4}{s(s-4m^2)} \left\{ f(t,u) + g(t,u) + f(u,t) + g(u,t) \right\}, \\
f(t,u) &= \frac{1}{16t^2} \text{tr} \left[ (\beta_2^2 + m) \gamma^\mu (\beta_2 + m) \gamma^\nu \right] \text{tr} \left[ (\beta_1^2 + m) \gamma_\mu (\beta_1 + m) \gamma_\nu \right], \\
g(t,u) &= -\frac{1}{16tu} \text{tr} \left[ (\beta_2^2 + m) \gamma^\nu (\beta_2 + m) \gamma^\mu (\beta_1 + m) \gamma_\nu (\beta_1 + m) \gamma_\nu \right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{82.5}
\]

In \( f(t,u) \) the traces are first calculated (using (22.9), (22.10)), followed by summation over \( \mu \) and \( \nu; \dagger \) in \( g(t,u) \) the summation over \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) is taken first, using formulae (22.6). The result is

\[
\begin{aligned}
f(t,u) &= \frac{2}{t^2} \left[ (p_1 p_2)^2 + (p_1 p_2')^2 + 2m^2(m^2 - p_1 p_1') \right], \\
g(t,u) &= \frac{2}{tu} (p_1 p_2 - 2m^2)(p_1 p_2'),
\end{aligned}
\]

or, in terms of the invariants (82.2),

\[
\begin{aligned}
f(t,u) &= \frac{1}{t^2} \left[ \frac{1}{4}(s^2 + u^2) + 4m^2(t-m^2) \right], \\
g(t,u) &= g(u,t) = \frac{2}{tu} \left( \frac{1}{4}s - m^2 \right) \left( \frac{3}{4} - 3m^2 \right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{82.6}
\]

† This form of \( M_{fi} \) is in accordance with the general expression (71.5). In the first non-vanishing approximation of perturbation theory, only one of the five invariant amplitudes is non-zero: \( f_0(t,u) = 4\pi e^2/t \).

‡ The following formula is given for future reference:

\[
\frac{1}{t} \text{tr} (\beta_1 + m) \gamma^\mu (\beta_2 + m) \gamma^\nu = g^\mu \nu (m^2 - p_1 p_2) + p_1^\mu p_2^\nu + p_1^\nu p_2^\mu.
\]

R.O.T. 10
Thus the cross-section is

\[
d\sigma = r^2_e \frac{4\pi m^2}{s(s-4m^2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{t^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (s^2 + u^2) + 4m^2(t - m^2) \right] + \frac{1}{u^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (s^2 + t^2) + 4m^2(u - m^2) \right] + \frac{4}{tu} \left( \frac{1}{s} - m^2 \right) \left( \frac{1}{s} - 3m^2 \right) \right\},
\]

(82.7)

where \( r_e = e^2/m \).

In the centre-of-mass system, we have

\[
s = 4e^2, \quad t = -4p^2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \theta, \quad u = -4p^2 \cos^2 \frac{1}{2} \theta, \quad dt = -2p^2 \, d \cos \theta = (p^2/m) \, dt,
\]

(82.8)

where \(|p| \) and \( \epsilon \) are the magnitude of the momentum and energy of the electrons, which are unchanged in the scattering, and \( \theta \) is the scattering angle. In the non-relativistic case \( (\epsilon \approx m) \), we obtain for the cross-section

\[
d\sigma = r^2_e \frac{\pi m^4}{p^2} \frac{dt}{\left( \frac{1}{t^2} + \frac{1}{u^2} - \frac{1}{tu} \right)} = \left( \frac{\epsilon^2}{mv^2} \right)^2 \frac{\left( \frac{1}{\sin^4 \frac{1}{2} \theta} + \frac{1}{\cos^4 \frac{1}{2} \theta} - \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \theta \cos^2 \frac{1}{2} \theta} \right)}{\left( \frac{\epsilon^2}{mv^2} \right)^2} d\theta \text{ (non-relativistic)},
\]

(82.9)

where \( v = 2p/m \) is the relative velocity of the electrons, in accordance with the non-relativistic theory (see QM, §135). In the general case of arbitrary velocities, formula (82.7) with the substitution (82.8) can easily be brought to the form

\[
d\sigma = r^2_e \frac{m^2(\epsilon^2 + p^2)^2}{4p^4 \epsilon^2} \left[ \frac{4}{\sin^4 \theta} - \frac{3}{\sin^2 \theta} + \left( \frac{p^2}{\epsilon^2 + p^2} \right)^2 \left( 1 + \frac{4}{\sin^2 \theta} \right) \right] d\theta
\]

(82.10)

(C. Møller, 1932). In the ultra-relativistic case \( (p^2 \approx \epsilon^2) \),

\[
d\sigma = r^2_e \frac{m^2}{\epsilon^2} \frac{(3 + \cos^2 \theta)^2}{4 \sin^4 \theta} \, d\theta \text{ (ultra-relativistic)}.
\]

(82.11)

In the laboratory system, where one of the electrons (say electron 2) is at rest before the collision, the cross-section can be expressed in terms of the quantity

\[
\Delta = \frac{\epsilon_1 - \epsilon'_1}{m} = \frac{\epsilon_2 - m}{m},
\]

(82.12)

the energy (in units of \( m \)) transferred by the incident electron (electron 1) to electron 2. The invariants are

\[
s = 2m(m + \epsilon_1), \quad t = -2m^2 \Delta, \quad u = -2m(\epsilon_1 - m - m \Delta),
\]

(82.13)

Substitution of these expressions in (82.7) gives the following formula for the energy distribution of the secondary electrons (called \( \delta \) electrons) formed in the scattering of

\[\]
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fast primary electrons:

\[
d\sigma = 2\pi r^2 \frac{d\Delta}{\gamma^2 - 1} \left\{ \frac{(\gamma - 1)^2 \gamma^2}{\Delta^2 (\gamma - 1 - \Delta)^2} - \frac{2\gamma^2 + 2\gamma - 1}{\Delta (\gamma - 1 - \Delta)} + 1 \right\},
\]

(82.14)

where \(\gamma = e_i/m\). The quantities \(m\Delta\) and \(m(\gamma - 1 - \Delta)\) are the kinetic energies of the two electrons after the collision; the identity of the two particles is shown here by the symmetry of the formula with respect to these quantities. If the term "recoil electron" is arbitrarily applied to the electron with the smaller energy, \(\Delta\) takes values from 0 to \(\frac{1}{2}(\gamma - 1)\). When \(\Delta\) is small, formula (82.14) becomes

\[
d\sigma = 2\pi r^2 \frac{\gamma^2}{\gamma^2 - 1} \frac{d\Delta}{\gamma^2} = \frac{2\pi r^2}{\gamma^2} \frac{d\Delta}{\gamma^2}, \quad \Delta \ll \gamma - 1.
\]

(82.15)

This formula, if expressed in terms of the velocity of the incident electron \((v_i = |p_i|/e_i)\), retains the same form in the non-relativistic case. Its form is naturally, therefore, the same as that of the result given by the non-relativistic theory (cf. QM, (145.17)).

Let us now consider the scattering of a positron by an electron (H. J. Bhabha, 1936). This is another cross-channel of the same general reaction as the electron-electron scattering. If \(p_-, p_+\) are the initial momenta of the electron and positron, and \(p'_-, p'_+\) their final momenta, the change from one case to the other is made by the substitutions

\[p_1 \to -p'_-, \quad p_2 \to p_-, \quad p'_1 \to -p'_+, \quad p'_2 \to p'_+.
\]

The kinematic invariants (82.2) become

\[s = (p_- - p'_+)^2, \quad t = (p_+ - p'_+)^2, \quad u = (p_- + p'_+)^2;
\]

(82.16)

\(ee\) scattering is the \(s\) channel and \(\bar{e}e\) scattering the \(u\) channel of the reaction. The square of the scattering amplitude, expressed in terms of \(s, t\) and \(u\), remains as before; in the denominator of (82.5), \(s\) must be replaced by \(u\). Thus the cross-section for scattering of a positron by an electron is, instead of (82.7),

\[
d\sigma = r^2 \frac{4\pi m^2 dt}{u(u - 4m^2)} \left\{ \frac{1}{t^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(s^2 + u^2) + 4m^2(t - m^2) \right] + \right.
\]

\[
\left. + \frac{1}{u^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2}(s^2 + t^2) + 4m^2(u - m^2) \right] + \frac{4}{tu} (\frac{1}{2}s - m^2) (\frac{1}{2}s - 3m^2) \right\}.
\]

(82.17)

In the centre-of-mass system, the values of the invariants \(s, t, u\) differ from (82.8) by the interchange of \(s\) and \(u\):

\[s = -4p^2 \cos^2 \frac{1}{2}\theta, \quad t = -4p^2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\theta, \quad u = 4e^2.
\]

(82.18)

In the non-relativistic limit, formula (82.17) reduces to Rutherford's formula:

\[
d\sigma = \left( \frac{e^2}{mv^2} \right)^2 \frac{do}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2}\theta} \quad \text{(non-relativistic)},
\]

(82.19)

where \(v = 2p/m\). This comes from the first term in the braces in (82.17), which originates from the "scattering"-type diagram (see §74). The contributions from the "annihilation"
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§82

The diagram (the second term in (82.17)) and from its interference with the scattering diagram (the third term) vanish in the non-relativistic limit.

In the general case of arbitrary velocities, the contributions of all three terms in (82.17) are of the same order of magnitude; the first term predominates only at small angles, because of the factor \( t^{-2} \sim \sin^{-4} \frac{4\theta}{2} \). Combining like terms, we can write the cross-section for scattering of an electron by an electron (in the centre-of-mass system) in the form

\[
d\sigma = \frac{d\sigma_{ee}}{16 \epsilon^2} \left\{ \frac{(e^2 + p^2)^2}{p^4} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin^4 \frac{4\theta}{2}} - \frac{8e^4 - m^4}{p^2 e^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin^2 \frac{4\theta}{2}} + \frac{12e^4 + m^4}{e^4} - \frac{4p^2(e^2 + p^2)}{e^4} \sin^2 \frac{4\theta}{2} + \frac{4p^4}{e^4} \sin^4 \frac{4\theta}{2} \right\}. \tag{82.20}
\]

The symmetry with respect to \( \theta \) and \( \pi - \theta \) which is typical of scattering involving identical particles does not, of course, occur when a positron is scattered by an electron. In the ultra-relativistic limit, the expression (82.20) differs from the electron-electron cross-section only by the factor \( \cos^4 \frac{4\theta}{2} \):

\[
d\sigma_{ee} = \cos^4 \frac{4\theta}{2} d\sigma_{ee} \quad \text{(ultra-relativistic).} \tag{82.21}
\]

In the laboratory system, where one of the particles (say the electron) is at rest before the collision, we again define

\[
\Delta = \frac{e^+_e - e^+_+}{m} = \frac{e^- - m}{m}, \tag{82.22}
\]

i.e. the energy transferred by the positron to the electron. As in (82.13), we now have

\[
s = -2m(e^+ - m - m\Delta),
\]

\[
t = -2m^2\Delta, \quad u = 2m(m + e^+).
\]

Substitution of these expressions in (82.17) easily gives the following formula for the energy distribution of the secondary electrons:

\[
d\sigma = 2\pi r_e^2 \frac{d\Delta}{\gamma^2 - 1} \left\{ \frac{\gamma^2}{\Delta^2} - \frac{2\gamma^2 + 4\gamma + 1}{\gamma + 1} \frac{1}{\Delta} + \frac{3\gamma^2 + 6\gamma + 4}{(\gamma + 1)^2} \frac{1}{(\gamma + 1)^2} \Delta + \frac{1}{(\gamma + 1)^2} \Delta^2 \right\}, \tag{82.23}
\]

where \( \gamma = e^+_e/m; \Delta \) varies from 0 to \( \gamma - 1 \). When \( \Delta \ll \gamma - 1 \), (82.23) leads to the same formula (82.15) as for electron scattering.

The polarisation effects in the scattering of electrons or positrons are calculated by the general rules given in §66. In all but special cases, the resulting formulae are lengthy, and may be found in the original papers or in review articles. Here we shall give only some comments.

† In the non-relativistic limit, the amplitudes \( u \) become two-component amplitudes (see (23.12)); the electron 4-vector \( \vec{u} = (2mw^2w_-, 0) \), and likewise for the positron 4-vector. The scattering term in the amplitude (74.15) becomes

\[
-(2m)^2(w^*_+w_-)(w^*_+w_+)U_\epsilon,
\]

where

\[
U_\epsilon = \frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2} = -\frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2};
\]

for the virtual photon in the scattering diagram, \( q^0 = 0 \) in the centre-of-mass system. \( U_\epsilon \) is the Fourier component of the Coulomb interaction energy of unlike charges \( -(e^2/r) \). For the virtual photon in the annihilation diagram, \( q^0 = 2m (= 2mc) \), and this term therefore vanishes in the limit.

Scattering of electrons and positrons by an electron

In the approximation considered (the first non-vanishing approximation of perturbation theory), the cross-section contains no terms linear in the polarisation vectors of the initial or final particles. As in the non-relativistic theory (QM, §138), such terms are forbidden in consequence of the requirement for the scattering matrix to be Hermitian. The scattering cross-section is therefore unchanged if only one of the colliding particles is polarised; and unpolarised particles do not become polarised as a result of scattering.

The same conditions prohibit correlation terms in the cross-section which contain the products of the polarisations of three of the particles (initial and final) concerned in the process. The cross-section does, however, contain double and quadruple correlation terms. In the scattering of unlike particles (electron and positron, electron and muon), these terms vanish in the non-relativistic limit, since there is no spin–orbit interaction. In collisions of like particles, however, there are correlation terms even in the non-relativistic case, because of exchange effects.

PROBLEMS

PROBLEM 1. Determine the scattering cross-section for polarised electrons in the non-relativistic case.

SOLUTION. In the non-relativistic case, the bispinor amplitudes in the standard representation have two components, and the density matrices are the two-rowed matrices (29.20). In the scattering amplitude (82.3), the only non-zero terms are those with \( \mu = \nu = 0 \), which contain matrices \( \gamma^0 \) that are diagonal (in the standard representation). Instead of (82.4) we have

\[
\sum_{\text{polar.}} |M_{fi}|^2 = 16\pi^2\varepsilon^4.4m^4 \left( \frac{1}{\mu^2} + \frac{1}{\mu^2} \right) \text{tr} (1 + \sigma, \zeta_1) \text{tr} (1 + \sigma, \zeta_2) - \frac{2}{i\mu} \text{tr} (1 + \sigma, \zeta_1)(1 + \sigma, \zeta_2)
\]

\[
= 16\pi^2\varepsilon^4.4m^4 \left( \frac{1}{\mu^2} + \frac{1}{\mu^2} \right) \text{tr} (1 + \zeta_1, \zeta_2),
\]

the summation being over the polarisations of the final electrons. Hence the scattering cross-section is

\[
d\sigma = d\sigma_0 \left( 1 - \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 + 3\cos^2 \theta} \zeta_1, \zeta_2 \right),
\]

where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle in the centre-of-mass system and \( d\sigma_0 \) the scattering cross-section (82.9) for unpolarised particles.†

For the scattering of positrons by electrons, there is no dependence on the polarisation in this approximation \( (d\sigma = d\sigma_0) \); this is easily seen by noticing that, in the non-relativistic limit, different pairs of components are non-zero in the electron and positron amplitudes \( u_+ \) and \( u_- \).

PROBLEM 2. In the non-relativistic case, determine the polarisation of scattered electrons in the scattering of an unpolarised beam by a polarised target.

SOLUTION. We can calculate the scattering cross-section for given initial polarisation \( \zeta_2 \) and detected final polarisation \( \zeta'_1 \); only the polarisation of one final electron is detected. By the same method as in Problem 1, we find

\[
d\sigma = \frac{1}{2}d\sigma_0 \left[ 1 - \zeta'_1, \zeta_2 \frac{2\cos \theta(1 - \cos \theta)}{1 + 3\cos^2 \theta} \right].
\]

The polarisation vector of the scattered electron is therefore

\[
\zeta^{(r)} = -\zeta_2 \frac{2\cos \theta(1 - \cos \theta)}{1 + 3\cos^2 \theta}.
\]

PROBLEM 3. In the non-relativistic case, determine the probability of spin reversal of a completely polarised electron scattered by an unpolarised electron.

SOLUTION. We similarly find the cross-section for given polarisations \( \zeta_1 \) and \( \zeta'_2 \):

\[
d\sigma = \frac{1}{2}d\sigma_0 \left[ 1 + \zeta_1, \zeta'_2 \frac{2\cos \theta(1 + \cos \theta)}{1 + 3\cos^2 \theta} \right].
\]

† For completely polarised electrons, this formula is the same as the result in QM, §135, Problem, with \( |\zeta'_1| = |\zeta_2| = 1, \zeta'_1, \zeta_2 = \cos \alpha \), where \( \alpha \) is the angle between the directions of polarisation of the electrons.
Putting \( \zeta, \zeta' = -1 \), we then find the probability of reversal of the spin direction:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\sigma_0} = \frac{(1 - \cos \theta)^2}{2(1 + 3 \cos^2 \theta)}
\]

**PROBLEM 4.** Determine the ratio of the scattering cross-sections for helical electrons with parallel and antiparallel spins, in the ultra-relativistic case.

**SOLUTION.** In (82.4) we must put, according to (29.22),

\[
\rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} p_1 (1 - 2 \lambda_1 \gamma_1), \quad \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2} p_2 (1 - 2 \lambda_2 \gamma_2),
\]

where \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 = \pm \frac{1}{2} \). The traces are calculated by the formulae given in §22; in particular,

\[
\text{tr} (\gamma^\rho \gamma^p \gamma^q) \text{tr} (\gamma^\rho' \gamma^p' \gamma^q') = i^2 (e^{e_{12}} a_{12} b_{12}) (e_{21} e^d d')
\]

\[
= 2 (\delta_{12}^d \delta_1^e - \delta_2^d \delta_1^e) a_{12} b_{12} e^d d'
\]

\[
= 2(ac)(bd) - (ad)(be).
\]

The result is

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\sigma_0} \sim \left( \frac{s^2 + u^2}{u^2 + \frac{s^2 + t^2 + 2s^2}{tu}} + 4\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \left( \frac{s^2 - u^2}{u^2} + \frac{s^2 - t^2}{u^2} + \frac{2s^2}{tu} \right) \right).
\]

Since the momenta of the colliding electrons (in the centre-of-mass system) are opposite, antiparallel spins correspond to like helicities \( (\lambda_1 = \lambda_2) \), and parallel spins to unlike helicities \( (\lambda_1 = -\lambda_2) \). Substituting \( s, t, u \) from (82.8) (with \( p^2 \approx 0^2 \)), we find the required ratio:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\sigma_0} \sim \left( \frac{s^2 + u^2}{u^2 + \frac{s^2 + t^2 + 2s^2}{tu}} \right) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \left( \frac{s^2 - u^2}{u^2} + \frac{s^2 - t^2}{u^2} + \frac{2s^2}{tu} \right).
\]

This has its least value, \( \frac{1}{4} \), when \( \theta = \frac{1}{2} \pi \).

**PROBLEM 5.** The same as Problem 4, but for the scattering of positrons by electrons.

**SOLUTION.** In this case we have to calculate, instead of (82.4),

\[
|M_{H1}|^2 \rightarrow 16\pi^2 e^4 \left\{ \frac{1}{t^2} \text{tr} (\rho' - \rho' - \gamma^p \gamma^q) \text{tr} (\rho + \gamma_+ \rho' + \gamma_+) \right\} - \frac{1}{tu} \right. \text{tr} (\rho' \gamma^p \gamma^q - \gamma_+ \rho' + \gamma_+) + \ldots \}
\]

the remaining terms are obtained by interchanging \( \rho_+ \) and \( \rho'_- \). The density matrices are

\[
\rho_- = \frac{1}{2} \bar{p}_-(1 - 2\lambda_+ \gamma_+), \quad \rho_+ = \frac{1}{2} p_+(1 + 2\lambda_+ \gamma_+),
\]

\[
\rho'_- = \frac{1}{2} \bar{p}_-^*, \quad \rho'_+ = \frac{1}{2} \bar{p}_-^*,
\]

where \( \lambda_+, \lambda_- = \pm \frac{1}{2} \) (and for the positron, as for the electron, \( \lambda_+ = \frac{1}{2} \) denotes that the spin is parallel to the momentum). The result of the calculation is

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\sigma_0} \sim \left( \frac{s^2 + u^2}{u^2} + \frac{s^2 + t^2 + 2s^2}{tu} \right) - 4\lambda_+ \lambda_- \left( \frac{s^2 - u^2}{u^2} + \frac{s^2 - t^2}{u^2} + \frac{2s^2}{tu} \right).
\]

Hence we find for the ratio of cross-sections the same value as formula (1), Problem 4.

**PROBLEM 6.** Determine the cross-section for scattering of muons by electrons.

**SOLUTION.** The process is described by the one diagram (74.17). Instead of (82.5) we have

\[
d\sigma = \left\{ \frac{ne^4 dt}{(p_e p_s)^2 - m^2 \mu^2} f(t, u) \right\} \left\{ \frac{4ne^4 dt}{s - (m + \mu)^2} f(t, u), \right\}
\]

\[
f(t, u) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \text{tr} [(\bar{p}_e^* + m) \gamma^p (\bar{p}_e + m) \gamma^q] \text{tr} [(\bar{p}_s + m) \gamma_s (\bar{p}_s + m) \gamma_s];
\]

\( p_e, p_s \) and \( p_e', p_s' \) are the initial and final 4-momenta of the electron and the muon, and \( m, \mu \) their masses. The invariants are

\[
s = (p_e + p_s)^2 = m^2 + \mu^2 + 2p_e p_s,
\]

\[
t = (p_e - p_s)^2 = 2(m^2 - p_e p_s)
\]

\[
u = (p_e - p_s')^2 = m^2 + \mu^2 - 2p_e p_s',
\]

\[
s + t + u = 2(m^2 + \mu^2).
\]
The result of the calculation is

\[ f = \frac{2}{t^2} \left( (p_x p_y)^2 + (p_x p_z)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m^2 + \mu^2) t \right) \]

or

\[ f = \frac{1}{t^2} \left( \frac{1}{2}(s^2 + u^2) + (m^2 + \mu^2)(2t - m^2 - \mu^2) \right). \]

Formulae (1) and (2) give the solution of the problem. In the centre-of-mass system,

\[ ds = \frac{e^4 \, do}{16(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0)^2 p^4 \sin^4 \frac{1}{2} \theta} \left( (\varepsilon_0 + p^2)^2 + (\varepsilon_0 - p^2 \cos \theta)^2 - 2(m^2 + \mu^2)p^2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \theta \right), \]

where \( do = 2\pi \sin \theta \, d\theta \); \( \varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0 \) are the energies of the electron and the muon; \( p^2 = \varepsilon_0^2 - m^2 = \varepsilon_0^2 - \mu^2 \).

If \( p^2 \ll \mu^2 \), we return to formula (8.19) for scattering by a fixed centre of Coulomb force. In the ultra-relativistic case \( (p^2 \gg \mu^2) \),

\[ ds = \frac{e^4 \, 1 + \cos^4 \frac{1}{2} \theta}{8p^4 \sin^4 \frac{1}{2} \theta} \, do. \]

In the laboratory system (where the electron is at rest before the collision),

\[ ds = 2\pi \left( \frac{e^4 p^2}{m} \right) \frac{d\Delta}{d\varepsilon_p^2} \left( 1 - \varepsilon_p^2 \frac{\Delta}{\Delta_{\text{max}}} + \frac{m^2}{2\varepsilon_p^2} \Delta^2 \right), \]

where \( \varepsilon_p \) is the energy of the incident muon, and \( \varepsilon_0 = p, \varepsilon_0 \) is its velocity; \( m\Delta = \varepsilon_p - m = \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_p \) is the energy of the recoil electron; and

\[ \Delta_{\text{max}} = \frac{2p_{\varepsilon_p}^2}{m^2 + \mu^2 + 2m\varepsilon_p} \]

is the maximum value of \( \Delta \).

**Problem 7.** Determine the ratio of cross-sections for the mutual scattering of helical electrons and muons with parallel and with antiparallel spins, in the ultra-relativistic case \( (\varepsilon_0 \gg \mu, \varepsilon_0 \gg m) \).

**Solution.** As in Problem 4, we find

\[ ds_{\uparrow} / ds_{\downarrow} = \cos^4 \frac{1}{2} \theta, \]

where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle in the centre-of-mass system.

**Problem 8.** Determine the cross-section of the conversion of an electron pair into a muon pair (V. B. Berestetskii and I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, 1955).

**Solution.** This is another cross-channel of the reaction to which \( \mu e \) scattering belongs. In this channel,

\[ s = (p_e - p_m)^2 \]

\[ t = (p_e + p_m)^2 \]

\[ u = (p_e - p_m)^2 \]

where \( p_e, p_m \) are the 4-momenta of the electron and the positron, and \( p_e, p_m \) those of the muon and the antimuon. The reaction threshold corresponds to an energy \( 2\mu \) of the electron pair (in the centre-of-mass system), so that we must have \( t > 4\mu^2 \). In the laboratory system, where the electron is at rest before the collision and the positron has energy \( \varepsilon_+ \),

\[ t = 2m(\varepsilon_+ + m) \approx 2m\varepsilon_+ \]

so that we must have \( \varepsilon_+ > \varepsilon_0 \), where the threshold energy \( \varepsilon_0 = 2\mu^2/m \); here and below, all approximations allowed by the inequality \( \mu \gg m \) are made.

The differential cross-section is (instead of formulae (1) and (2), Problem 6)

\[ ds = \frac{4\pi e^4 \, ds}{(t - 4\mu^2)^2 \, f(t, u)} \approx 4\pi e^4 \, ds / t^4 \left( 1 + \frac{2\mu^2}{t} \right). \]

For given \( t \), the quantity \( s \) takes values between the limits determined by the equations \( su \approx \mu^4 \), \( s + t + u \approx 2\mu^2 \), i.e.

\[ \mu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{[t(t - 4\mu^2)] } \leq s \leq \mu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{[t(t - 4\mu^2)]}. \]

An elementary integration gives

\[ \sigma = \frac{4\pi}{3} \, \mu^2 \frac{m^2}{t} \left( 1 - \frac{4\mu^2}{t} \right)^\frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \frac{2\mu^2}{t} \right), \]

where \( s = e^2 / m \);

in the laboratory system, \( t = 2m\varepsilon_+ \). This formula is not valid in the immediate neighbourhood of the threshold: when \( \varepsilon_+ = \varepsilon_0 \approx \mu e \), the muons formed cannot be regarded as free particles; when the Coulomb interaction between them is taken into account, the cross-section tends not to zero but to a constant value as \( \varepsilon_+ \rightarrow \varepsilon_0 \) (see QM, §144).

† This cross-section has its maximum value when \( \varepsilon_+ = 1.7\varepsilon_0 \). Its maximum value is about 20 times less than the cross-section for two-photon annihilation at the same energy.
\[ M_{fi} = e^2 (\tilde{u}_1 \gamma^\mu u_1) D_{\mu\nu}(q) (\tilde{u}_2 \gamma^\nu u_2), \quad q = p_1 - p_\perp = p_2 - p'_2; \]

(83.1)

It is assumed that the charges have the same sign. If the signs are different, \( e^2 \) becomes \(-e^2\).

The subsequent calculations are considerably simplified if the photon propagator \( D_{\mu\nu} \) is chosen not in the ordinary gauge but in the Coulomb gauge (77.12), (77.13):\(^\dagger\)

\[ D_{00} = -\frac{4\pi}{q^2}, \quad D_{0i} = 0, \quad D_{ik} = \frac{4\pi}{q^2 - \omega^2/c^2} \left( \delta_{ik} - \frac{q_i q_k}{q^2} \right). \]

(83.2)

Then the scattering amplitude is

\[ M_{fi} = e^2 ((\tilde{u}_1 \gamma^0 u_1) (\tilde{u}_2 \gamma^0 u_2) D_{00} + (\tilde{u}_1 \gamma^i u_1) (\tilde{u}_2 \gamma^i u_2) D_{ik}). \]

(83.3)

If all terms in \( 1/c \) are neglected, the second term in the braces vanishes, and the first term gives

\[ M_{fi} = -2m_1 m_2 (w_1^{(0)\ast} w_2^{(0)})(w_2^{(0)\ast} w_2^{(0)}) U(q), \]

(83.4)

where

\[ U(q) = 4\pi e^2/q^2, \]

(83.5)

and \( w_1^{(0)}, w_2^{(0)}, \ldots \) denote the spinor (two-component) amplitudes of the non-relativistic plane waves, as defined in §23. The function \( U(q) \) is the Fourier component of the Coulomb interaction potential energy, \( U(r) = e^2/r \).

\(^\dagger\) In this section, factors of \( c \) will be written in all formulae, and factors of \( \hbar \) in the final formulae.
In the next approximation (with respect to $1/c$), the "Schrödinger" wave function of the free particle $\phi_{\text{sch}}$ (normalized by the integral $\int |\phi_{\text{sch}}|^2 \, d^3x$) satisfies the equation

$$H^{(0)}\phi_{\text{sch}} = (e - mc^2)\phi_{\text{sch}},$$

(83.6)

$$H^{(0)} = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{p^2}{8m^2c^2}, \quad p = -i\nabla,$$

which includes the next term in the expansion of the relativistic expression for the kinetic energy. The (spinor) amplitude of this plane wave will be denoted by $w$, which tends to $w^{(0)}$ as $1/c \to 0$. The required scattering amplitude must be expressed in terms of these amplitudes, in order to determine from its form the "Schrödinger" interaction potential of the particles in the approximation considered.

In accordance with formula (33.11), the bispinor amplitude $u$ of the free particle can be expressed in terms of the "Schrödinger" amplitude $w$, with sufficient accuracy, by

$$u = \sqrt{(2m)} \left( \frac{(1 - p^2/8m^2c^2)w}{(\sigma \cdot p/2mc)w} \right).$$

(83.7)

This formula gives

$$\bar{u}_1' \gamma^0 u_1 = u_1^* u_1$$

$$= 2m \left( 1 - \frac{p_1^2 + p_1^2}{8m_1^2c^2} \right) w_1^* w_1 + \frac{1}{2m_1 c^2} w_1^*(\sigma \cdot p_1)(\sigma \cdot p_1)w_1$$

$$= 2m_1 w_1^* \left( 1 - \frac{q_1^2}{8m_1^2c^2} + \frac{i\sigma \cdot q \times p_1}{4m_1^2c^2} \right) w_1,$$

$$\bar{u}_1' \gamma u_1 = u_1^* \sigma u_1$$

$$= (1/c)w_1^* \{(\sigma \cdot p_1) + (\sigma \cdot p_1')\delta_1 \} w_1$$

$$= (1/c)w_1^* \{i\sigma \cdot q + 2p_1 + q\} w_1,$$

where $q = p_1 - p_1 = p_2 - p_2'$. The corresponding expressions for $(\bar{u}_2' \gamma^0 u_2)$ and $(\bar{u}_2' \gamma u_2)$ differ in that the suffix 1 is replaced by 2 and $q$ by $-q$.

We now substitute these expressions in (83.3). Since the product $(\bar{u}_1' \gamma u_1)(\bar{u}_2' \gamma u_2)$ already contains the factor $1/c^2$, the term $\omega^2/c^2$ in the denominator of $D_{ik}$ may be neglected. The scattering amplitude is then

$$M_{fi} = -2m_1 \cdot 2m_2 (w_1^* w_2^* U(p_1, p_2, q)w_1 w_2),$$

(83.8)

where

$$U(p_1, p_2, q) = 4\pi e^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{q^2} - \frac{1}{8m_1^2 c^2} - \frac{1}{8m_2^2 c^2} + \frac{(q \cdot p_1)(q \cdot p_2)}{m_1 m_2 q^4} - \frac{p_1 \cdot p_2}{m_1 m_2 q^2} + \frac{i\sigma_1 \cdot q \times p_1}{4m_1^2 c^2 q^2} + \frac{i\sigma_1 \cdot q \times p_2}{2m_1 m_2 c^2 q^2} - \frac{i\sigma_2 \cdot q \times p_2}{4m_2^2 c^2 q^2} + \frac{i\sigma_2 \cdot q \times p_1}{2m_1 m_2 c^2 q^2} + \frac{(\sigma_1 \cdot q)(\sigma_2 \cdot q)}{4m_1 m_2 c^2 q^2} - \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2}{4m_2 m_2 c^2 q^2} \right\};$$

(83.9)

the suffixes 1 and 2 to the Pauli matrices indicate the spinor indices on which they act, $\sigma_1$ acting on $w_1$ and $\sigma_2$ on $w_2$. 
The function $U(p_1, p_2, q)$ is the particle interaction operator in the momentum representation. It is then related to the operator $U(p_1, p_2, r)$ in the coordinate representation by

$$
\int e^{-i(p't_1 + r_1 + p'2t_2 + r_2)} U(p_1, p_2, r) e^{i(p't_1 + r_1 + p'2t_2 + r_2)} d^3x_1 d^3x_2 = (2\pi)^3 \delta(p_1 + p_2 - p'_1 - p'_2) U(p_1, p_2, q). \quad (83.10)
$$

If the operator $U$ is simply a function $U(r) (r = r_1 - r_2)$, then $U(p_1, p_2, q)$ is independent of $p_1$ and $p_2$, and formula (83.10) reduces to the usual definition of the Fourier component:

$$
\int e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} U(r) d^3x = U(q).
$$

Hence it is clear that, to find $U(p_1, p_2, r)$, we must calculate the integral

$$
\int e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} U(p_1, p_2, q) d^3q/(2\pi)^3,
$$

and then replace $p_1$ and $p_2$ by the operators $p_1 = -i\nabla_1, p_2 = -i\nabla_2$, writing these to the right of all the other factors.

The required integrals are found by differentiation of the formula

$$
\int e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{4\pi}{q^2} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{1}{r}. \quad (83.11)
$$

For example, taking the gradient gives

$$
\int e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{4\pi q}{q^2} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} = -i\nabla \frac{1}{r} = \frac{ir}{r^3}. \quad (83.12)
$$

Next, with $a$ and $b$ constant vectors, we have

$$
\int \frac{4\pi(a \cdot q)(b \cdot q)}{q^4} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{1}{2i} \left( a \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) \int e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{1}{q^2} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3};
$$

the resulting integral, after integration by parts, reduces to (83.12), so that

$$
\int \frac{4\pi(a \cdot q)(b \cdot q)}{q^4} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{1}{2} (a \cdot \nabla) \frac{b \cdot r}{r} = \frac{1}{2r} \left[ a \cdot b - \frac{(a \cdot r)(b \cdot r)}{r^2} \right]. \quad (83.13)
$$

Finally,

$$
\int \frac{4\pi(a \cdot q)(b \cdot q)}{q^2} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} = -(a \cdot \nabla) (b \cdot \nabla) \frac{1}{r}.
$$

In expanding the derivatives, it must be remembered that these expressions include the delta function $\delta(r)$. To separate this, we note that, after averaging over the directions of $r$,

$$
-(a \cdot \nabla) (b \cdot \nabla) \frac{1}{r} = -\frac{1}{2} (a \cdot b) \Delta \frac{1}{r} = \frac{4\pi}{3} (a \cdot b) \delta(r).
$$

Now expanding the derivatives in the usual manner, we find

$$
\int \frac{4\pi(a \cdot q)(b \cdot q)}{q^2} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} = \frac{1}{r^3} \left[ a \cdot b - 3 \frac{(a \cdot r)(b \cdot r)}{r^2} \right] + \frac{4\pi}{3} a \cdot b \delta(r); \quad (83.14)
$$

on averaging over the directions of $r$, the first term vanishes and, as we should expect, only the delta-function term remains.
§83

Using these formulae, we obtain the following final expression for the particle interaction operator:

\[
U(p_1, p_2, r) = \frac{e^2}{r} - \frac{\pi e^2 h^2}{2 c^2} \left( \frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{1}{m_2^2} \right) \delta(r) - \\
- \frac{e^2}{2m_1 m_2 c^2 r} \left[ p_1 \cdot p_2 + r \cdot \frac{(r \cdot p_1) p_2}{r^2} \right] - \frac{e^2 h}{4m_1^2 c^2 r^3} \left( r \times p_1 \cdot \sigma_1 + \\
+ \frac{e^2 h}{4m_2^2 c^2 r^3} \left( r \times p_2 \cdot \sigma_2 - \frac{e^2 h}{2m_1 m_2 c^2 r^3} \left( r \times p_1 \cdot \sigma_2 - r \times p_2 \cdot \sigma_1 \right) + \\
+ \frac{e^2 h^2}{4m_1 m_2 c^2} \left( \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2}{r^3} - \frac{3 (\sigma_1 \cdot r)(\sigma_2 \cdot r)}{r^5} - \frac{8\pi}{3} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \delta(r) \right) \right) \right]. 
\]

(83.15)

The total Hamiltonian of the two-particle system in this approximation is

\[
H = H^{(0)}_1 + H^{(0)}_2 + U, 
\]

(83.16)

where \(H^{(0)}\) is the free-particle Hamiltonian (83.6).

The different groups of terms in the interaction operator (83.15) are of different types. The first three terms have a purely orbital origin. The next three terms are linear in the spin operators of the particles, and correspond to the spin–orbit interaction. The last term, which is quadratic in the spin operators, describes the spin–spin interaction.†

**TWO ELECTRONS**

If the two particles are identical (two electrons), then the scattering amplitude includes a second term which is represented by the “exchange” diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
p_2' \\
\downarrow \\
p_1 \\
\uparrow \\
p_2 \\
\end{array}
\]

There is, however, no need to calculate the contribution of this term to the interaction operator. The reason is that the description of a system of identical particles by means of Schrödinger’s equation can be achieved with an interaction operator similar to that for non-identical particles, if the solutions of the equation are appropriately symmetrised. In particular, for particle scattering this symmetrisation will automatically take account of the contributions to the amplitude which correspond to the two Feynman diagrams.

Thus the Hamiltonian of the two-electron system is obtained from formulae (83.15), (83.16) by simply putting \(m_1 = m_2\):‡

\[
H = \frac{1}{2m} (p_1^2 + p_2^2) - \frac{1}{8m^3 c^2} (p_1^4 + p_2^4) + U(p_1, p_2, r),
\]

† This interaction has been mentioned in QM, §72, in connection with the fine structure of the atomic levels, and the spin–spin interaction between the electrons and the nucleus is considered in QM, §120, in connection with the hyperfine structure of levels. In particular, the formula QM (120.9) corresponds to the delta-function term in the spin–spin interaction operator.

‡ The wave equation with the Hamiltonian (83.17) was first derived by G. Breit (1929); a consistent quantum-mechanical derivation was given by L. D. Landau (1932).
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\[ U(p_1, p_2, r) = \frac{e^2}{r} - \pi \left( \frac{e \hbar}{mc} \right)^2 \delta(r) - \frac{e^2}{2m^2c^2r} \left( \frac{r \cdot (r \cdot p_1)p_2}{r^2} \right) + \]

\[ + \frac{e^2 \hbar}{4m^2c^2r^3} \left\{ (\sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2) \cdot r \times p_1 + (\sigma_2 + 2\sigma_1) \cdot r \times p_2 \right\} + \]

\[ + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{e \hbar}{mc} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2}{r^3} - \frac{3(\sigma_1 \cdot r)(\sigma_2 \cdot r)}{r^5} - \frac{8\pi}{3} \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 \delta(r) \right\}. \quad (83.17) \]

The presence of terms in \( \delta(r) \) does not, of course, imply that there is a particularly strong interaction. The value of all the correction terms after integration is of the same order, and according to the sense of the expansion used they are all to be regarded as small compared with the first term (the Coulomb interaction).

**Electron and Positron**

The electron-positron system needs special consideration. The scattering amplitude in this case consists of two terms:

\[ M_{fi} = -e^2 \left[ \bar{u}(p') \gamma^\mu u(p_-) \right] D_{\mu\nu}(p_- - p') \left[ \bar{u}(-p_+) \gamma^\nu u(-p_+) \right] + \]

\[ + e^2 \left[ \bar{u}(-p_+) \gamma^\mu u(p_-) \right] D_{\mu\nu}(p_- + p_+) \left[ \bar{u}(p'_+) \gamma^\nu u(-p'_+) \right]; \quad (83.18) \]

the first term corresponds to the scattering diagram and the second to the annihilation diagram. Since the wave function of the "electron + positron" system need not be antisymmetric, the two terms make independent contributions to the interaction operator.

The first term (which has the same structure as the amplitude (83.1)) leads, of course, to an operator differing only in sign from (83.17). Let us now consider the transformation of the second term.

Here we use the photon propagator in the ordinary gauge:

\[ D_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4\pi}{k^2} g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{4\pi}{\omega^2/c^2 - k^2} g_{\mu\nu}. \]

In the present case \( k = p_+ + p_- \), and since the particles are "almost non-relativistic", we have

\[ \omega^2/c^2 \equiv (\epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-)/c^2 \approx 4m^2c^2 \gg (p_+ + p_-)^2 \equiv k^2. \quad (83.19) \]

For the photon propagator it is therefore sufficient to write

\[ D_{\mu\nu} \approx (\pi/m^2c^2) g_{\mu\nu}. \]

This already contains a factor \( 1/c^2 \). It is therefore sufficient to take the amplitudes \( u(p) \) in the zero-order approximation:

\[ u(p_-) = \sqrt{(2m)} \left( \begin{array}{c} w_-^{(0)} \\ \chi_-^{(0)} \end{array} \right), \quad u(-p_+) = \sqrt{(2m)} \left( \begin{array}{c} w_+^{(0)} \\ \chi_+^{(0)} \end{array} \right). \]

where \( w_-^{(0)}, w_+^{(0)} \) are the three-dimensional spinors which appear in (23.12); the index \((0)\) will henceforward be omitted. With these amplitudes we have

\[ \bar{u}(-p_+) \gamma^\mu u(p_-) = u^*(-p_+)u(p_-) = 0, \]

\[ \bar{u}(-p_+) \gamma^\mu u(p_-) = u^*(-p_+)\chi u(p_-) = 2m (w^* \sigma w_-). \]
On substitution of these expressions, the "annihilation" term in the scattering amplitude becomes

$$M_{fi}^{(\text{ann})} = -e^2 \frac{\pi}{m^2 c^2} (2m)^2 (w^* \sigma w_-)(w^* \sigma w').$$  \hspace{1cm} (83.20)

It is not yet possible, however, to draw from this any immediate conclusions as to the form of the interaction operator. Firstly, the spinors \( w \) in terms of which the amplitudes \( u(-p_+) \) are expressed are not yet literally positron spinors. The positron amplitudes are got from \( u(-p_+) \) by charge conjugation, and according to (26.6) the corresponding spinors (which we denote by \( w_+ \)) are related to \( w \) by \( w_+ = \sigma_y w^* \), whence

$$w^* = \sigma_y w_+ = -w_+ \sigma_y, \quad w = -\sigma_y w_+^*.$$  \hspace{1cm} (83.21)

Secondly, the scattering amplitude must be brought to a form in which the electron spinors (\( w_- \) and \( w'_- \)) are contracted, and likewise the positron spinors (\( w_+ \) and \( w'_+ \)). This is achieved by means of the formula

$$(w^* \sigma w_-)(w'_- \sigma w') = \frac{1}{2}(w^*_- w_-)(w'_- w') - \frac{1}{2}(w^*_- \sigma w_-)(w^*_- \sigma w'),$$  \hspace{1cm} (83.22)

which follows from (28.17).

Finally, expressing \( w \) and \( w' \) in terms of \( w_+ \) and \( w'_+ \) by (83.21), we easily find

$$(w^* w') = (w'_+ w_+),$$

$$w^* w' = -(w'_+ \sigma w_+).$$  \hspace{1cm} (83.23)

Substituting (83.23) in (83.22) and then in (83.20), we obtain the final expression for the annihilation part of the scattering amplitude:

$$M_{fi}^{(\text{ann})} = -4m^2 \left( w^*_- w'_+ \left[ \frac{\pi e^2}{2m^2 c^2} (3 + \sigma_+ \cdot \sigma_-) \right] w_- w_+ \right),$$

the matrices \( \sigma_- \) and \( \sigma_+ \) acting on \( w_- \) and \( w_+ \) respectively. The expression in the square brackets is the interaction operator in the momentum representation. The corresponding coordinate operator is

$$U^{(\text{ann})}(r) = \frac{\pi \hbar^2 e^2}{2m^2 c^2} (3 + \sigma_+ \cdot \sigma_-) \delta(r), \quad r = r_- - r_+$$  \hspace{1cm} (83.24)

(J. Pirenne, 1947; V. B. Berestetskii and L. D. Landau, 1949). The total electron–positron interaction operator is \( -U + U^{(\text{ann})} \), with \( U \) given by (83.17).

§84. Positronium

The results obtained in §83 can be applied to positronium, a hydrogen-like system consisting of an electron and a positron.

In the centre-of-mass system, the electron and positron momentum operators in positronium are \( p_- = -p_+ = p \), where \( p = -i\hbar \nabla \) is the operator of the momentum of relative motion corresponding to the relative position vector \( r = r_- - r_+ \). The total Hamiltonian
for positronium is†

\[ H = \frac{p^2}{m} - \frac{e^2}{r} + V_1 + V_2 + V_3, \]

\[ V_1 = -\frac{p^4}{4m^3c^2} + 4\pi\mu_0^2 \delta(r) - \frac{e^2}{2m^2c^2r} \left\{ p^2 + \frac{r \cdot (r \cdot p) p}{r^2} \right\} \]

\[ V_2 = 6\mu_0^2 \frac{1}{r^3} I \cdot S, \]

\[ V_3 = 6\mu_0^2 \frac{1}{r^3} \left\{ \frac{(S \cdot r)(S \cdot r)}{r^2} - \frac{3}{2} S^2 \right\} + 4\pi\mu_0^2 (\frac{3}{2} S^2 - 2) \delta(r). \]

(84.1)

Here \( \mu_0 = \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \) is the Bohr magneton, \( \hbar = r \times p \) is the orbital angular momentum operator, \( S = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-) \) the total spin operator of the system, whose square \( S^2 = \frac{1}{2}(3 + \sigma_+ \cdot \sigma_-). \) \( V_1 \) includes all the purely orbital correction terms, \( V_2 \) the spin–orbit interaction, and \( V_3 \) the spin–spin and “annihilation” interactions.

The “unperturbed” Hamiltonian

\[ H = \frac{p^2}{m} - \frac{e^2}{r} \]

naturally differs from the Hamiltonian of the hydrogen atom only in that the electron mass is replaced by the reduced mass \( \frac{1}{2}m. \) The energy levels of positronium therefore have absolute values which are half those of hydrogen:

\[ E = -\frac{m e^4}{4\hbar^2 n^2}, \]

(84.2)

where \( n \) is the principal quantum number.

The remaining terms in (84.1) cause a splitting of the levels (84.2), i.e. the appearance of a fine structure. The resulting levels are classified primarily by the values of the total angular momentum \( j. \) We also see that the particle spin operators appear in the Hamiltonian (84.1) only through the sum \( S. \) This means that the Hamiltonian commutes with the squared total spin operator \( S^2 \), i.e. the value of the total spin continues to be conserved in the approximation considered (the second approximation with respect to \( 1/c \)). The energy levels of positronium can therefore be classified by the total spin, which takes values \( S = 0 \) and \( S = 1 \). The levels with spin 0 are called \textit{parapositronium} levels, and those with spin 1 \textit{orthopositronium} levels.

It must be emphasised that the conservation of the total spin in positronium is actually exact, and does not depend on any particular approximation with respect to \( 1/c; \) it follows from the \textit{CP} invariance of electromagnetic interactions. Positronium is a strictly neutral system, and its states therefore have definite charge parity and combined parity. The latter is equal to \((-1)^{S+1}\) (see §27, Problem); since \( S \) can take only two values, 0 and 1, the conservation of combined parity is equivalent to that of total spin.

When \( S = 0 \) the total angular momentum \( j \) is equal to the orbital angular momentum, but when \( S = 1 \) and \( j \) is given, the number \( l \) can take the values \( j, j \pm 1 \), so that in general each level \((n, j)\) of orthopositronium is split into three. Since the values \( l = j \) and \( l = j \pm 1 \) correspond to opposite parities, the Hamiltonian has no matrix elements between these states. But the perturbation operator (the first term in \( V_3 \)) in general has non-diagonal elements between states with \( l = j+1 \) and \( l = j-1 \); the number \( l \) then, of course, no longer has the strict significance of an orbital angular momentum.

† In ordinary units.
The Zeeman effect in positronium has some unusual features (V. B. Berestetskii and I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, 1949).

The orbital magnetic moment of positronium is always zero: since in positronium $r_+ \times p_+ = r_- \times p_-$, we have the operator

$$\mathbf{\mu}_t = \mu_0 (r_+ \times p_+ - r_- \times p_-) = 0.$$  

The spin magnetic moment operator is

$$\mathbf{\mu}_s = \mu_0 (\sigma_+ - \sigma_-);$$  

(84.3)

it is not proportional to the total spin operator $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_+ + \sigma_-)$, and the operators $\mathbf{S}^2$ and $\mathbf{\mu}_s^2$ do not commute. The states with definite values of the total spin $\mathbf{S}$ and its component $S_z$ are therefore not, in general, eigenstates for the magnetic moment.

States with given $S$ and $S_z$ are described by spin functions $\chi_{SSz}$ having the form

$$\chi_{11} = \alpha_+ \alpha_-, \quad \chi_{1,-1} = \beta_+ \beta_-,$$

$$\chi_{10} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha_+ \beta_- + \alpha_- \beta_+),$$

$$\chi_{00} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha_+ \beta_- - \alpha_- \beta_+),$$

(84.4)

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the spin functions of one particle corresponding to spin projections $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$; the suffixes $+$ and $-$ indicate that the function belongs to the positron and the electron respectively. The first two spin functions, $\chi_{11}$ and $\chi_{1,-1}$, are also eigenfunctions of the operator $\mathbf{\mu}_s$, corresponding to the eigenvalue zero. The functions $\chi_{10}$ and $\chi_{00}$ are not eigenfunctions of $\mathbf{\mu}_s$, but the following combinations are eigenfunctions:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\chi_{10} + \chi_{00}) = \alpha_+ \beta_-, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\chi_{10} - \chi_{00}) = \alpha_- \beta_+.$$  

(84.5)

It is easy to see that the only non-zero matrix elements $\langle S'S_z' | \mu_s | SS_z \rangle$ calculated from the functions (84.4) are

$$\langle 00 | \mu_s | 10 \rangle = \langle 10 | \mu_s | 00 \rangle = 2\mu_0.$$  

(84.6)

In weak magnetic fields (when $\mu_0 H \ll \Delta$, where $\Delta$ is the difference between the level energies with $S = 0$ and $S = 1$) the initial approximation for the calculation of the Zeeman splitting is formed by states with definite values of the total spin. In the first approximation, this splitting is given by the mean value of the perturbation energy operator

$$V_H = -\mu_s H.$$  

But all the diagonal matrix elements of the operator $\mu_s$, and therefore of $V_H$, as calculated from the functions (84.4), are zero. Thus, in weak fields, there is no linear Zeeman effect in positronium.

In the opposite limiting case of strong fields ($\mu_0 H \gg \Delta$), we can neglect the spin interaction which brings about definite values of $S$. The components of the split level will then correspond to states with definite values of $\mu_s = \pm 2\mu_0$ (described by the functions (84.5)), and the displacement of these components will be $\pm 2\mu_0 H$. 

---

**Note:** The text contains mathematical expressions and notation typical of quantum mechanics and atomic physics. It discusses the Zeeman effect in positronium, focusing on the magnetic properties and the interaction of the positron and electron spins within the system. The text also introduces the notation for spin functions and the calculation of matrix elements under weak and strong magnetic field approximations.
PROBLEMS

Problem 1. Determine the fine structure of the levels of parapositronium (V. B. Berestetskii, 1949).†

Solution. The required level splitting energy is given by the mean values of the correction terms in the Hamiltonian (84.1), calculated by means of the wave functions of the unperturbed states with different values of $J = l (= 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1)$. When $S = 0$, the only non-zero contributions come from $V_1$ and the second term in $V_s$.

The unperturbed wave functions, which we denote by $\psi$, satisfy Schrödinger's equation‡

$$p^2 \psi = -\Delta \psi = \left( E + \frac{1}{r} \right) \psi, \quad E = -1/4\pi^2.$$

Hence

$$p^4 \psi = p^2 \left( E + \frac{1}{r} \right) \psi = \left( E + \frac{1}{r} \right)^2 \psi - \psi \Delta \frac{1}{r} + 2 \left( \nabla \frac{1}{r} \right) (\nabla \psi) = \left( E + \frac{1}{r} \right)^2 \psi + 4\pi \delta(r) \psi + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}.$$

The mean value is

$$\bar{p}^4 = \left( E + \frac{1}{r} \right)^2 + 4\pi |\psi(0)|^2 + \int_0^\infty \frac{\partial |\psi|^2}{\partial r} \, dr \, do.$$

The integral is equal to $-\int |\psi(0)|^2 \, do$; since $\psi(0) = 0$ except when $l = 0$, and the wave functions of $S$ states are spherically symmetric, the integral is $-4\pi |\psi(0)|^2$ and cancels with the second term.

Using the orbital angular momentum operator $l = r \times p$, we can write

$$-p^2 \psi = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} - \frac{\rho \psi}{r^2} = - \left( E + \frac{1}{r} \right) \psi.$$

The other required mean value is therefore

$$\int \psi^* \frac{r}{r^3} (r, p) \psi \, d^3x = \int \psi^* \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} \, d^3x = \frac{1}{r} \left( E + \frac{1}{r} \right) - 4\pi |\psi(0)|^2 - l(l + 1)r^{-3};$$

if $l = 0$, the last term does not appear.

According to the familiar formulae in the theory of the hydrogen atom ($QM$ (36.14), (36.16)), with the electron mass $m$ replaced by $1/m$, we have

$|\psi(0)|^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \delta_{10}, \quad \frac{r^{-1}}{2n^2} = \frac{r^{-2}}{n^2(2l + 1)}', \quad \frac{r^{-3}}{n^2(l + 1)(2l + 1)} (l \neq 0).$

From these formulae, we find the required energy levels of parapositronium:

$$E_{nl} = -\frac{1}{4n^2} - a^2 m_e^4 \frac{1}{2n^2} \left( \frac{1}{2l + 1} - \frac{11}{32n} \right).$$

Problem 2. Determine the difference between the energies of the ground states ($n = 1, l = 0$) of orthopositronium and parapositronium.

Solution. The dependence of the energy on the total spin $S$ when $l = 0$ arises only from the mean value of the second term in $V_3$; the first term gives zero on averaging over angles in the spherically symmetric $S$ state.§ The ground level of orthopositronium ($S_0$) lies above that of parapositronium ($S_0$) by an amount

$$E(S_1) - E(S_0) = \frac{7}{12} a^2 m_e^4 \frac{1}{\hbar^2} = 8.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ eV}.$$

† The fine structure of orthopositronium has been calculated by V. B. Berestetskii, Zhurnal eksperimental'noi i teoreticheskoi fiziki 19, 1130, 1949; R. A. Ferrell, Physical Review 84, 858, 1951; A. A. Sokolov and V. N. Tsytovich, Zhurnal eksperimental'noi i teoreticheskoi fiziki 24, 253, 1953.

‡ In the calculation it is convenient to use atomic units.

§ The averaging over angles must precede the integration over $r$, as is evident from the manner of calculation of the integral (83.14) which leads to the first term in $V_3$. 
§85. The interaction of atoms at large distances

Attractive forces act between two neutral atoms at a distance \( r \) apart which is large compared with the dimensions of the atoms themselves. The usual quantum-mechanical calculation of these forces (see QM, §89) is, however, inapplicable at very large distances, because this calculation considers only the electrostatic interaction, i.e. retardation effects are ignored. Such a treatment is valid only if the distance \( r \) is small in comparison with the characteristic wavelengths \( \lambda_0 \) of the interacting atoms. In this section we shall give a calculation not subject to that limitation.

The procedure is much the same as in §83: the amplitude of elastic scattering (i.e. scattering without change of internal state) for two different atoms is calculated in the first non-vanishing approximation. The resulting expression is compared with the amplitude which would result if the interaction between the atoms were described by the potential energy \( U(r) \).

In the latter case, the first non-vanishing \( S \)-matrix element describing the process in question would be the first-approximation element

\[
S_{fi} = -i \int \psi_1^* (r_1) \psi_2^* (r_2) U(r) \psi_1 (r_1) \psi_2 (r_2) \, d^3x_1 \, d^3x_2 \times \\
\times \int \exp \left\{ -i (\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1' - \varepsilon_2') t \right\} \, dt. \quad (85.1)
\]

Here \( \psi_1, \psi_2 \) and \( \psi_1', \psi_2' \) are the time-independent parts of the wave functions (plane waves), describing the translational motion of the two atoms with initial and final momenta; \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \) and \( \varepsilon_1', \varepsilon_2' \) are the kinetic energies of this motion; the coordinates \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) of the atoms as a whole can be regarded as the coordinates of their nuclei, and the distance \( r = |r_1 - r_2| \).

The time integral in (85.1) gives, as usual, the delta function which expresses the law of conservation of energy. For convenience in the subsequent comparison, however, it is better to consider formally the limiting case of atoms of infinite mass; for given momenta, this limit corresponds to zero energies \( \varepsilon \). Or we can say that the times considered are small in comparison with the periods \( 1/\epsilon \). Then (85.1) becomes

\[
S_{fi} = -i t \int \psi_1^* \psi_2^* U(r) \psi_1 \psi_2 \, d^3x_1 \, d^3x_2, \quad (85.2)
\]

where \( t \) is the time integration range.

The actual calculation of the elastic-scattering amplitude, under these assumptions, can be divided into two stages. We first average the \( S \)-operator over the wave functions of the unchanged (ground) states of the two atoms (for given coordinates \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) of their nuclei) and over the photon vacuum: no photons are present at the beginning and end of the process. We then obtain a quantity which is a function of the distance between the nuclei, and which we denote by \( \langle S(r) \rangle \).† In order to find the required transition matrix element, we have then to calculate the integral

\[
S_{fi} = \langle S(r) \rangle \int \psi_1^* \psi_2^* \psi_1 \psi_2 \, d^3x_1 \, d^3x_2. \quad (85.3)
\]

Comparison with (85.2) shows that, if \( \langle S(r) \rangle \) is obtained in the form \( \langle S(r) \rangle = -i t U(r) \), then the function \( U(r) \) is the required energy of interaction of the atoms.

Since we are here concerned with a collision not of elementary particles but of more complicated systems, namely atoms, which may be excited in the intermediate states, the

† In place of the more lengthy notation for a diagonal matrix element, indicating the states of the atom and of the photon field.
usual formal rules of the diagram technique are not directly applicable, and we shall begin
with the expression of the $S$-operator as the expansion (73.10).

In the interaction of atoms, the important field components are those whose frequencies
are of the order of atomic frequencies or less. The corresponding wavelengths are large
compared with atomic dimensions. The electromagnetic interaction operator can therefore
be taken in the form

$$V = -E(r_1) \cdot d_1 - E(r_2) \cdot d_2,$$

where $d_1, d_2$ are the dipole moment operators of the atoms (i.e. the time-dependent or
Heisenberg operators) and $E(r)$ is the electric field operator at the positions of the corre-
sponding atoms.

The mean values of the dipole moment of the atom in its stationary states are zero
($QM$, §75). Hence it follows that a non-zero amplitude occurs only in the fourth approxi-
mation of perturbation theory, i.e. as the matrix element of the operator

$$S^{(4)} = \frac{-i}{4!} \int dt_1 \ldots \int dt_4 . T\{V(t_1)V(t_2)V(t_3)V(t_4)\} :$$

in lower orders, every term in the product of operators $V$ will contain at least one of the
operators $d_1$ and $d_2$ in the first degree, and on averaging over the state of the corresponding
atom the result is zero.

Let us now average the operator (85.5) over the photon vacuum. According to Wick's
theorem, the expectation value of the product of four field operators $E$ is the sum of products
of pairwise expectation values (contractions). The division into pairs can be made in three
ways, which may be represented by the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{c}
\circ -- \circ \\
1 & 2 \\
\circ -- \circ \\
3 & 4 \\
\end{array}$$

(85.6)

where the broken lines represent contractions and the numbers correspond to the argu-
ments $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4$. Moreover, spatial coordinates $r_1$ or $r_2$ may correspond to each point,
with two points having $r_1$ and two $r_2$, since otherwise, in the relevant term of the sum,
one of the operators $d_1$ and $d_2$ will appear in the first degree, giving zero on averaging with
respect to the state of the atom. It is clear that there must be one $r_1$ and one $r_2$ at the ends
of each line, since otherwise the diagram (i.e. the corresponding term in the matrix element)
will reduce to a product of independent functions of $r_1$ and of $r_2$ instead of being a function
of the difference $r_1 - r_2$; such terms do not pertain to scattering.† In accordance with these
conditions, the arguments $r_1$ and $r_2$ can be assigned to the four points in the diagram in
four ways. Using also the commutativity of the operators $d_1$ and $d_2$ and averaging over
the states of each atom, we find that all the $3 \times 4 = 12$ terms thus obtained are equal,
differing only in the naming of the variables of integration. The result is

$$\langle S(r) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int dt_1 \ldots \int dt_4 . \langle T(E_i(r_1, t_1)E_k(r_2, t_2)) \times$$

$$\times \langle T(E_i(r_2, t_2)E_m(r_1, t_4)) \langle T(d_{1i}(t_1)d_{1m}(t_4)) \langle T(d_{2k}(t_2)d_{2i}(t_3)) \rangle, \quad (85.7)$$

where $i, k, l, m$ are three-dimensional vector indices.

† They give corrections, of no interest here, to the energy eigenvalues of each atom.
§85
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To calculate the quantities

\[ D_\alpha^E(x_1-x_2) = \langle T(E_\alpha(x_1)E_\alpha(x_2)) \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (85.8)

we use the gauge in which the scalar potential \( \Phi = 0 \). Then \( E = -\partial A/\partial t \), and we have

\[ D_\alpha^E(x_1-x_2) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_1 \partial t_2} \langle T(A_\alpha(x_1)A_\alpha(x_2)) \rangle = i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} D_\alpha(x), \]

where \( x = x_1-x_2 \) and \( D_\alpha(x) \) is the photon propagator in this gauge.\[1\] Hence

\[ D_\alpha(x) = -i \int \omega^2 D_\alpha(k) \, e^{-ikx} \, d^4k/(2\pi)^4, \]  \hspace{1cm} (85.9)

where \( D_\alpha(k) \) is the photon propagator in the momentum representation; according to (77.14),

\[ D_\alpha(k) = -\frac{4\pi}{\omega^2-k^2+i0} \left( \delta_{\alpha k} - \frac{k_\alpha k_k}{\omega^2} \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (85.10)

The quantities

\[ \alpha_{\alpha k}(t_1-t_2) = i \langle T(d_\alpha(t_1)d_\alpha(t_2)) \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (85.11)

can be expressed in a Fourier integral

\[ \alpha_{\alpha k}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} \alpha_{\alpha k}(\omega) \, d\omega/2\pi. \]

Putting for convenience \( t_2 = 0 \), \( t_1 = t \), and using the definition of the \( T \) product, we can write

\[ \alpha_{\alpha k}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} \alpha_{\alpha k}(t) \, dt 
   = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} \langle d_\alpha(0)d_\alpha(t) \rangle \, dt + i \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} \langle d_\alpha(t)d_\alpha(0) \rangle \, dt. \]  \hspace{1cm} (85.12)

The mean values (with respect to the ground state of the atom) which appear here can be expressed in terms of the matrix elements of the dipole moment:

\[ \langle d_\alpha(0)d_\alpha(t) \rangle = \sum_n \langle d_\alpha(0)d_\alpha_n \rangle e^{i\omega_0 t}, \]

\[ \langle d_\alpha(t)d_\alpha(0) \rangle = \sum_n \langle d_\alpha_n d_\alpha(0) \rangle e^{-i\omega_0 t}. \]

Substituting these expressions in (85.12) and carrying out the integrations, we obtain\[\ddagger\]

\[ \alpha_{\alpha k}(\omega) = \sum_n \left( \frac{\langle d_\alpha_n d_\alpha(0) \rangle}{\omega_n - \omega} + \frac{\langle d_\alpha(0)d_\alpha_n \rangle}{\omega_n + \omega} \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (85.13)

If the ground state of the atom is an \( S \) state, this tensor is simply a scalar, \( \alpha_{\alpha k}(\omega) = \alpha(\omega) \delta_{\alpha k} \).

\[\dagger\] The first derivative \( \partial D_{\alpha k}(t)/\partial t \) has a finite discontinuity at \( t = 0 \). The second derivative, i.e. the function \( D_{\alpha k}''(t) \), therefore includes a delta-function term \( -\delta(t)(x_2-x_1) \). This term, however, is zero for all \( r_1 \neq r_2 \) and is of no interest here.

\[\ddagger\] For convergence of the integrals in (85.12) it is necessary to take \( \omega \) in the first integral as \( \omega - i0 \), and in the second as \( \omega + i0 \). This also makes clear the sense in which the expression (85.13) is to be understood near its poles.
If, however, the atom has an angular momentum, the same result is obtained on averaging over the directions of this angular momentum, and it will be assumed that this has been done; we are, of course, interested in the interaction of atoms averaged over their mutual orientations. It is also seen from (85.13) that
\[ \alpha(-\omega) = \alpha(\omega). \]
The quantity \( \alpha(\omega) \), for \( \omega > 0 \), is just the polarisability of the atom; cf. (60.17).

Substitution of these expressions in (85.7) gives
\[ \langle S(r) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int dt_1 \ldots dt_4 \frac{d\Omega_1}{2\pi} \frac{d\Omega_2}{2\pi} \frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4k_2}{(2\pi)^4} \alpha_1(\Omega_1)\alpha_2(\Omega_2) \times \\
\times \omega^2 D_{ik}(k_1)\omega^2 D_{ik}(k_2) \times \\
\times \exp \{ i(k_1 - k_2) \cdot (r_1 - r_2) - i\omega_1(t_1 - t_2) - i\omega_2(t_3 - t_4) - i\Omega_1(t_1 - t_4) - i\Omega_2(t_2 - t_3) \}. \]
The integration over three times gives delta functions (whereby \( -\Omega_1 = \Omega_2 = \omega_2 = \omega_1 = \omega \)), and that over the fourth time gives a factor \( t \):
\[ \langle S(r) \rangle = -i t U(|r_1 - r_2|), \]
where
\[ U(r) = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^7} \int d^3k_1 d^3k_2 d\omega \omega^4 \alpha_1(\alpha)\alpha_2(\omega) D_{ik}(k_1, \omega) D_{ik}(k_2, \omega) \exp \{ i r \cdot (k_1 - k_2) \}, \]
or, on substituting (85.10),
\[ U(r) = \frac{i}{16\pi^3} \int d^3k_1 d^3k_2 d\omega \alpha_1(\alpha)\alpha_2(\omega) \frac{3\omega^4 - \omega^2(k_1^2 + k_2^2) + (k_1 \cdot k_2)^2}{(\omega^2 - k_1^2 + i0)(\omega^2 - k_2^2 + i0)} e^{ir \cdot (k_1 - k_2)}. \] (85.14)

This formula gives the energy of interaction of two atoms at any distance large compared with the atomic dimensions \( a \). The integral can be simplified and calculated explicitly in the limiting cases of "small" (\( a \ll r \ll \lambda_0 \)) and "large" (\( r \gg \lambda_0 \)) distances.

For a given distance \( r \), the important range in the integral is that of wave vectors such that \( |k| \sim 1/r \). For \( r \ll \lambda_0 \), we have \( |k| \gg \omega_0 \), where \( \omega_0 \) are the atomic frequencies, and in the integrand in (85.14) we can everywhere (except in the functions \( \alpha(\omega) \)) neglect the terms in \( \omega^2 \) in comparison with \( k^2 \). It is then easy to reduce \( U(r) \) to the customary London’s formula \( (QM, \S 89) \).

Let us next consider the opposite case, \( r \gg \lambda_0 \). In (85.14) we first carry out the integration with respect to \( \omega \). The path of integration is closed in the upper half of the complex \( \omega \)-plane, and the integral is calculated from the residues of the integrand at its poles in this half-plane. It is sufficient to consider only the zeros of the denominator lying at
\[ \omega \sim |k| \sim 1/r \ll \omega_0; \]
the poles of the functions \( \alpha(\omega) \) are at \( \omega \sim \omega_0 \) and in this case are unimportant. Having calculated the integral with respect to \( \omega \) in this way, and then replaced the integrations with respect to \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \) by integrations with respect to \( q = k_1 - k_2 \) and \( k \equiv k_2 \), we reduce \( U(r) \) to the Fourier integral form
\[ U(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int U(q) e^{iq \cdot r} \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3}, \] (85.15)
where
\[ U(q) = \frac{-\alpha_1(0)\alpha_2(0)}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^3k}{kk_1} \frac{(k \cdot k_1)^2 - kk_1(2k_1^2 + 2k^2 + 3kk_1)}{k + k_1}; \] (85.16)
here and below, \( k_1 = k + q, \ k_1 = |k_1|, \ k = |k| \). In the functions \( \alpha_1(\omega) \) and \( \alpha_2(\omega) \) at points \( \omega \sim k \) we have replaced small values of the argument \( (\omega \leq \omega_0) \) by zero, i.e. replaced these functions by the static polarisabilities of the atoms.

The integral (85.16) diverges as \( k \to \infty \), because the dipole approximation used is invalid for \( k \gg 1/a \). This divergence is unimportant, however, because in the calculation of the asymptotic behaviour of \( U(r) \) as \( r \to \infty \) only those terms in \( U(q) \) are important which have a singularity at \( q = 0 \); it is these singular terms which give a power-function \( (1/r) \) contribution to \( U(r) \). The singular term in \( U(q) \) is, as we shall see, determined by the convergent part of the integral (85.16).

The element of integration \( d^3k \) in (85.16) is replaced by \( 2\pi k^2 \ dm \cos \theta \) (where \( \theta \) is the angle between \( k \) and \( q \)), and the differential \( d \cos \theta \) by

\[
d \cos \theta = k_1 dk_1/qk,
\]

where

\[
k_1 = \sqrt{(k^2 + q^2 + 2kq \cos \theta)}, \quad k.k_1 = \frac{1}{2}(k^2 + k^2 - q^2).
\]

Thus, in (85.16) we put

\[
d^3k/kk_1 \to (2\pi/q) \ dm \ dk_1,
\]

and the integration with respect to \( k_1 \) is taken over the range from \( |k - q| \) to \( k + q \). The form of the integrand shows that a singularity can arise only through the integration of the denominator. Since only the singular term is important, we can therefore immediately simplify the integral by expanding the numerator in powers of \( k + k_1 \), and taking only the zero-order term (i.e. by simply putting \( k_1 = -k \) in the numerator). Then

\[
U(q) = -\frac{\alpha_1(0)\alpha_2(0)}{4q} \int_0^\infty (8k^2 - 4k^2q^2 + q^4) \log \frac{2k + q}{k + |k - q|} \, dk.
\]  

(85.17)

The term which is singular (as \( q \to 0 \)) in the integral is a term in \( q^5 \log q \). Separating this, we obtain for the Fourier component of the interaction energy†

\[
U(q) = \frac{23\alpha_1(0)\alpha_2(0)}{120} q^4 \log q.
\]  

(85.18)

The inverse Fourier transform is found by means of the formula‡

\[
\int e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} q^4 \log q \, d^3q = -\frac{30}{\pi r^7}.
\]  

(85.19)

† The integral (85.17) is elementary but laborious. Taking the upper limit as a large number \( N \) in place of infinity, and separating terms in \( \log q \), we find the result (85.18); it is, however, much more simply obtained by noting that the required part of the integral has a logarithmic singularity as \( q \to 0 \), and therefore this part is determined by the range \( k \gg q \). Expansion of the logarithm in powers of \( q/2k \) gives

\[
\log \frac{2k + q}{2k - q} \approx 2 \left[ \frac{q}{2k} + \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{q}{2k} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{q}{2k} \right)^3 \right];
\]

the divergent integrals of the form \( \int dk/k \) are then separated, which must be cut off below at \( k \sim q \) (the upper limit is immaterial).

‡ By direct integration in spherical polar coordinates in \( q \)-space, we have

\[
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \epsilon} q^4 \, d^3q = -\frac{\Gamma(v + 2) \sin \frac{1}{2} \pi v}{2\pi^2 r^{v+3}}.
\]

Differentiation of this formula with respect to the parameter \( v \) and then taking \( v = 4 \) leads to the result (85.19).
Thus we have finally

\[ U(r) = -\frac{23 \alpha_1(0)\alpha_2(0)}{4\pi \frac{1}{r^7}} \quad (85.20) \]

and this determines the energy of interaction of two atoms at large distances \((r \gg \lambda_0)\).†

† This formula was first derived by H. B. G. Casimir and D. Polder (1948). The proof given here is due to I. E. Dzyaloshinskii.
CHAPTER X

INTERACTION OF ELECTRONS WITH PHOTONS

§86. Scattering of a photon by an electron

The conservation of 4-momentum in the scattering of a photon by a free electron (the Compton effect) is expressed by the equation

\[ p + k = p' + k', \tag{86.1} \]

where \( p \) and \( k \) are the 4-momenta of the electron and the photon before the collision, and \( p' \) and \( k' \) their 4-momenta after the collision. The kinematic invariants defined in §67 are

\[
\begin{align*}
  s &= (p + k)^2 = (p' + k')^2 = m^2 + 2pk = m^2 + 2p'k', \\
  t &= (p - p')^2 = (k' - k)^2 = 2(m^2 - pp') = -2kk', \\
  u &= (p - k')^2 = (p' - k)^2 = m^2 - 2pk' = m^2 - 2p'k, \\
  s + t + u &= 2m^2.
\end{align*}
\]

(86.2)

The process in question is represented by the two Feynman diagrams (75.14), and its amplitude is

\[ M_{fi} = -4\pi e^2 e'_\mu e_\alpha (\bar{u} Q^{\alpha\nu} u), \tag{86.3} \]

where

\[ Q^{\alpha\nu} = \frac{1}{s - m^2} \gamma^\nu (\hat{p} + \hat{k} + m)\gamma^\alpha + \frac{1}{u - m^2} \gamma^\nu (\hat{p}' - \hat{k}' + m)\gamma^\alpha. \tag{86.4} \]

Here \( e, e' \) are the polarisation 4-vectors of the initial and final photons; \( u, u' \) the bispinor amplitudes of the initial and final electrons.

According to the rules given in §66, for arbitrary polarisation states of the particles \( |M_{fi}|^2 \) is replaced by

\[ |M_{fi}|^2 \to 16\pi^2 e^4 \text{tr} \{ \rho^{(e)} \rho^{(e')} Q^{\alpha\nu} \rho^{(e)} \rho^{(e')} \bar{Q}^{\lambda\zeta} \}, \tag{86.5} \]

where \( \rho^{(e)}, \rho^{(e')} \) are the density matrices of the initial and final electrons, \( \rho^{(\gamma)}, \rho^{(\gamma')} \) those of the photons. The photon (tensor) indices are written explicitly, but the electron (bispinor) indices are not. The trace symbol refers to the latter indices, as does the superscript plus in the definition \( \bar{Q}^{\mu\nu} = \gamma^\nu Q^{\mu+\nu} \).

Let us consider the scattering of an unpolarised photon by an unpolarised electron, without regard to their polarisations after the scattering. The averaging with respect to the polarisations of all particles is given by the density matrices:

\[ \rho^{(\gamma)} = \rho^{(\gamma')} = -\frac{i}{2} \gamma_\mu, \quad \rho^{(e)} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p} + m), \quad \rho^{(e')} = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{p}' + m); \]

the change to summation over the polarisations of the final particles involves a further multiplication by \( 2 \times 2 = 4. \)
From formula (65.23), in which we must now put \( I^2 = \frac{1}{4}(s-m^2)^2 \) (see (65.15a)), we find the cross-section
\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{\pi e^4}{4(s-m^2)^2} \text{tr} \left\{ (\hat{p}' + m)Q^{\mu\nu}(\hat{p} + m)\hat{Q}_{\mu\nu} \right\}.
\]
From (66.2a), \( Q_{\mu\nu} = Q_{\Lambda\nu} \). Separating the terms which differ only by the changes \( k \leftrightarrow k' \) (and accordingly \( s \leftrightarrow u \)), we can put the cross-section in the form
\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{\pi e^4}{4(s-m^2)^2} \left[ f(s,u) + g(s,u) + f(u,s) + g(u,s) \right],
\]
with the notation
\[
(s,u) = \frac{1}{4(s-m^2)^2} \text{tr} \left\{ (\hat{p}' + m)\gamma^\mu(\hat{p} + \hat{k} + m)\gamma^\nu(\hat{p} + \hat{k} + m)\gamma^\mu \right\},
\]
\[
g(s,u) = \frac{1}{4(s-m^2)(u-m^2)} \text{tr} \left\{ (\hat{p}' + m)\gamma^\mu(\hat{p} + \hat{k} + m)\gamma^\nu(\hat{p} + \hat{k} + m)\gamma^\mu \right\};
\]
this notation takes account of the fact that the result will depend only on the invariant quantities.

The summation over \( \mu \) and \( \nu \) is effected by means of formulae (22.6); then, omitting terms which contain an odd number of factors \( \gamma \), we obtain
\[
f(s,u) = \frac{1}{(s-m^2)^2} \text{tr} \left\{ (\hat{p}' + \hat{k})(\hat{p} + \hat{k}) + 4m^2(\hat{p} + \hat{k})(\hat{k} - \hat{p}') + m^2\hat{p}\hat{p}' + 4m^4 \right\}.
\]
The trace is calculated by means of formulae (22.13); expressing all quantities in terms of the invariants \( s \) and \( u \), we easily obtain
\[
f(s,u) = \frac{2}{(s-m^2)^2} \{ 4m^4 - (s-m^2)(u-m^2) + 2m^2(s-m^2) \}.
\]
Similarly,
\[
g(s,u) = \frac{2m^2}{(s-m^2)(u-m^2)} \{ 4m^2 + (s-m^2) + (u-m^2) \}.
\]
The cross-section is thus
\[
\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = 8\pi r_e^2 \frac{m^2}{(s-m^2)^2} \frac{dt}{(s-m^2)^2} \left\{ \left( \frac{m^2}{s-m^2} + \frac{m^2}{u-m^2} \right)^2 + \right.
\]
\[
\left. + \left( \frac{m^2}{s-m^2} + \frac{m^2}{u-m^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{s-m^2}{u-m^2} + \frac{u-m^2}{s-m^2} \right) \right\}, \quad (86.6)
\]
where \( r_e = e^2/m \). This formula expresses the cross-section in terms of invariant quantities, and can easily be used to express the cross-section in terms of the collision parameters in any specified frame of reference.

Let us do this for the laboratory system, in which the electron is at rest before the collision: \( p = (m, 0) \). Here
\[
s - m^2 = 2m\omega, \quad u - m^2 = -2m\omega'.
\]
Squaring the equation of conservation of 4-momentum in the form \( p + k - k' = p' \), we have
\[
pk - pk' - kk' = 0,
\]
whence (in the laboratory system)
\[
m(\omega - \omega') - \omega\omega'(1 - \cos \theta) = 0,
\]
§86

Scattering of a photon by an electron

where $\theta$ is the angle of scattering of the photon. This equation gives the relation between the photon energy change and the scattering angle:

$$\frac{1}{\omega'} - \frac{1}{\omega} = \frac{1}{m} (1 - \cos \theta).$$

(86.8)

The invariant $t$ is

$$t = -2kk' = -2m\omega'(1 - \cos \theta).$$

For a given energy $\omega$ we find, using (86.8),

$$dt = 2\omega'^2 d\cos \theta = (1/\pi)\omega'^2 d\omega' \quad (d\omega' = 2\pi \sin \theta d\theta).$$

Substitution of these expressions in (86.6) gives the following formula for the scattering cross-section in the laboratory system:

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\pi m^2 \frac{d\omega'}{\omega'^2} \left[ \frac{\omega}{\omega'} + \frac{\omega'}{\omega} + \left( \frac{m}{\omega'} - \frac{m}{\omega} \right)^2 - 2m \left( \frac{1}{\omega'} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right) \right],$$

(86.10)

with $\omega'$ varying in the range

$$\frac{\omega}{1 + 2\omega/m} \leq \omega' \leq \omega.$$

(86.11)

When $\omega \ll m$, we can put $\omega' \approx \omega$ in (86.9), and the result is, as it should be, the classical non-relativistic Thomson's formula

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\pi m^2 (1 + \cos^2 \theta) d\omega';$$

(86.12)

see Fields, (78.7).

To calculate the total cross-section, we return to formula (86.6). The invariants $s$, $t$, $u$ in this formula take values satisfying the inequalities

$$s \geq m^2, \quad t \leq 0, \quad us \leq m^4.$$  

(86.13)

These have already been derived in §68; the corresponding physical region is I in Fig. 9. They are also easily obtained directly from the expressions for the invariants in the centre-of-mass system. Here $p+k=0$, and the energies $\epsilon$ of the electron and $\omega$ of the photon are related by $\epsilon = \sqrt{\omega^2 + m^2}$). The invariants are

$$s = (\epsilon + \omega)^2 = m^2 + 2\omega(\omega + \epsilon),$$

$$u = m^2 - 2\omega(\epsilon + \omega \cos \theta),$$

$$t = -2\omega^2(1 - \cos \theta),$$

(86.14)

where $\theta$ is the scattering angle (the angle between $p$ and $p'$ or between $k$ and $k'$). The three inequalities (86.13) then result from the conditions $\omega \geq 0$ and $-1 \leq \cos \theta \leq 1$.

For a given $s$ (i.e. a given energy of the particles), the integration with respect to $t$ can be replaced by one with respect to $u = 2m^2 - s - t$ over the range

$$m^4/s \leq u \leq 2m^2 - s.$$
Using instead of $s$ and $u$ the quantities

$$x = \frac{(s - m^2)}{m^2}, \quad y = \frac{(m^2 - u)}{m^2},$$

we obtain

$$\sigma = \frac{8\pi r_e^2}{x^2} \int_{x/(x+1)}^{x} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} \right) + \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{x}{y} + \frac{y}{x} \right) \right] dy,$$

and after the elementary integration

$$\sigma = 2\pi r_e^2 \frac{1}{x} \left\{ \left( \frac{1 - 4}{x} - \frac{8}{x^2} \right) \log (1+x) + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{8}{x} - \frac{1}{2(1+x)^2} \right\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (86.16)

The leading terms in the expansion for $x \ll 1$ (the non-relativistic case) are

$$\sigma = \frac{8\pi r_e^2}{3} (1-x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (86.17)

The first term is the classical Thomson cross-section. In the opposite, ultra-relativistic, case ($x \gg 1$), the expansion of (86.16) gives

$$\sigma = 2\pi r_e^2 \frac{1}{x} \left( \log x + \frac{1}{2} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (86.18)

In the laboratory system,

$$x = 2\omega/m,$$

so that formulae (86.16)–(86.18) give immediately the photon energy dependence of the cross-section for scattering by an electron at rest. Fig. 15 shows $\sigma$ as a function of $\omega/m$.

In the ultra-relativistic case, the cross-section decreases with increasing energy both in the laboratory system ($\sigma \sim \omega^{-1} \log \omega$) and in the centre-of-mass system ($x \approx 4\omega^2/m^2$, $\sigma \sim \omega^{-2} \log \omega$). But the angular distribution in the ultra-relativistic case has quite different forms in these two frames of reference.
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In the laboratory system, the differential cross-section has a sharp peak in the forward direction. In a narrow cone \( \theta \lesssim \sqrt{(m/\omega)} \) we have \( \omega' \sim \omega \) and the cross-section \( d\sigma/d\omega' \sim r_e^2 \), reaching the value \( r_e^2 \) as \( \theta \to 0 \). Outside this cone, the cross-section decreases, and in the range \( \theta^2 \gg m/\omega \) (where \( \omega' \approx m/(1 - \cos \theta) \)) we have

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\omega'} = \frac{1}{2} r_e^2 \frac{m}{\omega(1 - \cos \theta)},
\]

i.e. the cross-section is reduced by a factor \( \sim \omega/m \).

In the centre-of-mass system, on the other hand, the differential cross-section has a peak in the backward direction. For \( \pi - \theta \ll 1 \) we have from (86.14)

\[
\frac{s - m^2}{m^2} \approx \frac{4\omega^2}{m^2}, \quad \frac{m^2 - u}{m^2} \approx 1 + \frac{\omega^2}{m^2}(\pi - \theta)^2.
\]

The largest term in the cross-section (86.6) is

\[
d\sigma \approx 8\pi r_e^2 \frac{m^2 dt}{4(s - m^2)(m^2 - u)},
\]

whence

\[
d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} r_e^2 \frac{d\omega'}{1 + (\pi - \theta)^2 \omega^2/m^2}.
\]

The cross-section \( d\sigma/d\omega' \sim r_e^2 \) in a narrow cone \( \pi - \theta \lesssim m/\omega \); outside this cone it is reduced by a factor \( \sim \omega^2/m^2 \).

§87. Scattering of a photon by an electron. Polarisation effects

We shall now go back to the original formulæ of §86 and show how the calculations must be made in order to take account of the polarisation of the initial and final photons and electrons.

The density matrix of the photon can be expressed, according to (8.17), by means of a pair of unit 4-vectors \( e^{(1)}, e^{(2)} \) which satisfy the conditions (8.16). In the present case, these vectors can be taken to be, for both photons, the 4-vectors defined in §71†

\[
e^{(1)} = N/\sqrt{(-N)^2}, \quad e^{(2)} = P/\sqrt{(-P)^2},
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
P^\lambda &= (p^\lambda + p'^\lambda) - K^\lambda(pK + p'K)/K^2, \\
N^\lambda &= \epsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} P_\mu q_\nu K_\rho, \\
K^\lambda &= k^\lambda + k'^\lambda, \\
q^\lambda &= k'^\lambda - k^\lambda = p^\lambda - p'^\lambda.
\end{align*}
\]

The quantities \( Q^a \) in (86.5) are given by (86.4). They may be regarded as components of a 4-tensor (in the sense that they form a 4-tensor after being contracted with spinors

† An alternative procedure is to consider from the start a specified frame of reference (say the laboratory system) and take as \( e^{(1)}, e^{(2)} \) purely spatial unit vectors \( e = (0, e) \) which are transverse to the photon momenta:

\[
e^{(1)} = k \times k'/|k \times k'|, \quad e^{(2)} = k \times e^{(1)}/\omega
\]

for the photon \( k \) and

\[
e^{(1)} = k' \times k'/|k \times k'|, \quad e^{(2)} = k' \times e^{(1)}/\omega'
\]

for the photon \( k' \). In that case, however, the calculations will be entirely in three-dimensional form, and the result will not be invariant.
as the quantities $\bar{u}'Q^\mu\nu u$). All the components of a 4-tensor can be obtained by projecting it on four mutually orthogonal 4-vectors, for instance on $P$, $N$, $q$ and $K$ defined above. Since the tensors $\rho^{(\gamma)}_{\mu\nu}, \rho^{(\delta)}_{\mu\nu}$ contain only components along $P$ and $N$, we need in fact only the components of $Q_{\mu\nu}$ along these 4-vectors. In other words, it is sufficient to find in $Q_{\mu\nu}$ the terms of the form

$$Q_{\mu\nu} = Q_0(e^{(1)}_{\mu}e^{(1)}_{\nu} + e^{(2)}_{\mu}e^{(2)}_{\nu}) + Q_1(e^{(1)}_{\mu}e^{(2)}_{\nu} + e^{(2)}_{\mu}e^{(1)}_{\nu}) - iQ_2(e^{(1)}_{\mu}e^{(2)}_{\nu} - e^{(2)}_{\mu}e^{(1)}_{\nu}) + Q_3(e^{(1)}_{\mu}e^{(1)}_{\nu} - e^{(2)}_{\mu}e^{(2)}_{\nu});$$  \hspace{1em} (87.3)

the remaining terms would disappear on substitution in (86.5). The quantities $Q_0$ and $Q_3$ are scalars in the same sense that $Q_{\mu\nu}$ is a 4-tensor; they therefore contain the matrices $\gamma$ only in the “invariant” combinations $\bar{K}$, etc. In the same sense, $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are pseudo-scalars ($N$ is a pseudovector), and hence must contain the matrix $\gamma^5$.

By direct projection of the tensor $Q_{\mu\nu}$ we find

$$Q_0 = \frac{1}{4}Q^{\mu\nu}(e^{(1)}_{\mu}e^{(1)}_{\nu} + e^{(2)}_{\mu}e^{(2)}_{\nu}),$$

etc. In the calculation it is convenient first to express $Q_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of the mutually orthogonal 4-vectors $P$, $N$, $q$, $K$:

$$Q^{\mu\nu} = \gamma^\mu \frac{1}{s-m^2}
\bar{P} + m \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \frac{1}{u-m^2}
\bar{P} + m \gamma^\mu - \frac{1}{t}(\gamma^\mu K^\gamma - \gamma^\nu \bar{K}^\gamma).$$

There then remain some purely algebraic calculations using the formulae given in §22. It is also possible to make changes in $Q^{\mu\nu}$ which do not affect the result after the subsequent construction of the product $\bar{u}'Q^{\mu\nu}u$. For instance, since

$$\bar{u}'(\beta + \beta')u = 2m\bar{u}'u, \quad \bar{u}'\gamma^5\bar{q}u = \bar{u}'(\gamma^5\beta + \beta'\gamma^5)u = 2m\bar{u}'\gamma^5u,$$

we can make in $Q^{\mu\nu}$ the changes

$$\beta + \beta' \to 2m, \quad \gamma^5\bar{q} \to 2my^5.$$  \hspace{1em} (87.4)

The detailed calculations are omitted here; the final result is†

$$Q_0 = -ma_+, \quad Q_1 = \frac{1}{2}ia_+ \gamma^5\bar{K}, \quad Q_2 = -ma_+ \gamma^5, \quad Q_3 = ma_+ + \frac{1}{2}a_- \bar{K},$$  \hspace{1em} (87.5)

where

$$a_\pm = \frac{1}{s-m^2} \pm \frac{1}{u-m^2}.$$

In the subsequent calculations, it is convenient to apply to $Q_{\mu\nu}$ the same formal treatment as has been described in §8 for the photon density matrix: the four components of the tensor (87.3) in the directions $e^{(1)}$, $e^{(2)}$ are combined to form a two-rowed matrix $Q$ which is then expanded in terms of Pauli matrices. Similarly to (8.18), we obtain

$$Q = Q_0 \cdot 1 + Q_1 \cdot \sigma, \quad Q = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3).$$  \hspace{1em} (87.6)

The components of the tensor $\bar{Q}_{\mu\nu} = \gamma^0Q^+_{\mu\nu}\gamma^0$ in (86.5) are easily seen from (87.3), (87.5)

† The expression (87.3) with the values (87.5) corresponds to the formulae (71.11)–(71.13) derived in §71 from general considerations. Besides the equations $\dot{f}_3 = f_3 = 0$ which follow from $T$ invariance, another invariant amplitude $(f_2)$ is here zero also. This is a property of the approximation of perturbation theory used here, and would not occur in higher approximations.
and the rules (66.2a) to be obtained from those of \( Q_{\mu \nu} \) on replacing \( Q_0, Q_1, \ldots \) by \( \bar{Q}_0, \bar{Q}_1, \ldots \), where
\[
\bar{Q}_0 = Q_0, \quad \bar{Q}_1 = -Q_1, \quad \bar{Q}_2 = -Q_2, \quad \bar{Q}_3 = Q_3,
\]
(87.7)
and simultaneously interchanging the indices \( \mu, \nu \).† In matrix form,
\[
\mathbf{Q} = \bar{Q}_0 \mathbf{1} + \bar{Q}_. \mathbf{\hat{\sigma}}.
\]
(87.8)

Let us now define more precisely the sense of the 4-vectors \( e^{(1)}, e^{(2)} \) in relation to the polarisation of the photons. For each photon, the independent directions of polarisation will be determined by the components of the 3-vectors \( e^{(1)}, e^{(2)} \) transverse to the photon momentum \( \mathbf{k} \).‡ It is easily seen that, in both the centre-of-mass system and the laboratory system (in which the initial electron is at rest), the vector \( \mathbf{P} \) is in the plane of \( \mathbf{k} \) and \( \mathbf{k}' \), and \( N \) perpendicular to that plane. The direction \( e^{(1)} \) is therefore that of the polarisation perpendicular to the plane of scattering, and \( e^{(2)} \) is that of the polarisation in the plane of scattering. It must also be noted that the Stokes parameters \( \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \) are defined with respect to the axes \( xyz \), which form a right-handed set with the \( z \)-axis in the direction of \( \mathbf{k} \).

It is easily seen that for the initial photon the vectors \( \mathbf{N}, \mathbf{P}_1, \mathbf{k} \) form such a set, and for the final photon the vectors \( \mathbf{N}, -\mathbf{P}_1', \mathbf{k}' \) (where \( \mathbf{P}_1 \) and \( \mathbf{P}_1' \) are the components of \( \mathbf{P} \) perpendicular to \( \mathbf{k} \) and \( \mathbf{k}' \) respectively). A change of sign of \( e^{(2)} \) in the photon density matrix (8.17) is equivalent to a change of sign of \( \xi_1 \) and \( \xi_2 \). The density matrices of the initial and final photons, referred to the unit 4-vectors \( e^{(1)} \) and \( e^{(2)} \), are therefore
\[
\rho^{(e)} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \xi \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3);
\]
\[
\rho^{(e)'} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \xi' \cdot \mathbf{\sigma}), \quad \xi' = (-\xi_1', -\xi_2', \xi_3').
\]
(87.9)

The tensor trace
\[
\rho^{(g)}_{\lambda \mu} Q^{\mu \nu} \rho^{(g)'}_{\nu \rho} = \rho^{(e)\dagger} \mathbf{Q} \rho^{(e)'}
\]
is now calculated as the trace of the matrix product of the matrices (87.6)–(87.9), using (33.5). The result is
\[
|M_{fi}|^2 = 8\pi^2 e^4 \operatorname{tr} \{ (\rho^{(e)} \mathbf{Q} \rho^{(e)\dagger} \mathbf{Q}_0 + \rho^{(e)'} \mathbf{Q} \rho^{(e)'} \mathbf{Q}_0) +
+ (\xi + \xi') \rho^{(e)} \mathbf{Q} \rho^{(e)\dagger} \mathbf{Q}_0 + \rho^{(e)} \mathbf{Q} \rho^{(e)\dagger} \mathbf{Q}_0 - i(\xi - \xi') \rho^{(e)'} \mathbf{Q} \times \rho^{(e)\dagger} \mathbf{Q}_0 +
+ (\xi - \xi') \rho^{(e)'} \mathbf{Q} \rho^{(e)\dagger} \mathbf{Q}_0 - \rho^{(e)'} \mathbf{Q} \rho^{(e)\dagger} \mathbf{Q}_0 \}
\]
(87.10)

SCATTERING BY UNPOLARISED ELECTRONS

We shall complete the calculation of the cross-section for the scattering of polarised photons by an unpolarised electron, summed over polarisations of the final electron. To do so, we must put in (87.10)
\[
\rho^{(e)} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{m}), \quad \rho^{(e)'} = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{m}),
\]
† For the matrix \( Q_{\mu \nu} \) in the original form (86.4) we should have simply \( \bar{Q}_{\mu \nu} = Q_{\mu \nu} \). This property, however, is lost as a result of transformations such as (87.4).
‡ The longitudinal components of \( e \), like the time components of the 4-vectors \( e \), can here be simply ignored; this is permissible, owing to gauge invariance.
double the result, and substitute it in place of $|M_{fi}|^2$ in the formula (65.22) for the cross-section:

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{dt \, d\phi}{(s-m^2)^2} |M_{fi}|^2,$$

where $\phi$ is the azimuth in the centre-of-mass or laboratory system. Some of the terms in (87.10) are identically zero; the calculation of the other terms gives the final result (with the notation (86.15))

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} d\bar{\sigma} + 2r_e^2 \frac{dy \, d\phi}{x^2} \left\{ (\xi_3 + \xi'_3) \left[ -\left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} - \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} \right) \right] + \right. \right.

$$

$$+ \xi_1 \xi'_1 \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \xi_2 \xi'_2 \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{1}{x} + \frac{y}{y} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{2}{x} - \frac{2}{y} \right) + \right. \right.

$$

$$+ \xi_3 \xi'_3 \left[ \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{y} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right]. \quad \text{(87.11)}$$

Here $d\bar{\sigma}$ is the scattering cross-section for unpolarised photons given by (86.9); the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ appears because there is no summation over the polarisations of the final photon in (87.11).

In the laboratory system, formula (87.11) becomes

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} r_e^2 \frac{(\omega')^2}{(\omega)} \, d\omega' \, d\phi \, \{ F_0 + F_3(\xi_3 + \xi'_3) + F_{11} \xi_1 \xi'_1 + F_{22} \xi_2 \xi'_2 + F_{33} \xi_3 \xi'_3 \},$$

$$d\omega' = \sin \theta \, d\theta \, d\phi, \quad \text{(87.12)}$$

where

$$F_0 = \omega' + \frac{\omega'}{\omega} - \sin^2 \theta, \quad F_3 = \sin^2 \theta,$$

$$F_{11} = 2 \cos \theta, \quad F_{22} = \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega'} + \frac{\omega'}{\omega} \right) \cos \theta, \quad F_{33} = 1 + \cos^2 \theta \quad \text{(87.13)}$$

(U. Fano, 1949). Although (87.12) shows no explicit dependence on the azimuth $\phi$ of the scattering plane, there is an implicit dependence, since the parameters $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$ are defined with respect to the axes $xyz$, which are fixed to the scattering plane. The $x$-axis is the same for both photons and perpendicular to the scattering plane:

$$x \parallel k \times k',$$

and the $y$-axis is in that plane:

$$y \parallel k \times (k \times k'), \quad y' \parallel k' \times (k \times k').$$

Taking the sum of cross-sections differing in the sign of $\xi'$ (i.e. putting $\xi' = 0$ and doubling the result), we obtain the total cross-section (summed over polarisations of the final photon) for scattering of a polarised photon by an unpolarised electron. Denoting this cross-section by $d\sigma(\xi)$, we have

$$d\sigma(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} r_e^2 (\omega'/\omega)^2 F \, d\omega', \quad \text{(87.14)}$$

where

$$F = F_0 + \xi_3 F_3 = \frac{\omega}{\omega'} + \frac{\omega'}{\omega} - (1-\xi_3) \sin^2 \theta. \quad \text{(87.15)}$$

We see that the scattering cross-section for photons polarised perpendicular to the scattering plane ($\xi_3 = 1$) is greater than that for photons polarised in the scattering plane ($\xi_3 = -1$).
The cross-section is independent of circular polarisation and of the parameter $\xi_1$. The scattering cross-section is therefore equal to that for unpolarised photons if there is no linear polarisation relative to the $x$ and $y$ axes ($\xi_3 = 0$) or even if there is polarisation relative to directions at $45^\circ$ to these axes.

The cross-section for scattering of unpolarised photons with detection of a polarised photon has similar properties. This cross-section, which we denote by $d\sigma(\xi')$, is obtained from (87.12) by putting $\xi = 0$:

$$d\sigma(\xi') = \frac{1}{4}r_e^2(\omega'/\omega)^2F'\,d\omega', \quad F' = F_0 + \xi_1^2F_3.$$  

(87.16)

From formula (87.12) it is also possible to deduce the polarisation of the secondary photon itself; we shall denote the parameters of this polarisation by $\xi^{(f)}$ to distinguish them from the detected polarisation $\xi'$. According to the rules given in §66, the quantities $\xi^{(f)}$ are equal to the ratios of the coefficients of the $\xi'_i$ to the term independent of $\xi'$:

$$\xi_1^{(f)} = (F_{11}/F)\xi_1, \quad \xi_2^{(f)} = (F_{22}/F)\xi_2, \quad \xi_3^{(f)} = (F_3 + F_{33}\xi_3)/F.$$  

(87.17)

In particular, for the scattering of an unpolarised photon

$$\xi_1^{(f)} = \xi_2^{(f)} = 0, \quad \xi_3^{(f)} = \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\omega/\omega' + \omega'/\omega - \sin^2 \theta}. \quad (87.18)$$

Here $\xi_3^{(f)} > 0$, i.e. the secondary photon is polarised perpendicular to the scattering plane. Circular polarisation of the secondary photon occurs only if the primary photon is circularly polarised: $\xi_3^{(f)} \neq 0$ only if $\xi_2 \neq 0$.

Let us consider the case of complete linear polarisation of the incident photon ($\xi_2 = 0, \xi_1^2 + \xi_3^2 = 1$), and find the cross-section for scattering with detection of a linearly polarised secondary photon. Expressing the parameters $\xi_i$ and $\xi_i'$ in terms of the components of the photon polarisation vectors $e$ and $e'$, we obtain the following expression for the scattering cross-section:

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{4}r_e^2 \left( \frac{\omega'}{\omega} \right)^2 \left\{ \frac{\omega}{\omega'} + \frac{\omega'}{\omega} - 2 + 4 \cos^2 \Theta \right\} \,d\omega', \quad (87.19)$$

where $\Theta$ is the angle between the directions of polarisation of the incident and scattered photons.†

According to this formula, the cross-section behaves quite differently when the polarisations $e$ and $e'$ are perpendicular and when they are parallel. Distinguishing these two cases by the suffixes $\perp$ and $\parallel$, we have in the non-relativistic limit ($\omega \ll m, \omega' \approx \omega$)

$$d\sigma_{\perp} = 0, \quad d\sigma_{\parallel} = r_e^2 \cos^2 \Theta \,d\omega', \quad (87.20)$$

in agreement with the classical formulae. In the opposite, ultra-relativistic, case we have $\omega \gg m, \omega' \approx m/(1 - \cos \theta)$. Here the two ranges of large and small angles (large and small $\omega/\omega'$) must be distinguished:

$$d\sigma_{\perp} = d\sigma_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{4}r_e^2 \frac{\omega'}{\omega} \,d\omega' = \frac{m \,d\omega'}{\omega(1 - \cos \theta)} \quad \text{for } \theta^2 > m/\omega;$$

$$d\sigma_{\perp} = 0, \quad d\sigma_{\parallel} = r_e^2 \cos^2 \Theta \,d\omega' \quad \text{for } \theta^2 < m/\omega. \quad (87.21)$$

† Formula (87.19) itself could be more simply derived by writing from the start $e = (0, e), e' = (0, e')$ in the scattering amplitude (86.3) and continuing the calculation of the squared amplitude in three-dimensional form (i.e. separating the time and space components of the 4-vectors).

On averaging $\cos^2 \Theta = (e.e')^2$ over the directions of $e$ and $e'$ (using (45.4a)), and doubling the cross-section (to sum over $e'$), we of course return to (86.9).
We see that the scattering cross-section has its classical value at very small angles. The approximate equality of $d\sigma_\perp$ and $d\sigma_\parallel$ at angles which are not very small signifies that in this range, in the ultra-relativistic case, the scattered radiation is unpolarised; but it must be emphasised that this conclusion applies specifically to a linearly polarised incident photon. From (87.17) it is evident that, for a circularly polarised photon in the ultra-relativistic case, $\xi_2^{(f)} \approx \xi_2 \cdot \cos \vartheta$.

**SCATTERING BY POLARISED ELECTRONS**

For polarised electrons, the calculation of the traces in formula (87.10) becomes very laborious, though not difficult in principle. Here we shall give only some of the final results of the calculation.†

In general, the cross-section depends both on the polarisation parameters $\xi$ and $\xi'$ of the initial and final photons, and on the polarisations of the initial and final electrons, described by vectors $\zeta$ and $\zeta'$. The dependence on each of these parameters is linear. The cross-section has the form

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2} d\sigma(\xi, \xi') + \frac{1}{2} r^2(\omega'/\omega)^2 d\Omega \{ f \cdot \xi_2 + f' \cdot \xi_2' + g \cdot \xi_2 + g' \cdot \xi_2' + G_k \xi_k \xi_\lambda + \ldots \},$$

where $d\sigma(\xi, \xi')$ is the cross-section (87.12). All the terms which contain products of two polarisation parameters have been written out in (87.22). Terms containing products of three or four parameters have been omitted; they are unimportant as regards correlations between the polarisations of only two particles, and disappear when the polarisation parameters of the other two particles are equated to zero. The following are the values of some of the coefficients in the laboratory system:

$$f = - \frac{1}{m} \left(1 - \cos \vartheta\right)\left(k \cos \vartheta + k'\right),$$

$$f' = - \frac{1}{m} \left(1 - \cos \vartheta\right)\left(k + k' \cos \vartheta\right),$$

$$g = - \frac{1}{m} \left(1 - \cos \vartheta\right) \left(\frac{\omega + \omega'}{\omega - \omega' + 2m} (k - k')\right),$$

$$g' = - \frac{1}{m} \left(1 - \cos \vartheta\right) \left(\frac{\omega + \omega'}{\omega - \omega' + 2m} (k + k')\right).$$

The cross-section (87.22) contains no term of the form $G \cdot \zeta$. This signifies that the polarisation of the electron does not affect the total cross-section (summed over $\xi'$ and $\xi''$) for the scattering of unpolarised photons. There is also no term of the form $G' \cdot \zeta'$. This signifies that, in the scattering of unpolarised photons, the recoil electron is unpolarised.

We see also that the terms bilinear in the polarisations of the electron and photon contain only the parameters $\xi_2$ and $\xi_2'$ which correspond to circular polarisation of the photon. The polarisation vectors $\zeta$ and $\zeta'$ of the electrons appear in the form of scalar products $f \cdot \zeta$, etc. which contain only the projections of these vectors on the scattering

plane. Hence, for example, the cross-section for scattering of a polarised photon by a polarised electron,

\[
\sigma(\xi, \zeta) = \sigma(\xi) + \frac{1}{2} r_c^2 (\omega'/\omega)^2 \xi_2 f \cdot \zeta \cdot d\theta',
\]

(87.24)
differs from \(\sigma(\xi)\) only in that the photon is circularly polarised and the electrons have a non-zero projection of the mean spin on the scattering plane. For the same reason, the recoil electron is polarised only if the photon is circularly polarised; the resulting electron polarisation vector is then in the scattering plane:

\[
\zeta^{(')} = 2g / F.
\]

(87.25)

**Symmetry relations**

Finally, we shall show that the qualitative properties of the polarisation effects in the scattering of photons by electrons follow from the general requirements of symmetry.

The parameter \(\xi_2\) of circular polarisation is a pseudoscalar (see §8). Hence, from the requirement of \(P\) invariance, terms \(\sim \xi_2\) (or \(\sim \xi_2^*\)) in the scattering cross-section could occur only as the product of \(\xi_2\) with some pseudoscalar formed from the available vectors \(k\) and \(k'\).† But a pseudoscalar cannot be formed from two polar vectors. It therefore follows that no such terms can appear in the cross-section.

The parameters \(\xi_1\) and \(\xi_3\) of linear polarisation are related to the components of the two-dimensional (in a plane perpendicular to \(k\)) symmetric tensor

\[
S_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}(\rho_a^b + \rho_b^a) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \xi_3 & \xi_1 \\ \xi_1 & 1 - \xi_3 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

In the present case, one of the polarisation axes is taken to lie along the vector \(v = k \times k'\), and the other lies in the plane of \(k\) and \(k'\) (along \(k \times v\) for one photon and along \(k' \times v\) for the other). Terms \(\sim \xi_1\) could occur in the cross-section only as products \(S_{ab} v_a (k' \times v)_b\) (or, equivalently, \(S_{ab} v_a k'_b\), etc.) But, since \(v\) is an axial vector, \(k\) a polar vector, and \(S_{ab}\) a true tensor, such products are not invariant with respect to inversion. There are therefore also no terms \(\sim \xi_1\) (or \(\sim \xi_3^*\)) in the cross-section. Terms \(\sim \xi_3\) (or \(\sim \xi_3^*\)), however, occur as products \(S_{ab} v_a v_b\), etc., and are not forbidden by considerations of symmetry.

Terms in the cross-section that are proportional to the electron polarisation \(\zeta\) are not forbidden by parity: such terms could arise from the products \(\zeta \cdot v\) of two axial vectors. They must, however, be absent in the first non-vanishing approximation of perturbation theory, here considered, because the scattering matrix is Hermitian in that approximation (§72). Owing to this property, the square of the scattering amplitude (and therefore the cross-section) is unchanged when the initial and final states are interchanged. At the same time the cross-section must be invariant under time reversal, i.e. interchange of the initial and final states together with a change of sign of the momentum and angular momentum vectors of all the particles; the Stokes parameters \(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\) are then unaltered (see §8).

On combining these two requirements, we find that in the approximation considered the cross-section must be unaltered by a change of sign of all the momenta and angular

† We are considering the process in the laboratory system, where \(p = 0, p' = k - k'\). It is evident that the relevant consequences of the symmetry requirements (the presence or absence of particular terms in the cross-section) will not depend on the choice of the frame of reference.
momenta without interchange of the initial and final states, i.e. by the transformation

\[ k \rightarrow -k, \quad k' \rightarrow -k', \quad \zeta \rightarrow -\zeta, \quad \zeta' \rightarrow -\zeta' \quad (87.26) \]

with \( \xi \) and \( \xi' \) unaltered.

The transformation (87.26) changes the sign of the product \( \zeta \cdot v \), and such terms therefore cannot appear in the cross-section. It must be emphasised, however, that this prohibition is not a consequence of strict requirements of symmetry, and may therefore no longer apply in higher approximations of perturbation theory.

Among the terms of the binary correlation between the polarisations of the photons, only those of the form \( \xi_1 \xi_3 \) and \( \xi_2 \xi_3 \) are forbidden by parity, and none of those of the photon-electron correlation are forbidden. But all terms of the form \( \xi_1 \xi_2, \xi_1 \xi_3, \xi_2 \xi_3 \) are forbidden in the first approximation by the requirement of invariance under the transformation (87.26). For instance, terms of the form \( \xi_1 \xi_2 \) and \( \xi_1 \xi_3 \) could be formed (so far as parity is concerned) as scalars such as \( \xi_2 S_{ab} k_a^* v_b \) and \( S_{ab} k_a^* v_b \zeta \cdot k \), but such combinations change sign under the transformation (87.26).

The allowed correlation terms of the form \( \xi_2 \zeta \) can be formed as products of the type \( \xi_2 \zeta \cdot k \). The electron polarisation vectors appear in them only as projections on the scattering plane.

Finally, a number of relations between the coefficients in the allowed terms result from the requirements of crossing symmetry. Reaction channels which differ by an interchange of initial and final photons correspond to the same process—scattering of a photon by an electron. The squared modulus of the amplitude, and therefore the scattering cross-section, must consequently be invariant under a transformation which expresses the change from one of these channels to the other:

\[ k \leftrightarrow -k', \quad e \leftrightarrow e^* \]

with the electron momenta and polarisations unchanged. In three-dimensional form, this transformation is

\[ \omega \leftrightarrow -\omega', \quad k \leftrightarrow -k', \quad \xi_1 \leftrightarrow \xi'_1, \quad \xi_2 \leftrightarrow \xi'_2, \quad \xi_3 \leftrightarrow \xi'_3. \quad (87.27) \]

The change in the sign of \( \xi_2 \) is evident from the expression \( \xi_2 = ie \times e^* \cdot n \), in which the vector \( e \times e^* \) changes sign when \( e \) and \( e^* \) are interchanged, while the vector \( n = k/\omega \) is unchanged when \( k \leftrightarrow -k, \omega \leftrightarrow -\omega \). The transformation (87.27) does not affect the electron momenta and therefore leaves the laboratory system unaltered. Hence the cross-section (87.22) cannot change its form under this transformation, and in fact the formulae (87.12), (87.22), (87.23) comply with this requirement.

§88. Two-photon annihilation of an electron pair

The annihilation of an electron and a positron (with 4-momenta \( p_- \) and \( p_+ \)) to form two photons \( (k_1 \) and \( k_2 \)) corresponds to two diagrams

\[ k_1 \rightarrow -p_-, \quad k_2 \rightarrow -p_+ \quad (88.1) \]

These differ from the diagrams for scattering of a photon by an electron as follows:

\[ p \rightarrow p_-, \quad p' \rightarrow -p_+, \quad k \rightarrow -k_1, \quad k' \rightarrow k_2. \quad (88.2) \]
The two processes are two cross-channels of the same (generalised) reaction. After the changes (88.2), the kinematic invariants (86.2) become

\[
\begin{align*}
    s &= (p_- - k_1)^2, \\
    t &= (p_- + p_\perp)^2 = (k_1 + k_2)^2, \\
    u &= (p_- - k_2)^2.
\end{align*}
\]

If the photon scattering is the \( s \) channel, then the annihilation is the \( t \) channel.

The quantity \(|M_{fi}|^2\) for annihilation (averaged over polarisations of the electrons and summed over those of the photons), when expressed in terms of the invariants \( s \) and \( u \), is the same as the corresponding quantity for scattering, only the meaning of the invariants being changed.† In the formula (65.23) for the cross-section, the change \( s \leftrightarrow t \) is needed in the coefficients of \(|M_{fi}|^2\), and \( I^2 \) is now, according to (65.15a), equal to \( \frac{1}{4} t(t - 4m^2) \). Making the appropriate alterations in formula (86.6), we find the annihilation cross-section

\[
d\sigma = 8\pi r_e^2 \frac{m^2}{t(t-4m^2)} \left\{ \frac{m^2}{s-m^2} + \frac{m^2}{u-m^2} \right\}^2 + \frac{m^2}{s-m^2} + \frac{m^2}{u-m^2} - \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{s-m^2}{u-m^2} + \frac{u-m^2}{s-m^2} \right). \tag{88.4}
\]

The physical region of the annihilation channel is region II in Fig. 9 (§68). For given \( t \) (given energy in the centre-of-mass system), the range of variation of \( s \) is determined by the equation of the boundary \( su = m^4 \). Together with the relation \( s + t + u = 2m^2 \), this gives

\[
-\frac{1}{2} t - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{[t(t-4m^2)]} \leq s - m^2 \leq -\frac{1}{2} t + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{[t(t-4m^2)]}. \tag{88.5}
\]

The integration of (88.4) is elementary; the result must be divided by two to take account of the identity of the two final particles (the photons). Thus we have

\[
\sigma = \frac{2\pi r_e^2}{\tau^2(\tau-4)} \left\{ (\tau^2 + 4\tau - 8) \log \frac{\sqrt{\tau + \sqrt{\tau(\tau-4)}}}{\sqrt{\tau - \sqrt{\tau(\tau-4)}}} - (\tau + 4) \sqrt{\tau(\tau-4)} \right\}, \tag{88.6}
\]

where \( \tau = t/m^2 \) (P. A. M. Dirac, 1930).

In the non-relativistic limit \( (\tau \to 4) \), this gives

\[
\sigma = \pi r_e^2/\sqrt{(\tau-4)}. \tag{88.7}
\]

In the ultra-relativistic case \( (\tau \to \infty) \),

\[
\sigma = (2\pi r_e^2/\tau) (\log \tau - 1). \tag{88.8}
\]

In the laboratory system, in which one particle (say the electron) is at rest before the collision, the invariant \( \tau \) is

\[
\tau = 2(1 + \gamma), \quad \gamma = \varepsilon_\perp/m. \tag{88.9}
\]

Formulae (88.6)–(88.8) give as the dependence of the total cross-section on the energy of the incident positron

\[
\sigma = \frac{\pi r_e^2}{\gamma+1} \left\{ \frac{\gamma^2 + 4\gamma + 1}{\gamma^2 - 1} \log \left[ \gamma + \sqrt{(\gamma^2 - 1)} \right] - \frac{\gamma + 3}{\sqrt{(\gamma^2 - 1)}} \right\}. \tag{88.10}
\]

† This takes account of the fact that the photons and the electrons have the same number of independent polarisations (two), and it is therefore immaterial which correspond to the averaging of \(|M_{fi}|^2\) and which to the summation.
In particular, in the non-relativistic limit†
\[ \sigma = \frac{\pi r_\varepsilon^2}{v_+} \quad \text{(non-relativistic)}, \]  
(88.11)
where \( v_+ \) is the velocity of the positron.

In the centre-of-mass system the electron, the positron and the two photons have equal energies, \( \varepsilon = \omega \). The invariants are
\[
\begin{align*}
    m^2 - s &= 2\varepsilon(\varepsilon - |p| \cos \theta), \\
    m^2 - u &= 2\varepsilon(\varepsilon + |p| \cos \theta), \\
    t &= 4\varepsilon^2,
\end{align*}
\]
(88.12)
where \( \theta \) is the angle between the momentum of the electron and that of one of the photons. Substituting in (88.4), we find the angular distribution of the annihilation photons:
\[ d\sigma = \frac{r_\varepsilon^2 m^2}{4\varepsilon |p|} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon^2 + p^2(1 + \sin^2 \theta)}{\varepsilon^2 - p^2 \cos^2 \theta} - \frac{2p^4 \sin^4 \theta}{(\varepsilon^2 - p^2 \cos^2 \theta)^2} \right] d\theta. \]
(88.13)
In the ultra-relativistic case this has symmetrical maxima in the directions \( \theta = 0 \) and \( \theta = \pi \). Near \( \theta = 0 \), we have
\[ d\sigma \approx \frac{r_\varepsilon^2 m^2}{2\varepsilon^2(\theta^2 + m^2/\varepsilon^2)} d\theta \quad \text{(ultra-relativistic)}. \]
(88.14)
The total cross-section is obtained from (88.6):
\[ \sigma = \frac{\pi r_\varepsilon^2}{4\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{3 - v^4}{v} \log \frac{1 + v}{1 - v} - 2(2 - v^2) \right], \]
(88.15)
where \( v = |p|/\varepsilon = \sqrt{(\varepsilon^2 - m^2)/\varepsilon} \) is the velocity of the colliding particles.

We shall not discuss here the details of the polarisation effects in annihilation,‡ but merely consider certain qualitative features of these effects in the limiting cases where the velocity \( v \) of the colliding particles is large or small. The process will be considered in the centre-of-mass system.

In the limit \( v \to 0 \), only the state with orbital angular momentum of relative motion \( l = 0 \) gives a non-zero contribution to the cross-section. But the \( S \) state of the electron + positron system has negative parity (§27, Problem). In odd states of a two-photon system, their polarisations are orthogonal (§9). The same must therefore be true of the annihilation photons in the non-relativistic case.

If the electron and positron are polarised, their annihilation is possible (again in the non-relativistic case) only if their spins are antiparallel: since the annihilation occurs in the \( S \) state, the total angular momentum of the system is equal to the total spin of the particles, which is 1 when the spins are parallel. The two-photon system, however, has no state with total angular momentum 1 (see §9).

In the ultra-relativistic limit \( (v \to 1) \), the annihilation of a longitudinally polarised (helical) electron and positron is possible only when their helicities have opposite signs.§

In this limit, helical particles behave as neutrinos (see the end of §81), and the electron and

† This formula becomes inapplicable, however, when \( v_+ \leq a \) and the Coulomb interaction of the components of the pair cannot be neglected; cf. the end of §92.
§ Since the directions of the particle momenta are also opposite (in the centre-of-mass system), helicities of opposite sign correspond to parallel spins.
positron undergoing annihilation must be analogous to a neutrino and an antineutrino, whence the result stated follows.

The annihilation of an electron and a positron with the same helicity occurs, in the ultra-relativistic case, only when terms containing $m$ are taken into account. The amplitude of this process differs, in order of magnitude, by a factor $m/e$ from that of the annihilation of a pair with parallel spins; the cross-section accordingly differs by a factor $(m/e)^2$.

**PROBLEM**

Find the cross-section for the formation of an electron pair in the collision of two photons (G. Breit and J. A. Wheeler, 1934).

**SOLUTION.** This is the process inverse to the two-photon annihilation of an electron pair. The squared amplitudes are the same for the two processes, and their relationship to the cross-section differs only in that here $I^2 = (k_1 k_2)^2 = \frac{1}{t^2}$. Hence

$$d\sigma_{\text{form}} = d\sigma_{\text{ann}} \frac{t - 4m^2}{t}.$$  

In the centre-of-mass system ($t = 4e^2 = 40^2$),

$$d\sigma_{\text{form}} = v^2 d\sigma_{\text{ann}},$$  

where $v$ is the velocity of the components of the pair. In integrating to obtain the total cross-section, the result is not to be divided by 2 (as in the case of annihilation), because the two final particles (electron and positron) are not identical. Hence, in the centre-of-mass system,

$$\sigma_{\text{form}} = 2v^2\sigma_{\text{ann}} = \frac{1}{2}\pi r^2(1 - v^2) \left(3 - v^4 \log \frac{1 + v}{1 - v} - 2v(2 - v^2) \right).$$  

(1)

In an arbitrary frame of reference $K$, in which the two photons $k_1$ and $k_2$ are moving in opposite directions, we have (from the invariance of $k_1 k_2$)

$$\omega_1 \omega_2 = \omega^2,$$

where $\omega$ is the energy of the photons in the centre-of-mass system. Since this energy is equal to that of the pair components, we have $\omega = \epsilon = m/\sqrt{(1 - v^2)}$. To change to the frame $K$, we must therefore put in (1)

$$v = \sqrt{(1 - m^2/\omega_1 \omega_2)}.$$

**§89. Annihilation of positronium**

Owing to the conservation of momentum, the annihilation of the electron and positron in positronium must be accompanied by the emission of at least two photons. Such a decay is possible (in the ground state), however, only for parapositronium. In §9 we have shown that the total angular momentum of a two-photon system cannot be 1. Hence orthopositronium in the $^3S_1$ state cannot decay into two photons. Moreover, since positronium in the $^3S_1$ state is a charge-odd system (see §27, Problem), Furry’s theorem (§80) shows that it cannot decay into any even number of photons. In the $^1S_0$ state, on the other hand, positronium is charge-even, and the decay of parapositronium into any odd number of photons is therefore forbidden.

The main process which determines the lifetime of positronium is therefore two-photon annihilation for parapositronium and three-photon annihilation for orthopositronium (I. Ya. Pomeranchuk, 1948). The decay probability can be related to the cross-section for annihilation of a free pair.

The electron and positron momenta in positronium are $\sim me^2/\hbar$, i.e. small compared with $mc$. Hence, in calculating the probability of annihilation, we can take the limit of two particles at rest at the origin. Let \( \sigma_{2\gamma} \) be the cross-section for two-photon annihilation.
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of a free pair, averaged over the spin directions of both particles. In the non-relativistic limit, according to (88.11),†

\[
\bar{\sigma}_{2r} = \pi (\varepsilon^2 / mc^2)^2 c / \nu,
\]

where \(\nu\) is the relative velocity of the particles. The annihilation probability \(\bar{w}_{2r}\) is obtained on multiplying \(\bar{\sigma}_{2r}\) by the flux density \(\nu |\psi(0)|^2\). Here \(\psi(r)\) is the wave function, normalised to unity, of the positronium ground state:

\[
\psi(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi a^3}} e^{-r/a}, \quad a = 2\hbar^2 / me^2;
\]

the Bohr radius \(a\) for positronium is twice that for the hydrogen atom, because its reduced mass is half as great. This probability, however, corresponds to the initial state averaged over spins, whereas in positronium, of the four possible spin states of a two-particle system, only one (with total spin 0) can undergo two-photon annihilation. Hence the mean decay probability \(\bar{w}_{2r}\) is related to the parapositronium decay probability \(w_0\) by \(\bar{w}_{2r} = \frac{1}{4}w_0\), and so

\[
w_0 = 4|\psi(0)|^2 (v\bar{\sigma}_{2r})_{v=0}.
\]

Substituting the values from (89.1), (89.2), we obtain for the lifetime of parapositronium \(\tau_0 = 2\hbar / mc^2 a^2 = 1.23 \times 10^{-10}\) sec.

It should be noticed that the level width \(\Gamma_0 = \hbar / \tau_0\) is small compared with the level energy

\[
|E_{gr}| = me^4 / 4\hbar^2 = mc^2 a^2 / 4.
\]

For this reason positronium may be regarded as a system in a quasi-stationary state.

Similarly we find that the decay probability for orthopositronium is related to the spin-averaged cross-section for three-photon annihilation of a free pair by

\[
w_1 = \frac{3}{4}\bar{w}_{3r} = \frac{3}{4}|\psi(0)|^2 (v\bar{\sigma}_{3r})_{v=0},
\]

the statistical weight of a state with spin 1 being \(\frac{3}{4}\). Anticipating, we may mention that

\[
\bar{\sigma}_{3r} = 4(\pi^2 - 9)c \times \left(\frac{e^2}{mc^2}\right)^2.
\]

The lifetime of orthopositronium is therefore

\[
\tau_1 = \frac{9\pi}{2(\pi^2 - 9)} \frac{\hbar}{mc^2 a^6} = 1.4 \times 10^{-7}\) sec.
\]

The inequality \(\Gamma_1 \ll |E_{gr}|\) is here, of course, satisfied even more markedly than for parapositronium.

Let us now calculate the cross-section for three-photon annihilation of a free pair (A. Ore and J. L. Powell, 1949).‡ According to (65.18), the cross-section in the centre-of-mass system is expressed in terms of the squared amplitude by

\[
d\sigma_{3r} = \frac{(2\pi)^4 |M_{fi}|^2}{4I} \delta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 - 2m) \frac{d^3 k_1 d^3 k_2 d^3 k_3}{(2\pi)^9 2\omega_1 2\omega_2 2\omega_3},
\]

† Formulae (89.1)–(89.7) are written in ordinary units.
‡ Another channel of the same reaction is the double Compton effect, \(e + \gamma \rightarrow e + \gamma + \gamma\); the cross-section for this process is discussed by F. Mandl and T. H. R. Skyrme, Proceedings of the Royal Society A 215, 497, 1952.
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where, according to (65.16), \( I = 2m.\frac{1}{2}mv = m^2v, v \) being the relative velocity (assumed small) of the positron and the electron; \( k_1, k_2, k_3 \) and \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \) are the wave vectors and frequencies of the photons formed; the delta functions express the laws of conservation of energy and momentum. Because of these laws, the three frequencies \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \) must be represented by the lengths of the sides of a triangle with perimeter \( 2m \). Thus the magnitudes of the momenta \( k_1, k_2, k_3 \) and the angles between them are entirely determined by specifying two frequencies.

The three-photon annihilation corresponds to the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
  k_1 \quad \rightarrow \quad p_+ \\
  k_2 \quad \rightarrow \\
  k_3 \quad \rightarrow \\
\end{array}
\]

and a further five diagrams obtained from it by interchanging the photons \( k_1, k_2, k_3 \). The amplitude may be written

\[
M_{fl} = (4\pi)^{3/2}e^{(3)}(\delta e^{(2)}*e^{(1)}*\overline{u}(-p_+)Q^{\lambda \mu \nu}u(p_-),
\]

(89.9)

\[
Q^{\lambda \mu \nu} = \sum_{\text{int.}} \gamma^\lambda G(k_3-p_+)\gamma^\mu G(p_- - k_1)\gamma^\nu,
\]

(89.10)

the sum being taken over all interchanges of the photon numbers 1, 2, 3 together with corresponding simultaneous interchanges of the tensor indices \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \). The squared modulus of the amplitude, averaged over the polarisations of the electron and the positron and summed over those of the photons, is

\[
\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{polar.}} |M_{fl}|^2 = (4\pi)^3 \text{tr} \{ \rho_+ Q^{\lambda \mu \nu} \rho_- \overline{Q}_{\lambda \mu \nu} \},
\]

(89.11)

where

\[
\rho_- = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_- + m), \quad \rho_+ = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_+ - m).
\]

The matrices \( \overline{Q}_{\lambda \mu \nu} \) differ from the matrices \( Q^{\lambda \mu \nu} \) in that the order of the factors is reversed in each term of the sum. In the limiting case considered, where the electron and positron velocities are small, their 3-momenta \( p_- \) and \( p_+ \) may be taken as zero, putting \( p_- = p_+ = (m, 0) \). Then the electron Green's functions are

\[
G(p_- - k_1) = \frac{\beta_- - k_1 + m}{(p_- - k_1)^2 - m^2} \approx \frac{-k_1 + m(\gamma^0 + 1)}{-2m\omega_1},
\]

etc., and the density matrices reduce to

\[
\rho_\pm = \frac{1}{2}m(\gamma^0 \pm 1).
\]

A large number of terms arise on carrying out the multiplication in (89.11), but the number that need to be calculated can be greatly reduced by making full use of the symmetry with respect to interchanges of photons. For example, it is sufficient to multiply out the six terms in \( Q^{\lambda \mu \nu} \) (89.10) each with only one term in \( \overline{Q}_{\lambda \mu \nu} \). In the six traces then remaining, we can again select certain parts which are transformed into one another by various interchanges of photons. The products of the 4-vectors \( p, k_1, k_2, k_3 \) which occur when the traces are expanded can all be expressed in terms of the frequencies \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \). Since \( p = (m, 0) \), we have \( pk_1 = m\omega_1, \ldots \). The products \( k_1, k_2, \ldots \) are determined
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from the equation of conservation of 4-momentum: \( 2p = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 \); for example, writing this equation in the form \( 2p - k_3 = k_1 + k_2 \) and squaring, we have

\[ k_1 k_2 = 2m(m - \omega_3), \ldots \]  

(89.12)

The result of the calculation, which is still fairly lengthy, is

\[ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\text{polar.}} |M_{fi}|^2 = (4\pi)^3 e^6 \cdot 16 \left[ \left( \frac{m - \omega_1}{\omega_2 \omega_3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m - \omega_2}{\omega_1 \omega_3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m - \omega_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \right)^2 \right]. \]

Substituting this expression in (89.8), we obtain the differential cross-section for three-photon annihilation:

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d^3k_3} = \frac{e^6}{\pi^2 m^2 v} \left[ \left( \frac{m - \omega_1}{\omega_2 \omega_3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m - \omega_2}{\omega_1 \omega_3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m - \omega_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \right)^2 \right] \times \\
\times \delta(k_1 + k_2 + k_3) \delta(\omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3 - 2m) \frac{d^3k_1 \, d^3k_2 \, d^3k_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3}.  
\]  

(89.13)

The delta functions have still to be eliminated. The first is removed by integrating over \( d^3k_3 \), and we then write

\[ d^3k_1 \, d^3k_2 \to 4\pi \omega_1^2 \, d\omega_1 \cdot 2\pi \omega_2^2 \, d(\cos \theta_{12}) \, d\omega_2, \]

where \( \theta_{12} \) is the angle between \( k_1 \) and \( k_2 \); it is assumed that the integration has already been performed over the directions of \( k_1 \) and the azimuth of \( k_2 \) relative to \( k_1 \). Differentiating the equation

\[ \omega_3 = \sqrt{(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 + 2\omega_1 \omega_2 \cos \theta_{12})}, \]

we find

\[ d \cos \theta_{12} = (\omega_3/\omega_1 \omega_2) \, d\omega_3. \]

The second delta function is removed by integrating over \( d\omega_3 \). The resulting cross-section for annihilation with formation of photons having specified energies is

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d^3k_3} = \frac{1}{6 \pi m^2} \left( \left( \frac{m - \omega_3}{\omega_1 \omega_2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m - \omega_2}{\omega_1 \omega_3} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m - \omega_1}{\omega_2 \omega_3} \right)^2 \right) \, d\omega_1 \, d\omega_2;  
\]  

(89.14)

the factor 1/6 has been included in order to take account of the identity of the photons in the subsequent integration over frequencies (cf. the fourth footnote to §65).

Each of the frequencies \( \omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \) can take values between 0 and \( m \); the latter can be reached by two frequencies when the third is zero. For given \( \omega_1 \), the frequency \( \omega_2 \) varies
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**Fig. 16.**
between \(m - \omega_1 \) and \(m \). Integrating (89.14) over \(d\omega_2 \) between these limits, we obtain the spectral distribution of decay photons:
\[
d\vec{\sigma}_{3y} = \frac{8e^6}{3vm^3} F(\omega_1) d\omega_1,
\]
\[
F(\omega_1) = \frac{\omega_1(m - \omega_1)}{(2m - \omega_1)^2} + \frac{2m - \omega_1}{\omega_1} + \left[ \frac{2m(m - \omega_1)}{\omega_1^2} - \frac{2m(m - \omega_1)^2}{(2m - \omega_1)^3} \right] \log\frac{m - \omega_1}{m}.
\]
The function \( F(\omega_1) \) increases monotonically from zero when \( \omega_1 = 0 \) to unity when \( \omega_1 = m \), and is shown graphically in Fig. 16.

The total annihilation cross-section is obtained by integrating (89.14) over both frequencies:
\[
\vec{\sigma}_{3y} = \frac{4e^6}{3vm^3} \cdot 3 \int_0^m \int_{m - \omega_1}^m \frac{(\omega_1 + \omega_2 - m)^2}{\omega_1^2 \omega_2^2} d\omega_1 d\omega_2.
\]
The value of the integral is \((\pi^2 - 9)/3\), and we thus return to formula (89.6).

### §90. Electron–nucleus bremsstrahlung. The non-relativistic case

This section and those following are concerned with the important phenomenon of bremsstrahlung, the radiation emitted in a collision between particles. We shall first consider a non-relativistic collision between an electron and a nucleus, assuming that the nucleus remains at rest; that is, we consider radiation from the scattering of an electron in the Coulomb field of a fixed centre (A. Sommerfeld, 1931).

We begin from formula (45.5) for the probability of dipole radiation:
\[
dw = (\omega^2/2\pi)|e^* \cdot d_{fi}|^2 d\omega.
\]
(90.1)

In the present case, the initial and final states of the electron belong to the continuous spectrum, and the photon frequency
\[
\omega = (1/2m)(p^2 - p'^2),
\]
(90.2)

where \( p = mv \) and \( p' = mv' \) are the initial and final momenta of the electron. If the initial and final wave functions of the electron are normalised to “one particle per unit volume” \((V = 1)\) the expression (90.1), on multiplication by \(d^3p'(2\pi)^3\) and division by the incident flux density \(v/V = v\), will give the scattering \(d\sigma_{kp}\) for emission of a photon \(k\) into the solid angle \(d\omega\) with scattering of the electron into the range of states \(d^3p'\). Replacing the matrix element of the dipole moment \(d = \epsilon r\) by that of the momentum:
\[
d_{fi} = -\frac{1}{io} \frac{\epsilon}{m} p_{fi},
\]
we can write the expression for the cross-section in the form†
\[
d\sigma_{kp'} = \frac{\omega e^2}{(2\pi)^6 m p} |e^* \cdot p_{fi}|^2 d\omega d^3p',
\]
(90.3)

where
\[
p_{fi} = \int \psi_f^* \psi_i d^3x = -i \int \psi_f^* \nabla \psi_i d^3x.
\]

For \(\psi_i\) and \(\psi_f\) we must use the exact wave functions in an attractive Coulomb field,

† In this section, \(p\) and \(p'\) denote \(|p|\) and \(|p'|\) respectively.
whose asymptotic form consists of a plane wave and a spherical wave. The spherical wave must be ingoing in $\psi_f$ and outgoing in $\psi_i$ (see QM, §134). These functions are

$$
\psi_i = A_i e^{ip \cdot r} F(iv, 1, i(pr - p \cdot r)), \quad v = Ze^2 m/p;
\psi_f = A_f e^{ip' \cdot r} F(-iv', 1, -i(p' r + p' \cdot r)), \quad v' = Ze^2 m/p',
$$

with the normalisation factors†

$$
A_i = e^{rv/2} \Gamma(1 - iv), \quad A_f = e^{nv'/2} \Gamma(1 + iv').
$$

Since

$$
\nabla F(iv, 1, i(pr - p \cdot r)) = i(pr/r - p)F',
$$

we can write the gradient $\nabla \psi_i$ as

$$
\nabla \psi_i = ip\psi_i - A_i e^{ip \cdot r} \frac{p}{r} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial p} \right)_v.
$$

On multiplication by $\psi_f^*$ and integration, the first term vanishes, because $\psi_i$ and $\psi_f$ are orthogonal. The matrix element $p_{fi}$ is therefore

$$
p_{fi} = iA_i A_f p \frac{\partial J}{\partial p},
$$

where $J$ denotes the integral

$$
J = \int \frac{e^{-iq \cdot r}}{r} F(iv, 1, i(pr + p' \cdot r)) F(iv, 1, i(pr - p \cdot r)) d^3x, \quad q = p' - p.
$$

The symbol $\partial/\partial p$ has been taken outside the integral, with the understanding that, in the differentiation of $J$, the quantities $v, v', q$ are to be regarded as independent parameters, $v$ and $q$ being expressed in terms of $p$ only after the differentiation.

The integral is calculated by replacing the confluent hypergeometric functions by their expressions as contour integrals. Here we shall give only the result:‡

$$
\begin{align*}
J &= BF(iv, iv, 1, z), \\
B &= 4\pi e^{-nv} (-q^2 - 2q \cdot p)^{-iv}(q^2 - 2q \cdot p')^{-iv'}(q^2 + iv' + 1), \\
z &= 2 \frac{q^2(p'p + p \cdot p') - 2(q \cdot p)(q \cdot p')}{(q^2 - 2q \cdot p')(q^2 + 2q \cdot p')},
\end{align*}
$$

Here $F(iv', iv, 1, z)$ is the complete hypergeometric function.

After differentiating in (90.6), we can put $q = p' - p$; then

$$
z = -\frac{pp' - p \cdot p'}{(p - p')^2}, \quad q^2 = (p - p')^2(1 - z)
$$

($z < 0$). Also,

$$
-q^2 - 2q \cdot p = q^2 - 2q \cdot p' = p^2 - p'^2 > 0.
$$

† The normalisation here is to unit density, not by $\delta(p)$ as in QM, §134.
‡ The calculations are given by A. Nordsieck, Physical Review 93, 785, 1954.
The matrix element is thus finally found to be

$$p_{fi} = A_i A_f \frac{8\pi i e^{-\pi v}}{(p-p')^2(p+p')} \left( \frac{p+p'}{p-p'} \right)^{-i(v+v')} \times \times (1-z)^{i(v+v')^{-1}} [ivpq \ F(z) + (1-z) \ F'(z)(p'-p)] \ . \quad (90.10)$$

where we have put for brevity

$$F(z) = F(iv', iv, 1, z). \quad (90.11)$$

The cross-section is obtained by substituting (90.10) in (90.3), but the general formula is very lengthy and obscure. We shall therefore go on immediately to calculate the spectral distribution of the radiation, i.e. to integrate the cross-section over the directions of the photon and the final electron.

The integration over $d\omega_\text{ph}$ and the summation over the polarisations of the photon are equivalent to averaging over all directions $e$ and multiplication by $2 \times 4\pi$, i.e. to the substitution

$$e_i e'^*_i \ d\omega_\text{ph} \to (8\pi/3) \delta_{ik}.$$  

The cross-section is then

$$d\sigma_\gamma' = \frac{4\omega e^2}{3pm} |p_{fi}|^2 \ \frac{d^3p'}{(2\pi)^3} \ 	imes \ rac{\omega e^2 p'}{6\pi^3 p} |p_{fi}|^2 \ d\omega \ d\omega'.$$  

The value of $|p_{fi}|^2$ is calculated by using (90.9)-(90.11) and the formula

$$|\Gamma(1-iv)|^2 = \pi v / \sinh \pi v.$$  

The result is

$$|p_{fi}|^2 = \frac{32\pi (Ze^2)^2 m^3}{p(p+p')^2(p-p')^4(1-e^{-2\pi v})(e^{2\pi v} - 1)} \times \times \left\{ \frac{v v'}{1-z} |F|^2 - z |F'|^2 + \frac{i}{2} i(v+v') \frac{z}{1-z} (FF'^* - F'F^*) \right\} . \quad (90.13)$$

To integrate the cross-section (90.12) over $d\omega_\gamma' = 2\pi \sin \theta \ d\theta$, we change from the variable $\theta$ (the scattering angle) to

$$z = - \frac{2pp'}{(p-p')^2 (1-\cos \theta)}, \quad d\omega_\gamma' \to \frac{\pi(p-p')^2}{pp'} \ dz.$$  

In order to integrate with respect to $z$, we transform the expression in the braces in (90.13) as follows. According to the differential equation of the hypergeometric function (see $QM$, (e.2)), we have

$$z(1-z)F'' + [1-(1+iv+iv')z]F' + vv'F = 0,$$

$$z(1-z)F''^* + [1-(1-iv-iv')z]F'^* + vv'F^* = 0.$$  

Multiplying these equations by $F^*$ and $F$ respectively and adding, we obtain

$$(1-z) \left[ \frac{d}{dz} z(F'F^* + F'^*F) - 2z |F'|^2 + \frac{i}{1-z} (F'^*F - F'F^*) + \frac{2vv'}{1-z} |F|^2 \right] = 0.$$
Hence the expression in the braces in (90.13) is seen to be
\[
\{\ldots\} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dz} z(F'F^* + FF'^*),
\] (90.14)
and the integration is immediate.

Collecting the above formulae, we find as the final expression for the bremsstrahlung emission cross-section in the frequency range \(d\omega\)
\[
d\sigma_\omega = \frac{64\pi^2}{3} Z^2 e^2 \frac{m^2 c^2}{p' (p - p')^2} \frac{1}{p (1 - e^{-2\pi v^2})} \left( -\frac{d}{d\xi} \left| F(\xi) \right|^2 \right) \frac{d\omega}{\omega},
\] (90.15)
where
\[
v = Z m c / p = Z e^2 / h v, \quad v' = Z e^2 / h v', \quad p' = \sqrt{(p^2 - 2m h v)},
\]
\[
F(\xi) = F(iv', iv, 1, \xi), \quad \xi = 4 p p' / (p - p')^2.
\]

The quantum formula (90.15) must become the classical result (Fields, §70) in the limit \(v \gg 1\) and \(h\omega \ll p^2 / 2m\); the first inequality is the condition for quasi-classical motion of the electron in the Coulomb field, and the second is the condition for the matrix element of the transition to be quasi-classical. To take the limit we need asymptotic expressions for the hypergeometric function for large values of the argument and the parameters, but these will not be discussed here.

Let us consider the limiting case where both velocities \(v\) and \(v'\) are so large that \(v \ll 1\), \(v' \ll 1\) (but, of course, still with \(v \ll 1\), so that \(Z a \ll v \ll 1\); this is possible only if \(Z\) is small). To calculate the derivative \(F'(\xi)\) in this case, we use the formula
\[
\frac{d}{dz} F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, z) = \frac{\alpha \beta}{\gamma} F(\alpha + 1, \beta + 1, \gamma + 1, z),
\]
which is easily obtained by simple differentiation of the hypergeometric series. Then
\[
F'(\xi) \approx iv iv' F(1, 1, 2, \xi) = (v v' / \xi) \log (1 - \xi);
\]
the last equation is evident from a direct comparison of the corresponding series. For the function \(F(\xi)\) itself, we have simply
\[
F(\xi) \approx F(0, 0, 1, \xi) = 1.
\]
Then, from (90.15),
\[
d\sigma_\omega = \frac{16}{3} Z^2 e^2 \frac{c^2}{v^2} \log \frac{v + v'}{v - v'} \frac{d\omega}{\omega},
\]
\[
Z e^2 / h v \ll 1, \quad Z e^2 / h v' \ll 1.
\] (90.16)

The smallness of \(v\) and \(v'\) is just the condition for the Born approximation to be valid in the case of Coulomb interaction. Formula (90.16) itself can therefore be more simply obtained directly by means of perturbation theory (see Problem 1).

Now let a fast electron \((v \ll 1)\) lose a considerable fraction of its energy by radiation, so that \(v' \ll v\) and \(v'\) may not be small. Then
\[
-\xi \approx 4 p' / p = 4 v / v' \ll 1,
\]
\[
F(\xi) \approx F(iv', 0, 1, \xi) = 1,
\]
\[
F'(\xi) \approx -v v' F(1 + iv', 1, 2, \xi) \approx -v v',
\]
\[\text{† Formulae (90.15)-(90.19) are given in ordinary units.}\]
and the cross-section is
\[
d\sigma_\omega = \frac{64\pi}{3} Z^3 a^2 r_e^2 \left( \frac{e}{v} \right)^3 \frac{1}{1 - \exp \left[ -2\pi Ze^2/\hbar \nu' \right]} \frac{d\omega}{\omega},
\]
(90.17)

When \( \nu' \ll 1 \), this formula yields the same limiting expression,
\[
d\sigma_\omega = \frac{2\pi^2 Z^2 a^2 r_e^2}{v^3} \frac{\epsilon^2 \nu'}{\omega},
\]
as (90.16) does when \( \nu' \ll v \). Hence formulae (90.16) and (90.17) jointly cover the whole range of \( \nu' \) (when \( v \ll 1 \)).

When \( \omega \rightarrow \omega_0 \), where \( \hbar \omega_0 = \frac{1}{2}mv^2 \), the velocity \( \nu' \rightarrow 0 \) and \( \nu' \rightarrow \infty \). In this limiting case, (90.17) gives
\[
d\sigma_\omega = \frac{128\pi}{3} Z^3 a^2 r_e^2 \left( \frac{e}{v} \right)^3 \frac{\hbar}{mv^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega},
\]
(90.18)

Thus \( d\sigma_\omega/d\omega \) tends to a finite limit as \( \omega \rightarrow \omega_0 \). This can be explained in a general manner by arguments similar to those given in QM, §144. The physical reason is that the frequency \( \omega_0 \) is the limit only of the continuous bremsstrahlung spectrum. The electron can also go to a bound state with emission of a frequency \( \omega > \omega_0 \). But highly excited bound states in a Coulomb field have properties almost the same as those of the free states near their limit. Hence the boundary between the continuous and the discrete spectrum is not essentially a physically distinctive point.

All the formulae given above refer to an attractive Coulomb field. The cross-section for emission in a repulsive field is obtained from (90.15) by changing the signs of \( v \) and \( \nu' \). Then, in particular, the limiting Born formula (90.16) remains unaltered, but in the limit \( \nu \ll 1 \), \( \nu' \rightarrow \infty \) we have instead of (90.18)
\[
d\sigma_\omega = \frac{128\pi}{3} Z^3 a^2 r_e^2 \left( \frac{e}{v} \right)^3 \exp \left( -\frac{\sqrt{(2mc^2)\pi Z\alpha}}{\hbar} \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{\left( \hbar \omega_0 - \hbar \nu \right)}} \right) \frac{d\omega}{mv^2},
\]
(90.19)
i.e. the differential cross-section tends exponentially to zero as \( \omega \rightarrow \omega_0 \). This result also is reasonable: in a repulsive field, there are no bound states, and the frequency \( \omega_0 \) is the true boundary of the radiation spectrum.

PROBLEMS

Problem 1. In the Born approximation, find the bremsstrahlung cross-section for a non-relativistic collision of two particles having different values of the ratio \( e/m \).

Solution. The dipole moment of two particles with charges \( e_1, e_2 \) and masses \( m_1, m_2 \), in their centre-of-mass system, is
\[
d = \mu \left( \frac{e_1}{m_1} - \frac{e_2}{m_2} \right) r,
\]
where \( \mu = m_1 m_2 / (m_1 + m_2) \), \( r = r_1 - r_2 \). Hence
\[
d = \left( \frac{e_1}{m_1} - \frac{e_2}{m_2} \right) \mu \hat{r} = - \left( \frac{e_1}{m_1} - \frac{e_2}{m_2} \right) \nabla \frac{e_1 e_2}{r}.
\]
The matrix element is
\[
d_{\nu', \nu} = -\frac{1}{\omega^2} (d)_{\nu', \nu}, \quad \omega = (p^2 - p'^2)/2\mu.
\]
where \( \mathbf{p} = \mu \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{p}' = \mu' \mathbf{v}' \) are the momenta of relative motion, and it is calculated from the plane waves by means of the formula

\[
\psi_p = e^{ip \cdot r}, \quad \psi_{p'} = e^{ip' \cdot r}
\]

The result is

\[
d\sigma_{kp'} = \frac{e^2 e^2}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{e_1}{m_1} - \frac{e_2}{m_2} \right) \frac{2v' \mu^2}{v q^2} \left( e \cdot q \right) (e^* \cdot q) \frac{d\omega}{d\omega'} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{d\mathbf{p}'} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{d\mathbf{k}}.
\]

After summation over polarisations, the angular distribution of the radiation is given by a factor \( \sin^2 \Theta \), where \( \Theta \) is the angle between the direction of the photon \( \mathbf{k} \) and the vector \( \mathbf{q} \), which lies in the scattering plane (see 45.4a).

After integration over the directions of the photon we have

\[
d\sigma_{\omega 0} = \frac{1}{\pi} e^2 e^2 \left( \frac{e_1}{m_1} - \frac{e_2}{m_2} \right) \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{2v + v'}{d\omega} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega'} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{d\mathbf{p}'} \frac{d\mathbf{k}}{d\mathbf{k}}.
\]

where \( \theta \) is the scattering angle. Finally, integration with respect to \( \theta \) gives

\[
d\sigma_{\omega 0} = \frac{1}{\pi} e^2 e^2 \left( \frac{e_1}{m_1} - \frac{e_2}{m_2} \right) \frac{1}{v^2} \log \frac{v + v'}{v - v'} \frac{d\omega}{d\omega'}.
\]

For radiation in the field of a fixed centre of Coulomb force, this formula is equivalent to (90.16).

**Problem 2.** In the Born approximation, find the bremsstrahlung cross-section for a non-relativistic collision of two electrons.

**Solution.** In this case there is no dipole radiation, and we must therefore consider quadrupole radiation. In the classical theory, the spectral distribution of the total intensity of quadrupole radiation is given by

\[
I_{\omega} = \frac{3}{8\omega} |(\mathbf{D}_{ik})_{\omega}|^2,\]

where \( D_{ik} = \Sigma e(3x_i x_k - r^2 \delta_{ik}) \) is the quadrupole moment tensor of a system of charges. For two electrons we have, in their centre-of-mass system,

\[
D_{ik} = \frac{3}{4\pi e} (3x_i x_k - r^2 \delta_{ik}), \quad r = r_1 - r_2.
\]

In the quantum theory, the Fourier components must be replaced by the matrix elements (cf. the discussion of dipole radiation in §45), and, with appropriate normalisation of the wave functions (plane waves) and division by the photon energy \( \omega \), we obtain the cross-section for emission of radiation with scattering of electrons into the range of states \( d\mathbf{p}' \):

\[
d\sigma_{p'} = \frac{1}{90\omega} |(\mathbf{D}_{ik})_{p'}|^2 \frac{d\mathbf{p}'}{v(2\mathbf{p})^3},
\]

where \( v = 2p/m \) is the initial velocity of relative motion; the emitted frequency \( \omega = (p^2 - p'^2)/m \).

The operator \( \mathbf{D}_{ik} \) is calculated by threefold commutation of the operator \( \mathbf{D}_{ik} \) with the Hamiltonian

\[
\mathbf{H} = \frac{p^2}{m} + \frac{e^2}{r}.
\]

and is

\[
\mathbf{D}_{ik} = \frac{2e^2}{m} \left[ 6 \left( \frac{x_i}{r_3} \mathbf{p}_k + \frac{x_k}{r_3} \mathbf{p}_i \right) + 6 \left( \frac{x_i}{r_3} \mathbf{p}_l + \frac{x_l}{r_3} \mathbf{p}_i \right) + 9 \left( \frac{x_i x_k x_l}{r_3^2} \right) - \delta_{ik} \left( \frac{x_i}{r_3} p_l + \frac{x_l}{r_3} p_i \right) \right],
\]

† The replacement of two particles by a single particle having the reduced mass is, of course, permissible only in the non-relativistic case.

‡ The collision velocity \( v \) satisfies the conditions \( a \ll e^2/\hbar v \ll 1 \). The classical case \( (e^2/\hbar v) \gg 1 \) is discussed in *Fields*, §71, Problem.

§ This formula is obtained from *Fields* (71.5) in the same way as (67.11) in that book is derived from (67.8).

¶ This expression is analogous to the classical form

\[
\mathbf{D}_{ik} = \frac{4e^2}{m^2} \left[ 6 \frac{x_i}{r_3} \mathbf{p}_k + 6 \frac{x_k}{r_3} \mathbf{p}_i - 9 \frac{x_i x_k}{r_3} \mathbf{p}_l - \frac{1}{r_3} \delta_{ik} \mathbf{p}_l \right],
\]

which would be obtained on differentiating \( D_{ik} \) and using the classical equation of motion:

\[
f = e^2/r^3.
\]
Since the two particles (electrons) are identical, the matrix elements are calculated from the wave functions

\[ \psi_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{ip\cdot r} \pm e^{-ip\cdot r}), \quad \psi_p' = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e^{ip'\cdot r} \pm e^{-ip'\cdot r}), \]

where the signs + and − correspond to total spins 0 and 1 of the electrons (interchange of the electrons corresponds to changing the sign of r).

The lengthy calculations† lead to the following formula for the spectral distribution of the radiation:

\[ d\sigma_{\omega} = \frac{\pi a^2}{2} \left[ \frac{17}{x^2} - \frac{3}{(2-x)^2} + \frac{12(2-x)^2 - 7(2-x)^2 x^2 - 3 x^4}{(2-x)^4 \sqrt{(1-x)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}} \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-x}} \frac{2}{\sqrt{x}} \frac{d\omega}{\omega}, \]

where \( x = \omega/\varepsilon \) and \( \varepsilon = p^2/m \) is the initial energy of relative motion of the electrons; the cross-section is averaged over values of the total spin of the electrons. The cross-section for energy loss by radiation is

\[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \sigma_{\omega} = 8.1 a^2. \]

PROBLEM 3. Determine the energy of the radiation resulting from the emission by a nucleus of a non-relativistic electron in the s state.

SOLUTION. The wave function of the emitted electron is an outgoing spherical s wave normalised to unit total flux:

\[ \psi_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(4\pi)}} \frac{e^{ipr}}{r}; \]

see QM, (33.12). As the wave function of the final state of the electron (after emission of the photon), we choose the plane wave

\[ \psi_f = e^{ip'\cdot r}. \]

The transition matrix element is

\[ p_{fi} = \langle p_i | p_f \rangle = \left( \int \overline{\psi}_f \psi_i d^3x \right)^* = \frac{\sqrt{(4\pi)}}{\sqrt{p'}} \int e^{-ip'\cdot r + ip\cdot r} \frac{d^3x}{r}. \]

The integral is calculated by means of (57.6a). The radiation energy is given by (45.8) on multiplying by \( d^3p'/(2\pi)^3 \) and integrating over the directions of \( p' \) (which is equivalent to multiplying by \( 4\pi \)). The spectral distribution of the emitted energy is then

\[ dE_\omega = (2\varepsilon'' \varepsilon'/3\pi\varepsilon) d\omega. \]

When \( \omega \to 0 \), the final velocity \( v' \) of the electron tends to \( v \), and the formula agrees, as it should, with the non-relativistic limit of the classical result; see Fields, §69, Problem. The total emitted energy is (in ordinary units)

\[ E = \frac{\varepsilon}{15\pi} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\sigma}, \]

where \( \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} m v^2 \) is the initial energy of the electron.

PROBLEM 4. Determine the energy of the radiation resulting from the reflection of a non-relativistic electron from an infinitely high potential barrier.

SOLUTION. Let the electron be moving perpendicularly to the barrier. Although the photon may be emitted in any direction, in the non-relativistic case the photon momentum is small compared with the electron momentum, and we may therefore suppose that the reflected electron also is moving perpendicularly to the plane of the barrier. Let the barrier be at \( x = 0 \), and the electron be moving on the side where \( x > 0 \). The wave functions of the stationary states of one-dimensional motion, normalised by \( \delta(p) \) \( (p = p_d) \), have the form of stationary waves (see QM, §21):

\[ \psi_t = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin px, \quad \psi_f = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin p'x. \]

† They are given in full by B. K. Fedyushin, Zhurnal eksperimental'noi i teoreticheskoi fiziki 22, 140, 1952.
The matrix element of the operator $p = p_\gamma$ is

$$p_{1i} = -\frac{2i}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \sin p'x \frac{d}{dx} \sin px \, dx$$

$$= \frac{-2ip}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \sin p'x \cos px \, dx$$

$$= \frac{-2i}{\pi} \frac{pp'}{p^2 - p'^2}.$$

The energy radiated in a single reflection of the electron is found from (45.8) by multiplying by $dp' = d\omega'\omega'$ and dividing by $\nu/2\pi$ (the flux density of the wave approaching the barrier in the initial function $\psi_0$):

$$dE_\omega = \frac{4\omega'^2e^2}{3m^2} |p_{1i}|^2 \frac{2\pi}{\nu'} \frac{d\omega}{\omega'}$$

$$= \frac{8}{3\pi} \frac{e^2\nu'^2}{\omega'^2} \, d\omega.$$

(1)

At low frequencies ($\omega \ll \omega = \frac{1}{2}mv^2$) we have $\omega' \approx \nu$, and formula (1) becomes the classical formula (Fields (69.5)), which has to be integrated over angles, using the fact that $\nu = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\nu$, where $\Delta\nu$ is the change in velocity of the electron on reflection); this is as it should be, since the condition for the collision time to be small (Fields, (69.1)) is always satisfied in reflection from a barrier. The quantum formula (1), however, also gives the total emitted energy (in ordinary units):

$$E = \int_0^\infty \frac{dE_\omega}{d\omega} \, d\omega = \frac{16}{9\pi} \frac{e^2}{\omega^2} \nu.$$ 

§91. Electron–nucleus bremsstrahlung. The relativistic case

Let us now consider the electron–nucleus bremsstrahlung for the case of relativistic electron velocities.† We shall assume that the condition for the Born approximation to be valid is satisfied for both the initial ($\nu$) and the final ($\nu'$) velocity of the electron: $Ze^2/\hbar\nu \ll 1$, $Ze^2/\hbar\nu' \ll 1$. The charge on the nucleus must be such that $Z\chi \ll 1$.

As in §90, we shall neglect the recoil of the nucleus, so that the latter acts only as the source of an external field; the justifiability of this treatment is discussed in §94.

According to (65.25), the cross-section for the process is

$$d\sigma = |M_{f|}\,^2 \frac{1}{8|p'\,\omega|} \delta(\nu - \nu' - \omega) \frac{d^3p' \, d^3k}{(2\pi)^5}. \quad (91.1)$$

Here $p, \nu$ and $p', \nu'$ are the initial and final momenta and energies of the electron; $k, \omega$ the momentum and energy of the photon. The delta function is eliminated by integrating over $\nu'$, which is very simply done, since $p'$ and $k$ are independent variables; we have

$$d^3p' = |p'\,| \, d\nu' \, d\nu', \quad d^3k = \omega^2 \, d\omega \, d\omega,$$

and need only make the change

$$\delta(\nu - \nu' - \omega) \, d^3p' \, d^3k \rightarrow \omega^2 \, |p'\,| \, d\omega \, d\omega \, d\nu.$$

Then

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^5} |M_{f|}|^2 \frac{\omega |p'|}{|p|} \, d\omega \, d\nu.$$

(91.2)

† Integrals of this form are to be understood as the limit, as $\delta \rightarrow 0$, of the values obtained by including a factor $e^{-2\gamma}$ in the integrand.

‡ The majority of the results given below were first derived by H. A. Bethe and W. Heitler (1934) and independently by F. Sauter (1934).
In the first non-vanishing approximation, the matrix element $M_{fi}$ corresponds to two diagrams:

\[ \text{(91.3)} \]

The free end $q$ corresponds to the external field, so that $q = p' - p + k$ is the 4-vector of momentum transfer to the nucleus. Since the recoil is neglected, the time component $q^0 = 0$.

According to the diagrams (91.3),

\[ M_{fi} = -e^2 A_0^{(e)}(q) \sqrt{4\pi} e_\mu^* \bar{u} \left( \gamma^\mu \gamma^0 + \frac{\not{f} + m}{f^2 - m^2} \gamma^0 \right) u. \]  

(91.4)

The intermediate 4-momenta are $f = p - k, f' = p' + k$. We shall use the notation

\[ f^2 - m^2 = -2kp \equiv -2\kappa \omega, \quad f'^2 - m^2 = 2kp' \equiv 2\kappa' \omega. \]  

(91.5)

$A_0^{(e)}$ is the scalar potential of the external field; for a purely Coulomb field,

\[ A_0^{(e)}(q) = 4\pi Ze/q^2. \]  

(91.6)

Substitution in (91.2) gives for the cross-section

\[ d\sigma = \frac{Z^2 e_\mu^* e_\nu (\bar{u} Q^\mu u)(\bar{u} Q'^\nu u')}{4\pi^2 |p'|\omega |p|q^2} \, dq' \, dq \, d\omega, \]

where

\[ Q^\mu = \frac{\gamma^\mu \gamma^0 - \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu}{2\omega \kappa}, \quad Q'^\nu = \frac{\gamma^\nu \gamma^0 - \gamma^0 \gamma^\nu}{2\omega \kappa}. \]

Disregarding polarisation effects, we average the cross-section over the directions of spin of the initial electron and sum over the polarisations of the final electron and photon. This is equivalent to the substitution

\[ e_\mu^* e_\nu (\bar{u} Q^\mu u)(\bar{u} Q'^\nu u') \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \text{tr} \, Q_{\mu}(\beta + m) \bar{Q}^\mu(\beta' + m). \]

The trace is calculated by means of the standard formulae (§22). The calculations are somewhat simplified by using the equation

\[ \gamma^0 p^\mu = \hat{p}, \]

where $\hat{p} = (e, -p)$ if $p = (e, p)$. Moreover, the number of terms to be calculated can be reduced by using the symmetry with respect to the change $p \leftrightarrow p', k \rightarrow -k, q \rightarrow -q$; this simply interchanges cyclically the factors in the product of matrices, leaving the trace unaltered.

The result is the following expression for the differential cross-section for bremsstrahlung in which a photon of a given frequency is emitted in a given direction and the secondary
electron travels in a given direction:†
\[
d\sigma = \frac{Z^2 \alpha e^2}{4\pi^2} \frac{p' m^2}{p q^4} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \, d\omega' \, d\phi \times \\
\times \left\{ \frac{q^2}{kk' m^2} (2e^2 + 2e'^2 - q^2) + q^2 \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{\kappa'} \right) - 4 \left( \frac{e}{\kappa} - \frac{e'}{\kappa'} \right) + \\
+ \frac{2 \omega^2}{m^2} \left( \frac{1}{\kappa} - \frac{1}{\kappa'} \right) - \frac{2 \omega^2}{m^2} \left( \frac{\kappa'}{\kappa} + \frac{\kappa}{\kappa'} \right) \right\}, \tag{91.7}
\]

where \( \kappa = e - n \cdot p, \kappa' = e' - n \cdot p', n = k/\omega, q = p' + k - p. \)

By means of simple transformations, this expression can be put in a form somewhat more convenient for analysis:
\[
d\sigma = \frac{Z^2 \alpha e^2}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \, p^2 m^2 \sin \theta \, d\theta \, \sin \theta' \, d\theta' \, d\phi \times \\
\times \left\{ \frac{p^2}{k^2} (4e^2 - q^2) \sin^2 \theta' + \frac{p^2}{k^2} (4e'^2 - q^2) \sin^2 \theta + \\
+ \frac{2 \omega^2}{k \kappa} (p^2 \sin^2 \theta + p'^2 \sin^2 \theta') - \frac{2pp'}{kk'} (2e^2 + 2e'^2 - q^2) \sin \theta \sin \theta' \cos \phi \right\}, \tag{91.8}
\]

where
\[
k = e - p \cos \theta, \quad k' = e' - p' \cos \theta',
\]
\[
q^2 = p^2 + p'^2 + \omega^2 - 2p \omega \cos \theta + 2p' \omega \cos \theta' - 2pp' (\cos \theta \cos \theta' + \sin \theta \sin \theta' \cos \phi);
\]

\( \theta, \theta' \) are the angles between \( k \) and \( p, p' \) respectively; \( \phi \) is the angle between the plane of \( k \) and \( p \) and that of \( k \) and \( p' \).

The integration of (91.8) over the directions of the photon and the second electron is fairly lengthy. It leads to the following formula for the spectral distribution of the radiation:‡
\[
d\sigma_\omega = \frac{Z^2 \alpha e^2}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{p'}{p} \left[ \frac{4}{3} - 2ee' + \frac{p^2 + p'^2}{p^3 p'^3} + m^2 \left( \frac{e}{p^3} + \frac{e'}{p'^3} - \frac{1}{pp'} \right) + \\
+ L \left( \frac{8ee' \omega^2}{3pp'} + \frac{\omega^2}{p^3 p'^3} (e^2 e'^2 + p^2 p'^2 + 2m^2 ee') + \frac{2m^2 e^2 m^2}{2pp'} \left( \frac{e e' + p^2 - l' ee' + p'^2}{p^3} \right) \right) \right], \tag{91.9}
\]

where
\[
L = \log \frac{ee' + pp' - m^2}{ee' - pp' - m^2},
\]
\[
l = \log \frac{e + p}{e - p}, \quad l' = \log \frac{e' + p'}{e' - p'}.
\]

The permissible values of the frequency in these formulae are limited only by the condition imposed on the final velocity of the electron \( (Ze^2/|v'| \ll 1) \): the electron must not lose almost

† Here and in the rest of §91, \( p, p' \) and \( q \) denote the magnitudes of the three-dimensional vectors: \( p = |p|, p' = |p'|, q = |q|. \)
‡ The integration over the directions of the secondary electron only can also be completed in an analytical form; see R. L. Gluckstern and M. H. Hull, Jr., Physical Review 90, 1030, 1953.

Reference may also be made to the review paper by H. W. Koch and J. W. Motz, Reviews of Modern Physics 31, 920, 1959, in which the bremsstrahlung formulae are represented graphically.
all its energy. As $\omega \to 0$, the emission cross-section diverges as $d\omega/\omega$; this illustrates a
general rule which will be discussed in §95.

In the non-relativistic limit ($p \ll m$), the photon momentum is small compared with
the electron momentum, since

$$\omega = \frac{p'^{2} - p^{2}}{2m} \ll p.$$  

Hence $q^{2} \approx (p' - p)^{2}$. Putting in (91.8) $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' = m$ and neglecting $p$, $p'$ and $\omega$ in comparison
with $m$, we find

$$d\sigma = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{Z^{2} \alpha^{2} r_{e}^{2}}{\omega} \frac{d\omega}{pq^{4}} \sin \theta \sin \theta' d\theta' d\phi \times$$

$$\times (p^{2} \sin^{2} \theta + p'^{2} \sin^{2} \theta' - 2 pp' \sin \theta \sin \theta' \cos \phi),$$

or

$$d\sigma = \frac{Z^{2} \alpha^{3}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{p'}{p} (n \times q)^{2} \frac{d\omega}{q^{4}} \frac{d\omega}{\omega},$$  

(91.10)
in accordance with the Born-approximation formula derived in §90, Problem 1. Correspondingly, the spectral distribution of the radiation is given by the formula (90.16) already derived.†

In the ultra-relativistic case, when both the initial and the final energies of the electron
are large ($\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \gg m$), the angular distribution of photons and secondary electrons is very unusual. For small angles $\theta, \theta'$, the quantities $\kappa, \kappa'$ which appear in the denominators of formula (91.8) are

$$\kappa \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{m^{2}}{\varepsilon^{2}} + \theta^{2} \right),  \quad \kappa' \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{m^{2}}{\varepsilon'^{2}} + \theta'^{2} \right),$$  

(91.11)
and become very small in the range $\theta \lesssim m/\varepsilon$. In this range the magnitude of the vector $q$
also small ($q \sim m$). Thus, in the ultra-relativistic case, the photon and the secondary electron move forwards in a narrow cone with aperture angle $\sim m/\varepsilon$.

A quantitative formula for the angular distribution in the ultra-relativistic case is easily
obtained from (91.8) by substituting for $\kappa, \kappa'$ from (91.11), replacing $p, p'$ in all other places by $\varepsilon, \varepsilon'$, and neglecting $q^{2}$ in comparison with $\varepsilon^{2}$. With the convenient notation

$$\delta = \varepsilon \theta/m,  \quad \delta' = \varepsilon' \theta'/m,$$

(91.12)
we can put (91.8) in the form

$$d\sigma = \frac{8}{\pi} Z^{2} \alpha^{2} r_{e}^{2} \frac{\varepsilon^{4}}{\varepsilon q^{4}} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \delta \delta' d\delta' d\phi \times$$

$$\times \left\{ \frac{\delta^{2}}{1 + \delta^{2}} + \frac{\delta'^{2}}{1 + \delta'^{2}} + \frac{\omega^{2}}{2 \varepsilon} \frac{\delta^{2} + \delta'^{2}}{1 + \delta^{2} + \delta'^{2}} - \left( \frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon'} \right) \frac{\delta \delta' \cos \phi}{1 + 2 \delta^{2}(1 + \delta'^{2})} \right\}.$$  

(91.13)

Putting $q^{2} = (n \cdot q)^{2} + (n \cdot q')^{2}$ ($n = k/\omega$), we easily find that for small angles

$$\frac{q^{2}}{m^{2}} = (\delta^{2} + \delta'^{2} - 2 \delta \delta' \cos \phi) + m^{2} \left( \frac{1 + \delta^{2}}{2 \varepsilon} - \frac{1 + \delta'^{2}}{2 \varepsilon'} \right)^{2},$$

(91.14)
† The derivation of this formula by taking the limit in (91.9) is somewhat laborious, however, because of the cancellation of various terms.
When \( \delta \sim \delta' \sim 1 \), the second term in (91.14) is small compared with the first. The two terms become comparable at even smaller angles, where \( \delta \sim m/e \). Although \( q \) here becomes particularly small \( (q \sim m^2/e \ll m) \), the integrated contribution from this region to the cross-section is still small compared with that from the whole region \( \delta \leq 1 \) (the ratio of the contributions is easily seen to be \( m^2/e^2 \)). But \( q \) can also reach values \( q \sim m^2/e \) when \( \delta \sim \delta' \sim 1 \) if
\[
|\delta - \delta'| \leq m/e, \quad \phi \leq m/e. \tag{91.15}
\]
The contribution from this region is of the same order as the whole integral cross-section, or may even be the principal term in it (see below).

The integration of (91.13) with respect to \( \phi \) and \( \delta' \) gives the angular distribution of photons (with given frequency), regardless of the direction of the secondary electron:†
\[
d\sigma = 8Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{e'}{\epsilon} \frac{d\delta}{\delta} \times \nonumber
\times \left\{ \frac{e}{e'} + \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} - \frac{4\delta^2}{(1+\delta^2)^2} \right\} \frac{\log 2e\epsilon'}{m\omega} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{e}{e'} + \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} + 2 - \frac{16\delta^2}{(1+\delta^2)^2} \right]. \tag{91.16}
\]

Integrating with respect to \( \delta \), we find the spectral distribution of the radiation in the ultra-relativistic case:
\[
d\sigma_\omega = 4Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{e'}{\epsilon} \frac{\left( \frac{e}{e'} + \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} - \frac{2}{3} \right)}{\frac{\log 2e\epsilon'}{m\omega} - \frac{1}{2}}; \tag{91.17}
\]
this formula can also, of course, be obtained directly from (91.9).

The presence of the logarithm of a large quantity (the ratio \( e\epsilon'/m\omega \sim e'/m \gg 1 \) even if \( \omega \sim \epsilon \)) should be noted. If this quantity is so large that its logarithm is also large, the logarithmic terms become the principal ones in these formulae. The logarithm arises from integration in the range (91.15).‡ Thus, in the logarithmic approximation, i.e. when the terms not containing a large logarithm are neglected, the secondary electron moves at an angle \( \sim (m/e)^2 \) to the direction of incidence.

Finally, we shall give the limiting formula for the region near the hard end of the spectrum, when the ultra-relativistic electron radiates almost all its energy: \( \omega \approx \epsilon \gg \epsilon' \). From (91.9) we easily find
\[
d\sigma_\omega = 2Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{e'^2}{p'} \left\{ \frac{\epsilon'/p'}{p'} - \frac{m^2 \epsilon'}{4p'^2} \log \frac{\epsilon'/p'}{\epsilon'/p} - \frac{m^2 \epsilon'}{4p'^2} \log \frac{\epsilon'/p'}{\epsilon'/p} \right\}. \tag{91.18}
\]

Formulae (91.17) and (91.18) together cover the whole range of values of \( \omega \) for an ultra-relativistic initial electron, and agree for \( \omega \approx \epsilon \gg \epsilon' \gg m \). If the secondary electron is non-relativistic \( (p' \ll m) \), then
\[
d\sigma_\omega = 2Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 \frac{\sqrt{2m(\epsilon - \omega)}}{m} \frac{d\omega}{\epsilon}. \tag{91.19}
\]

† The integration over \( \phi \) from 0 to \( 2\pi \) is taken first. That over \( \delta' \) is conveniently replaced by integration over the difference \( |\Delta| = |\delta' - \delta| \), dividing the range into two parts, from 0 to some \( \Delta_0 \) and from \( \Delta_0 \) to \( \infty \), where \( \Delta_0 \) satisfies the inequalities \( m/e \ll \Delta_0 \ll 1 \), and \( \epsilon' = \epsilon \). In each region, approximate approximations are possible in the integrand.

‡ This is easily seen by considering the range of integration in which \( \phi = \Delta = \delta' - \delta \) satisfy the conditions \( m/e \ll \Delta, \phi \ll 1 \). In this range, \( q^2/m^2 \approx \Delta^2 + \phi^2 \delta'^2 \), and the terms in the braces in (91.13) are proportional to \( \phi^2 \) or \( \Delta^2 \) (becoming zero when \( \phi = 0 \) and \( \Delta = 0 \)). Integrals of the form
\[
\int \frac{\phi^2 \, d\phi \, d\Delta}{(\Delta^2 + \phi^2 \delta'^2)^2} \quad \text{or} \quad \int \frac{\Delta^2 \, d\phi \, d\Delta}{(\Delta^2 + \phi^2 \delta'^2)^2}
\]
diverge logarithmically; they are “cut off” at the limits of the above-mentioned range of the variables.
Electron–nucleus bremsstrahlung

Polarisation effects

Polarisation effects in bremsstrahlung can be studied by the general method described in §66. The choice of the 4-vectors \( e^{(1)} \), \( e^{(2)} \) is here particularly simple. Since there is only one frame of reference (the rest frame of the nucleus) which is of practical importance, it is sufficient to put \( e^{(1)} = (0, e^{1}) \), \( e^{(2)} = (0, e^{2}) \), where \( e^{(1)} \), \( e^{(2)} \) are unit vectors perpendicular to \( k \), one lying in the plane of \( k \) and \( p \) and the other perpendicular to that plane.

We shall not give here either the fairly lengthy calculations or their quantitative results,† but merely note some qualitative properties of the polarisation effects. These properties can be derived by means of various symmetry relations, as was done for the Compton effect in §87.

The theory under consideration corresponds to the first non-vanishing approximation of perturbation theory. In this approximation the cross-section cannot contain a term proportional only to the polarisation vector \( \xi \) of the initial electron or \( \xi' \) of the final electron. The absence of a term \( \sim \xi \) means that the total emission cross-section (summed over the polarisations of the photon and the secondary electron) is independent of the polarisation of the incident electron.

Of the terms proportional to only the photon polarisation parameters \( \xi_1', \xi_2', \xi_3' \), the term in \( \xi_2' \) is absent. Thus a photon radiated by an unpolarised electron is not circularly polarised. Here, however, there is a difference from the corresponding result for the Compton effect: in the latter case such terms were forbidden by spatial parity because of the impossibility of constructing a pseudoscalar from the only two available independent vectors, \( k \) and \( k' \). For bremsstrahlung, there are three independent momenta \( p, p' \) and \( k \), and these suffice to construct the pseudoscalar \( k . p \times p' \). A term of the form \( \xi_2' k . p \times p' \) does not violate spatial parity, and therefore, strictly speaking, need not be zero; but it is not invariant under a change of sign of all the momenta (cf. (87.26)), and is consequently absent in the first Born approximation.

The existence of the pseudoscalar \( k . p \times p' \) also has the result that, as well as the term proportional to \( \xi_3' \), a term proportional to \( \xi_1' \) is also allowed in the cross-section, unlike the case of the Compton effect. This term arises as a product of the form

\[
S_{np} \nu_n (k \times v)_p k . p \times p'
\]

(\( v = k \times p \)), which is invariant both under spatial inversion and under a change of sign of all the momenta. Thus the emitted photon has linear polarisation of both kinds (both along the axes \( e^{(1)} \) and \( e^{(2)} \), and in the “diagonal” directions at 45° to these axes). This refers, however, only to conditions where the direction of motion of the secondary electron is also recorded. On integration over all directions of \( p' \), the term \( \sim \xi_1' \) in the cross-section vanishes. This is evident from symmetry, since after the integration the two non-coincident “diagonal” directions become equivalent, and there can therefore be no preferential polarisation along one of them, such as occurs when \( \xi_1' \neq 0 \).

The degree of linear polarisation is independent of the state of polarisation of the incident electron: the correlation terms of the form \( \xi_1' \xi \) and \( \xi_2' \xi \) in the cross-section are forbidden

in the first Born approximation. The term $\xi'\zeta$, however, is allowed, so that the photon radiated by a polarised electron is circularly polarised (Ya. B. Zel'dovich, 1952).

**SCREENING**

The formulae derived above are for a purely Coulomb field. If radiation in a collision not with a “bare” nucleus but with an atom is considered, allowance must be made for the screening of the nuclear field by the electrons, which reduces the cross-section. For this purpose we must include the atomic form factor $F(q)$ in the potential $A_q(q)$ of the external field; see QM, §137. According to QM (137.2), this is done by writing $Z - F(q)$ instead of $Z$. We shall show under what conditions screening is important.

A given value of $q$ in the form factor corresponds to distances $r \sim 1/q$ in the spatial distribution of the electron charges in the atom. The form factor becomes almost equal to $Z$ (total screening) when $q \lesssim 1/a$, where $a$ is the dimension of the atom.

In the ultra-relativistic case, as we have seen, an important contribution to the emission cross-section comes from the range of values of $q$ near its minimum possible value for given initial and final energies of the electron. In the ultra-relativistic case,

$$q_{\text{min}} = p - p' - \omega = \sqrt{(e^2 - m^2) - (e'^2 - m^2)} - (e - e') \approx m^2 \omega / 2e' .$$

Screening is important if $q_{\text{min}} \lesssim 1/a$, or

$$\frac{e' \omega}{m \omega} \gtrsim a m .$$

(91.20)

This condition is always satisfied for sufficiently large energies of the incident electron.

If $q_{\text{min}} \ll 1/a$ (“total screening”) we can immediately write down, with logarithmic accuracy, the spectral distribution of the radiation. The argument of the logarithm in (91.17) is just the left-hand side of the inequality $e' \omega / m \omega \gg a m$. When the inequality is satisfied, the integral over $q$ which leads to this logarithm is cut off at a quantity of the order of the right-hand side of the inequality. According to the Thomas-Fermi model $a \sim a_0 Z^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, where $a_0 \sim 1/me^2$ is the Bohr radius (see QM, §70); then $am \sim 1/aZ$. Thus, when there is total screening, the logarithm in (91.17) should be replaced by $\log (1/aZ^2)$.

**ENERGY LOSS**

The energy lost as radiation by the electron is expressed by the “effective retardation”

$$\kappa_{\text{rad}} = \int_0^{\frac{e - m}{e'}} \omega \, d\sigma_\omega .$$

(91.21)

The calculation of the integral, with $d\sigma_\omega$ from (91.17), gives

$$\kappa_{\text{rad}} = Z^2 x r e^2 \left\{ \frac{12 e^2 + 4 m^2}{3 e p} \log \frac{e + p}{m} - \frac{(8e + 6p)m^2}{3 e p^2} \log^2 \frac{e + p}{m} - \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2m^2}{e p} F \left( \frac{2(e + p)}{m^2} \right) \right\} ,$$

(91.22)


‡ Although formula (91.17) is inapplicable near the upper limit, this fact is unimportant, since the integral converges.
where the function $F(x)$ is defined as

$$F(x) = \int_0^x \log \left( \frac{1+y}{y} \right) \, dy.$$  

For small $x$,

$$F(x) = x - \frac{x^2}{4} + \frac{x^3}{9} - \frac{x^4}{16} + \ldots;$$

for large $x$ we can use the exact formula

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{2} \pi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \log^2 x - F(1/x).$$

In the non-relativistic case, (91.22) becomes

$$\kappa_{\text{rad}} = 16Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 m/3.$$  \hspace{1cm} (91.23)

This formula can, of course, be obtained by direct integration of the non-relativistic Born formula (90.16).

In the ultra-relativistic case,

$$\kappa_{\text{rad}} = 4Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 e \left( \log \frac{2 \varepsilon}{m} - \frac{1}{3} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (91.24)

The ratio $\kappa_{\text{rad}}/\varepsilon$ is also called the cross-section for energy loss by radiation. It increases logarithmically when $\varepsilon$ is large. This increase no longer occurs, however, when screening is taken into account. For total screening, $\kappa_{\text{rad}}/\varepsilon$ tends to a constant limit $\approx 4Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 \log (1/xZ^4)$.

For a collision with an atom, it must also be remembered that some radiation originates from the electrons, as well as that from the nucleus. We shall see later (§94) that, in the ultra-relativistic case, the electron–electron emission cross-section differs from the electron–nucleus cross-section only in that the factor $Z^2$ is absent. Hence the presence of $Z$ atomic electrons can be approximately allowed for by replacing $Z^2$ by $Z(Z+1)$.

§92. Pair production by a photon in the field of a nucleus

The formation of an electron–positron pair in a collision between a photon and a nucleus $(Z + \gamma \rightarrow Z + e^- + e^+)$ is another cross-channel of the reaction corresponding to electron–nucleus bremsstrahlung $(Z + e^- \rightarrow Z + e^- + \gamma)$. The amplitude $M_{f_1}$ for this process is therefore obtained from the bremsstrahlung amplitude by simply making the changes

$$\begin{align*}
e, p &\rightarrow -e_+, -p_+, \\
e', p' &\rightarrow -e_-, p_-, \\
o, k &\rightarrow -n_-, -k,
\end{align*}$$

where the suffixes $+$ and $-$ refer to the positron and the electron. In terms of absolute magnitudes and angles, the transformation of momenta is

$$p \rightarrow p_+, \quad p' \rightarrow p_-, \quad \theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta_+, \quad \theta' \rightarrow \theta_-, \quad \phi \rightarrow \phi - \pi,$$

where $\theta_{\pm}$ are the angles between $p_{\pm}$ and $k$, and $\phi$ the angle between the planes $(k, p_+)$ and $(k, p_-)$.

The cross-section is now given in terms of $M_{f_1}$ by

$$d\sigma = |M_{f_1}|^2 \frac{1}{8\omega e_- e_+} \delta(\omega - e_+ - e_-) \frac{d^3p_+ \, d^3p_-}{(2\pi)^5}.$$
instead of (91.1), or, after elimination of the delta function,
\[ d\sigma = \frac{p_+ p_-}{(2\pi)^2 \omega} |M_f|^2 \, d\omega \, d\theta_+ \, d\theta_- \, d\phi. \]
(In the present section, \( p_\pm = |p_\pm| \) and \( q = |q| \).) Comparison with (91.2) shows that, to obtain the pair production cross-section from the bremsstrahlung cross-section, the latter must be modified according to (92.1), multiplied by
\[ \frac{2\omega^2}{k_+ k_-} \left( p_+^2 \sin^2 \theta_+ + p_-^2 \sin^2 \theta_- \right) - \frac{2p_+ p_-}{k_+ k_-} \left( 2e_+^2 + 2e_-^2 - q^2 \right) \sin \theta_+ \sin \theta_- \cos \phi, \]
and \( do' \, do_k \) replaced by \( do_+, \, do_- \).

Thus we find from (91.8) the following expression for the differential cross-section for pair production by an unpolarised photon, averaged over the polarisations of the components of the pair:
\[ d\sigma = -\frac{Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 p_+ p_-}{2\pi \omega^3 q^4} \sin \theta_+ \, d\theta_+ \sin \theta_- \, d\theta_- \, d\phi \times \]
\[ \times \left\{ \frac{p_+^2}{k_+} (4e_+^2 - q^2) \sin^2 \theta_+ + \frac{p_-^2}{k_-} (4e_-^2 - q^2) \sin^2 \theta_- - \frac{2\omega^2}{k_+ k_-} \left( p_+^2 \sin^2 \theta_+ + p_-^2 \sin^2 \theta_- \right) - \frac{2p_+ p_-}{k_+ k_-} \left( 2e_+^2 + 2e_-^2 - q^2 \right) \sin \theta_+ \sin \theta_- \cos \phi \right\}, \]
(92.3)
\[ \kappa_\pm = e_\pm - p_\pm \cos \theta_\pm, \quad q^2 = (p_+ + p_- - k)^2, \quad e_+ + e_- = \omega \]
(H. A. Bethe and W. Heitler, 1934).

A similar transformation derives from (91.9) the energy distribution of the components of the pair:
\[ d\sigma_{\pm} = Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 \frac{p_+ p_-}{\omega_3} \, d\omega \left\{ -\frac{3}{2} - 2e_+ e_- \frac{p_+^2 + p_-^2}{p_+^2 p_-^2} + \right. \]
\[ \left. + m^2 \left( l_+ \frac{e_+}{p_+^3} + l_- \frac{e_-}{p_-^3} - l_+ l_- \frac{1}{p_+ p_-} \right) + \right. \]
\[ \left. + L \left[ -\frac{8e_+ e_-}{3p_+ p_-} + \frac{\omega^2}{p_+^3 p_-^3} \left( e_+^2 e_-^2 + p_+^2 p_-^2 - m^2 e_+ e_- \right) - \right. \]
\[ \left. - \frac{m^2 \omega}{2p_+ p_-} \left( l_+ \frac{e_+ e_- - p_+^2}{p_+^3} + l_- \frac{e_+ e_- - p_-^2}{p_-^3} \right) \right] \right\}, \]
(92.4)
\[ L = \log \frac{e_+ e_- + p_+ p_- + m^2}{e_+ e_- - p_+ p_- + m^2}, \quad l_{\pm} = \log \frac{e_\pm + p_{\pm}}{e_\pm - p_{\pm}}. \]

Since the above formulae are based on the Born approximation, they are valid under the conditions \( Z e^2/v_\pm \ll 1 \). The symmetry of (92.3) and (92.4) with respect to the electron and the positron is itself a consequence of the Born approximation, and would not occur in higher approximations.

† Polarisation effects in pair production by a photon are discussed in the papers already quoted in §91 in connection with bremsstrahlung.
In the ultra-relativistic case \((\epsilon_\pm > m)\), the electron and the positron are emitted at angles \(\theta_\pm \sim m/\epsilon_\pm\) relative to the direction of the incident photon. The angular distribution is given by a formula similar to (91.13):

\[
d\sigma = \frac{8}{\pi} Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 \frac{m^4 \epsilon_+ \epsilon_-}{\omega^3 q^4} \frac{d\epsilon_+}{d\epsilon_-} \left( -\frac{\delta_+^2}{(1+\delta_+^2)^2} - \frac{\delta_-^2}{(1+\delta_-^2)^2} + \frac{\omega^2}{2\epsilon_+ \epsilon_-} \frac{\delta_+^2 + \delta_-^2}{(1+\delta_+^2)(1+\delta_-^2)} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \delta_+ \delta_- d\delta_+ d\delta_- d\phi, \tag{92.5}
\]

with

\[
\frac{q^2}{m^2} = \delta_+^2 + \delta_-^2 + 2\delta_+ \delta_- \cos \phi + m^2 \left( \frac{1+\delta_+^2}{2\epsilon_+} + \frac{1+\delta_-^2}{2\epsilon_-} \right)^2. \tag{92.6}
\]

The energy distribution in this case is

\[
d\sigma = 4Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 \frac{d\epsilon_+}{\omega^3} \left( \epsilon_+^2 + \epsilon_-^2 + 3\epsilon_+ \epsilon_- \right) \left( \log \frac{2\epsilon_+ \epsilon_-}{m\omega} - \frac{1}{2} \right) (\text{ultra-relativistic case}). \tag{92.7}
\]

Integration of (92.7) over \(\epsilon_+\) from \(m\) to \(\omega\) gives the total cross-section for pair production by a photon having a given energy:\footnote{Since the integral converges at both limits, the inapplicability of formula (92.7) for small values of \(\epsilon_+ - m\) is not important.}

\[
\sigma = \frac{2Z^2}{3} \alpha r_e^2 \left( \log \frac{2\omega}{m} - \frac{109}{42} \right), \quad \omega \gg m. \tag{92.8}
\]

As with bremsstrahlung, the logarithmic term in the ultra-relativistic cross-section arises from the range of values \(q \sim m^2/\epsilon\). This now corresponds to angles for which

\[
|\delta_+ - \delta_-| \lesssim m/\epsilon, \quad |\pi - \phi| \lesssim m/\epsilon,
\]

instead of \(\phi \lesssim m/\epsilon\) as in (91.15). Thus, in the logarithmic approximation, the directions of the electron and the positron are at angles to the direction of the photon which are inversely proportional to the particle energies, and are almost coplanar with the direction of the photon but on opposite sides of it.

Near the reaction threshold \((\omega \to 2m)\), the Born approximation is invalid. The derivation of a quantitative formula in this case would require an exact calculation of the Coulomb interaction of the three charged particles (the nucleus and the pair) in the final state. The symmetry with respect to the electron (which is attracted to the nucleus) and the positron (which is repelled from the nucleus) is then, of course, lost.

If

\[
Z\alpha \ll \sqrt{\frac{\omega - 2m}{\omega}} \ll 1, \tag{92.9}
\]

the Born approximation is still valid. At non-relativistic energies of the pair, \(\omega \approx 2m \gg p_\pm\), and therefore \(q \approx \omega\). In (92.3) we can everywhere put \(\epsilon_\pm = \kappa_\pm = m\), \(\omega = 2m\), and this formula then reduces to

\[
d\sigma = \frac{Z^2 \alpha r_e^2}{64\pi^2} \frac{p_+ p_-}{m^5} (p_+^2 \sin^2 \theta_+ + p_-^2 \sin^2 \theta_-) d\theta_+ d\theta_- d\epsilon_+. \tag{92.10}
\]
Interaction of electrons with photons

After integration over angles,

\[ d\sigma = \left( \frac{Z^2a^2}{m^5} \right) \frac{p_+p_- (p^2_+ + p^2_-)}{2s^2} d\varepsilon_+ \]

\[ = \frac{2Z^2a^2}{3m^3} (\omega - m) \sqrt{[(\varepsilon_+ - m)(\varepsilon_- - m)]} d\varepsilon_+ . \] (92.11)

Finally, integration over \( \varepsilon_+ \) from \( m \) to \( \omega - m \) gives the total cross-section

\[ \sigma = \frac{\pi}{12} \left( \frac{Z^2a^2}{m^3} \right) \left( \frac{\omega - 2m}{m} \right)^3 . \] (92.12)

If the relative velocity \( v_0 \) of the components of the pair formed is small, their Coulomb interaction must be taken into account (A. D. Sakharov, 1948). This interaction becomes important when \( v_0 \) is of the order of (or less than) the velocities of the particles in the bound state of the electron and positron (positronium):

\[ v_0 \ll \alpha . \] (92.13)

Let us consider the process in the centre-of-mass system of the pair. Virtual momenta \( \sim m \) are important in the diagrams which represent the process in this system; that is, distances \( \sim 1/m \) between the electron and the positron are important. The wave function \( \psi(r) \) of their relative motion changes appreciably only over distances \( r \sim 1/mv_0 \sim 1/\alpha x \), which are large compared with \( 1/m \). The allowance for the interaction of the particles therefore amounts to the inclusion of a factor \( \psi(0) \) in the transition matrix element. The differential cross-section is accordingly multiplied by \( |\psi(0)|^2 \), i.e. by

\[ \frac{2\pi\alpha v_0}{1 - e^{-2\pi\alpha v_0}} \] (92.14)

see \( QM \), (134.11). The relative velocity of the two particles is the velocity of one particle in the rest frame of the other. Comparing the values of the invariant \( p_+p_- \) here and in the laboratory system (the rest frame of the nucleus), we find

\[ \frac{m^2}{\sqrt{1 - v_0^2}} = \varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_- - p_+ \cdot p_- \],

whence \( v_0 \) may be found. If \( p_+ \) and \( p_- \) are similar in magnitude and direction, \( v_0 \) is given by the approximate formula

\[ v_0^2 = p^2/\alpha^2 + (p_+ - p_-)^2/\varepsilon^2 \] (92.15)

valid for \( v_0 \ll 1 \); here \( p = \frac{1}{2}(p_+ + p_-) \), \( \varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-) \), and \( \alpha \) is the angle between \( p_+ \) and \( p_- \).

The correction to the cross-section according to (92.14) and (92.15) causes an anomaly in the correlation between the momenta of the electron and positron formed: it has a narrow maximum at \( p_+ \approx p_- \).

§93. Exact theory of pair production and bremsstrahlung in the ultra-relativistic case

In §§91 and 92 we have discussed bremsstrahlung and pair production by a photon in the relativistic case, using the Born approximation, for which the condition \( Z\alpha \ll 1 \) must always be satisfied. In this section we shall describe a theory of these processes which is not subject to the limitation just mentioned, i.e. is valid even if \( Z\alpha \sim 1 \) (H. A. Bethe and
L. C. Maximon, 1954). We shall assume that both the particles (the initial and final electrons, or the constituents of the pair) are ultra-relativistic, with energy $\varepsilon \gg m$.

We have seen that in the ultra-relativistic case both particles move at small angles $(\theta, \theta' \text{ or } \theta_+, \theta_-)$ to the direction of the photon: $\theta \lesssim m/s$. This property is preserved in the exact theory, and we shall therefore consider just this range of angles.

The momentum transfer to the nucleus in this range is $q \sim m$. This means that in the wave functions the important values of the impact parameter are $\rho \sim 1/q \sim 1/m$, i.e. “large” distances. At such distances the wave function derived in §39 can be used.

**PAIR PRODUCTION**

The pair production cross-section is similar in form to the photoelectric effect cross-section (cf. (56.1), (56.2)):

$$d\sigma = 2\pi e\sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\hbar)}} |M_{fi}|^2 \delta(\omega-\varepsilon_+-\varepsilon_-) \frac{d^3p_+ d^3p_-}{(2\pi)^6},$$

where

$$M_{fi} = \int \psi_{\varepsilon_+, p_+}^{(+)}(\alpha,\varepsilon) \psi_{\varepsilon_-, p_-}^{(-)} d^3x.$$  \hspace{1cm} (93.1)

Here $\psi_{\varepsilon_-, p_-}$ is the wave function of the electron, and $\psi_{\varepsilon_+, p_+}$ the wave function with negative energy $-\varepsilon_+$ and momentum $-p_+$.

The function $\psi_{\varepsilon_-, p_-}$, which pertains to a particle in the final state, must have an asymptotic form which includes (besides the plane wave) an ingoing spherical wave; this is indicated by the superscript $(-)$. According to (39.10), this wave function is \dagger

$$\psi_{\varepsilon_-, p_-}^{(-)} = \frac{C^{(-)}}{\sqrt{(2\varepsilon_-)}} e^{ir \cdot k} \left(1 - \frac{i\alpha \cdot \nabla}{2\varepsilon_-}\right) F(-iv, 1, -i(p_- + p_- \cdot r))u(p_-),$$

$$C^{(-)} = e^{\pi/2} \Gamma(1 + iv), \quad v = Z\alpha.$$  \hspace{1cm} (93.2)

The function $\psi_{\varepsilon_+, p_+}^{(+)}$ must have an asymptotic form which includes an outgoing spherical wave (indicated by the superscript $+$), since it denotes the wave function of an “initial state with negative energy”. The asymptotic form of the wave function of the positron, obtained from $\psi_{\varepsilon_+, p_+}^{(+)}$, then has an ingoing wave, as is correct for a final particle. According to (39.11), this function is

$$\psi_{\varepsilon_+, p_+}^{(+)} = \frac{C^{(+)}}{\sqrt{(2\varepsilon_+)} e^{-ip_+ \cdot r} \left(1 + \frac{i\alpha \cdot \nabla}{2\varepsilon_+}\right) F(-iv, 1, i(p_+ + p_+ \cdot r))u(-p_+),$$

$$C^{(+)} = e^{-\pi/2} \Gamma(1 + iv).$$  \hspace{1cm} (93.3)

The terms $\sim 1/e$ in (93.3) and (93.4) have to be included because of the matrix structure of $M_{fi}$ (93.2). The matrix element $(\alpha)_{fi}$ is a vector whose direction is close to that of $k$. The leading term in $(\alpha)_{fi}$ is therefore small, and the correction terms are of the same order of magnitude as that term.

Substituting (93.3) and (93.4) in (93.2) and neglecting terms $\sim 1/\varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_-$, we find

$$M_{fi} = \frac{N}{2\sqrt{(\varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_-)}} u(p_-) \{(\varepsilon \alpha)I + (\varepsilon \alpha)(\alpha I_+) + (\alpha I_-) (\varepsilon \alpha)\} u(-p_+),$$

where

$$N = C^{(+)C^{(-)}} = \pi v / \sinh \pi v,$$  \hspace{1cm} (93.5)

\dagger In this section, $p_\pm = |p_\pm|$, $q = |q|$.  \hspace{1cm} (93.6)
Interaction of electrons with photons

\[ I = \int e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} F^* F_+ d^3x, \]
\[ I_+ = \frac{i}{2\varepsilon_+} \int e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} F^* \nabla F_+ d^3x, \]
\[ I_- = \frac{i}{2\varepsilon_-} \int e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} (\nabla F_-)^* F_+ d^3x, \]

(93.7)

\[ q = p_+ + p_- - k; \]

\[ F_- \text{ and } F_+ \text{ are used for brevity to denote the hypergeometric functions which appear in } (93.3) \text{ and } (93.4). \]

The integrals \( I, I_+, I_- \) satisfy one identical relation: from

\[ \int \nabla (e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}} F^* F_+) d^3x = 0, \]

we have

\[ qI + 2\varepsilon_+ I_+ + 2\varepsilon_- I_- = 0. \]

(93.8)

We average \( |M_{fi}|^2 \) over polarisations of the incident photon, and sum over directions of the electron and positron spins;\(^\dagger\) This is done by the tensor substitution

\[ e_i e_k^* \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ik} - n_i n_k), \quad n = k/\omega, \]

and changing the bispinor products according to

\[ u_+ \bar{u}_+ \rightarrow 2\rho_+ = (e_+ \gamma^0 - p_+ \cdot \gamma \mp m). \]

Putting also \( \alpha = \gamma^0 \gamma \), we find

\[ |M_{fi}|^2 \rightarrow (N^2/2\varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_-) \{ \text{tr} \rho_ - \mathbf{Q} \cdot \rho_+ \mathbf{Q} - \text{tr} \rho_ - \rho_+(n \cdot \mathbf{Q}) + (n \cdot \mathbf{Q}) \}, \]

\[ \mathbf{Q} = \gamma I - \gamma^0 \gamma(\gamma \cdot I_+ - \gamma^0 \gamma \cdot I_-)l, \]

\[ \bar{\mathbf{Q}} = \gamma I^* - \gamma^0 \gamma(\gamma \cdot I^*_+ - \gamma^0 \gamma \cdot I^*_-)l. \]

The final result, obtained after making the appropriate approximations, for the ultra-relativistic case at small angles

\[ \theta_+ \sim m/\varepsilon \ll 1, \]

will be given here. We define the auxiliary vectors

\[ \delta_+ = \frac{1}{m} (p_+)_\perp, \quad \delta_- = \frac{\varepsilon_+}{m} \theta_\perp, \]

(93.10)

where the suffix \( \perp \) denotes the component perpendicular to the direction of \( \mathbf{k} \). Then

\[ |M_{fi}|^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{4} N^2 \left\{ \frac{m^2 \omega^2}{2\varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_-} \left[ I^2 + 2 \left| \frac{m \delta_+}{2\varepsilon_+} + I_+ \right|^2 + 2 \left| \frac{m \delta_-}{2\varepsilon_-} + I_- \right|^2 \right] \right\}, \]

(93.11)

where we have used the fact that \( I \sim \varepsilon I_+ / q \sim \varepsilon I_+/m \) (as is seen from (93.8)), and terms of higher order in \( m/\varepsilon \) are omitted.

The integrals \( I_\pm \) may be expressed as

\[ I_\pm = i \frac{p_\pm}{2\varepsilon_\pm} \frac{\delta J}{\partial p_\pm}, \]

\[ J = \int \frac{e^{-i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}}}{r} F(-iv, 1, i(p_+ \cdot r + p_+ \cdot r)) F(iv, 1, i(p_\cdot r + p_\cdot r)) d^3x. \]

(93.12)

\( ^\dagger \) Calculations with allowance for the polarisation of all the particles are given by H. Olsen and L. C. Maximon, Physical Review 114, 887, 1959.
The integral $J$ can be written in terms of the complete hypergeometric function:

$$
J = \frac{4\pi}{q^2} \left( \frac{q^2 - 2p_+ \cdot q}{q^2 - 2p_- \cdot q} \right)^{iv} \right) F(-iv, iv, 1, z),
$$

$$
z = 2 \frac{q^2(p_+ p_- - p_+ p_-) + 2(p_+ \cdot q)(p_- \cdot q)}{(q^2 - 2p_+ \cdot q)(q^2 - 2p_- \cdot q)}.
$$

(93.13)

The differentiation with respect to $p_\pm$ must be carried out with $q$ fixed, only thereafter putting $q = p_+ + p_- - k$. The result, after making the approximations corresponding to the ultra-relativistic case and the conditions (93.9), is

$$
I_\pm = \frac{4\pi}{q^2} \frac{e_\pm}{m^2 \omega} \left( \frac{e_+ \xi_+ + e_- \xi_-}{e_+ \xi_+ - e_- \xi_-} \right)^{iv} \left\{ \pm v q \xi_+ F(z) + i \frac{q^2}{m^2} F'(z)(q \xi_+ - m \delta_\pm) \right\},
$$

(93.14)

with, for brevity, the notation

$$
\xi_\pm = \frac{1}{1 + \delta^2_\pm}, \quad z = 1 - \frac{q^2}{m^2} \xi_+ \xi_-,
$$

$$
F(z) = F(-iv, iv, 1, z),
$$

(93.15)

$F(z)$ being a real function. The integral $I$ is then found immediately from (93.8).

Substituting the values of the integrals in (93.11) and thence in (93.1), we find the required cross-section:

$$
d\sigma = \frac{4}{\pi} \left( \frac{\pi v}{\sinh \pi v} \right)^2 Z^2 a r_s^2 \frac{m^4}{q^4 \omega^3} \delta_+ d\delta_+ \cdot \delta_- d\delta_- \cdot d\phi \cdot d\psi \times
$$

$$
\times \left\{ F^2(z)\left[ -2e_+ e_- (\delta^2_+ \xi_+^2 + \delta^2_- \xi_-^2) + \omega^2(\delta^2_+ + \delta^2_-) \xi_+ \xi_- +
$$

$$
+ 2(e_+^2 + e_-^2) \delta_+ \delta_- \xi_+ \xi_- \cos \phi \right] +
$$

$$
+ \frac{\xi_+^2 \xi_-^2}{v^2} \cdot F'^2(z)\left[ -2e_+ e_- (\delta^2_+ \xi_+^2 + \delta^2_- \xi_-^2) + \omega^2(1 + \delta^2_+ \delta^2_-) \xi_+ \xi_- -
$$

$$
- 2(e_+^2 + e_-^2) \delta_+ \delta_- \xi_+ \xi_- \cos \phi \right] \right\}.
$$

(93.16)

When $v \to 0$,

$$
\frac{\pi v}{\sinh \pi v} \to 1, \quad F(z) \to 1, \quad F'(z) \approx v^2 \to 0.
$$

The expression (93.16) then reduces, as it should, to Bethe and Heitler's formula (92.5), which corresponds to the Born approximation. It also reduces to this formula for any $v$ if the angles of emission of the pair satisfy the conditions

$$
|\delta_+ - \delta_-| \ll 1, \quad |\pi - \phi| \ll 1.
$$

For then $q \ll m$, so that the second term in the braces in (93.16) can be omitted because of the extra factor $(q/m)^4$ as compared with the first term, and in the first term

† The calculations are given in Nordsieck's paper quoted in §90.
we have (since \(1-z \approx q^2/m^2 \ll 1\))

\[
F(z) \rightarrow F(1) \equiv F(-iv, iv, 1, 1)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-iv)\Gamma(1+iv)}\sinh \pi v
= \frac{\sinh \pi v}{\pi v},
\]

(93.17)

so that the similar factor in front of the braces is cancelled.

Let us now consider the integration of the cross-section over the directions of emission of the pair. The integration over angles is divided into two regions I and II, in which we have respectively

(I) \(1-z > 1-z_x\),

(II) \(1-z < 1-z_x\),

where \(z_x\) is a certain value such that \(1 > 1-z_x \geq (m/\varepsilon)^2\). Since in region II \(1-z \ll 1\), \(q^2 \ll m^2\), it follows from the above discussion that in this region \(d\sigma \approx d\sigma_B \equiv d\sigma_{\nu=0}\), where \(d\sigma_B\) is the cross-section in the Born approximation. The integral over angles is therefore

\[
d\sigma_{\nu, e^+} = \int_i d\sigma = \int_i d\sigma + \int_i d\sigma_{\nu=0}
= (d\sigma_{\nu, e^+})_B + \int_i (d\sigma - d\sigma_{\nu=0}),
\]

(93.18)

where \((d\sigma_{\nu, e^+})_B\) is the Born cross-section (92.7) integrated over angles.

In region I we have

\[
q^2/m^2 \approx \delta_+^2 + \delta_-^2 + 2\delta_+ \delta_- \cos \phi.
\]

We shall change from the variables \(\delta_+, \delta_-\), \(\phi\) to \(\xi_+, \xi_-, z\). A direct calculation of the Jacobian for this transformation gives

\[
\frac{d\xi_+ d\xi_- d\phi}{\delta m^2 (\xi_+ \xi_-)^3 \sin \phi},
\]

where

\[
1-z = (q^2/m^2)\xi_+ \xi_-
= \xi_+ + \xi_- - 2\xi_+ \xi_- + 2\sqrt{[\xi_+ \xi_- (1-\xi_+)(1-\xi_-)]}\cos \phi.
\]

Expressing \(\sin \phi\) and \(\cos \phi\) in terms of the other quantities by means of this equation and substituting in (93.16), we obtain after some simple algebra

\[
d\sigma = A d\xi_+ d\xi_- d\phi \frac{2d\xi_+ d\xi_- d\phi}{z(1-z)[(1-z)(\xi_+ + \xi_- - 1)]^2}
\times
\frac{F^2(z)}{(1-z)^2} \left[\left(\xi_+ + \xi_-\right)(1-z) + 2\xi_+ \xi_- (\xi_+ - \xi_-)^2\right] +
\frac{F^2(z)}{\nu^2} \left[\left(\xi_+ + \xi_-\right)z + 2\xi_+ \xi_- (\xi_+ + \xi_- - 1)^2\right],
\]

\[
A = \frac{\left(\frac{\pi v}{\sinh \pi v}\right)^2 Z^2 \alpha_e^2}{2 \pi \omega^3}.
\]

\(^{\dagger}\) This value of the function can be obtained from QM, (e.7), which relates hypergeometric functions with arguments \(z\) and \(1-z\).
Finally, we replace $\xi_+$ and $\xi_-$ in terms of new "spherical" variables $\chi$ and $\psi$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\xi_+ + \xi_- &= 1 = \sqrt{z} \sin \chi \cos \psi, \\
\xi_+ - \xi_- &= \sqrt{(1-z)} \sin \chi \sin \psi,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
0 < \chi < \frac{1}{2} \pi, \quad 0 < \psi < 2 \pi,
\]

\[
2 \, d\xi_+ \, d\xi_- \rightarrow \sqrt{[z(1-z)]} \sin \chi \cos \chi \, d\chi \, d\psi.
\]

These ranges of variation of $\chi$ and $\psi$ correspond to the range 0 to 1 for $\xi_+$ and $\xi_-$, i.e. to the range 0 to $\infty$ for $\delta_+$ and $\delta_-$ (or, equivalently, $\theta_+$ and $\theta_-$); the rapid convergence of the integral allows the range of variation of the angles to be extended in this way. After the transformation, the root in the denominator becomes $\sqrt{[z(1-z)]} \cos \chi$; the integration over $\chi$ and $\psi$ is elementary, and the result is

\[
d\sigma = 2A \, 2\pi \, dz \, (\epsilon_+^2 + \epsilon_-^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_+ \epsilon_-) \left[ \frac{F^2(z)}{1-z} + \frac{z}{v^2} F'(2z) \right] \, d\epsilon_+.
\]

An extra factor $2\pi$ has been included because the integration over $z$ is to be taken from 0 to $z_1$, whereas, when the azimuth $\phi$ varies from 0 to $\pi$ and from $\pi$ to $2\pi$, each value of $z$ occurs twice.

The integration over $z$ is effected by means of formula (90.14), which, for $v' = -v$ (and $F(z)$ accordingly real), becomes

\[
\frac{F^2}{1-z^2} + \frac{z}{v^2} F'^2 = \frac{1}{v^2} \frac{d}{dz} (zFF').
\]

The integral of this expression is $z_1 F(z_1) F'(z_1)/v^2$. The value of $z_1 F(z_1) \approx F(1)$ is taken from (93.17), and the limit of $F'(z_1 \to 1)$ is given by $^\dagger$

\[
\frac{1}{v^2} F'(z) = F(1 - iv, 1 + iv, 2, z)
\]

\[
\approx - \left[ \log (1-z) + 2f(v) \right] \frac{\sinh \pi v}{\pi v},
\]

$^\dagger$ The derivation of this formula is in the Appendix to the paper by H. Davies, H. A. Bethe and L. C. Maximon, Physical Review 93, 788, 1954.
where
\[ f(v) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Psi(1 + iv) + \Psi(1 - iv) - 2\Psi(1) \right] \]
\[ = v^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(n^2 + v^2)} \]
\[ \Psi(z) = \Gamma(z) / \Gamma(z). \]  
(93.19)

Substituting the above expressions in (93.18), we obtain as the final formula
\[ d\sigma_{ee} = 4Z^2 a^2 \left[ \log \frac{2\varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_-}{m\omega} - \frac{1}{2} - f(z) \right] \frac{d\varepsilon_+}{\omega^5}. \]  
(93.20)

The total cross-section for pair production by a photon with energy \( \omega \) is
\[ \sigma = \frac{8}{3} Z^2 a^2 \left[ \log \frac{2\omega}{m} - \frac{109}{42} - f(z) \right]. \]  
(93.21)

We see that the only change in these formulae is that a universal function \( f(z) \) of the atomic number is subtracted from the logarithm. Fig. 17 shows a graph of this function. For \( v \ll 1 \), \( f(v) \approx 1.2v^2 \).

**BREMSSTRAHLUNG**

The matrix element for the bremsstrahlung process is
\[ M_{ji} = \int \psi_{e p}^{(-)}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{e*}^{(+)}(\mathbf{r}) e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^3x; \]  
(93.22)

the wave functions of the initial electron \( (e, p) \) and the final electron \( (e', p') \) include respectively outgoing and ingoing spherical waves in their asymptotic forms. The calculation of this integral is similar to that of the matrix element (93.2), but will not be given here. Instead, we shall describe another way of calculating the bremsstrahlung cross-section, based on the fact that the problem is quasi-classical, and not using the explicit form of the wave functions of the electron in the field of the nucleus (and in this sense independent of the precise form of the field potential).†

In the bremsstrahlung process, the nucleus transfers to the electron and the photon a momentum \( \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}' + \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p} \). As previously in the pair production problem, we must distinguish two ranges of values of the transfer \( q \) which is transverse relative to \( p \):

(I) \( m \gg q \gg \omega m^2 / \varepsilon^2 \),  
(II) \( q \sim \omega m^2 / \varepsilon^2 \ll m \).  
(93.23)

It is evident that in region I the emission cross-section is equal to the Born value: for these values of \( q \), the recoil in photon emission by the electron is unimportant, as will be shown in §95 (see the derivation of the condition (95.10)). In region I, the cross-section for the process is therefore the product of the exact cross-section for electron scattering in the field of the nucleus and an emission probability which is independent of the form of the field. But since, according to (81.10), the exact cross-section for scattering at small angles in a Coulomb field is equal to the Born value, so is the cross-section for the whole process in region I.

Thus only region II need be considered. Small momentum transfers correspond to the passage of the electron at large distances from the nucleus: \( p \sim 1 / q \sim \varepsilon / m^2 \). But, at these

† The derivation given below is due to V. N. Baler and V. M. Katkov (1968). Another quasi-classical calculation is to be found in the paper by H. Olsen, L. C. Maximon and H. Wergeland, *Physical Review* 106, 27, 1957.
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distances, the motion of the electron is certainly quasi-classical, as is easily seen also by direct application of the usual quasi-classical condition, $QM$ (46.7), to the ultra-relativistic equation (39.5).

Since the motion is quasi-classical, we can use the method already applied in §59 for synchrotron radiation. The photon emission probability is given by the integral (59.9), with $Q$ given by (59.13), and in this case it is the probability of emission when the electron passes the nucleus once.

As in §59, we must first calculate the quantity $L$ defined by (59.15). The only difference is in the form of the classical path of the electron $r = r(t)$.

At large impact parameters, the motion takes place in a region where the field $U(r)$ of the nucleus may be regarded as weak. In the zero-order approximation, the path is a straight line passing at a distance $\rho$ from the centre. In the next approximation, we have as the equation of motion (cf. Mechanics, §20)

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\rho}{r} \frac{dU}{dr},$$

where $\rho$ is a vector lying in the $xy$-plane and perpendicular to the initial momentum of the electron, and $r$ on the right-hand side is to be taken as the zero-order function:

$$r \approx \sqrt{(\rho^2 + v^2 t^2)} \approx \sqrt{(\rho^2 + t^2)}.$$

Hence

$$p(t_2) - p(t_1) = -\rho \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \frac{dU}{dr} dt.$$

(93.24)

The velocity $v(t) = p(t)/\epsilon$, where the energy $\epsilon$ (which depends on the magnitude but not the direction of $p(t)$) may be regarded as constant with sufficient accuracy. A further integration therefore gives:

$$r(t_2) - r(t_1) = v(t_1)(t_2 - t_1) - \frac{\rho}{\epsilon} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{dU}{dr} \frac{dt'}{r(t')}.$$

(93.25)

We shall take $t_1 \to -\infty$, so that the quantities $p = p(-\infty)$, $v = v(-\infty)$ are the initial momentum and velocity of the electron.

This formula has the same structure as (59.17): the first term is parallel to $v$ and the second term perpendicular to it, the coefficient in the latter term containing $1/\epsilon$. We therefore obtain for $L$ the same expression (59.18), with $r(t_2) - r(t_1)$ given by (93.25).

Using this expression, we put the probability (59.9) in the form

$$dw = |a(p)|^2 d^3k/(2\pi)^3,$$

(93.26)

where

$$a(p) = e^{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(t) \exp \left\{ i \frac{\epsilon}{\omega} (\omega t - k \cdot r(t)) \right\} dt,$$

$$R(t) = \frac{u^*_p u_p}{\sqrt{(2\epsilon)}} \frac{\alpha e^*}{\sqrt{(2\epsilon)}}.$$

(93.27)
and all the factors in the integrand may be taken as classical quantities, i.e. may be assumed to commute.†

In (93.27) \( \varepsilon' = \varepsilon - \omega, \ p'(t) = p(t) - k. \) The classical function \( p(t) \) is given by (93.24). If \( p \) denotes the initial momentum of the particle, we have for a Coulomb field \( U = -v/r, \ v = Z\alpha \)

\[
p(t) = p - \frac{v p}{\rho^2} \left[ \frac{t}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + t^2)}} + 1 \right],
\]

and

\[
r(t) = \frac{p}{\varepsilon} t - \frac{p}{\rho^2} \frac{v}{\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{t}{\sqrt{(\rho^2 + t^2)}} + \frac{\Delta}{2\varepsilon} \right].
\]

In terms of the change of momentum in classical scattering,

\[
\Delta = p(\infty) - p(-\infty) = -2p v/\rho^2,
\]

we can rewrite these formulae as

\[
p(t) = p + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \left[ \frac{t}{\sqrt{(t^2 + \rho^2)}} + 1 \right],
\]

\[
r(t) = (p + \frac{1}{2} \Delta) \frac{t}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\Delta}{2\varepsilon} \sqrt{(t^2 + \rho^2)}.
\]

Now using formula (59.19) for \( R(t) \) and the expressions (93.29) for \( p(t) \) and \( r(t) \), we can calculate the integral with respect to time in (93.27). The integration is carried out by replacing the variable \( t \) by

\[
\xi = -\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon'} (\omega t - k \cdot r(t))
\]

and using the formula

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\xi e^{-\xi^2} d\xi}{\sqrt{(\chi^2 + \xi^2)}} = 2i\chi K_1(\chi),
\]

where \( K_1 \) is the Macdonald function. There is, however, no need to complete these calculations, since we want the expression \( a(\rho) \) only for small values of \( \Delta \) (\( \Delta \ll m \)), which is regarded as an independent parameter in the calculation. Then we obtain

\[
a(\rho) = w_f^* D w_i \cdot \Delta \chi K_1(\chi),
\]

(93.30)

where

\[
\chi = \rho \frac{\omega_0}{\varepsilon'} (1 - n \cdot v),
\]

(93.31)

\( n = k/\omega, \) and \( D \) is some function of \( p, \varepsilon \) and \( k \) (but not of \( p \)), whose precise form is unimportant‡. Since, in the ultra-relativistic case, the photon is emitted at a small angle \( \theta \) to

† The validity of this treatment is ensured, as in §59, by the inequality \( \omega \gg \omega_0 \), where \( \omega_0 \) is the characteristic frequency of the motion. In the present case \( \omega_0 \approx v/\rho \ll m, \) and \( \omega \approx \varepsilon. \)

‡ The spinors \( w_i \) and \( w_f \) may be taken as constant in the integration, i.e. the change in the electron polarisation \( \zeta \) in its classical ultra-relativistic motion may be neglected. This can be seen from the equations derived in §41.
the direction of the electron velocity, we have

\[ \chi \approx \rho \frac{e}{\epsilon'} \omega (1 - v + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2) \]

or

\[ \chi = \rho \frac{\omega m^2}{2 \epsilon'} (1 + \delta^2), \quad \delta = \theta \epsilon/m. \quad (93.31) \]

It has already been mentioned that (93.26) is the probability of emission in a single passage at impact parameter \( \rho \). The cross-section for the emission of a photon with given frequency and direction is obtained by multiplying by \( v^{-1} d\rho_x d\rho_y \approx d\rho_x d\rho_y = d^2 \rho \) and integrating with respect to the impact parameter:

\[ d\sigma = \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \int |a(\rho)|^2 d^2 \rho. \quad (93.32) \]

However, it should not be thought that this formula without the integration over \( d^2 \rho \) would also give the directional distribution of the final electrons. The deviation of the electron in motion in a classical path, which is uniquely determined by the external field, is certainly not the same as the indeterminate quantum-mechanical deviation (and the limit \( p'(\infty) \) of the classical function \( p'(t) \) is therefore also different from the final momentum \( p' \) of the electron). Consequently, in order to obtain the angular distribution of the electrons, we must re-expand their wave function in plane waves, as in \( QM \), §127.

It is seen from (93.32) that \( a(\rho) \) is the amplitude of photon emission in a passage at impact parameter \( \rho \). The expressions (93.26) and (93.27), however, define this amplitude only to within a phase factor, which is easily seen to be \( e^{-ik \cdot \rho} \): on account of the time-independent term \( r_\perp(-\infty) = \rho \) in \( r(t) \), this constant factor must be present in \( V_f(t) \), and may be taken outside the time integral. Since it is not an operator, it is not affected by the process of operator commutation, and the amplitude for the emission process is thus

\[ e^{-ik \cdot \rho} a(\rho), \quad (93.33) \]

where \( a(\rho) \) is given by (93.30).

Now let the electron be described, as \( z \to -\infty \), by a plane wave with momentum \( p \) along the \( z \)-axis. This means that the wave function of the electron as \( z \to -\infty \), as a function of \( x \) and \( y \), reduces to a constant independent of \( \rho \), which can be taken as unity. (We omit, for simplicity, the bispinor amplitudes, which are unimportant in the subsequent discussion.) Then, according to \( QM \), (127.2), the wave function of the electron which has passed through the field is, for \( z \to \infty \),†

\[ \psi(\infty) = S(\rho) = \exp \left\{ -i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} U(x, y, z) \, dz \right\}. \quad (93.34) \]

According to the significance of the transition amplitude (93.33), the wave function of an electron which has passed through the field and emitted a quantum is

\[ e^{-ik \cdot \rho} a(\rho) S(\rho). \quad (93.35) \]

† In referring to \( QM \) (127.2) we have in mind the analogy between equation (39.5) (in which we put \( p^2 \approx \epsilon^2 \)) and the non-relativistic Schrödinger's equation (39.5a). Bearing in mind the difference in the significance of the coefficients in these equations, it is easily seen that in our case the conditions \( QM \) (127.1) for the formula \( QM \) (127.2) to be valid are in fact satisfied. The fact that this formula is not valid for arbitrarily large \( z \) is unimportant, for the same reasons as in \( QM \) §127.
Then the amplitude for emission in which the electron goes to a state with definite momentum \( p' \) is given by the corresponding Fourier component of (93.35), i.e. according to QM, (127.3), (127.4), by

\[
a(q_{\perp}) = \int e^{-i(p' \cdot p)} e^{-ik \cdot p} a(p) S(p) \, d^2p
\]

\[
= \int e^{-iq_{\perp} \cdot p} a(p) S(p) \, d^2p, \quad (93.36)
\]

where \( q_{\perp} \) is the perpendicular component of the momentum transfer by the nucleus. The cross-section for scattering with a given transfer \( q_{\perp} \) is

\[
d\sigma = |a(q_{\perp})|^2 \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{d^2q_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2}, \quad (93.37)
\]

Let us now calculate \( S(p) \). In the case of a Coulomb field considered here, the integral in the exponent diverges, in accordance with the phase divergence in Coulomb scattering. The integral must therefore be first calculated between finite limits:

\[
\int_{-R}^{R} U \, dz = -2v \int_{0}^{R} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{(p^2 + z^2)}}
\]

\[
= -2v \left[ \log (R + \sqrt{(R^2 + p^2)}) - \log p \right]
\]

\[
\approx -2v \log 2R + 2v \log p \quad (R \gg p). \quad (R \gg p)
\]

The first term, which is a constant, is unimportant, and therefore

\[
S(p) = e^{-2iv \log p} = \rho^{-2iv}. \quad (93.38)
\]

Substituting (93.30) and (93.38) in (93.36) and integrating over the directions of the vector \( p \) in the \( xy \)-plane, we find

\[
a(q_{\perp}) \sim v \int_{0}^{\infty} \rho^{-2iv} K_1(\chi) J_1(q_{\perp} \rho) \rho \, d\rho, \quad (93.39)
\]

where \( J_1 \) is the Bessel function. The factors not involving \( v = Zx \) have not been written here.

We see that the dependence of the amplitude \( a(q_{\perp}) \) (and therefore of the cross-section (93.37)) on \( v \) is contained in a separate factor. On the other hand, when \( v \to 0 \), the cross-section must tend to its value in the Born approximation. It is therefore immediately clear that the cross-section will differ from the Born value only by a factor which is independent of the electron polarisation and hence does not influence the polarisation effects.

The integral (93.39) can be expressed in terms of the hypergeometric function by means of the formula

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-\lambda} K_1(\alpha x) J_1(bx) x \, dx = \frac{b \Gamma(2 - \lambda) \Gamma(1 - \lambda)}{2^\lambda a^{3 - \lambda}} \left( 1 + \frac{b^2}{a^2} \right)^{-1 + \lambda} F \left( \frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}, 2, \frac{b^2}{a^2 + b^2} \right).
\]

This gives

\[
a(q_{\perp}) \sim v(\frac{1}{2}q)^{2iv} \Gamma^2(1 - iv) F(iv, 1 - iv, 2, z), \quad (93.40)
\]

where

\[
z = 1 - \frac{m^4 \omega^2}{4q^2 e^2 \epsilon^2} (1 + \delta^2)^2, \quad \delta = e\theta/m; \quad (93.41)
\]
here we have used the fact that, in region II of the values of \( q_1 \), the component of the vector \( q \) parallel to \( p \) is

\[ q_\parallel^2 = q^2 - q_1^2 \approx \frac{m^4 \omega^2}{4 \varepsilon^2 \varepsilon' \delta^2} (1 + \delta^2)^2. \]  

(93.42)

This is easily proved, since in that region the angles between the momenta \( p, p' \) and \( k \) satisfy the conditions (91.15).

The hypergeometric function in (93.40) can be reduced to the function \( F(z) \) in (93.15) by means of the formula

\[ F(a, b + 1, c + 1, z) = \frac{c}{a - c} F(a, b, c, z) + \frac{c(1 - z)}{b(a - c)} F'(a, b, c, z). \]

The final result is then

\[ d\sigma = d\sigma_B \frac{1}{F^2(1)} \left[ F^2(z) + \frac{(1 - z)^2}{\nu^2} F'^2(z) \right], \]

(93.43)

where \( d\sigma_B \) is the Born cross-section (91.13). When \( q \gg m^2/\varepsilon \), we have \( z \approx 1 \), and the whole coefficient of \( d\sigma_B \) tends to unity; in this sense formula (93.43), which has been derived for region II, is automatically satisfied for all \( q \leq m \). When \( q \lesssim m^2/\varepsilon \) and the correction factor in (93.43) is different from unity, the vectors \( p, p' \) and \( k \) are almost coplanar, and the quantities \( \delta \) and \( \delta' \) are almost equal; this has already been taken into account in (93.42). Thus \( q^2 \) in the expression (93.41) for \( z \) can be written as

\[ \frac{q^2}{m^2} = \delta^2 + \delta'^2 - 2\delta\delta' \cos \phi + \frac{m^2 \omega^2}{4 \varepsilon^2 \varepsilon' \delta^2} (1 + \delta^2)^2, \]

(93.44)

i.e. we can put \( \delta = \delta' \) in the second term in (91.14), but not in the first term, which does not contain a small coefficient \( \sim m^2/\varepsilon^2 \).

To find the cross-section integrated over angles, there is no need to repeat the integration: the result is obtained by analogy with the problem of pair production, going back to the transition matrix element (93.22) and proceeding as follows (H. Olsen, 1955). Various directions of \( p' \) (for a given energy \( \varepsilon' \)) correspond to degeneracy of the final state of the electron. It is evident that the result of summing over states which belong to one degenerate level is independent of how the complete set of these states is chosen. We can therefore use, in summing over directions of \( p' \), the set of functions \( \psi^{(+)\ast}_{\varepsilon' \varepsilon'} \) instead of the \( \psi^{(+)\ast}_{\varepsilon' \varepsilon} \) which are needed in calculating the differential cross-section, i.e. we can define the bremsstrahlung matrix element as

\[ M_{fi}^{\text{br}} = \int \psi^{(+)\ast}_{\varepsilon' \varepsilon'}(\alpha, \varepsilon') e^{-i k \cdot r} \psi^{(+)\ast}_{\varepsilon' \varepsilon} d^3 x. \]

This integral is easily seen to be the same as \( (M_{fi}^{\text{p}})^\ast \) if the parameters of the wave functions in the latter are changed as follows:

\[ p_+, p_+, \varepsilon_+ \to -p, -p, -\varepsilon; \quad p_-, p_-, \varepsilon_- \to p', p', \varepsilon'; \quad k \to -k \]

and the sign of the integration variables is reversed: \( r \to -r \).

Hence it is clear that the bremsstrahlung cross-section integrated over angles can be obtained from the integral pair production cross-section (93.20), on multiplication by

\[ \frac{\omega^2 d\omega}{p_+^2} \approx \frac{\omega^2 d\omega}{e_+^2}, \]

\[ p_+, p_+ \varepsilon_+ \to -p, -p, -\varepsilon; \]

and the sign of the integration variables is reversed: \( r \to -r \).
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(c.f. (92.2)) and replacement of $\varepsilon_+ \text{ by } -\varepsilon$, $\varepsilon_- \text{ by } \varepsilon'$. Thus we have

$$d\sigma = 4Z^2 \alpha r_e^2 \frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} + \frac{\varepsilon - \omega}{\varepsilon} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \left[ \log \frac{2\varepsilon \varepsilon'}{m\omega} - \frac{1}{2} - f(\alpha Z) \right] \frac{d\omega}{\omega}. \quad (93.45)$$

We see that the corrections to the Born formulae for the integral bremsstrahlung and pair production cross-sections are given by the same function $f(\alpha Z)$. 

§94. Electron-electron bremsstrahlung in the ultra-relativistic case

Electron-electron bremsstrahlung is represented by eight Feynman diagrams: four “direct” diagrams as in (94.1a, b) and four “exchange” diagrams obtained from those shown by interchanging $p'_1$ and $p'_2$. Here we shall give the results of the calculations for the ultra-relativistic case.†

In the laboratory system (the rest frame of one of the initial electrons, say the second), the emission cross-section integrated over the directions of the photon can be written as a sum $d\sigma = d\sigma^{(1)} + d\sigma^{(2)}$, where

$$d\sigma^{(1)} = 4\alpha r_e^2 \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \frac{\varepsilon - \omega}{\varepsilon} \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon - \omega} + \frac{\varepsilon - \omega}{\varepsilon} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \left( \log \frac{2\varepsilon (\varepsilon - \omega)}{m\omega} - \frac{1}{2} \right); \quad (94.2)$$

$$d\sigma^{(2)} = \frac{3}{4} \alpha r_e^2 \frac{m}{\omega^2} \left[ \left( 4 - \frac{m}{\omega} + \frac{m^2}{4\omega^2} \right) \log \frac{2\varepsilon}{m} - 2 \frac{m}{\omega} - \frac{5m^2}{8\omega^2} \right] \text{ for } \omega \geq \frac{1}{2}m, \quad (94.3)$$

$$d\sigma^{(2)} = \frac{\alpha r_e^2}{\omega} \left\{ 8 \left( 1 - \frac{\omega}{m} + \frac{\omega^2}{m^2} \right) \log \frac{\varepsilon}{\omega} - \left( 1 - \frac{2\omega}{m} \right) \log \left( 1 - \frac{2\omega}{m} \right) \left[ \frac{m^3}{4\omega^3} - \frac{m^2}{2\omega^2} + \frac{3m}{\omega} - 2 + \frac{4\omega}{m} \right] - \frac{m}{2\omega^2} + \frac{3m}{2\omega} - 2 + \frac{2\omega}{m} - \frac{4\omega^2}{m^2} \right\} \text{ for } \omega \leq \frac{1}{2}m \quad (94.4)$$

($\varepsilon$ being the initial energy of the first electron).

† These results are derived by V. N. Baler and V. M. Galitskii, Soviet Physics JETP 22, 459, 1966; V. N. Baler, V. S. Fadin and V. A. Khoze, Soviet Physics JETP 24, 760, 1967; 26, 1238, 1968. Attention should be paid to the method used in these papers for integrating the differential cross-section; it is based on the use of invariant quantities, and greatly simplifies the calculations.
These formulae are accurate as far as terms of relative order \( m/e \). To this accuracy, it is found that the contributions to the cross-section from different diagrams do not interfere, and in this sense \( d\sigma^{(1)} \) and \( d\sigma^{(2)} \) correspond to emission by each of the two electrons: the fast electron and the recoil electron respectively, diagrams (94.1a) and (94.1b).

The “exchange” diagrams give the same contribution to the cross-section as do the “direct” diagrams. Since the electrons are identical, the total contribution from the direct and exchange diagrams has to be halved, and we may therefore consider only the contribution of the direct diagrams and ignore the identity of the particles. For electron–positron collisions the exchange diagrams are replaced by annihilation diagrams, but their relative contribution is of order \( m/e \) and therefore negligible. Hence the bremsstrahlung cross-sections are the same, to the accuracy indicated, in electron–electron and electron–positron collisions.

For \( \omega \gg m \), the ratio

\[
\frac{d\sigma^{(2)}}{d\sigma^{(1)}} \sim \frac{m}{\omega} \ll 1,
\]

i.e. the emission from the recoil electron is small compared with that from the fast electron; when this ratio becomes of the order of \( m/e \), formula (94.3) is of course no longer meaningful.

When \( \omega \ll m \), on the other hand, the two parts of the cross-section are almost comparable:

\[
\begin{align*}
    d\sigma^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{2} \times r_e^2 \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left[ \log \frac{2\epsilon^2}{m \omega_0} \right], \\
    d\sigma^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2} \times r_e^2 \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left[ \log \frac{\epsilon}{\omega} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

i.e. the emission in the order \( \omega \ll m \).

For formulae (94.2)–(94.5) to be valid, it is necessary that at least one of the electrons should remain ultra-relativistic after emission of radiation, i.e. the photon frequency must be sufficiently far from the hard boundary of the spectrum (the maximum frequency \( \omega_{\text{max}} \) that can be emitted). The final energy of the electrons is least, and the photon energy greatest, when both electrons move, after emission, in the direction of the photon and at equal speeds. The conservation laws then give

\[ \epsilon + m = \omega_{\text{max}} + 2\epsilon', \quad |p| = \omega_{\text{max}} + 2|p'|. \]

Hence, eliminating \( \epsilon' \) and \( p' \), we have

\[ (\epsilon + m - \omega_{\text{max}})^2 - (|p| - \omega_{\text{max}})^2 = 4m^2 \]

and

\[ \omega_{\text{max}} = \frac{m(\epsilon - m)}{m + \epsilon - |p|}. \]

When \( \epsilon \gg m \), \( \omega_{\text{max}} \approx \epsilon \). Thus formulae (94.2)–(94.4) are valid if

\[ \omega_{\text{max}} - \omega \sim \epsilon \sim \omega \gg m. \]

The cross-section (94.2) for emission by the fast electron is exactly equal to that for electron–nucleus bremsstrahlung when the nucleus has \( Z = 1 \) (formula (91.17)). This agreement is not fortuitous, and can be explained by considering the significance of recoil in the emission process.

In deriving (91.17) we neglected the recoil of the fixed particle (the nucleus), replacing it by a constant external field. This was equivalent to neglecting the time component of
the momentum transfer 4-vector \( q = p' - p + k \) (the recoil energy). We shall show that, in the ultra-relativistic case, this treatment is permissible for electron-electron as well as electron-nucleus bremsstrahlung.

We write

\[
-q^2 = -(\epsilon' + \omega - \epsilon)^2 + (p_{\parallel}^2 + \omega - p_{\parallel})^2 + (p_{\perp}^2 - p_{\perp})^2, \tag{94.8}
\]

where the subscripts indicate the components of the vectors \( p' \) and \( p \) (the initial and final electron momenta) parallel and perpendicular to the direction of the photon \( k \). In the ultra-relativistic case the angles \( \theta, \theta' \) between \( k \) and \( p, p' \) respectively are small: \( \theta \leq m/\epsilon, \theta' \leq m'/\epsilon' \). Hence

\[
|p_{\perp}| \sim |p| \sim \epsilon, \quad p_{\parallel} \approx |p| - \frac{p_{\parallel}^2}{2|p|} \approx \epsilon - \frac{m^2}{2\epsilon} - \frac{p_{\perp}^2}{2\epsilon}, \tag{94.9}
\]

and similarly for \( p_{\perp}' \) and \( p_{\parallel}' \).

Neglecting recoil, we have \( \epsilon' + \omega - \epsilon = 0 \); the term \( p_{\parallel}^2 + \omega - p_{\parallel} \sim m^2/\epsilon \), and so

\[
-q^2 \approx (p_{\perp}^2 - p_{\perp})^2 \sim m^2. \tag{94.10}
\]

The energy of (electron-electron) recoil is

\[
g_0 = \epsilon' + \omega - \epsilon \sim q^2/2m \sim m. \tag{94.11}
\]

The change in \( p_{\perp}' \) due to the change in \( \epsilon' \) is negligible. The change in \( q^2 \) with allowance for recoil, which we denote by \( \Delta q^2 \), is therefore given by the first two terms in (94.8). Using (94.9), we have

\[
\Delta q^2 \approx (\epsilon' + \omega - \epsilon) \left( -\frac{m^2}{\epsilon'} - \frac{p_{\perp}^2}{\epsilon'} + \frac{m^2}{\epsilon} + \frac{p_{\perp}^2}{\epsilon} \right) \approx m^2.m/\epsilon.
\]

Comparison with (94.10) shows that \( \Delta q^2 \ll |q^2| \), and the neglect of the recoil is therefore justified.†

The fact that the fast particle emits into a narrow cone (with aperture angle \( \sim m/\epsilon \)) in the direction of its motion enables us to deduce the cross-section in the centre-of-mass system by a simple conversion of the cross-section (94.2) from the laboratory system.‡

In the centre-of-mass system the two electrons emit in the same manner, each in the direction of its motion.§ The energy \( E \) of the ultra-relativistic electron in this system is related to its energy \( \epsilon \) in the laboratory system by \( 2E^2 = m\epsilon \); the respective photon frequencies \( \Omega \) and \( \omega \) are related by \( \omega/\epsilon = \Omega/E \). These equations are easily obtained by comparing the values of the invariants \( (p_1 p_2) \) and \( (p_1 k) \) in the two systems. The cross-section for emission by each electron in the centre-of-mass system is therefore

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\sigma^{(1)}}{d\Omega} &= \frac{d\sigma^{(2)}}{E} = 4\pi r^2 \frac{d\Omega}{E} \frac{E - \Omega}{E} \left( \frac{E}{E - \Omega} + \frac{E - \Omega}{E} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \left( \log \frac{4E^2(E - \Omega)}{m^2\Omega} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \tag{94.12}
\end{align*}
\]


† This conclusion is, of course, valid \textit{a fortiori} in the case of electron-nucleus bremsstrahlung, for which the recoil energy \( g_0 \approx q^2/2M \sim m^2M \), where \( M \) is the mass of the nucleus.

‡ In general such a conversion is not possible, because the contribution to the spectrum in a given frequency range \( d\omega \) comes from photons emitted in quite different directions.

§ It may be noted that this gives an intuitive explanation of the absence of interference between the radiation from the two particles.
For (94.12) to be valid it is also necessary that the photon frequency should not be close to the boundary of the spectrum. For an ultra-relativistic particle, the above-mentioned transformation gives immediately, when \( \omega_{\text{max}} \approx \varepsilon \),

\[
\Omega_{\text{max}} \approx \omega_{\text{max}} E/\varepsilon \approx E.
\]  

(94.13)

Thus, in the centre-of-mass system, the electrons can emit only half of their total energy \(2E\). A direct calculation of \( \Omega_{\text{max}} \) is easily performed by noting that, after the emission of such a photon, the electrons will move (in that system) at equal speeds in the direction opposite to that of the photon. We have

\[
2E = 2E' + \Omega_{\text{max}}, \quad 2|p'| = \Omega_{\text{max}},
\]

whence

\[
\Omega_{\text{max}} = p^2/E = E - m^2/E,
\]  

(94.14)

and in the ultra-relativistic case again (94.13). Thus formula (94.12) is applicable under the condition

\[
\Omega_{\text{max}} - \Omega \sim E - \Omega \gg m.
\]  

(94.15)

We shall now give some formulae for scattering in the centre-of-mass system in the opposite limiting case, near the boundary of the spectrum, when\(^\dagger\)

\[
\Omega_{\text{max}} - \Omega \ll m.
\]  

(94.16)

Since in this case the recoil is very important, the results differ from those for scattering by a fixed centre and are also different for electron-electron and electron-positron scattering.

In electron-electron scattering, besides the squares of the diagrams (94.1), there is also a contribution to the emission cross-section near the boundary of the spectrum from products (interference terms) of the direct and exchange diagrams, in which a given initial particle emits, for example, the product of the second diagram (94.1a) and the diagram

This is because, near the boundary, the final particles have similar momenta and there is no reason for the exchange terms to be small. The final result for the cross-section is

\[
d\sigma = 2\pi r_e^2 \frac{[E(\Omega_{\text{max}} - \Omega)]^+}{m} \frac{d\Omega}{\Omega_{\text{max}}^+}.
\]  

(94.17)

In electron-positron scattering, a logarithmically large contribution to the emission cross-section comes from the squares of annihilation diagrams, in which there is emission by the initial particles:

\[
\begin{align*}
  \begin{array}{ccc}
    k & \Rightarrow & -p_i \\
    p_i & \Rightarrow & p_2 \\
  \end{array} & \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
    k & \Rightarrow & -p_i' \\
    p_i' & \Rightarrow & p_2' \\
  \end{array}
\end{align*}
\]  

(94.18)

\(\dagger\) The result obtained in the Born approximation is, of course, as usual valid only if the relative velocity of the final electrons is large in comparison with \(a\). If not, the interaction of the particles in the final state has to be taken into account.

R.Q.T.
The squares of other diagrams are significant when the accuracy is not logarithmic, but the interference terms are small. The final result is

$$d\sigma = 2ar^2 \frac{[E(\Omega_{\text{max}} - \Omega)]^+ \left( \log \frac{2E}{m} + 1 \right)}{\Omega_{\text{max}}} d\Omega.$$  \hspace{1cm} (94.19)

Thus the emission in electron-positron scattering is logarithmically large in comparison with that in electron-electron scattering.

§95. Emission of soft photons in collisions

Let $d\sigma_o$ be the cross-section for a given process of scattering of charged particles, which may be accompanied by the emission of a certain number of photons. Together with this process, we shall consider another which differs from it only in that one extra photon is emitted. If the frequency $\omega$ of this photon is sufficiently small (the necessary conditions will be formulated below), the cross-section $d\sigma$ for the second process is related in a simple manner to $d\sigma_o$.

When $\omega$ is small, we can neglect the influence of the emission of this quantum on the scattering process. The cross-section $d\sigma$ can therefore be represented as a product of two independent factors, the cross-section $d\sigma_o$ and the probability $dw$ of emission of a single photon in the collision. The emission of a soft photon is a quasi-classical process; the probability is therefore the same as the classically calculated number of quanta emitted in the collision, i.e. the same as the classical intensity (total energy) of emission $dl$, divided by $\omega (= \hbar \omega)$. Thus

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_o \frac{dl}{\omega}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (95.1)

We shall show how this formula can be derived from the general rules of the diagram technique (J. M. Jauch and F. Rohrlich, 1954).

The diagrams for the process involving an additional photon are obtained from those for the original process by adding an external photon line which "branches off" from some (external or internal) electron line, i.e. by replacing

![External Photon Diagram](image)

It is easily seen that the most important diagrams will be those in which this change is made in external electron lines. For, if $p$ is the momentum of the external line ($p^2 = m^2$), then for small $k$ we have also $(p-k)^2 \approx m^2$, i.e. the factor $G(p-k)$ added to the diagram is near its pole.

For an initial electron line $p$ the change (95.2) amounts to the following change in the reaction amplitude:

$$u(p) \rightarrow e^{\sqrt{(4\pi)}G(p-k)\delta^*u(p)}$$

$$= e^{\sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{p-\hat{k} + m}{(p-k)^2 - m^2} \delta^*u(p)}$$

$$\approx -e^{\sqrt{(4\pi)} \frac{p + m}{2(pk)} \delta^*u(p)},$$

where the first factor $e$ is the charge.
Since \( \hat{p}\hat{e}^* = 2p\hat{e}^* - \hat{e}^*\hat{p} \) and \( \hat{p}\mu(p) = m\mu(p) \), we obtain the following rule:

\[
u(p) \rightarrow -e\sqrt{(4\pi)} \left(\frac{p\hat{e}^*}{pk}\right) u(p).
\] (95.3)

Similarly, for a final electron line \( p' \), the replacement of

\[
\begin{array}{cc}
\overrightarrow{p'} & \text{by} \\
& \overrightarrow{k}
\end{array}
\]

in the diagram implies the change

\[
u(p') \rightarrow e\sqrt{(4\pi)} \left(\frac{p'\hat{e}^*}{pk}\right) u(p')
\] (95.4)

in the amplitude.

In the rest of the diagram we can everywhere neglect the changes in the momenta of the lines as a result of the emission of the photon \( k \). Here it is assumed that the photon energy \( \omega \) is always small in comparison with the energies of all the particles participating in the reaction (and in comparison with those of the hard photons, if any, that are emitted).

Let the cross-section \( d\sigma \) refer, say, to the scattering of an electron by a fixed nucleus (with possible emission of hard photons). The amplitude of this process, which will be conventionally called "elastic", is

\[
M_{fi}^{(el)} = \bar{u}(p')\mu(p).
\]

Making the successive substitutions (95.3) and (95.4) and adding the results, we obtain the bremsstrahlung amplitude for emission of the same hard photons together with a soft photon \( k \):†

\[
M_{fi} = M_{fi}^{(el)} e\sqrt{(4\pi)} \left(\frac{p'\hat{e}^*}{pk} - \frac{pe^*}{pk}\right).
\] (95.5)

Accordingly, the cross-section is

\[
d\sigma = d\sigma_{el} 4\pi e^2 \left| \frac{p' \hat{e}^*}{pk} - \frac{pe^*}{pk} \right|^2 \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^2 2\omega}.
\] (95.6)

Summation over polarisations of the photon \( k \) gives

\[
d\sigma = -e^2 \left| \frac{p'}{(p'k)} - \frac{p}{(pk)} \right|^2 \frac{d^3k}{4\pi^2 \omega} d\sigma_{el}.
\] (95.7)

In terms of three-dimensional quantities, this formula becomes‡

\[
d\sigma = \alpha \left( \frac{v' \times n}{1 - v' \cdot n} - \frac{v \times n}{1 - v \cdot n} \right)^2 \frac{d\omega \, d\omega}{4\pi \omega} d\sigma_{el},
\] (95.8)

where \( n = k/\omega \), and \( v \) and \( v' \) are the initial and final velocities of the electron. We see that the coefficient of \( d\sigma_{el} \) is in fact the same as the classical intensity of emission (cf. Fields (69.4)), divided by \( \omega \), as already asserted in formula (95.1).

† It should be noted the difference term in this formula arises naturally from gauge invariance: the reaction amplitude must be unchanged when the polarisation 4-vector \( e \) is replaced by \( e + \text{constant} \times k \).
‡ To derive (95.8) it is convenient to return to (95.6), putting \( p = (e, ew), pk = co(1 - v \cdot n), \ldots, e = (0, e) \), and then summing over polarisations by means of (45.4a).
The condition for the above formulae to be applicable is that the momentum transfer \( q \) to the nucleus is large compared with the change \( \delta q \) in this quantity due to the emission of the soft photon. We have

\[
\delta q = (p' - p - k) - (p' - p)_{\omega = 0} = \delta p' - k,
\]

where \( |\delta p'| \sim \omega \partial |p'|/\partial \epsilon \sim \omega/\nu \) and \( |k| = \omega \). In the non-relativistic case \((\nu \ll 1)\), we therefore obtain the condition

\[
\omega |q|/\nu \ll 1. \quad (95.9)
\]

Since, on the other hand, \( |q| \sim 1/\rho \) (where \( \rho \) is the impact parameter), this condition can also be written as \( \omega \tau \ll 1 \), where \( \tau \sim \rho/\nu \) is the characteristic time of the collision.

In the ultra-relativistic case, the photons are emitted chiefly in directions near \( \nu \) and \( \nu' \), as is seen from the denominators in (95.8). If the electron scattering angle \( \theta \) is small, the directions of all three vectors \( p, p', n \) are close together. Then

\[
|\delta q| = |\delta p'| - |k|
\]

\[
= \omega \left( \frac{1}{\nu} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
\sim \omega m^2/\epsilon^2,
\]

and, since \( |q| \sim \epsilon \theta \), we obtain the condition

\[
\theta \gg \frac{\omega m^2}{\epsilon^2}. \quad (95.10)
\]

Because the formulae (95.5)–(95.8) are quasi-classical, they are valid for emission by any charged particles, not necessarily electrons as assumed in the derivation. In general, when several such particles take part in the reaction, formula (95.5) must be put in the form

\[
M_{fi} = M^{(ie)}_{fi} e^{\sqrt{(4\pi)} \sum Z \left( \frac{p' e^*}{p' k} - \frac{p e^*}{p k} \right)},
\]

where the summation is over all the particles (with charges \( Z e \)); formulae (95.6)–(95.8) are changed similarly.

In particular, in the non-relativistic case

\[
M_{fi} = M^{(e)}_{fi} \frac{e^{\sqrt{(4\pi)}}}{\omega} \sum Z (v' - v) . e^*.
\]

For two particles, this formula becomes

\[
M_{fi} = M^{(e)}_{fi} \frac{e^{\sqrt{(4\pi)}}}{\omega} \left( \frac{Z_1 e}{m_1} - \frac{Z_2 e}{m_2} \right) q . e^*,
\]

\[
q = m(v' - v), \quad m = m_1 m_2/(m_1 + m_2),
\]

where \( v \) and \( v' \) are the relative velocities of the particles before and after the collision.

The above results can be generalised to the case of simultaneous emission of several soft photons. For each photon there is an additional factor in \( M_{fi} \), similar to the coefficient of \( M^{(e)}_{fi} \) (95.5). This is easily seen directly for the example of two photons, say. The lines of the two emitted photons have to be added on external electron lines, and in two different
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orders, so that a diagram with external line $p$ is replaced by two diagrams with the lines

respectively. They contain the factors

\[
\frac{1}{2(pk_1 + pk_2) 2pk_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2(pk_1 + pk_2) 2pk_2}
\]

(the denominators of the electron propagators) respectively, and their sum is

\[
\frac{1}{2pk_1} \frac{1}{2pk_2},
\]

i.e. it is the product of two independent factors relating to the first and the second photon. Then, in the sum of all the diagrams, the terms combine (because of gauge invariance) to give the product of differences

\[
(p'e_i^* - pe_i^*) (p'k_i - pk_i) (p'e_j^* - pe_j^*) (p'k_j - pk_j)
\]

The cross-section for the process separates into factors in accordance with the factorisation of the amplitude. The soft photons are therefore emitted independently. The cross-section for emission of $n$ soft photons can be written

\[
d\sigma = d\sigma_{el} dw_1 \ldots dw_n,
\]

where $dw_1, dw_2, \ldots$ are the probabilities of individual emission of the photons $k_1, k_2, \ldots$. When this formula is integrated over a finite range of values of the variables (frequencies and directions), the same for all quanta, a factor $1/n!$ must be included in order to take account of the identity of the photons.

If the emission cross-section (95.1) is integrated over frequencies in some finite range from $\omega_1$ to $\omega_2$, the resulting expression is

\[
d\sigma \sim \alpha \log (\omega_2/\omega_1) d\sigma_{el};
\]

cf. (95.8). Here it is assumed that both frequencies are soft, and the possible values of $\omega_2$ are therefore limited by the condition for the method to be applicable. With logarithmic accuracy, however, we can put $\omega_2 \sim \epsilon$, where $\epsilon$ is the initial energy of the emitting particle. The values of $\omega_1$ have no lower limit, but on letting $\omega_1 \to 0$ we see that the cross-section for emission of all possible soft quanta is infinite. Let us investigate the significance of this "infra-red catastrophe" (F. Bloch and A. Nordsieck, 1937).

When

\[
\alpha \log (\epsilon/\omega_1) \geq 1,
\]

we have $d\sigma \geq d\sigma_{el}$. This, however, means that perturbation theory is inapplicable, and $d\sigma$ cannot be calculated as a quantity of a higher order of smallness than $d\sigma_{el}$. Thus in this case the small parameter must be taken as $\alpha \log (\epsilon/\omega_1)$, not $\alpha$.

The derivation of formulae (95.5)–(95.8) from perturbation theory is therefore invalid at sufficiently low frequencies. The classical formula for the intensity $dI$ (Fields (69.4)), on the other hand, becomes more nearly correct as $\omega$ decreases. Hence formula (95.1) remains valid if its meaning is made somewhat more classical. In this formula it has been assumed
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that one photon is emitted. Then the energy lost by the particle as radiation is equal to $\omega$ and the "relative energy loss cross-section" is $\omega \, d\sigma/e$ or

$$d\sigma_{e1} \, d\omega/e.$$  \hspace{1cm} (95.17)

In reality, for sufficiently small $\omega$, the emission probability is not small, and the probability of emission of two or more photons is greater, not less, than the probability for one photon. Under these conditions, the expression (95.17) remains valid but the classical intensity $dI$ determines, instead of the probability of emission of one photon, the mean number of emitted photons

$$d\bar{n} = dI/\omega,$$  \hspace{1cm} (95.18)

or, in a finite range of frequencies,

$$\bar{n} = \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} dI/\omega.$$  \hspace{1cm} (95.19)

Since the soft photons are emitted in a statistically independent manner (this being true in every approximation of perturbation theory), Poisson's formula can be applied to the process of multiple emission: the probability $w(n)$ that $n$ photons are emitted is given in terms of the mean number $\bar{n}$ by

$$w(n) = \bar{n}^n \, e^{-\bar{n}}/n!.$$  \hspace{1cm} (95.20)

The cross-section for a process of scattering with emission of photons may be written

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_{e1} \, w(n).$$  \hspace{1cm} (95.21)

Since $\sum w(n) = 1$, $d\sigma_{e1}$ is the total cross-section for scattering accompanied by the emission of any soft radiation. This is evident from a classical treatment; according to perturbation theory, however, $d\sigma_{e1}$ is the purely elastic scattering cross-section. But perturbation theory is inapplicable here. Thus we find that $d\sigma_{e1}$ calculated by perturbation theory as the elastic scattering cross-section actually includes the emission of any soft photons. The true value of the purely elastic scattering cross-section is zero: as $\omega_1 \to 0$, the mean number $\bar{n} \to \infty$, and according to (95.20) the probability of emission of any finite number of photons vanishes.†

PROBLEMS‡

PROBLEM 1. Find the spectral distribution of soft quanta emitted in ultra-relativistic electron–nucleus bremsstrahlung.

SOLUTION. Integration of (95.8) over $d\omega_k$ gives

$$d\sigma = aK(\xi) \, d\omega/\omega \, d\sigma_{e1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where

$$K(\xi) = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[ \frac{2\xi^2 + 1}{\xi \sqrt{(\xi^2 + 1)}} \log (\xi + \sqrt{\xi^2 + 1}) - 1 \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$p$ being the electron momentum and $\theta$ the scattering angle. In the ultra-relativistic case, the most important range of angles is

$$m^2 \omega/e^2 \lesssim \theta \lesssim m/e;$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

the lower limit is given by the condition (95.10), and the upper limit is discussed below. Here $\xi \approx \theta/(2m) \ll 1$, so that

$$K(\xi) \approx (8/3\pi) \xi^2,$$

† We shall return to a more detailed discussion of this in Part 2, in connection with radiative corrections.

‡ The following applications of formula (95.7) are due to V. N. Bafer and V. M. Galitskif (1964).
and the electron–nucleus elastic scattering cross-section is (see (81.10))

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} \approx 4Z^2\alpha^2 \frac{m^2}{\theta^3} \frac{d\phi}{d\phi}. \]  

(4)

The integral

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{2} Z^2 \alpha^2 \frac{d\phi}{\phi} \int_0^\infty d\theta \]

diverges logarithmically; it is cut off below at angles \( \theta \sim m^2\alpha^2 \) and above at \( \xi \sim 1 \), i.e. at angles \( \theta \sim m/e \). When \( \xi \rightarrow \infty \), \( K \approx (4/\pi) \log \xi \) and the integral converges. Thus we have, with logarithmic accuracy,

\[ \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta} = \frac{1}{2} Z^2 \alpha^2 \frac{d\phi}{\phi} \log \frac{\theta}{m^2 \alpha^2}, \]  

(5)

which agrees with the logarithmic part of formula (91.17) (where we must put \( \epsilon \sim \epsilon' \)). Non-logarithmic accuracy can be achieved only by going beyond the quasi-classical range.

**Problem 2.** For a collision between two ultra-relativistic electrons, determine (in the centre-of-mass system) the cross-section for simultaneous emission of two soft photons in opposite directions at small angles to the electron momenta.

**Solution.** Photons moving in opposite directions are emitted by different electrons, each in the direction of its motion. The cross-section for simultaneous emission is

\[ \sigma = \sigma_{\epsilon_1} \cdot aK(\xi) \frac{d\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1} \cdot aK(\xi) \frac{d\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2}, \]  

(6)

where \( \epsilon \) is the energy of each electron, \( \theta \) the scattering angle in the centre-of-mass system; \( \theta \) is the same for each electron. No factor \( \frac{1}{2} \) is needed in the cross-section, since the photons are certainly emitted in different directions. The cross-section for elastic scattering of the electrons through small angles in the centre-of-mass system, in the ultra-relativistic case, is the same as (4); cf. (82.11). Unlike (1), the cross-section (6) behaves as \( \theta \, d\theta \) when \( \theta \rightarrow 0 \), and the integral therefore converges. On the other hand, this enables us to extend the integration to \( \theta = 0 \), without any difficulty that the method might cease to be applicable. On the other hand, the main contribution to the integrated cross-section now comes from the region \( \theta \sim m/e \), not \( \theta \ll m/e \), and so the exact expression (2) has to be used. The result of integrating the cross-section over scattering angles is

\[ \sigma_{\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \zeta(3) \right] \alpha^2 \frac{d\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1} \frac{d\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2} \]

\[ = 5.9 \alpha^2 \frac{d\epsilon_1}{\epsilon_1} \frac{d\epsilon_2}{\epsilon_2}, \]

the value of the Riemann zeta function being \( \zeta(3) = 1.202 \).

**§96. The method of equivalent photons**

Let us compare two processes described by the diagrams

\[ \text{(a)} \]  

\[ \text{(b)} \]  

(96.1)

where the circles represent the whole of the internal parts of the diagrams. Diagram (a) represents a collision between a photon \( k \) (\( k^2 = 0 \)) and a particle having 4-momentum \( q \) (and mass \( m \); \( q^2 = m^2 \)). The system resulting from the collision is a particle or group of particles having total 4-momentum \( Q \). Diagram (b) represents a collision between the same particle \( q \) and another particle having 4-momentum \( p \) and mass \( M \) (\( p^2 = M^2 \)).
After the collision, the latter particle has 4-momentum \( p' \), and the same system \( Q \) is formed. The second process may be regarded as a collision between the particle \( q \) and a virtual photon emitted by the particle \( p \) and having momentum \( k = p - p' \) (\( k^2 < 0 \)). If \( |k|^2 \) is small, the virtual photon is not greatly different from a real photon. Such a situation is evidently possible in collisions of very fast particles: the electromagnetic field of a charged particle moving with \( v \approx 1 \) is almost transverse, and therefore has properties similar to those of the field of a light wave. Under these conditions, the cross-section for process (b) can be expressed in terms of that for process (a).†

We shall thus suppose that the particle \( M \) is ultra-relativistic, with energy (in the rest frame of the particle \( m \)) \( c \gg M \). If the masses of the colliding particles \( m \) and \( M \) differ, we shall take the case where \( m < M \).

The amplitude of process (a), which involves a real photon, can be written
\[
M_{fi}^{(p)} = -e\sqrt{(4\pi)}(e_\mu J^\mu),
\]
(96.2)
where \( e_\mu \) is the photon polarisation 4-vector and \( J^\mu \) the transition current corresponding to the vertex (the circle) in the diagram. The amplitude of process (b) is
\[
M_{fi} = Ze^2 \frac{4\pi}{k^2} (j_\mu J^\mu),
\]
(96.3)
where \( j_\mu \) is the transition current of the particle \( m \) (the lower vertex in the diagram), and \( Ze \) is the charge on this particle. The current \( J \) is a function of \( k = Q - q \), and is therefore not the same in the two cases, since \( k^2 = 0 \) in (96.2) and \( k^2 \neq 0 \) in (96.3). But if, in the second case,
\[
|k|^2 \ll m^2,
\]
(96.4)
we can here also take \( J \) for \( k^2 = 0 \).

The change in the momentum of the particle \( M \) when a virtual photon is emitted, \( p - p' = k \), is small in comparison with its initial momentum \( |p| \approx c \); we can therefore put \( p = p' \) in the transition current \( j \). That is, the motion of the particle \( M \) may be regarded as uniform motion in a straight line. Since such a motion is quasi-classical, the corresponding current is independent of the spin of the particle:‡
\[
j^\mu = 2p^\mu.
\]
(96.5)
The condition for the current to be transverse (\( jk = 0 \)) now gives \( c\omega - p_x k_x = 0 \), the \( x \)-axis being taken in the direction of \( p \). Hence
\[
\omega = v k_x,
\]
(96.6)
where \( v = p_x/c \) is the velocity of the particle \( M \). Since
\[
-k^2 = -\omega^2 + k_2^2 + k_1^2 \approx \omega^2(1 - v^2) + k_1^2,
\]
(96.7)
where \( k_1 \) is the component of the vector \( k \) transverse to the \( x \)-axis, the condition (96.4) is equivalent to the inequality \( |k_1| \ll m \) and to a considerably weaker inequality for \( \omega \):
\[
\omega \ll m/\sqrt{(1 - v^2)}.
\]

† The method given below is due to C. F. von Weizsacker and E. J. Williams (1934); the basic idea had been stated earlier by E. Fermi (1924).

‡ When the wave functions are normalised to one particle in unit volume, the current \( j^\mu = (1, v) \), where \( v \) is the velocity. We have, however, decided (§65) to omit the normalisation factor \( 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \) in the wave functions. Accordingly, \( j^\mu \) must include a further factor \( 2\pi \), and this gives the expression (96.5).
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From the condition for the current $J$ to be transverse ($Jk = 0$) we have, using (96.6),

$$J_0 = \frac{J_x}{v} + \frac{J_\perp k_\perp}{\omega}.$$  

We therefore obtain for the scalar product $jJ$

$$jJ = 2(J_0 e - J_x p_x)$$

$$\approx 2 \frac{e}{\omega} \left( k_\perp \cdot J_\perp + \frac{\omega M^2}{e^2} J_x \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (96.8)

The product $Je$ in (96.2) can be expanded by taking the polarisation 4-vector of the real photon in the three-dimensionally transverse gauge: $ek = -e_k = 0$, whence $e_x \approx -e_\perp k_\perp/\omega$. Then

$$Je = -e_\perp \cdot \left( J_\perp - \frac{k_\perp}{\omega} J_x \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (96.9)

The expressions (96.8) and (96.9) are proportional if the second terms in the parentheses are negligible. Since the current $J$ pertains to the upper vertex of the diagram (96.1b), it does not depend on the direction of $p$; hence $J_x$ and $J_\perp$ must be taken to be quantities of the same order. For the terms in question to be negligible, therefore, we must have $|k_\perp| \ll \omega$ and $\omega \ll e^2 |k_\perp|/M^2$; these conditions are compatible with the previous ones on $k_x$ and $\omega$.

Assuming that in (96.9) the photon is polarised in the plane of $x$ and $k$ (so that $e_\perp \parallel k_\perp$) and noting that the conditions stated imply that $e_\perp^2 \approx e^2 = 1$, we now have

$$M_{fi} = M_{fi}^{(r)} \frac{Ze^{\sqrt{(4\pi)2\epsilon}}}{-k^2} |k_\perp|. \hspace{1cm} (96.10)$$

In accordance with the previous discussion, the following conditions are here assumed satisfied:

$$|k_\perp| \ll \omega \ll m\gamma,$$  \hspace{1cm} (96.11)

$$\omega/\gamma^2 \ll |k_\perp| \ll m, \hspace{1cm} (96.12)$$

with the notation

$$\gamma = c/M = 1/\sqrt{(1-v^2)}.$$  

From this we can find the relation between the corresponding cross-sections. According to the general formula (65.18) we have (in the rest frame of the particle $m$)

$$d\sigma = |M_{fi}^{(r)}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i) \frac{1}{4m\omega} dp_Q,$$

$$d\sigma = |M_{fi}|^2 (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(P_f - P_i) \frac{1}{4m\epsilon} \frac{d^3p'}{2\pi(2\pi)^3} dp_Q,$$

where $dp_Q$ represents the statistical weights of the particles $Q$. Using (96.10) and (96.7), we find

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_r \cdot n(k)d^3p',$$  \hspace{1cm} (96.13)

where

$$n(k) = \frac{Z^2 e^2}{\pi^2} \frac{k_\perp^2}{\omega(k_\perp^2 + \omega^2/\gamma^2)^2}. \hspace{1cm} (96.14)$$

Here $d\sigma_r$ is the cross-section for process (a), resulting from a collision between a real
photon and a particle at rest, in which a system of particles \( Q \) is formed which have momenta in certain ranges; \( d\sigma \) refers to the process (b) of formation of the same system \( Q \) when a fast particle (of mass \( M \)) collides with the same particle at rest, loses momentum \( p-p' = k \), and remains in the range \( d^3p' \) of values of \( p' \). The factor \( n(k) \) in (96.13) may be interpreted as the number density (in \( k \)-space) of the photons equivalent to the electromagnetic field of the fast particle.

The integration over \( d^3p' \) is equivalent to one over \( d^3k = d\omega d^2k_\perp \). On integrating over \( d^2k_\perp \), we obtain the cross-section for a process in which the total energy \( E \) of the system of particles \( Q \) lies in a given range \( dE = d\omega (E-m = \varepsilon - \varepsilon' = \omega) \), where \( \varepsilon \) and \( \varepsilon' \) are the initial and final energies of the particle \( M \). Integration over the directions of \( k_\perp \) signifies averaging over the directions of polarisation of the incident photon (and multiplying by \( 2\pi \)). The result is

\[
d\sigma = n(\omega) \, d\sigma_\perp \, d\omega,
\]

where

\[
n(\omega) = \int n(k) 2\pi k_\perp \, dk_\perp
\]

\[
= \frac{2Z^2e^2}{\pi\omega} \int \frac{k_\perp^2 \, dk_\perp}{(k_\perp^2 + \omega^2 / \gamma^2)^2}.
\]

The integral over \( dk_\perp \) diverges when \( k_\perp \) is large, but the divergence is only logarithmic. This enables us (within the range of validity of the method) to obtain a result in the logarithmic approximation: it is assumed that not only the argument of the logarithm but the logarithm itself is large. To this accuracy, it is sufficient to take as the upper limit of integration \( k_{\perp \text{max}} \sim m \), the upper limit of the inequality (96.12). Integration then gives for the spectral distribution of equivalent photons (in ordinary units)

\[
n(\omega) \, d\omega = \frac{2}{\pi} \, Z \alpha \log \frac{\gamma mc^2}{\hbar \omega} \, d\omega.
\]

The approximation used here signifies that the numerical coefficient in the argument of the logarithm remains indeterminate. The inclusion of such a coefficient would mean the addition of a relatively small quantity (\( \sim 1 \)) to the large logarithm and would be superfluous having regard to the accuracy of the method.

**PROBLEMS**

**PROBLEM 1.** From the photon–electron scattering cross-section, find the bremsstrahlung cross-section in a collision between a fast electron and a nucleus.

**SOLUTION.** In the frame of reference \( K_\perp \) in which the electron is at rest before the collision, the process may be regarded as the scattering by the electron of the equivalent photons of the field of the nucleus.\(^\dagger\) According to (86.10) the cross-section for scattering of a photon by an electron in the frame \( K_\perp \) is

\[
\sigma_{\text{el}}(\omega_1, \omega'_1) = \pi r_e^2 \frac{m \omega_1 ^2}{\omega'_1 ^2} \left[ \frac{\omega_1}{\omega'_1} + \frac{\omega'_1}{\omega_1} + \left( \frac{m}{\gamma \omega'_1} - \frac{m}{\gamma \omega_1} \right)^2 - 2m \left( \frac{1}{\omega'_1} - \frac{1}{\omega_1} \right) \right],
\]

where \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega'_1 \) are the initial and final energies of the photon in this frame. The bremsstrahlung cross-section in the frame \( K_\perp \) is

\[
\sigma_{\text{br}}(\omega'_1) = \int d\omega_1 \, n(\omega_1) \, \sigma_{\text{el}}(\omega_1, \omega'_1),
\]

\(^\dagger\) The scattering of virtual photons by the nucleus (in the rest frame of the nucleus) is excluded by the large mass of the latter: the scattering cross-section tends to zero with increasing mass of the scattering particle.
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where \( n(\omega) \) is the function (96.16). Since the cross-section is invariant, the change to a frame \( K \) in which the nucleus is at rest involves only a change in the frequency \( \omega' \). The frequencies \( \omega' \) and \( \omega \) in the frames \( K_1 \) and \( K \) are related by the Doppler formula

\[
\omega' = \gamma \omega_1 (1 - v \cos \theta_1), \quad \gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2},
\]

where \( \theta_1 \) is the scattering angle in the frame \( K_1 \). The same angle relates \( \omega'_1 \) and \( \omega_1 \) according to (86.8):

\[
\frac{1}{\omega'_1} - \frac{1}{\omega_1} = \frac{1}{m} (1 - \cos \theta_1).
\]

From (3) and (4) we have

\[
\omega'_1 = \omega_1 \epsilon'/\epsilon,
\]

where \( \epsilon (= \hbar \nu) \) and \( \epsilon' \) are the initial and final energies of the electron in the frame \( K \) (\( \epsilon = \epsilon' = \omega' \)). Substituting (5) in (1), we find

\[
d\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \pi \epsilon' m \frac{d\nu}{\omega_1} \left( \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon'} + \frac{\epsilon^2 \omega_1^2}{\omega_1^2} - \frac{2 m \omega}{\omega_1 \epsilon'} \right).
\]

This expression is to be substituted in (2) and the integration over \( d\nu \), carried out with \( \omega' \) (i.e. \( \epsilon' \)) fixed, the range being from \( \omega_{1, \text{min}} = m \epsilon'/2\epsilon' \) to \( \omega_{1, \text{max}} = 2\epsilon'/m \); these values are given by (3) and (4) with \( \theta_1 = 0 \) and \( \theta_1 = \pi \). Because the integral converges rapidly for large \( \omega_1 \), the main contribution to it comes from the range of \( \omega_1 \) near the lower limit, i.e. we may put \( \omega_{1, \text{max}} \rightarrow \infty \). Calculating the integral with logarithmic accuracy,† we have

\[
d\sigma_{\text{tot}} = 4 \pi^2 \alpha Z \frac{d\nu'}{\omega} \left( \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon} + \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon'} + \frac{2}{3} \right) \log \frac{\epsilon'}{\epsilon}
\]

For this result to be valid, besides the condition \( \epsilon \gg m \) (ultra-relativistic electron), the condition (96.11) must also be satisfied; the frequencies \( \omega_1 \sim \omega_{1, \text{min}} \) important in the integration must be \( \ll \epsilon \). Hence \( \epsilon - \epsilon' = \epsilon \ll \epsilon' \). Under these conditions the result agrees (to logarithmic accuracy) with (91.17), as it should.

**Problem 2.** The same as Problem 1, but for electron–electron bremsstrahlung.

Solution. In this case, the virtual photon can be scattered either by the fast electron or by the recoil electron; the photons equivalent to the field of either electron are scattered by the other. The scattering of virtual photons by the fast electron gives the cross-section \( d\sigma_{\text{br}}^{(1)} \), which is equal to the cross-section for an electron and a nucleus with \( Z = 1 \).

The scattering of virtual photons by the recoil electron gives a cross-section

\[
d\sigma_{\text{br}}^{(2)} = \int d\omega_1 m(\omega) \, d\alpha_{\text{tot}}(\omega, \omega'),
\]

with \( d\alpha_{\text{tot}}(\omega, \omega') \) given by (1) with the appropriate change of notation for the frequencies. The range of values of \( \omega \) for given \( \omega' \) is (cf. (4))

\[
\begin{align*}
\omega' &< \omega \ll \infty & \text{for } \omega' > \frac{1}{2} m, \\
\omega' &< \omega \ll \omega'/m - 2\omega' & \text{for } \omega' < \frac{1}{2} m.
\end{align*}
\]

When \( \omega' < \frac{1}{2} m \), integration with respect to \( \omega \) gives

\[
d\sigma_{\text{br}}^{(2)} = \frac{\beta}{2} \alpha Z \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left( 1 - \frac{\omega'}{m} + \frac{\omega'^2}{m^2} \right) \log \frac{\omega}{\omega'}
\]

in agreement with (94.4). But when \( \omega' > \frac{1}{2} m \) we must distinguish the cases \( \omega' \sim m \) and \( \omega' \sim \epsilon \gg m \). In the former case,

\[
d\sigma_{\text{br}}^{(2)} = \frac{\beta}{2} \alpha \frac{m}{\omega} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \left( 4 - \frac{m}{\omega'} + \frac{m^2}{4\omega'^2} \right) \log \frac{\epsilon}{m},
\]

in agreement with (94.3); in the argument of the logarithm we have, with sufficient accuracy, replaced \( \epsilon/\omega' \) by \( \epsilon/m \). In the case \( \omega' \sim \epsilon \), the method of equivalent photons is not valid for calculating \( d\sigma_{\text{br}}^{(2)} \). The frequency \( \omega \) of the virtual photons takes values beginning with \( \omega' \), and the condition (96.11) is therefore not satisfied when \( \omega = \omega' \sim \epsilon \).

**Problem 3.** Determine the total pair production cross-section in a photon–nucleus collision from the pair production cross-section in a collision between two photons.

Solution. The energy of the photon in the rest frame \( K \) of the nucleus is \( \omega = \hbar \nu, \gamma \gg 1 \). If we change

† This means that, by one integration by parts, the term containing the large logarithm is separated and the remaining terms then neglected. This operation is equivalent to taking the logarithm \( \log (\epsilon/\omega_1) \) outside the integral, with \( \omega_1 = \omega_{1, \text{min}} \).
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to a frame \( K_0 \) in which the nucleus moves to meet the photon at a speed \( v_0 \) such that \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v_0^2}} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \), then in this frame the photon energy is

\[
\omega_0 = \omega \frac{1 - v_0}{\sqrt{1 - v_0^2}} \approx \frac{1}{2} \omega \sqrt{1 - v_0^2} = m.
\]

The required cross-section \( \sigma \) is calculated in the frame \( K_0 \) as the pair production cross-section in collisions between an incident photon \( \omega_0 \) and the equivalent photons of the nucleus, whose energy we denote by \( \omega' \):

\[
\sigma = \int \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(\omega') \, d\omega',
\]

where \( \sigma_{\gamma\gamma} \) is the cross-section for pair production by two photons and is given by §88, Problem, formula (1), with

\[
v = \sqrt{1 - m^2/(\omega_0 \omega')} = \sqrt{1 - m/(\omega')},
\]

Changing to the variable \( v \) instead of \( \omega' \), we have

\[
\sigma = 2r^2_0 aZ \int \frac{1}{v} \log \left[ \frac{1}{\gamma(1 - v^2)} \right] \left\{ (3 - v^4) \log \left[ \frac{1 + v}{1 - v} \right] - 2v(2 - v^2) \right\} \, dv.
\]

Because of the convergence at the upper limit, the integral may be taken over the whole range from the reaction threshold \( \omega' = m \) \((v = 0)\) to \( \omega' = \infty \) \((v = 1)\) and with logarithmic accuracy (replacing \( \log \left[ \gamma(1 - v^2) \right] \) by its value for \( v = 0 \) and taking it outside the integral). The result is

\[
\sigma = 3\pi aZr^2_0 \log \gamma,
\]
in agreement with (92.8); this formula is valid when \( \log \gamma \gg 1 \).

§97. Pair production in collisions between particles

Electron–positron pair production in a collision between two charged particles is described by diagrams of two types:

(a) \hspace{2cm} (b)

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{p}_- & \text{p}_+ & \text{p}_- & \text{p}_+ \\
\text{q}^{(1)} & \text{q}^{(2)} & \text{q}^{(1)} & \text{q}^{(2)}
\end{array}
\]

The two upper continuous lines in each diagram correspond to the colliding particles, and the lowest line to the pair formed.

Let us consider a collision of two heavy particles (nuclei) in the ultra-relativistic case. The change of the motion of the particles themselves in such a collision may be neglected, i.e. they may be regarded as external-field sources. This corresponds to two diagrams of the first type:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{p}_- & \text{p} & \text{p}_- & \text{p}_+ \\
\text{q}^{(1)} & \text{q}^{(2)} & \text{q}^{(1)} & \text{q}^{(2)}
\end{array}
\]

where \( q^{(1)} \), \( q^{(2)} \) are the “momenta” of the Fourier components of the fields of the two particles.

In this case the pair production cross-section may be determined by the method of equivalent photons, using the already known photon–nucleus pair production cross-section. The replacement of the field of one particle (the first, say) by a spectrum of equivalent photons implies that in the diagrams (97.2) the lines \( q^{(1)} \) are regarded as real-photon lines.
The two diagrams then become identical with the diagrams corresponding to pair production by a photon at nucleus 2. When \( \varepsilon_+ , \varepsilon_- \gg m \), the cross-section for the latter process is given by (92.7). Multiplying this cross-section by the spectrum (96.16) of equivalent photons of the first nucleus, we obtain (with logarithmic accuracy) for the differential cross-section for pair production in a collision between particles

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{8}{\pi} r^2_e (Z_1 Z_2 \alpha)^2 \frac{d\varepsilon_+ d\varepsilon_-}{(\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-)^4} \left( \varepsilon_+^2 + \varepsilon_-^2 + \frac{3}{2} \varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_- \right) \log \frac{\varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_-}{m(\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-)} \log \frac{m \gamma}{\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-}, \tag{97.3}
\]

where \( \gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2} \gg 1 \).

Here it is assumed that

\[
m \ll \varepsilon_+ , \varepsilon_- \ll m \gamma; \tag{97.4}
\]

the right-hand inequality is the condition for the method of equivalent photons to be applicable. The range defined by the inequalities (97.4) is the same as the electron and positron energy range which is important in the integration of (97.3). On integration over \( d\varepsilon_+ \) or \( d\varepsilon_- \) for a given sum \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_- \gg m \), the important range is the one near the upper limit; omitting terms which do not contain the large logarithm, we find

\[
\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{56}{9\pi} r^2_e (Z_1 Z_2 \alpha)^2 \log \frac{\varepsilon}{m} \log \frac{m \gamma d\varepsilon}{\varepsilon};
\]

The integral with respect to \( \varepsilon \) over the range (97.4) diverges as the cube of the logarithm, but only as the square of the logarithm at the boundaries of the range. In the logarithmic approximation (\( \log \gamma \gg 1 \)), therefore, the range (97.4) is in fact the most important one, and the integral can be taken over the range from \( m \) to \( m \gamma \). Since

\[
\int_{1}^{\gamma} \log \frac{\varepsilon(\log \gamma - \log \zeta)}{\zeta} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} = \frac{1}{6} \log^3 \gamma,
\]

the total pair production cross-section is

\[
\sigma = \frac{28}{27\pi} r^2_e (Z_1 Z_2 \alpha)^2 \log^3 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2}} \tag{97.5}
\]

(L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, 1934).

Let us now consider the case of non-relativistic velocities of the colliding nuclei. The change in their motion due to their interaction then becomes important, and the main contribution to the pair production cross-section comes from diagrams of the second type in (97.1). There are four such diagrams: two of them are

\[
\begin{align*}
(p_1' & \quad p_1) \quad \text{and} \quad (p_2' & \quad p_2) \tag{97.6}
\end{align*}
\]

and the other two are similar except that the virtual photon \( k \) (which produces the pair) is emitted by the first nucleus and not by the second.†

† Altogether 36 diagrams correspond to pair production in a collision between two electrons: \( 2! \times 3! = 12 \) diagrams of type \( a \), differing by interchanges of the two initial and three final electrons, and \( 2 \times 2! \times 3! = 24 \) diagrams of type \( b \), obtained in a similar way from the two diagrams (97.6).
We shall suppose that the energy of the pair is small compared with the kinetic energy of the relative motion of the nuclei in their centre-of-mass system:

\[ \varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_- \ll \frac{1}{2} M v^2, \]  

(97.7)

where \( v \) is the initial relative velocity and \( M = M_1 M_2 / (M_1 + M_2) \) is the reduced mass of the nuclei. Then the reciprocal effect of pair production on the motion of the nuclei can be neglected. If the electron–positron line in the diagrams (97.6) is omitted, the remainder will represent the emission by the colliding particles of a low-frequency virtual photon (\( \omega = \varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_- \)). Thus we return to the situation discussed in §95 for the emission of a real soft photon, and can use the formula (95.13) derived there for the non-relativistic case (except that the amplitude \( \sqrt{(4\pi)}^* \) of the real photon will be replaced by the virtual photon propagator).† Thus the amplitude of the whole process of pair production becomes

\[ M_{fi} = M_{fi}^{(el)} \frac{1}{\omega} \left( \frac{Z_1^2}{M_1} - \frac{Z_2^2}{M_2} \right) q^2 D_{ab}(k) [-ie(\bar{u}_- \gamma^0 u_+)], \]  

(97.8)

where \( q = (0, q), \ q = M(v' - v). \)

As usual, the photon propagator in the non-relativistic case is to be taken in the gauge (77.14). From the amplitude (97.8) we find the cross-section for the process:

\[ d\sigma = d\sigma_{el} \varepsilon^4 \left( \frac{Z_1}{M_1} - \frac{Z_2}{M_2} \right)^2 \frac{d^3 p_+ d^3 p_-}{2\varepsilon_+ 2\varepsilon_-(2\pi)^3 \omega^2 (\omega^2 - k^2)^2} (4\pi)^2 |\bar{u}_- \gamma \cdot Q u_+|^2, \]  

(97.9)

where

\[ \omega = \varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_- , \quad k = p_+ + p_-, \quad Q = q - \frac{1}{\omega^2} k(q \cdot k); \]

\( d\sigma_{el} \) is the cross-section for elastic scattering of one nucleus by the other, in their centre-of-mass system, and is given by Rutherford’s formula:‡

\[ d\sigma_{el} = 4(Z_1 Z_2 \varepsilon^2)^2 M^2 \frac{d\omega}{q^4} \approx 4(Z_1 Z_2 \varepsilon^2)^2 \frac{dq_s dq_s}{v^2 q^2}; \]  

(97.10)

the last equation assumes that the deviation of the nuclei from their original direction of motion (the \( x \)-axis) is small. Substituting this expression in (97.9) and summing over polarisations of the pair in the usual manner, we obtain

\[ d\sigma = (Z_1 Z_2 \varepsilon^2)^2 \frac{v^4}{v^2} \left( \frac{Z_1}{M_1} - \frac{Z_2}{M_2} \right)^2 \times \]

\[ \times \text{tr} \left\{ (\gamma + m)(\gamma \cdot Q)(\gamma - m)(\gamma \cdot Q) \right\} \frac{d^3 p_+ d^3 p_- dq_s dq_s}{4\pi^2 v^2 q^4 (\omega^2 - k^2)^2 \omega^2}. \]  

(97.11)

The remaining calculation is made in the approximation in which all the logarithms occurring in the integration are assumed large. We shall see that, to this accuracy, pair

† In the non-relativistic case, the photon momentum is small in comparison with the change in momentum of the radiating particles (\( \delta p \sim \omega/\omega \)), and can therefore be neglected, in comparison with \( \delta p \), even when the photon energy is not neglected. This applies a fortiori here to the virtual photon, for which the four-dimensional square \( k^2 = (p_+ + p_-)^2 > 0 \), so that \( |k| < \omega \). Under these conditions there is no difference between real and virtual photons, and the use of formula (95.13) is thereby justified.

‡ The diagrams (97.6) are shown on the assumption of the Born approximation for scattering of nuclei. But, since Rutherford’s formula is exact (for Coulomb interaction), the validity of the results obtained does not in fact depend on the fulfilment of the condition for the Born approximation to be valid.
energies $\varepsilon_+, \varepsilon_- \gg m$ and angles $\theta$ between $p_+$ and $p_-$ such that
\[ m/e \ll \theta \ll 1 \] (97.12)
are the most important. With the appropriate approximations, the calculation of the trace in (97.11) gives
\[
\text{tr} \{ \ldots \} = 16 \left[ (\varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_- p_+ \cdot p_-) \left( q^2 - \frac{(q \cdot k)^2}{\omega^2} \right) + 
\frac{2\varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_-}{\omega^2} (q \cdot k)^2 - \frac{2q \cdot k}{\omega} (\varepsilon_+ q \cdot p_- + \varepsilon_- q \cdot p_+) \right],
\]
where we can also put $|p_+| = \varepsilon_+$, $|p_-| = \varepsilon_-$. In the denominator,
\[ \omega^2 - k^2 \approx e_+ e_- \theta^2 + m^2 (\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-)^2. \]
Integration over the directions of $p_+$ and $p_-$, for a given angle between them, gives
\[
d\sigma = \frac{32}{3\pi^2} (Z_1 Z_2 e^2)^2 \frac{e^4}{v^2} \left( \frac{Z_1}{M_1} - \frac{Z_2}{M_2} \right)^2 (\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-) \, d\varepsilon_+ \, d\varepsilon_- \times
\left[ \frac{\theta^3 \, d\theta}{\theta^2 + m^2 (\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-)^2/\varepsilon_+^2 \varepsilon_-^2} \right] \frac{dq_y \, dq_z}{q^2}, \] (97.13)
The form of the dependence on $\theta$ confirms the hypothesis (97.12), and integration with respect to $\theta$ gives $\log [e_+ e_-/m(\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-)]$. Integration of the last factor in (97.13) is from $q_y = q_z = 0$ to $\sqrt{(q_y^2 + q_z^2)} \sim 1/R$, where $R$ is a quantity of the order of the radius of the nuclei (corresponding to the smallest impact parameters; see below). This integration gives
\[ [\pi \log (q_y^2 + q_z^2)] \approx 2\pi \log \frac{1}{Rq_x}. \]
The total energy of the pair, equal to the change in the energy of the nuclei, is
\[ \varepsilon \equiv (\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-) = \frac{1}{4} M(v^2 - \nu^2) \approx M(v' - v_x) = \nu q_x, \]
whence $q_x = \varepsilon/v$. Thus we find
\[
d\sigma = \frac{64}{3\pi} (Z_1 Z_2 e^2)^2 \frac{e^4 m^2}{\nu^2} \left( \frac{Z_2}{M_2} - \frac{Z_1}{M_1} \right)^2 \frac{\varepsilon_+ + \varepsilon_-}{\varepsilon^4} \frac{\log v}{\nu} \frac{\log \varepsilon_+ - \log m \varepsilon_+}{\log m \varepsilon_-} \, d\varepsilon_+ \, d\varepsilon_-, \]
and, after integration over $d\varepsilon_+$ or $d\varepsilon_-$ with a given sum $\varepsilon$,
\[
d\sigma = \frac{27}{9\pi} (Z_1 Z_2 e^2)^2 \frac{e^4 m^2}{\nu^2} \left( \frac{Z_2}{M_2} - \frac{Z_1}{M_1} \right)^2 \frac{\log v}{\nu} \frac{\log \varepsilon}{\log m \varepsilon}. \] (97.14)
The energy $\varepsilon$ may be correlated with the impact parameter $\rho \sim \nu/e$; the pair energy is of the order of the frequency which corresponds to the collision time. Hence the logarithmic divergence on integration over $d\varepsilon$ in (97.14) implies a similar divergence with respect to impact parameters. This means that large values of $\rho$ are important (and this, incidentally, justifies the use of the cross-section (97.10) for scattering in the purely Coulomb field of the nucleus). Accordingly, the important range of energy is given by $m \leq \varepsilon \leq \nu/R$. Integration of (97.14) gives the total pair production cross-section; the final result is (in ordinary units)
\[ \sigma = \frac{64}{27\pi} (Z_1 Z_2 e^2)^2 r_e^2 \left( \frac{c}{\nu} \right)^2 \left( \frac{Z_2}{M_2} - \frac{Z_1}{M_1} \right)^2 \log^3 \frac{\nu}{mc^2R} \] (97.15)
PROBLEM

Derive an expression for the pair production cross-section in a collision of two fast nuclei, corresponding to the diagrams (97.2).

SOLUTION. The reaction amplitude is

\[ M_{fi} = e^2 \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \hat{\alpha} (p - \hat{\alpha}(p_+ - p) G(p) \hat{\alpha}(p_+ + p)(-p_+) + (1 \leftrightarrow 2), \]

where \( \hat{\alpha}(q), \hat{\alpha}(\omega) \) are the external fields due to the first and second nuclei, and the second term is obtained from the first by interchanging the numbers of the nuclei.

The potential \( \alpha = (A_0, A) \) due to a classical particle moving with a uniform velocity \( v \) satisfies the equations

\[ \square A_0 = -4\pi Z e (r - vt - r_0), \]
\[ \square A = -4\pi Ze v (r - vt - r_0). \]

Its Fourier components are

\[ A_0(k, \omega) = -\frac{8\pi^2 Ze}{\omega^2 - k^2} e^{-ik \cdot r_0} \delta(\omega - k, v), \]

and similarly for \( A(k, \omega) \). In four-dimensional form,

\[ A^\mu(q) = -\frac{8\pi^2 Ze}{q^2} e^{i\xi \cdot q} U^\mu \delta(U(q)), \]

where \( U \) is the 4-velocity of the particle, and the 4-vector \( x_0 = (0, r_0) \). If nucleus 1 is at rest at the origin \( (r_0^1 = 0) \), then \( p = r_0^2 \) is the impact parameter vector (in a plane perpendicular to the direction of motion of nucleus 2).

The amplitude is then

\[ M_{fi} = 4Z_1 Z_2 e^4 \hat{\alpha}(p_+) Qu(-p_+), \]
\[ Q = \hat{U}^{(1)}(I + I_\omega) \hat{\alpha}^{(1)} \hat{U}^{(2)}(I' + I'_\omega) \hat{U}^{(1)}, \]
\[ Q = \hat{U}^{(2)}(I' + I'_\omega) \hat{\alpha}^{(1)} + \hat{U}^{(1)}(I' + I'_\omega) \hat{U}^{(2)}, \]

where \( I \) and \( I' \) are 4-vector integrals:

\[ \begin{aligned}
I &= \int \frac{e^{-ip_+p_+} \delta[U^{(1)}(-p_+ - p)] \delta[U^{(2)}(p_+ + p)]}{(p_+ - p)^2(p^2 + m^2)(p_+ + p)^2} d^4 p, \\
I' &= \int \frac{e^{-ip_-p_-} \delta[U^{(1)}(p_- + p)] \delta[U^{(2)}(-p_- - p)]}{(p_- - p)^2(p^2 + m^2)(p_- + p)^2} d^4 p,
\end{aligned} \]

and \( I_\omega, I'_\omega \) are obtained by substituting the factor \( m \) for \( p \) in the integrand.

The probability of pair production in a collision with a given impact parameter is

\[ dw = \frac{|M_{fi}|^2}{2e_+ \cdot 2e_- (2\pi)^3} \]

and, after summation over polarisations of the pair,

\[ dw = \frac{(Z_1 Z_2 e^4)^2}{(2\pi)^3} \text{tr} \left( \hat{\alpha}_+ (\hat{\alpha}_- + m) Q (\hat{\alpha}_+ - m) \hat{Q} \right) d^3 p_+ d^3 p_. \]

The cross-section is found by integrating \( dw \) over \( d^2 \rho \).

§98. Emission of a photon by an electron in the field of a strong electromagnetic wave

The application of perturbation theory to processes of interaction between an electron and a radiation field requires not only that the interaction constant \( \alpha \) should be small but also that the field should be sufficiently weak. If \( a \) is the amplitude of the classical 4-potential of an electromagnetic wave field, the characteristic quantity in this respect is the dimensionless invariant ratio

\[ \xi = e\sqrt{(-a^2)/m}. \]

† The remainder of the calculation is given by L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Physikalische Zeitschrift der Sowjetunion 6, 244, 1934.
In this section we shall consider emission processes occurring in the interaction of an electron with the field of a strong electromagnetic wave, for which \( \xi \) can have any value. The method used is based on an exact treatment of this interaction; the interaction of the electron with the newly emitted photons can, as before, be regarded as a small perturbation.\(^\dagger\)

Let us consider a monochromatic plane wave, say a circularly polarised one. Its 4-potential may be written in the form

\[
A = a_1 \cos \phi + a_2 \sin \phi, \quad \phi = kx, \quad \text{(98.2)}
\]

where \( k^\mu = (\omega, \mathbf{k}) \) is the wave 4-vector \( (k^2 = 0) \), and the 4-amplitudes \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are equal in magnitude and orthogonal:

\[
a_1^2 = a_2^2 = a^2, \quad a_1 a_2 = 0.
\]

We shall assume that the Lorentz gauge condition is applied to the potential, so that \( a_1 k = a_2 k = 0 \).

The exact wave function for an electron in the field of an arbitrary plane electromagnetic wave has been derived in §40, and is given by formulae (40.7) and (40.8). We shall, however, change the normalisation by making \( \psi_p \) correspond to unit mean spatial number density of particles, in the same way as the wave functions of free particles are normalised to “one particle in unit volume”. Since the mean density for the function (40.7) is \( \bar{J}_0 = \frac{q_0}{p_0} \), in order to obtain the required normalisation this function must be multiplied by \( \sqrt{p_0/q_0} \), i.e. the factor \( 1/\sqrt{2p_0} \) in (40.7) must be replaced by \( 1/\sqrt{2q_0} \). For a wave with the 4-potential (98.2), we find

\[
\psi_p = \left[ 1 + \frac{e}{2(kp)} (k \hat{a}_1 \cos \phi + k \hat{a}_2 \sin \phi) \right] \frac{u(p)}{\sqrt{(2q_0)}} \times \\
\times \exp \left\{ -ie \frac{(a_1 p)}{(kp)} \sin \phi + ie \frac{(a_2 p)}{(kp)} \cos \phi - iqx \right\}, \quad \text{(98.3)}
\]

where

\[
q^\mu = p^\mu - e^2 \frac{a^2}{2(kp)} k^\mu. \quad \text{(98.4)}
\]

According to (40.14), the 4-vector \( q \) is the mean 4-momentum of the electron; we shall call it the quasi-momentum.

The S-matrix element for a transition of the electron from the state \( \psi_p \) to the state \( \psi_{p'} \) with emission of a photon having 4-momentum \( k'^\mu = (\omega', \mathbf{k}') \) and polarisation 4-vector \( e' \) is

\[
S_{fi} = -ie \int \langle \psi_{p'} | e' | \psi_p \rangle \frac{e^{ik'x}}{\sqrt{2\omega'}} d^4x. \quad \text{(98.5)}
\]

The integrand in (98.5) is a linear combination of the quantities

\[
e^{-ia_1 \sin \phi + ia_2 \cos \phi},
\]

\[
\cos \phi \cdot e^{-ia_1 \sin \phi + ia_2 \cos \phi},
\]

\[
\sin \phi \cdot e^{-ia_1 \sin \phi + ia_2 \cos \phi},
\]

\( \dagger \) A systematic investigation of various quantum processes in the field of a strong electromagnetic plane wave is given by A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, Soviet Physics JETP 19, 529, 1191, 1964; 20, 757, 1965; 25, 1135, 1967; N. B. Narozhnyi, A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, Soviet Physics JETP 20, 622, 1965. In particular, in these papers (whose treatment we shall follow), photon emission and pair production in the field of a plane wave with various polarisations are discussed.
where
\[ \alpha_1 = e \left( \frac{a_{1p} - a_{1p'}}{kp - k'} \right), \quad \alpha_2 = e \left( \frac{a_{2p} - a_{2p'}}{kp - k'} \right). \] (98.6)

These quantities, together with the factor \( e^{-i\alpha_1 \sin \phi + i\alpha_2 \cos \phi} = e^{-i\sqrt{(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)} \sin (\phi - \phi_0)} \),
\[ = \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} B_s e^{-i\alpha_0}. \]

These coefficients can be expressed in terms of Bessel functions by the formulae
\[ B_s = J_s(z) e^{i\alpha_0}, \notag \]
\[ B_{1s} = \frac{1}{2i} \left[ J_{s+1}(z) e^{i(s+1)\phi_0} + J_{s-1}(z) e^{i(s-1)\phi_0} \right], \notag \]
\[ B_{2s} = \frac{1}{2i} \left[ J_{s+1}(z) e^{i(s+1)\phi_0} - J_{s-1}(z) e^{i(s-1)\phi_0} \right], \] (98.7)

where \( z = \sqrt{(\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2)}, \quad \cos \phi_0 = \frac{\alpha_1}{z}, \quad \sin \phi_0 = \frac{\alpha_2}{z}. \)

The functions \( B_s, B_{1s}, B_{2s} \) are related by
\[ \alpha_1 B_{1s} + \alpha_2 B_{2s} = sB_s, \] (98.8)
which follows from the familiar relation
\[ J_{s-1}(z) + J_{s+1}(z) = 2sJ_s(z)/z \]
between the Bessel functions.

The matrix element (98.5) then becomes
\[ S_{fi} = \frac{1}{(2\omega').2q.2q')^2} \sum_s M_s^{(p)}(2\pi)^4 i\delta^{(4)}(sk + q - q' - k'), \] (98.9)

where
\[ M_s^{(p)} = -e\sqrt{(4\pi)}\bar{u}(p') \left\{ \left( \hat{e}' - e^2 \frac{a^2(k\hat{e})\hat{k}}{2(kp)(k'p')} \right) B_s + \right. \\
+ e \left( \frac{\hat{a}_1 \hat{k}\hat{e}' + \hat{e}'\hat{a}_1}{2(kp')} + \frac{\hat{a}_2 \hat{k}\hat{e}' + \hat{e}'\hat{a}_2}{2(kp)} \right) B_{1s} + e \left( \frac{\hat{a}_2 \hat{k}\hat{e}' + \hat{e}'\hat{a}_2}{2(kp') + \frac{\hat{e}'\hat{a}_1}{2(kp)}} \right) B_{2s} \right\} u(p). \] (98.10)

Thus the matrix element \( S_{fi} \) is an infinite sum of terms, each corresponding to a conservation law
\[ sk + q = q' + k'. \] (98.11)

Since
\[ q^2 = q'^2 = m^2(1 + \xi^2) = m^2_\ast \] (98.12)
(cf. (40.15)), and \( k^2 = k'^2 = 0 \), the equation (98.11) can be satisfied only if \( s \geq 1 \). The \( s \)th term of the sum describes the emission of a photon \( k' \) by the absorption from the wave of \( s \) photons with 4-momenta \( k \). The form of (98.11) shows that all the kinematic relationships which occur for the Compton effect will apply to the processes considered here if the electron momenta are replaced by the quasi-momenta \( q \) and the incident photon momentum by the 4-vector \( sk \). In particular, the frequency of the emitted photon in the
frame of reference where the electron is at rest on average \((q = 0, q_0 = m_e)\) is

\[
\omega' = \frac{s \omega}{1 + (s \omega | m_e)(1 - \cos \theta)} \tag{98.13}
\]

where \(\theta\) is the angle between \(k\) and \(k'\); cf. (86.8). We may say that the frequencies \(\omega'\) are harmonics of \(\omega\).

In the notation previously used (§65), the amplitude of the process of emission of the \(s\)th harmonic is \(M_{sf}^{(s)}\), and the expression

\[
dW_s = |M_{sf}^{(s)}|^2 \frac{d^3 k' \, d^3 q'}{(2\pi)^6 \cdot 2 \omega' \cdot 2 q_0' \cdot 2|q'\rangle} (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(sk + q - q' - k') \tag{98.14}
\]
gives the corresponding differential probability per unit volume and unit time.†

The amplitudes (98.10) have a structure similar to that of the scattering amplitudes with plane waves; the operations of summation over polarisations of the particles are therefore carried out in the usual manner. After summation over the polarisations of the final electrons and the photon and averaging over the polarisations of the initial electron, we have

\[
dW_s = \frac{e^2 m^2}{4\pi} \frac{d^3 k' \, d^3 q'}{q_0' q_0 \omega} \delta^{(4)}(sk + q - q' - k') \times \\
\times \left\{ -2J^2_2(z) + \zeta^2 \left( 1 + \frac{(kk')^2}{2(kp')(kp')} \right) (J_{s+1}^2 + J_{s-1}^2 - 2J_s^2) \right\}. \tag{98.15}
\]

In order to integrate this expression, we note that, owing to the axial symmetry of the field of a circularly polarised wave, the differential probability is independent of the azimuthal angle \(\phi\) around the direction of \(k\). This fact, together with the presence of the delta function, enables us to integrate over all variables except one, which we take to be the invariant \(u = (kk')(kp')\). Then, after integration over \(d^3 k \, d\phi \, d(q_0' + \omega')\), we find

\[
\delta^{(4)}(sk + q - q' - k') \frac{d^3 q' \, d^3 k'}{q_0' \omega'} \rightarrow \frac{2\pi \, du}{(1+u)^2}.
\]

For, in the centre-of-mass system (in which \(sk + q = q + k' = 0\)), this integration gives \(2\pi|q'|d\cos \theta/E_s\), where \(E_s = s \omega + q_0 = \omega' + q_0'\) and \(\theta\) is the angle between \(k\) and \(q'\); cf. the transformation (65.12). In the same system, moreover,

\[
u = \frac{E_s}{q_0' - |q'| \cos \theta} - 1, \quad d \cos \theta = \frac{E_s \, du}{|q'| (1+u)^2}.
\]

The range \(-1 \leq \cos \theta \leq 1\) corresponds to

\[
0 \leq u \leq u_s = \frac{E_s^2 / m_e^2 - 1}{2s(kp)/m_e^2}.
\]

in making the transformations it must be remembered that \(kp = kq\).

† It should be noted that the normalisation of the function \(\psi_\nu\) to unit density corresponds to normalisation by the delta function "on the \(q\) scale"; cf. (40.17), where the factor \(q_0/p_0\) on the right will now be absent. It is for this reason that the number of final states of the electron must be measured by the element \(d^3 q'\).
Thus the total probability of emission from unit volume in unit time is†

\[
W = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} W_s = e^2 m^2 4q_0 \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{u_s} \frac{du}{(1+u)^2} \left\{ -4J_2^2(z) + \varepsilon^2 \left( 2 + \frac{u^2}{1+u} \right) (J_{s+1}^2 + J_{s-1}^2 - 2J_s^2) \right\},
\]

(98.16)

where \( \varepsilon \)

\[
u = (kk')/(kp'), \quad u_s = 2s(kp)/m^2.
\]

(98.17)

When \( \xi \ll 1 \) (the condition for perturbation theory to be valid), the integrand in (98.16) can be expanded in powers of \( \xi \). For example, the first term in the expansion of \( W_1 \) is

\[
W_1 \approx \frac{e^2 m^2}{4p_0} \xi^2 \int_{0}^{u_1} \left[ 2 + \frac{u^2}{1+u} - 4 \frac{u}{u_1} \left( 1 - \frac{u}{u_1} \right) \right] du
\]

\[
= \frac{e^2 m^2}{4p_0} \xi^2 \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{u_1}{u}} - \frac{8}{u_1^2} \right] \log (1+u_1) + 1 + \frac{8}{u_1} - \frac{1}{2(1+u_1)^2} \right],
\]

(98.18)

with \( u_1 \approx 2(kp)/m^2 \). This result agrees, as it should, with the Klein–Nishina formula for the scattering of a photon by an electron: putting in (98.18) \(-a^2 = 4\pi/\omega, \xi^2 = 4\pi e^2/m^2\omega, \) and dividing by the incident flux density (65.14), we return to (86.16) (the integrated scattering cross-section is independent of the initial polarisation of the photon).‡

The expression for the probability of emission of the second harmonic (the first term in the expansion of \( W_2 \) for \( \xi \ll 1 \)) is

\[
W_2 \approx \frac{e^2 m^2 \xi^2}{p_0} \int_{0}^{u_2} \frac{du}{(1+u)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2 + 3u_1} - \frac{4}{u_1^2} - \frac{2}{u_1^3} - \frac{1}{2(1+2u_1)} \right.
\]

\[
- \left( \frac{1}{2u_1} - \frac{3}{2u_1^2} - \frac{3}{u_1^3} - \frac{1}{u_1^4} \right) \log (1+2u_1) \right].
\]

(98.19)

The leading term in \( W_s \) for fairly small \( s \) is proportional to \( \xi^{2s} \).

Let us now consider the opposite case (\( \xi \gg 1 \)). The parameter \( \xi \) can be made large, for instance, by decreasing the frequency \( \omega \) with a fixed field strength; evidently \( \xi = eF/m\omega \), where \( F \) is the amplitude of the field strength. It is therefore clear that the case \( \xi \gg 1 \) essentially refers to processes in a constant and uniform field where \( E \) and \( H \) are perpendicular and equal in magnitude; this will be called a crossed field. The probability of emission in this field can be found by taking the limit \( \xi \to \infty \), but it is simpler to assume a constant

† This formula has also been derived by I. I. Gol’dman (1964).

‡ To calculate \( z \), we first note that

\[
z^2 = (a_1 Q)^2 + (a_2 Q)^2 = a^2 Q^2,
\]

where \( Q = q/(kq) - q'/(kq') \). This is easily shown by choosing a frame of reference in which \( (a_1)_0 = (a_2)_0 = 0 \) and the vectors \( a_1, a_2, k \) are along the axes \( x^1, x^2, x^3 \), and noting that \( Q_0 = Q_3 \) because \( kQ = 0 \).

§ This value of \( a^2 \) corresponds to normalisation of the 4-potential to "one particle in unit volume". To determine it, \( \omega \) must be equated to the energy of a classical field with the (real) 4-potential (98.2).
field in the calculations, taking the 4-potential in the form

$$A^\mu = a^\mu \phi, \quad \phi = kx, \quad (ak) = 0$$  \hfill (98.20)

(so that $F_{\mu\nu} = k_\mu a_\nu - k_\nu a_\mu = \text{constant}$). The exact wave function of the electron in this field is obtained by substituting (98.20) in (40.7), (40.8):

$$\psi_p = \left[ 1 + e^{i \frac{k \hat{a}}{2(kp)} \phi} \right] \frac{u(p)}{\sqrt{(2p_0)}} \exp \left\{ -ie \frac{(ap)}{2(kp)} \phi^2 + ie^2 \frac{a^2}{6(kp)} \phi^3 - ipx \right\}. \hfill (98.21)$$

The result given by using this function is exact for emission by an electron with any energy in a crossed field. It is noteworthy, however, that in the ultra-relativistic case this result (when put in the appropriate form; see below) applies to emission by an electron not only in a crossed field but in any constant and uniform electromagnetic field, including a constant magnetic field as discussed in §59.

To formulate this assertion we note that the state of a particle in any constant and uniform field is defined by as many quantum numbers as the state of a free particle, and these may always be so chosen as to become, when the field is removed, those of a free particle, i.e. its 4-momentum $p^\mu (p^2 = m^2)$. Thus the state of a particle in a constant field is described by a constant 4-vector $p$.

The total intensity of emission, being an invariant, depends only on the invariants which can be constructed from the constant 4-tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$ and the constant 4-vector $p^\nu$.† Since $F_{\mu\nu}$ can appear in the intensity only in combination with the charge $e$, we obtain three dimensionless invariants:

$$\begin{align*}
\chi^2 &= -\frac{e^2}{m^6} (F_{\mu\nu} p^\nu)^2 = -\frac{e^2}{m^6} a^2 (kp)^2, \\
f &= e^2 (F_{\mu\nu})^2 / m^4, \\
g &= e^2 / m^4 e_{\lambda\mu\nu\rho} F^{\lambda\mu} F^{\nu\rho}.
\end{align*} \hfill (98.22)$$

In a crossed field $f = g \equiv 0$, whereas in general all three invariants are non-zero. If the electron is ultra-relativistic ($p_0 \gg m$), however, and the vector $p$ makes angles $\theta \gg m/p_0$ with the fields $E$ and $H$, then $\chi^2 \gg f, g$ (that is, for an ultra-relativistic particle any constant field appears to be a crossed field for almost all directions $p$). If also the fields $|E|, |H| \ll m^2/e$ ($= m^2 c^3/\hbar$), then $|f|, |g| \ll 1$.‡ Under these conditions the intensity calculated for a crossed field and expressed in terms of the invariant $\chi$ will apply also to the emission in any constant field.

The invariant $\chi$ is given in terms of the fields $E$ and $H$ by

$$\chi^2 = \frac{e^2}{m^6} \{(p \times H + p_0 E)^2 - (p \cdot E)^2\}.$$  

For a constant magnetic field, $\chi$ is equal to the quantity (59.3), and the above arguments are therefore another means of deriving the results in §59.§

† Similar arguments can be applied to the differential intensity.
‡ And $p$ in the quantity $(F_{\mu\nu} p^\nu)^2$ may be regarded, with the same accuracy, as being the ordinary 4-momentum of the particle.
§ The calculations by this method may be found in the paper by A. I. Nikishov and V. I. Ritus, Soviet Physics JETP 19, 1191, 1964.
APPENDIX†

§a. The operator of finite rotations

The operator $j^2$ of the squared angular momentum and the operator $j_z$ of the angular-momentum component along a coordinate axis commute not only with each other but also with the operator $j_c = j.n$ of the angular-momentum component along some given direction $n$ in space (the $\zeta$-axis). This is easily shown by direct calculation, but is also obvious a priori. The angular-momentum operator is related to the operator of an infinitesimal rotation, and the scalar product $j.n$ of two vectors is invariant under any rotation of the coordinate axes.

Thus states are possible which have definite values of $j, j_z = m$ and $j_c = m'$, and the problem arises of finding the corresponding wave functions, which are the common eigenfunctions of the operators $j^2, j_z, j_c$. Such states have been encountered, in particular, in quantising a rigid system regarded as a rigid body (a symmetrical top), the direction of $n$ then being the axis of the top ($QM$, §103).

The problem of finding the functions $\psi_{j m'}$ is mathematically related to that of the transformation of eigenfunctions of the angular momentum under rotations through finite angles.

We define two coordinate systems (both right-handed): a fixed system $xyz$ and a “moving” system $\xi\eta\zeta$ with the $\zeta$-axis in some arbitrary (variable) direction $n$. The rotation of the system $\xi\eta\zeta$ relative to $xyz$ is specified by the three Eulerian angles $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, in the following manner: (1) a rotation through the angle $\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi$) about the $z$-axis, (2) a rotation through the angle $\beta$ ($0 \leq \beta \leq \pi$) about the $y'$-axis (the new position of the $y$-axis), (3) a rotation through the angle $\gamma$ ($0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\pi$) about the $\zeta$-axis (the resulting final position of the $z$-axis).† It is evident that the angles $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the spherical polar angles $\phi$ and $\theta$ of the new $\zeta$-axis relative to the axes $xyz$:

$$\alpha = \phi, \quad \beta = \theta.$$

Let the functions $\psi_{jm}$ ($m = -j, \ldots, j$) describe in the coordinates $x, y, z$ a state having a definite value of $j$, and the functions $\psi_{jm'}$ describe the same state relative to the axes $\xi\eta\zeta$.

† The two sections in this Appendix are to be regarded as a continuation following §109 at the end of Chapter XIV in *Quantum Mechanics*.

† This definition of the Eulerian angles differs from that used in *Mechanics* §35 and *QM* §58 in that the second rotation is about the $y'$-axis and not the $x'$-axis. The angles $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are related to the angles $\phi, \theta, \psi$ used in *Mechanics* and *QM* (not to be confused with the spherical polar angles $\phi$ and $\theta$) by

$$\phi = \alpha + \frac{1}{2}\pi, \quad \theta = \beta, \quad \psi = \gamma - \frac{1}{2}\pi.$$

Our definition of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ is that used by A. R. Edmonds, *Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics*, Princeton, 1957.
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in the former, \( m \) is the value of \( j_z \), and in the latter \( m' \) is the value of \( j_z \). The two sets of functions are connected by linear relations, which we write in the form

\[
\psi_{jm} = \sum_{m'} D_{m'm}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \psi_{jm'}.
\] (a.1)

The coefficients \( D_{m'm}^{(j)} \) form (with respect to the suffixes \( m' \) and \( m \)) a matrix of order \( 2j+1 \), the matrix of finite rotations \( D^{(j)} \), whose elements are functions of the angles \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \).

If the \( \zeta \eta \zeta \) axes are thought of as being "rigidly" attached to a physical system, the quantities \( \psi_{jm'} \) will have definite values independent of the orientation of the system; we denote these values by \( \psi_{jm}^{(0)} \). Formulae (a.1) give the angle dependence of the functions \( \psi_{jm} \).

Now, let the state \( jm \) also have a definite value \( m' \) of the \( \zeta \)-component of the angular momentum. This means that only the one \( \psi_{jm}^{(0)} \) which has the specified value of \( m' \) will be non-zero. Then the sum in (a.1) reduces to a single term:

\[
\psi_{jm'm'} = \psi_{jm}^{(0)} D_{m'm}^{(j)}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma).
\] (a.2)

We see that the matrix elements \( D_{m'm}^{(j)} \) define the angle dependence of the functions \( \psi_{jm'm'} \). This establishes the above-mentioned relationship between the matrix of finite rotations and the wave functions \( \psi_{jm'm'} \).

The matrix \( D^{(j)} \) can be constructed by means of the spinor representation of the functions \( \psi_{jm} \). For this purpose we return to the spinor transformation formulae (QM, §58) written in terms of the Eulerian angles as defined here. The components of the contra-

variant spinor \( (\psi^1, \psi^2) \) in the \( xyz \) system are related to the components \( (\psi^{1'}, \psi^{2'}) \) in the \( \zeta \eta \zeta \) system (rotated through angles \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) relative to \( xyz \)) by

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi^1 &= \psi^{1'} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha+\gamma)} \cos \frac{1}{2} \beta - \psi^{2'} e^{-\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\gamma)} \sin \frac{1}{2} \beta, \\
\psi^2 &= \psi^{1'} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha-\gamma)} \sin \frac{1}{2} \beta + \psi^{2'} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\alpha+\gamma)} \cos \frac{1}{2} \beta.
\end{align*}
\] (a.3)

The components of the covariant spinor \( (\psi_1, \psi_2) \) are transformed by the complex conjugate formulæ.

For \( j = \frac{1}{2} \), the two functions \( \psi_{\pm m} \) \((m = \pm \frac{1}{2})\) form a covariant spinor of rank 1 (see QM, §58). The transformation matrix in (a.1) can then be written down immediately:

\[
D_{m'm}^{(\frac{1}{2})} = e^{-im'\gamma} d_{m'm}^{(\frac{1}{2})}(\beta) e^{im\gamma},
\]

where

\[
d_{m'm}^{(\frac{1}{2})} = \begin{array}{cc}
m' & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & \cos \frac{1}{2} \beta & \sin \frac{1}{2} \beta \\
-\frac{1}{2} & -\sin \frac{1}{2} \beta & \cos \frac{1}{2} \beta
\end{array}
\] (a.4)

For arbitrary \( j \), the functions \( \psi_{jm} \) \((m = j, j-1, \ldots, -j)\) can be put in correspondence with the components of a symmetrical spinor of rank \( 2j \) by means of the formula QM (58.1):

\[
\psi_{jm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(j+m)!(j-m)!}} \psi_{j+m}^{\frac{1}{2} \ldots \frac{1}{2}} \psi_{j-m}^{\frac{1}{2} \ldots -\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

The transformation matrix for the components of a spinor of rank \( 2j \) is the product of \( 2j \) matrices \( D^{(j)} \), each acting on one of the spinor indices. On carrying out the multiplications
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and returning to the functions \( \psi_{j_m} \), we obtain the transformation of the latter as

\[
D^{(j)}_{m,n}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = e^{im\gamma} d^{(j)}_{m,n}(\beta) e^{im\alpha}
\]

(a.5)

with certain functions \( d^{(j)}_{m,n}(\beta) \).† The result thus obtained will not be written out here, since a more convenient expression for the functions \( d^{(j)}_{m,n} \) can be obtained by different arguments; see below.

The matrix \( D_{m,m}^{(j)} \) is unitary, being the matrix of a rotational transformation, and the matrix \( d^{(j)}_{m,m} \) is therefore unitary; the latter matrix is also real, as follows from (a.4) and the generalisation of this formula to arbitrary \( j \). Since the inverse transformation is obtained by reversing the signs of \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \), the unitarity condition gives

\[
d^{(j)}_{m,m}(-\beta) = d^{(j)}_{m,m}(-\beta).
\]

(a.6)

The following equations are also valid:

\[
d^{(j)}_{m,m}(\beta) = d^{(j)}_{m,m}(\beta),
\]

(a.7)

\[
d^{(j)}_{m,m}(\pi) = (-1)^j m \delta_{m', -m}, \quad d^{(j)}_{m,m}(-\pi) = (-1)^j m \delta_{m', -m},
\]

(a.8)

\[
d^{(j)}_{m,m}(0) = \delta_{m,m}.
\]

(a.9)

When \( j = \frac{1}{2} \) these are evident from (a.4); the generalisation to arbitrary \( j \) is evident from the manner of construction of the transformation matrix, described above.

A rotation through an angle \( \pi - \beta \) can be carried out as two successive rotations through \( \pi \) and \( -\beta \):

\[
d^{(j)}_{m,m}(\pi - \beta) = \sum_{m'} d^{(j)}_{m,m'}(\pi) d^{(j)}_{m',m}(\beta)
\]

or, using (a.6),

\[
d^{(j)}_{m,m}(\pi - \beta) = (-1)^{j-m'} d^{(j)}_{m',m}(\beta).
\]

(a.9)

The result of two rotations about the same axis is independent of the sequence in which they occur. We must therefore arrive at the same result by carrying out the rotations through \( -\beta \) and \( \pi \) in the opposite order. Comparison of the result with (a.9) gives the relation

\[
d^{(j)}_{m,m}(\beta) = (-1)^{j-m} d^{(j)}_{m', m}(\beta).
\]

(a.10)

From (a.10) and (a.7), it follows that

\[
d^{(j)}_{m,m}(\beta) = (-1)^{j-m} d^{(j)}_{m,m}(\beta).
\]

(a.11)

From (a.6)–(a.11) we can deduce various symmetry properties of the complete matrix elements \( D^{(j)}_{m,n} \). In particular, the complex conjugate element is given by

\[
D^{(j)*}_{m,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = D^{(j)}_{m,m}(-\alpha, -\beta, -\gamma)
\]

\[
= (-1)^{j-m} D^{(j)}_{m', -m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma).
\]

(a.12)

Mathematically, the matrices \( D^{(j)} \) realise the unitary irreducible representations of the rotation group having dimension \( 2j + 1 \). Hence we have immediately the orthonormality

† See Edmonds's book already quoted. Our definition of the functions \( D^{(j)}_{m,m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \) differs from that used by Edmonds in that \( \alpha \) and \( \gamma \) are interchanged.
relations for these matrices:

\[ \int D^{(i)}_{m_1 m_2}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) D^{(j)}_{m_2 m_3}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \frac{d\omega}{8\pi^2} = \delta_{j_1 j_2} \delta_{m_1 m_2} \delta_{m_1 m_3} \frac{1}{2j_1 + 1}, \]  

(a.13)

where \( d\omega = \sin \beta \, d\alpha \, d\beta \, d\gamma \), so that \( \int d\omega = 8\pi^2. \)

The orthogonality of the functions with respect to the suffixes \( m \) and \( m' \) is ensured by the factor \( e^{i(m\alpha + m'\gamma)} \); that with respect to \( j \) arises from the functions \( d^{(j)}_{m m} \), for which we have

\[ \int_0^\pi d^{(i)}_{m m} d^{(j)}_{m m} \frac{1}{2} \sin \beta \, d\beta = \frac{1}{2j_1 + 1} \delta_{j_1 j_2}. \]  

(a.14)

At the beginning of this section it was mentioned that the problem of states having definite values of \( j, m, m' \) occurred in the quantisation of the symmetrical top. The angle dependence of the wave functions of these states is given by QM (103.10). Using this formula with the appropriate change in nomenclature of variables and normalisation of functions, we have

\[ d^{(j)}_{m m}(\beta) = (-1)^{j_1} \left[ \frac{(j+m)!}{2^{2j}(j-m')!(j+m)!(j-m)!} \right] \times \frac{1}{(1 + \cos \beta)^{(m' + m)(1 - \cos \beta)^{(m' - m)}}} \times \left( \frac{d}{d \cos \beta} \right)^{(j - m')} [(1 + \cos \beta)^{j + m}(1 - \cos \beta)^{j - m}]. \]  

(a.15)

Although this formula was derived for integer \( j \) in the top problem, it in fact expresses general properties of the irreducible representations of the rotation group, and is valid for all \( j \).

In particular, for \( j = 1 \) we have

\[ \begin{align*}
d^{(1)}_{1 1} &= d^{(1)}_{1 -1} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos \beta), \quad d^{(1)}_{0 0} = \cos \beta, \\
d^{(1)}_{1 -1} &= d^{(1)}_{1 1} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \beta), \\
d^{(1)}_{1 0} &= -d^{(1)}_{0 1} = d^{(1)}_{0 -1} = -d^{(1)}_{1 1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \beta. \end{align*} \]  

(a.16)

For integral \( j = l \) and \( m' = 0 \), formula (a.15) becomes

\[ d^{(l)}_{0 m}(\beta) = (-1)^m \frac{l(l-m)!}{(l+m)!} P^m_l(\cos \beta). \]  

(a.17)

The derivation of this formula is easily seen from the original definition (a.1). Let \( \psi_{jm} \) be the eigenfunction of the orbital angular momentum, i.e. the spherical harmonic function \( Y_{lm}(\phi, \theta) \) (a function of the spherical polar angles \( \phi \equiv \alpha, \theta \equiv \beta \)); the values of

\[ \sum \frac{1}{g} \sum f^{(a)} \delta^{(b)} = \frac{1}{f_a} \delta_{ab} \delta_{km} \delta_{ns}. \]  

(see QM (94.9)), where \( a, \beta \) are the numbers of the representations, \( f_a \) their dimension, and \( g \) the order of the group.

The integration over \( d\omega \) is what is called an integration invariant with respect to the group; the element of integration is so chosen as to be unaffected when acted on by any element of the group.
§b. Matrix elements for the top

The operator giving the transformation, under rotation, of a product of two angular-momentum eigenfunctions \( \langle \psi_{j,m_1}, \psi_{j,m_2} \rangle \) is the matrix product \( D^{(j_1)} D^{(j_2)} \). According to the angular-momentum addition formula

\[
\psi_{j,m_1} \psi_{j,m_2} = \sum_j C_{m_1,m_2}^{j,m} \psi_{j,m} = C \psi_{j,m}
\]

(where the \( C_{m_1,m_2}^{j,m} \) are the Clebsch–Gordan coefficients and \( m = m_1 + m_2 \)), these products are related by the unitary matrix \( C \) to the functions \( \psi_{j,m} \), whose transformation under rotation is given by the matrix \( D^{(j)} \). Hence

\[
D^{(j_1)} D^{(j_2)} = C^+ D^{(j)} C,
\]

or, in expanded form,

\[
D^{(j_1)}_{m_1,m_1} D^{(j_2)}_{m_2,m_2} = \sum_j C_{m_1,m_2}^{j,m} D^{(j)}_{m_1,m_2} C_{m_1,m_2}^{j,m}
\]

(b.1)

(with \( m = m_1 + m_2, m' = m_1' + m_2' \), and \( \omega \) denoting for brevity the set of angles \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \)). In terms of \( 3j \)-symbols, this formula becomes

\[
D^{(j_1)}_{m_1,m_1} D^{(j_2)}_{m_2,m_2} = \sum_j (2j + 1) \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j \\ m_1' & m_2' & -m' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j \\ m_1 & m_2 & -m \end{pmatrix} D^{(j)}_{m_1', -m_1, -m}(\omega),
\]

(b.2)

where (a.12) has also been used.

Multiplying both sides of this relation by \( D^{(j)}_{-m, -m}(\omega) \) and integrating over \( d\omega \) by means of (a.13), we find

\[
\int D^{(j_1)}_{m_1,m_1}(\omega) D^{(j_2)}_{m_2,m_2}(\omega) D^{(j_3)}_{m_3,m_3}(\omega) \frac{d\omega}{8\pi^2} = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1' & m_2' & m_3' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix};
\]

(b.3)

Here the indices have been renamed in an obvious manner, in order to make the result more symmetrical.†

This formula is the starting-point for the calculation of the matrix elements of physical quantities pertaining to systems of the symmetrical-top type.

Let \( f_{kq} \) be a spherical tensor of rank \( k \) pertaining to a top, in coordinates \( \xi \eta \zeta \) fixed to the top (with \( \zeta \) along the axis): for example, the multipole electric or magnetic moment tensor. Let \( f_{kq} \) be the components of the same tensor relative to the fixed coordinates \( xyz \). The quantities \( f_{kq} \) are constants independent of the rotation of the top; the \( f_{kq} \) are functions of the angles \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma \) which define the orientation of the top. The relation between the two sets of quantities is given by the matrix of finite rotations:

\[
f_{kq} = \sum_q D^{(k)}_{q'q}(\omega) f_{kq}'.
\]

(b.4)

† For integral values of \( j_1 = l_1, j_2 = l_2 \) and \( j_3 = l_3 \) and \( m_1 = m_1' = m_3 = 0 \), the functions \( D^{(j)}_{m_1,m_1} \) reduce, according to (a.18), to spherical harmonic functions, and formula (b.3) gives an expression for the integral of a product of three such functions, which is the same as \( QM \) (107.13)–(107.16).
Appendix

The wave functions of the top differ from the functions $D^{(j)}_{\alpha M}(\omega)$ only as regards normalisation ($J$ being the total angular momentum of the top, $\Omega$ its component along the axis of the top, and $M$ its component along the fixed z-axis):†

$$\psi_{J M_\Omega}(\omega) = i^{J - \Omega} \sqrt{\frac{2J + 1}{8\pi^2}} D^{(j)}_{\alpha M}(\omega).$$

(b.5)

Calculating the matrix element of the quantity (b.4) with respect to these functions and using (b.3), we have

$$\langle J' \Omega' M' | f_{kq} | J \Omega M \rangle = i^{J' + J - \Omega - \Omega'}(-1)^{J' - J'} \sqrt{[2J + 1)(2J' + 1)] \times}

\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
J' & k & J \\
-\Omega' & q' & \Omega \\
-M' & q & M
\end{array}\right) f_{kq}^{'}, \quad (b.6)

with $q' = \Omega' - \Omega$, $q = M' - M$.

Formula (b.6) gives the solution of the problem proposed, expressing the dependence of the matrix elements on the angular momenta $J, J'$ and their components $M, M'$. The dependence on the quantum numbers $\Omega, \Omega'$ is, of course, indeterminate; their values are related to the "internal" state of the top, and the quantities $f_{kq}$ are in general independent of them. The dependence of the matrix elements on the numbers $M, M'$ is naturally the same as for any system having a given total angular momentum; cf. $QM$ (107.6).

The squared modulus of the matrix element (b.6), summed over all values of the final number $M'$ (and over $q = M' - M$) for given $M$, is independent of $M$:

$$\sum_{\Omega, M} |\langle J' \Omega' M' | f_{kq} | J \Omega M \rangle|^2 = (2J' + 1) \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
J' & k & J \\
-\Omega' & q' & \Omega \\
-M' & q & M
\end{array}\right)^2 |f_{kq}|^2; \quad (b.7)

the summation is carried out by using the rule $QM$ (107.11).

Formula (b.6) is applicable (with some changes of notation) also to such axially symmetric systems as a diatomic molecule or a non-spherical (axial) nucleus. Unlike that of the top, their rotation is described by only two angles ($\alpha \equiv \phi, \beta \equiv \theta$), which define the direction of the axis of the system. The rotational wave function differs from (b.5) by the absence of the factor $e^{i\alpha \beta \gamma}/(2\pi)$. This difference, however, does not affect the matrix elements: since the dependence of the functions $D^{(j)}_{m m}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ on $\gamma$ is represented by the factor $e^{i\omega \gamma}$, formula (b.3) can be written as

$$\delta_{m'0} \int D^{(j)}_{m_1 m_2}(\alpha, \beta, 0) D^{(j)}_{m_2 m_3}(\alpha, \beta, 0) D^{(j)}_{m_3 m_1}(\alpha, \beta, 0) \frac{\sin \beta \ dx \ d\beta}{4\pi}

= \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\
m_1 & m_2 & m_3
\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\
m'_1 & m'_2 & m'_3
\end{array}\right),$$

where $m' = m'_1 + m'_2 + m'_3$; the result of calculating the integral is unchanged. The selection rule for the axial component of the angular momentum is the same as before ($\Omega' - \Omega = q'$), resulting from the orthogonality of the electron wave functions. In formula (b.6), $f_{kq}$ must now be understood as the matrix elements of the quantity $f$ with respect to the electron states for nuclei at rest, in the coordinates $\xi \eta \zeta$ that are fixed to the axis of the molecule.

† The phase factor is chosen so that, when $\Omega = 0$, the function (b.5) becomes the wave function of the free integral angular momentum $J$ with component $M$ (cf. (a.18)).
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